# microRNA-206 overexpression inhibits cellular proliferation and invasion of estrogen receptor α-positive ovarian cancer cells

SHAORU LI<sup>1</sup>, YAN LI<sup>2</sup>, ZHENGFANG WEN<sup>1</sup>, FANJING KONG<sup>1</sup>, XINLEI GUAN<sup>1</sup> and WENHUI LIU<sup>3</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Gynecology and Obstetrics and <sup>2</sup>Endocrinology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Weihui, Henan 453100; <sup>3</sup>School of Public Health, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, P.R. China

Received July 17, 2013; Accepted February 6, 2014

DOI: 10.3892/mmr.2014.2021

Abstract. The expression levels of estrogen receptor (ER  $\alpha$ ) are closely associated with estrogen-dependent growth, invasion and response to endocrine therapy in ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer. However, the underlying regulatory mechanisms remain to be fully understood. Previous studies have demonstrated that  $ER\alpha$  is a direct target of microRNA (miR)-206. miR-206 has been found to be an important tumor suppressor in several cancer types, including ovarian, gastric and laryngeal cancer. However, the specific role of miR-206 in ovarian cancer remains unclear. The aim of the present study was to investigate the role of miR-206 in ER-a positive ovarian cancer in vitro. The present study demonstrated that miR-206 is significantly downregulated in ERa-positive but not ERα-negative ovarian cancer tissues, compared with normal ovarian epithelium tissue. It was also found that the expression of miR-206 was decreased in ERa-positive ovarian cancer cell lines, CAOV-3 and BG-1, compared with normal ovarian epithelium tissues. This suggests that miR-206 may play a role in ERa-positive ovarian cancer cells via an estrogen-dependent mechanism. Further analysis revealed that  $17\beta$ -E2 treatment significantly promoted cellular proliferation and invasion of estrogen-dependent CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells, which could be reversed by the introduction of miR-206 mimics. In conclusion, the present study suggests that miR-206 has an inhibitory role in estrogen-dependent ovarian cancer cells. Thus, miR-206 may be a promising candidate for the endocrine therapy of ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer.

## Introduction

Ovarian cancer is a common cancer type and is the fifth most frequent cause of cancer mortality in females (1,2). The majority

of ovarian cancer patients are diagnosed in the advanced stages and the recurrence rate is >80% within two years (3). Despite the combination of surgery and chemotherapy, the five-year survival rate for ovarian cancer patients remains poor. Over the last three decades, this has increased from 37 to 45% (4). Therefore, a more effective therapeutic strategy is urgently needed and studies on the underlying molecular mechanisms of ovarian cancer may help improve the treatment.

The ovaries are important endocrine organs, secreting several types of estrogen, mainly 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (E2), which has been reported to play a critical role in ovarian cancer. The biological activity of E2 is mainly mediated by estrogen receptors (ERs)  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . As a result, the dysregulation of ER may result in the development of ovarian cancer.

microRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of endogenous non-coding RNAs which can cause translation inhibition and degradation of their target mRNAs through binding to the target mRNA 3' untranslated region (UTR). miRNAs play important roles in various biological processes, including cell proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, migration and immune responses (5,6). Recently, a study has demonstrated that certain miRNAs have aberrant expression profiles in various cancer types (7). Furthermore, these miRNAs regulate specific critical oncogenes and tumor suppressors and are thus involved in tumorigenesis (8,9). An increasing number of studies on ovarian cancer have revealed that various miRNAs have oncogenic or anti-oncogenic roles, including miR-9, -335, -375 and -10b (10-13).

miR-206 has been reported to act as an important tumor suppressor in several cancers, including gastric, ovarian and colon cancer (14-16). Guo *et al* have previously reported that the expression of miR-206 is dysregulated in CD133<sup>+</sup> ovarian cancer stem cells (17), indicating that miR-206 may play a role in ovarian cancer. Adams *et al* have identified ER $\alpha$  as a direct target of miR-206, which inhibits the mRNA and protein expression of ER $\alpha$  in human ovarian cancer cells (18). Furthermore, expression of miR-206 was shown to be associated with cellular proliferative inhibition and to impair invasion in ER $\alpha$ -positive endometrial carcinoma cells (19). However, the regulatory effect of miR-206 on ovarian cancer, as well as its relationship with ER $\alpha$  in ovarian cancer cells, remains to be studied.

