Gastric ulcer patients are more susceptible to developing gastric cancer compared with concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcer patients

JUN-BO HONG 1* , WEI ZUO 2* , AN-JIANG WANG 1 , SHAN XU 3 , LU-XIA TU 3 , YOU-XIANG CHEN 1 , XUAN ZHU 1 and NONG-HUA LU 1

Departments of ¹Gastroenterology, ²Respiratory Medicine and ³Pathology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006, P.R. China

Received February 28, 2014; Accepted September 11, 2014

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2014.2583

Abstract. Intestinal metaplasia (IM) and dysplasia are precancerous lesions of gastric cancer (GC); however, the prevalence of IM and dysplasia in patients exhibiting single gastric ulcer (GU) and concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcer (CGDU) varies. In the present study consecutive patients who had undergone esophagogastroduodenal endoscopy were retrospectively screened, and those presenting with GU or CGDU were further evaluated for IM and dysplasia. Patients diagnosed with GC or lymphoma and patients with a history of anti-Helicobacter pylori, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medicine (NSAIM), H₂-receptor antagonist or proton pump inhibitor therapy, were excluded from the present study. Of the 204,073 consecutively screened cases, 8,855 (4.3%) and 2,397 (1.2%) were diagnosed with GU and CGDU, respectively. A total of 1,722 GU and 233 CGDU patients were excluded; thus, 7,133 and 2,164 cases of GU and CGDU, respectively (n=9,297), were included in the present study. IM and dysplasia were observed in 1,348 (14.5%) and 210 (2.3%) patients, respectively. IM was more frequently identified in GU patients compared with CGDU patients (16.4 vs. 8.3%; odds ratio [OR], 2.158; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.830-2.545; χ^2 =86.932; P<0.001); furthermore, GU patients exhibited significantly more frequent IM compared with CGDU patients at the gastric antrum (14.2 vs. 5.5%; OR, 2.818; 95% CI, 2.199-3.610; χ^2 =72.299; P<0.001), gastric incisura (24.0 vs. 14.1%; OR,

Correspondence to: Professor Nong-Hua Lu, Department of Gastroenterology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, 17 Yongwai Zheng Street, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330006, P.R. China

E-mail: allen2005066@sohu.com

*Contributed equally

Key words: concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcers, gastric ulcer, intestinal metaplasia, dysplasia, gastric cancer

1.922; 95% CI, 1.502-2.432; χ^2 =30.402; P<0.001) and gastric corpus (12.6 vs. 3.3%; OR, 4.259; 95% CI, 1.030-17.609; χ^2 =4.736; P=0.026). Dysplasia was significantly more frequently identified in GU patients compared with CGDU patients (2.7 vs. 0.7%; OR, 4.027; 95% CI, 2.376-6.823; χ^2 =31.315; P<0.001), with GU patients exhibiting significantly more severe dysplasia at the gastric antrum $(2.4 \text{ vs. } 0.7\%; \text{ OR}, 3.339; 95\% \text{ CI}, 1.735-6.425; }\chi^2=14.652;$ P<0.001) and the gastric incisura (2.9 vs. 0.7%; OR, 4.255; 95% CI, 1.694-10.689; χ^2 =11.229; P<0.001). Additionally, mild IM was more frequently identified in GU patients compared with CGDU patients (15.2 vs. 7.1%; OR, 2.353; 95% CI, 1.972-2.807; χ^2 =94.798; P<0.001) and dysplasia of a mild (1.7 vs. 0.6%; OR, 2.807; 95% CI, 1.580-4.987; χ^2 =13.519; P<0.001) or moderate/severe grade (1.1 vs. 0.09%; OR, 11.642; 95% CI, 2.857-47.439; χ²=18.896; P<0.001) was more frequent in GU patients compared with CGDU patients. IM and dysplasia were more frequently observed in GU compared with CGDU patients in the present study, which may be associated with an increased probability of developing GC.

Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the leading causes of cancer-related mortality globally, and is the second and fourth most commonly diagnosed cancer in males and females in China, respectively (1,2). Intestinal-type GC develops via a well-defined cascade of precursors: Inflammation, atrophy, intestinal metaplasia (IM), dysplasia and, finally, carcinoma (3). It has been demonstrated that within five years of diagnosis of these precursors, the annual incidence of GC is 0.1, 0.25, 0.6, and 6.0% in atrophic gastritis, IM, mild-to-moderate dysplasia and severe dysplasia patients, respectively (4). Patients exhibiting IM are considered to be at a significantly elevated risk of developing GC (5,6); GC was identified to develop >10.9 times more frequently in the presence of IM compared with in the absence of IM (7). In addition, severe dysplasia patients exhibit a propensity to coexist with or progress to adenocarcinoma (8). These findings indicate that IM and dysplasia are precancerous lesions of GC (9), and may be important markers of the development of GC.