The present study aimed to investigate the roles of miR-206 and  $ER\alpha$ , as well as their regulatory patterns, in ovarian cancer cells.

*Correspondence to:* Dr Shaoru Li, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, 88 Jiankang Road, Weihui, Henan 453100, P.R. China E-mail: lishaoru2013@163.com

*Key words:* ovarian cancer, microRNA-206, estrogen receptor  $\alpha$ , proliferation, invasion

# Materials and methods

*Tissue specimen collection*. All protocols in the study were approved by the Ethics Committee of Xinxiang Medical University (Weihui, China). Informed consent was obtained from each patient in accordance with the guidelines of Xinxiang Medical University. In total, 21 primary ovarian cancer specimens and matched adjacent tissues were collected from patients at the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics (First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University). Patients were diagnosed with primary ovarian cancer and were untreated, with no history of other tumors. All tissues were obtained following surgical removal and immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use.

*Reagents and materials.* Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) was purchased from Gibco-BRL (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Opti-MEM, fetal bovine serum (FBS), TRIzol, TaqMan qRT-PCR miRNA assay kit, RT-PCR kit, Lipofectamine 2000, miR-206 mimics and miR-206 inhibitor were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). MTT was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). SYBR Green qPCR mix was purchased from TOYOBO (Osaka, Japan). Mouse anti-ER $\alpha$ , anti-matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2, anti-MMP9 and GAPDH mono-clonal antibodies, along with rabbit anti-mouse secondary antibody and E2, were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). A 24-well transwell chamber was obtained from Corning Inc. (Corning, NY, USA). Matrigel was obtained from BD Biosciences (Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

*Cell culture*. Human ovarian cancer CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). These cells were maintained in the laboratory and cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS at  $37^{\circ}$ C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

RNA extraction and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis. Total cellular RNA was extracted using TRIzol agent. Following confirmation of the integrity of RNA, cDNA was synthesized from RNA using the RT-PCR kit in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. For the detection of miR-206 expression, TaqMan qPCR miRNA assay kit was used according to the manufacturer's instructions and U6 was used as an endogenous control. For the detection of ERa mRNA expression, qPCR analysis was performed using SYBR Green qPCR mix and specific primers were obtained from Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). The following primers were used for amplification of ER α: sense, 5'-CCCACTCAACAGCGTGTCTC-3' and antisense, 5'-CGTCGATTATCTGAATTTGGCCT-3'. GAPDH was used as an internal control: Sense 5'-ACAACTTTGGTATCGTGGAAGG-3' and antisense, 5'-GCCATCACGCCACAGTTTC-3'. Independent experiments were repeated three times for each sample and the relative expression levels of genes were analyzed by use of the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method.

Western blot analysis. Tissues or cells were solubilized in cold radioimmunoprecipitation lysis buffer. Next, protein

(20 µg per lane) was separated with 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred from the gel to a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked in 5% nonfat dried milk in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)-Tween for 3 h and then incubated overnight with mouse anti-ERa, anti-MMP2, anti-MMP9 or anti-GAPDH monoclonal antibody (1:200, 1:100, 1:100 and 1:400, respectively). Following two washes for 5 min, the membrane was incubated with rabbit anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:20,000) for 40 min at room temperature. Next, immune complexes were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Huyu Company, Shanghai, China). The membrane was scanned for the relative value of protein expression in gray scale by Image-Pro plus software 6.0 (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA). The relative expression levels of protein were represented as the density ratio versus GAPDH

Instruction of subgroup. In cell experiments, four subgroups were established. These were control, E2, E2 + miR-206 and E2 + miRNA negative control (NC) groups. In the E2 group, cells were treated with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h, prior to MTT assay. In the E2 + miR-206 group, cells were transfected with miR-206 mimics using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer's instructions and treated with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h. In the E2 + miRNA NC group, cells were transfected with non-specific miRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 for 24 h and subsequently treated with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h.