Gastric ulcer (GU) and duodenal ulcer (DU) are types of peptic ulcer disease (PUD). Previous studies have indicated that GU is a precancerous condition of GC (10), that a history of GU confers an increased risk of GC (11), that IM is commonly identified in GU patients (12), and that low- and high-grade dysplasia may develop into adenocarcinoma (13), thus, cases of IM and dysplasia in the presence of GU should be investigated. Furthermore, the risk of non-cardia GC decreased by half in DU patients that had not undergone surgery when compared with DU patients who had received surgery, whilst the risk of non-cardia GC doubled in GU patients that did not undergo surgery compared with GU patients who had received surgery (14). The long-term risk of GC development among patients exhibiting DU was identified to be significantly lower than in patients exhibiting GU (15). Furthermore, an inverse association was identified between the rate of GC and DU incidence (16,17). These findings indicate that DU may be an important protective factor against GC; however, to date, the underlying mechanisms remain unclear. Certain studies have revealed that DU is associated with a reduced risk of histological progression in IM and have identified DU as an independent protective factor against IM progression (18,19). However, the association between DU and dysplasia has not been well reported.

Concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcer (CGDU) disease is a type of PUD characterized by the coexistence of GU and DU. However, the prevalence of IM and dysplasia in CGDU patients and the differences between the histological alterations in GU and CGDU have not yet been elucidated using large cohort studies.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to determine the underlying mechanisms associated with the incidence of GC in patients with GU and CGDU by demonstrating disparities in the prevalence of IM and dysplasia in GU and CGDU patients.

Patients and methods

Inclusion and exclusion criteria. Screening of consecutive patients who underwent esophagogastroduodenal endoscopy due to upper gastrointestinal symptoms at the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University (Nanchang, China) between January 2002 and August 2011 was undertaken. Those who were diagnosed with GU or CGDU were recruited for further analysis. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Nanchang University and informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Endoscopy was used to identify ulcers in the stomach and duodenum; active stage ulcers were identified by a mucosal break (diameter, ≥ 5 mm) (20) and by evidence of scarring or deformity. GU was diagnosed by the presence of ulcers in the stomach (between the cardia and the pylorus) and CGDU was diagnosed by the presence of ulcers in the stomach and duodenum regardless of complications (for example, gastrointestinal bleeding and perforation). Patients with a history of anti-Helicobacter pylori therapy, or treatment with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medicines (NSAIMs) during the previous three months and H_2 -receptor antagonists

Table I. Exclusion criteria.

	Patients, n		
Criterion	GU	CGDU	
Gastric cancer	697	11	
Lymphoma	32	0	
History of anti- <i>H. pylori</i> therapy	257	43	
Treatment with NSAIMs,			
H ₂ -receptor antagonists			
or proton pump inhibitors	736	179	

GU, gastric ulcer; CGDU, concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcer; *H. pylori*, *Helicobacter pylori*; NSAIMs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medicines.

Table II. Patient characteristics.

Variable	Patients, n (%)	
Disease		
Gastric ulcer	7133 (76.7)	
Concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcer	2164 (23.3)	
Gender		
Male	6775 (72.9)	
Female	2522 (27.1)	
Intestinal metaplasia	1348 (14.5)	
Dysplasia	210 (2.3)	

or proton pump inhibitors during the previous four weeks, were excluded from the present study. The present study also excluded patients with a histologically determined diagnosis of GC or lymphoma.

Since a number of patients underwent more than one endoscopy during the investigation period, the index endoscopy was defined as the initial endoscopy. However, when a patient exhibited CGDU/GU and/or IM/dysplasia the index endoscopy was defined as the first endoscopy at which CGDU/GU and/or IM/dysplasia was diagnosed. Therefore, each patient was represented by a single index endoscopy (21).

Histological examinations. During endoscopic examination, biopsies were obtained from ulcer-free regions of the stomach (gastric antrum, n=2; gastric body, n=2; incisura angularis, n=1) and the periphery of each ulcer (n≥2). Histological examinations were performed by pathologists at the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, and the Sydney System (22) was used to determine histological changes, including chronic and active inflammation, lymphoid aggregates or follicles, atrophy and, particularly, IM, dysplasia and grading (22).

Statistical analysis. The data are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation, a percentage or a rate. The χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test with odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI)

Table III. Prevalence of IM at various gastric sites in GU and CGDU patients.

	GU,	n (%)	CGDU	J, n (%)		
Gastric site	IM	No IM	IM	No IM	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value
Antrum	563 (14.2)	3410 (85.8)	76 (5.5)	1297 (94.5)	2.818 (2.199-3.610)	<0.001
Incisura	442 (24.0)	1402 (76.0)	102 (14.1)	622 (85.9)	1.922 (1.502-2.432)	< 0.001
Corpus	151 (12.6)	1046 (87.4)	2 (3.3)	59 (96.7)	4.259 (1.030-17.609)	0.026
Fundus	4 (6.3)	59 (93.7)	0 (0.0)	5 (100)	0.937 (0.878-0.999)	1.000
Cardia	8 (14.3)	48 (85.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (100)	0.857 (0.770-0.954)	1.000
Total	1168 (16.4)	5965 (83.6)	180 (8.3)	1984 (91.7)	2.158 (1.830-2.545)	< 0.001

IM, intestinal metaplasia; GU, gastric ulcer; CGDU, concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcer; n, number of patients; CI, confidence interval. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Table IV. Prevalence of dysplasia at various gastric sites in GU and CGDU patients.

	GU	, n (%)	CGD	U, n (%)		
Gastric site	Dysplasia	No dysplasia	Dysplasia	No dysplasia	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value
Antrum	95 (2.4)	3878 (97.6)	10 (0.7)	1363 (99.3)	3.339 (1.735-6.425)	<0.001
Incisura	53 (2.9)	1791 (97.1)	5 (0.7)	719 (99.3)	4.255 (1.694-10.689)	0.001
Corpus	44 (3.7)	1153 (96.3)	0 (0.0)	61 (100)	0.963 (0.953-0.974)	0.268
Fundus	1 (1.6)	62 (98.4)	0 (0.0)	5 (100)	0.984 (0.954-1.105)	1.000
Cardia	2 (3.6)	54 (96.4)	0 (0.0)	1 (100)	0.964 (0.917-1.104)	0.965
Total	195 (2.7)	6938 (97.3)	15 (0.7)	2149 (99.3)	4.027 (2.376-6.823)	< 0.001

GU, gastric ulcer; CGDU, concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcer; CI, confidence interval. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

were used to determine differences in the categorical variables. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference and all reported P-values were two-tailed.

Results

Patients. Out of 204,073 consecutive cases, 8,855 (4.3%) and 2,397 (1.2%) were diagnosed with GU and CGDU, respectively. Of the 8,855 GU patients, 1,722 patients were excluded due to GC (n=697) or lymphoma (n=32) diagnosis, a history of anti-H. pylori therapy (n=257) and treatment with NSAIMs, H₂-receptor antagonists or proton pump inhibitors (n=736). In addition, of the 2,397 CGDU patients, 233 were excluded due to GC diagnosis (n=11), a history of anti-H. pylori therapy (n=43) and treatment with NSAIMs, H₂-receptor antagonists or proton pump inhibitors (n=179; Table I). Thus, 9,297 cases (GU, 7133 and CGDU, 2,164; male, 6,775 and female, 2,522; mean age, 45.8±12.1 years) were included in the present study (Table II).

Prevalence of IM at various sites in GU and CGDU patients. Histological examination diagnosed IM in 1,348 patients

(overall prevalence, 14.5%; Table II). The incidence rate (IR) of IM was significantly higher in GU patients compared with in CGDU patients (16.4 vs. 8.3%; OR, 2.158; 95% CI, 1.830-2.545; χ^2 =86.932; P<0.001). Furthermore, compared with CGDU patients, GU patients exhibited a significantly higher frequency of IM at the gastric antrum (14.2 vs. 5.5%; OR, 2.818; 95% CI, 2.199-3.610; χ^2 =72.299; P<0.001), gastric incisura (24.0 vs. 14.1%; OR, 1.922; 95% CI, 1.502-2.432; χ^2 =30.402; P<0.001) and gastric corpus (12.6 vs. 3.3%; OR, 4.259; 95% CI, 1.030-17.609; χ^2 =4.736; P=0.026). However, no difference in the prevalence of IM was identified between GU and CGDU patients at the gastric corpus, fundus or cardia (Table III).