*MTT assay.* For all groups, 10,000 cells per well were plated in a 96-well plate. Following treatment, the plates were incubated for 12, 24, 36 or 48 h at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. To assess cell proliferation, an MTT assay was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. In total, 50  $\mu$ l MTT reagent (5 mg/ml) in PBS was added to each well and incubated for 4 h at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Next, the supernatant was removed and 150  $\mu$ l dimethyl sulfoxide was added. The absorbance was detected at 570 nm with a microplate reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Each assay was performed in triplicate wells and repeated three times.

Cell invasion assay. The cell invasion assays were performed in a 24-well transwell chamber which was precoated with 100  $\mu$ g Matrigel. Cells in each group were collected and resuspended in serum-free DMEM at a concentration of 10,000 cells/ml. Next, 0.2 ml cell suspensions were added into the upper chamber and the bottom chamber was filled with 0.5 ml DMEM containing 10% FBS. Following incubation for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, a cotton bud was used to remove the cells which had not passed through the polycarbonate membrane. Next, the cells that had passed through and adhered to the bottom were stained with trypan blue for 15 min and were subsequently photographed and counted.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 17.0 statistical software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. The data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.



Figure 1. Expression of miR-206 and ER $\alpha$  in ovarian cancer tissues and cell lines. (A) Western blotting was performed to examine the protein expression of ER $\alpha$  in 15 ovarian cancer samples. GADPH was used as an internal reference. The relative protein level of ER $\alpha$  was determined by the grey value of ER $\alpha$ /GADPH. Samples with grey values of ER $\alpha$ /GADPH >0.1 were grouped into the ER $\alpha$ -positive group, while the rest were grouped into the ER $\alpha$ -negative group. (B) qPCR was performed to determine the expression levels of miR-206 in (B) ER $\alpha$ -negative and ER $\alpha$ -positive cancer tissues and (C) CAOV3 and BG-1 cell lines reported to contain ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells. U6 was used as an internal reference (\*\*P<0.01, vs. ER $\alpha$ -negative group). NC, negative control group; miR, microRNA; ER $\alpha$ , estrogen receptor  $\alpha$ ; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

### Results

Expression of miR-206 is downregulated in ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer tissues and two ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cell lines. Firstly, the protein expression level of ER $\alpha$  was measured in 15 ovarian cancer tissue samples. As shown in Fig. 1A, 4 cases (nos. 7-9,15) showed high ER $\alpha$  protein expression and 6 cases (nos. 4-6,10,12,14) showed moderate ER $\alpha$ expression. These were grouped into the ER $\alpha$ -positive group. However, 4 cases (nos. 1-3,13) showed almost no expression of ER $\alpha$  and were grouped into the ER $\alpha$ -negative group.

To preliminarily investigate the role of miR-206 in ovarian cancer, qPCR was used to determine the miR-206 expression levels in ER $\alpha$ -positive and -negative human ovarian cancer tissues. As shown in Fig. 1B, miR-206 levels were much lower in the ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer tissues compared with those in ER $\alpha$ -negative tissues.

Next, the miR-206 expression levels were analyzed in the ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer lines, CAOV-3 and BG-1. Consistent with the aforementioned findings (20), CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells also showed a lower level of miR-206 compared with ER $\alpha$ -negative ovarian cancer tissues (Fig. 1C). These results indicate that miR-206 may play a role in ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer but not in ER $\alpha$ -negative ovarian cancer.

Introduction of miR-206 suppresses protein expression of ERa in CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells. It has been reported that ERa is directly targeted by miR-206. As a result, to further investigate the role of miR-206 and the association with ERa in ovarian cancer, CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells were transfected with miR-206 mimics. Non-specific miRNA mimics were used as an NC. Following transfection, the expression level of miR-206 in each group was determined. As shown in Fig. 2A, the expression level of miR-206 was significantly increased following transfection with miR-206 mimics, compared with that in the control and NC groups. These data suggest that miR-206 was successfully introduced into CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells. Next, the mRNA and protein expression of ER $\alpha$  was measured. As shown in Fig. 2B, following transfection of CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells with miR-206 mimics, the mRNA levels of ER $\alpha$  were unchanged. However, ER $\alpha$  protein expression was significantly downregulated. As miRNA generally binds to the 3' UTR of target mRNAs, results of this study indicate that miR-206 inhibits ER $\alpha$  expression at the post-transcriptional level.

miR-206 inhibits E2-induced cellular proliferation of ERa-positive ovarian cancer cells. The human ovarian cell lines, CAOV-3 and BG-1, have been shown to be ERa-positive and thus, ER-dependent (21,22). As a result, E2 was used to activate the ER-dependent signaling pathway for cellular growth. As hypothesized, treatment with E2 significantly upregulated cellular proliferation of CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 3). miR-206 or non-specific miRNA mimics were further transfected into CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells, and it was found that the introduction of miR-206 mimics markedly inhibited E2-induced proliferation of CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells, while non-specific miRNA had no effect. Based on these findings, we hypothesize that miR-206 suppresses E2-induced cellular proliferation of ERa-positive ovarian cancer cells, by inhibiting the protein levels of ERa.

MiR-206 inhibits E2-induced cellular invasion of ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells. The ER-dependent signaling pathway has also been reported to be involved in cellular invasion of ER-dependent ovarian cancer cells (23). Thus, the effect of miR-206 on E2-induced invasion of CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells was analyzed. As shown in Fig. 4, treatment with E2 significantly promoted invasion of CAOV-3 and BG-1 cells. However, the introduction of miR-206 mimics effectively reversed it, while non-specific miRNA did not inhibit E2-stimulated cellular invasion. These data suggest that miR-206 downregulates E2-induced cellular invasion of ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells, in part, through inhibition of the protein expression of ER $\alpha$ .



Figure 2. The effects of the transfection of miR-206 mimics on ER $\alpha$  expression in CAOV3 and BG-1 cells. (A) Following transfection of miR-206 mimics into CAOV3 and BG-1 cells, the miR-206 level was determined by qPCR. U6 was used as internal reference. (B) Following transfection of miR-206 mimics into CAOV3 and BG-1 cells, the mRNA expression of ER $\alpha$  was determined by qPCR. GAPDH was used as an internal reference. (C) Following transfection of miR-206 mimics into CAOV3 and BG-1 cells, the protein expression of ER $\alpha$  was determined by western blotting. GAPDH was used as an internal reference. The relative protein level of ER $\alpha$  was determined by the grey value of ER $\alpha$ /GADPH (\*P<0.01, vs. control). Control, cells without transfection with miRNA mimics; NC, cells transfected with non-specific miRNA mimics as negative control; miR-206, cells transfected with miR-206 mimics. miR, microRNA; ER $\alpha$ , estrogen receptor  $\alpha$ ; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction.



Figure 3. miR-206 inhibits E2-induced cellular proliferation of ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells. MTT assay was used to determine the cellular proliferation rate in (A) CAOV-3 and (B) BG-1 cells following culture for 12, 24, 36 or 48 h, respectively. Control, cells without any treatment; E2, cells treated with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with miR-206 mimics for 24 h and treated with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; CAUP cells transfected with miR-206 mimics for 24 h and treated with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h. OD, optical density; NC, negative control; miR, microRNA; ER $\alpha$ , estrogen receptor  $\alpha$ .

It has been reported that E2 may increase mRNA and protein expression of MMP2 and MMP9 (24), which are key enzymes involved in cellular invasion (25). Thus, to further study the molecular mechanisms by which miR-206 suppresses E2-stimulated cell invasion in ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells, the ability of miR-206 to inhibit E2-induced upregulation of MMP2 and MMP9 was assessed. As shown in Fig. 4B, the expression levels of MMP2 and MMP9 were significantly upregulated in the E2 group compared with those in the control group. However, the introduction of miR-206 mimics effectively reversed this change and decreased the mRNA and protein expression of MMP9, while the introduction of non-specific miRNA did not. These findings suggest that MMP2 and MMP9 are downstream effectors of the miR-206-mediated inhibition of E2-induced invasion in ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells.

#### Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to demonstrate downregulation of the expression of miR-206 in ER $\alpha$ -positive, but not ER $\alpha$ -negative ovarian cancer tissues. In addition, an inhibitory effect of miR-206 on ER $\alpha$  in ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cell lines, OVCAR3 and SKOV3, was found. Furthermore, the introduction of miR-206 into ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells inhibited E2-induced cellular proliferation and invasion, at least in part via direct suppression of the expression of ER $\alpha$ .

Ovarian cancer is generally acknowledged as estrogen related. A meta-analysis of 2,500 patients revealed that 67% of primary ovarian cancers expressed ER which could be activated by E2, the main estrogen type secreted by the ovaries.



Figure 4. miR-206 inhibited E2-induced cellular invasion of ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells. (A) Transwell assay was performed to determine the invasion capacity in each group following incubation for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Following staining, five fields were randomly selected and the number of cells were counted. The relative invasion rate in each group was determined by the avarage number of cells per field (\*P<0.01, vs. control group). (B) The relative mRNA expression of MMP2 and MMP9 was determined by qPCR. GAPDH was used as an internal reference (\*P<0.05 and \*\*P<0.01, vs. control group. (C) Western blotting was used to determine the protein expression of MMP2 and MMP9 in each group. GAPDH was used as an internal reference. The relative protein level of ER $\alpha$  was determined by the grey value of ER $\alpha$ /GADPH (P<0.05 and \*\*P<0.01, vs. control group). Control, cells without any treatment; E2, cells treated with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with miR-206 mimics for 24 h and treated with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h; E2 + miR-206, cells transfected with E2 at a concentration of 10 nM for 24 h. NC, negative control; miR, microRNA; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase, ER $\alpha$ , estrogen receptor  $\alpha$ ; qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

E2 has been found to be involved in the etiology of ovarian cancer and to drive cellular proliferation *in vitro* and *in vivo* via ER $\alpha$ . Thus, E2 was used to activate the ER $\alpha$ -mediated cellular proliferation and invasion, and the therapeutic effect of miR-206 on E2-induced biological processes in ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells was explored.

Previous studies have reported that miR-206 is a tumor suppressor. Recently, a number of studies have demonstrated that the expression of miR-206 is downregulated in several cancer types, including gastric, breast, colon and laryngeal cancer, endometrioid adenocarcinoma and rhabdomyosarcoma (15-17,19,26,27). Yang *et al* previously found that the downregulation of miR-206 significantly correlates with tumor progression, and suggested that miR-206 is a potent prognostic marker of gastric cancer (14). Consistent with these findings, the present study supports the hypothesis that forced overexpression of miR-206 may effectively downregulate proliferation and invasion in ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells. In addition, these anti-proliferative and anti-invasion capacities may be explained by the direct inhibitory effect of miR-206 on ER $\alpha$  protein expression. Li *et al* showed that miR-206 was downregulated in 93% of breast cancer tissues compared with matched normal adjacent tissues, indicating that miR-206 may be a novel prognostic marker for breast cancer (15). In addition, Chen *et al* suggested that forced expression of miR-206 may be associated with cellular proliferative inhibition and impaired invasion in ER $\alpha$ -positive endometrioid adenocarcinoma (19). Notably, like the ovaries, the mammary glands and endometrium also express high levels of ER $\alpha$ . Thus, based on results of previous studies and those of the present study, we hypothesize that there is a common regulatory mechanism involving miR-206 in organs expressing ER $\alpha$  at high levels.

The molecular mechanisms involved in the miR-206-mediated inhibition of E2-induced invasion *in vitro* were also studied, showing that MMP2 and MMP9 were downregulated by miR-206 in E2-treated OVCAR3 and SKOV3 cell lines. It is well established that MMP2 and MMP9 play crucial roles in the regulation of tumor invasion, metastasis, and angiogenesis (25,28). Merlo *et al* have demonstrated that E2 is able to increase the mRNA and protein expression of MMP2 and MMP9, as well as the levels of the active forms of the two enzymes released in the medium (24). However, no study to date has reported that miR-206 suppresses the expression of MMP2 and MMP9 stimulated by estrogen. Thus, the present study suggests, for the first time, that these two enzymes may act as downstream effectors of the miR-206-mediated inhibition of E2-induced invasion in ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells.

In conclusion, the present study has demonstrated that the expression level of miR-206 is significantly downregulated in ER $\alpha$ -positive human ovarian cancer tissues. In addition, introduction of miR-206 into ER $\alpha$ -positive ovarian cancer cells was shown to inhibit E2-induced cellular proliferation and invasion. Thus, these results indicate that miR-206 may be a promising candidate for endocrine therapy targeting ER $\alpha$ -positive human ovarian cancer.

#### References

- 1. Romero I and Bast RC Jr: Minireview: human ovarian cancer: biology, current management, and paths to personalizing therapy. Endocrinology 153: 1593-1602, 2012.
- 2. Choi JH, Wong AS, Huang HF and Leung PC: Gonadotropins and ovarian cancer. Endocr Rev 28: 440-461, 2007.
- Tummala MK and McGuire WP: Recurrent ovarian cancer. Clin Adv Hematol Oncol 3: 723-736, 2005.
- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Hao Y, Xu J and Thun MJ: Cancer statistics, 2009. CA Cancer J Clin 59: 225-249, 2009.
- 5. Cullen BR: MicroRNAs as mediators of viral evasion of the immune system. Nat Immunol 14: 205-210, 2013.
- Wei Y, Schober A and Weber C: Pathogenic arterial remodeling: the good and bad of microRNAs. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 304: H1050-H1059, 2013.
- 7. Itesako T, Seki N, Yoshino H, *et al*: The microRNA expression signature of bladder cancer by deep sequencing: the functional significance of the miR-195/497 cluster. PLoS One 9: e84311, 2014.
- Xu YZ, Xi QH, Ge WL and Zhang XQ: Identification of serum microRNA-21 as a biomarker for early detection and prognosis in human epithelial ovarian cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 14: 1057-1060, 2013.
- 9. Laddha SV, Nayak S, Paul D, *et al*: Genome-wide analysis reveals downregulation of miR-379/miR-656 cluster in human cancers. Biol Direct 8: 10, 2013.
- 10. Tang H, Yao L, Tao X, *et al*: miR-9 functions as a tumor suppressor in ovarian serous carcinoma by targeting TLN1. Int J Mol Med 32: 381-388, 2013.
- 11. Cao J, Cai J, Huang D, et al: miR-335 represents an invasion suppressor gene in ovarian cancer by targeting Bcl-w. Oncol Rep 30: 701-706, 2013.
- 12. Shao X, Mei W, Weng W, et al: Mir-375 enhances ruthenium-derived compound Rawq01 induced cell death in human ovarian cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 6: 1095-1102, 2013.

- Nakayama I, Shibazaki M, Yashima-Abo A, *et al*: Loss of HOXD10 expression induced by upregulation of miR-10b accelerates the migration and invasion activities of ovarian cancer cells. Int J Oncol 43: 63-71, 2013.
- Yang Q, Zhang C, Huang B, et al: Downregulation of microRNA-206 is a potent prognostic marker for patients with gastric cancer. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 25: 953-957, 2013.
- 15. Li Y, Hong F and Yu Z: Decreased expression of microRNA-206 in breast cancer and its association with disease characteristics and patient survival. J Int Med Res 41: 596-602, 2013.
- 16. Parasramka MA, Dashwood WM, Wang R, et al: A role for low-abundance miRNAs in colon cancer: the miR-206/Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) axis. Clin Epigenetics 4: 16, 2012.
- Guo R, Wu Q, Liu F and Wang Y: Description of the CD133<sup>+</sup> subpopulation of the human ovarian cancer cell line OVCAR3. Oncol Rep 25: 141-146, 2011.
- Adams BD, Furneaux H and White BA: The micro-ribonucleic acid (miRNA) miR-206 targets the human estrogen receptor-alpha (ERalpha) and represses ERalpha messenger RNA and protein expression in breast cancer cell lines. Mol Endocrinol 21: 1132-1147, 2007.
- Chen X, Yan Q, Li S. *et al*: Expression of the tumor suppressor miR-206 is associated with cellular proliferative inhibition and impairs invasion in ERα-positive endometrioid adenocarcinoma. Cancer Lett 314: 41-53, 2012.
- 20. Pan Q, Luo X, Toloubeydokhti T and Chegini N: The expression profile of micro-RNA in endometrium and endometriosis and the influence of ovarian steroids on their expression. Mol Hum Reprod 13: 797-806, 2007.
- Park MA, Hwang KA, Lee HR, Yi BR, Jeung EB and Choi KC: Benzophenone-1 stimulated the growth of BG-1 ovarian cancer cells by cell cycle regulation via an estrogen receptor alpha-mediated signaling pathway in cellular and xenograft mouse models. Toxicology 305: 41-48, 2013.
  Kimura A, Ohmichi M, Kawagoe J, *et al*: Induction of hTERT
- Kimura A, Ohmichi M, Kawagoe J, *et al*: Induction of hTERT expression and phosphorylation by estrogen via Akt cascade in human ovarian cancer cell lines. Oncogene 23: 4505-4515, 2004.
- 23. Zhu J, Lu X, Hua KQ, Sun H, Yu YH and Feng YJ: Oestrogen receptor α mediates 17β-estradiol enhancement of ovarian cancer cell motility through up-regulation of survivin expression. Arch Gynecol Obstet 286: 729-737, 2012.
- Merlo S and Sortino MA: Estrogen activates matrix metalloproteinases-2 and -9 to increase beta amyloid degradation. Mol Cell Neurosci 49: 423-429, 2012.
- 25. Shuman Moss LA, Jensen-Taubman S and Stetler-Stevenson WG: Matrix metalloproteinases: changing roles in tumor progression and metastasis. Am J Pathol 181: 1895-1899, 2012.
- 26. Macquarrie KL, Yao Z, Young JM, Cao Y and Tapscott SJ: miR-206 integrates multiple components of differentiation pathways to control the transition from growth to differentiation in rhabdomyosarcoma cells. Skelet Muscle 2: 7, 2012.
- Zhang T, Liu M, Wang C, Lin C, Sun Y and Jin D: Down-regulation of MiR-206 promotes proliferation and invasion of laryngeal cancer by regulating VEGF expression. Anticancer Res 31: 3859-3863, 2011.
- Artacho-Cordón F, Rios-Arrabal S, Lara PC, Artacho-Cordón A, Calvente I and Núñez MI: Matrix metalloproteinases: potential therapy to prevent the development of second malignancies after breast radiotherapy. Surg Oncol 21: e143-e151, 2012.