Prevalence of dysplasia at various sites in GU and CGDU patients. Dysplasia was detected in 210 patients (overall prevalence 2.3%; Table I). The IR of dysplasia was significantly higher in GU patients compared with in CGDU patients (2.7 vs. 0.7%; OR, 4.027; 95% CI, 2.376-6.823; χ^2 =31.315; P<0.001). Furthermore, compared with CGDU patients, GU patients exhibited a significantly higher frequency of dysplasia at the gastric antrum (2.4 vs. 0.7%; OR, 3.339; 95% CI, 1.735-6.425; χ^2 =14.652; P<0.001) and incisura (2.9 vs. 0.7%; OR, 4.255; 95% CI, 1.694-10.689; χ^2 =11.229;

Table V. IM and dysplasia grading in GU (n=7,133) and CGDU (n=2,164) patients.

IM, n (%)			Dysplasia, n (%)					
Grade	GU	CGDU	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	GU	CGDU	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value
Mild Moderate/	1083 (15.2)	153 (7.1)	2.353 (1.972-2.807)	<0.001	119 (1.7)	13 (0.6)	2.807 (1.580-4.987)	<0.001
severe	85 (1.2) ^a	27 (1.2) ^b	0.950 (0.614-1.468)	0.822	76 (1.1) ^c	2 (0.09) ^d	11.642 (2.857-47.439)	< 0.001

Including asix cases of severe IM; three cases of severe IM; 47 cases of severe dysplasia; and one case of severe dysplasia. IM, intestinal metaplasia; GU, gastric ulcer; CGDU, concomitant gastric and duodenal ulcer; n, number of patients; CI, confidence interval.

P<0.001). However, no difference in the prevalence of dysplasia was identified between GU and CGDU patients at the gastric corpus, fundus or cardia (Table IV).

Grading of IM and dysplasia in GU and CGDU patients. Mild IM was more frequently detected in GU compared with CGDU patients (15.2 vs. 7.1%; OR, 2.353; 95% CI, 1.972-2.807; χ^2 =94.798; P<0.001); however, no difference was identified in patients with moderate/severe IM (1.2 vs. 1.2%; OR, 0.950; 95% CI, 0.614-1.468; χ^2 =0.054; P=0.822). The frequency of mild (1.7 vs. 0.6%; OR, 2.807; 95% CI, 1.580-4.987; χ^2 =13.519; P<0.001) or moderate/severe (1.1 vs. 0.09%; OR, 11.642; 95% CI, 2.857-47.439; χ^2 =18.896; P<0.001) dysplasia was significantly higher in GU compared with CGDU patients (Table V).

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that IM and dysplasia were present in 14.5 and 2.3% of patients, respectively. The prevalence of IM and dysplasia in GU patients was significantly higher compared with CGDU patients, indicating that GU patients were more likely to develop GC, whilst the IR of GC may decline in DU patients.

The prevalence of IM and dysplasia in PUD patients has not been well investigated, although it has been identified that in Hong Kong the overall prevalence of IM was 9.4%, with 13.9 and 5.9% of *H. pylori*-positive and *H. pylori*-negative patients, respectively, exhibiting IM (23). Additionally, IM was identified at the highest frequency in GU patients (12). In the present study, the prevalence of IM/dysplasia was 16.4/2.7% and 8.3/0.7% in patients with GU and CGDU, respectively [overall prevalence (all patients), 14.5/2.3%].

A previous study identified that GC developed in 32 (3.3%) GU patients and three (0.68%) DU patients (15) and the frequency with which GC and DU coexisted was 0.1-1.7% (24), indicating that DU is associated to a lesser extent with GC. However, the underlying mechanisms of the low IR of GC in DU patients and the difference in pathological features between GU patients with or without DU remain unclear. Previously, DU has been associated with a reduced risk of histological progression and was identified as an independent protective factor against IM progression (18,19). In the present study, the prevalence of IM was significantly higher in GU patients compared with in CGDU patients, particularly for mild IM. Furthermore, IM was more frequently detected in

GU patients at the gastric antrum, incisura and corpus. These findings indicate that GU was more likely to be associated with IM than CGDU, which may contribute to the high incidence of GC in GU patients.

Gastric dysplasia represents the penultimate stage of the gastric carcinogenesis sequence, and is thus a direct neoplastic precancerous lesion (12,25,26). In patients undergoing esophagogastroduodenal endoscopy in the Netherlands, 8% exhibited mild-to-moderate dysplasia and 0.6% exhibited severe dysplasia (4). The risk of gastric tumorigenesis increases with the severity of dysplasia (27) and previous studies have indicated that dysplasia of all grades requires further investigation. However, dysplasia has not been investigated sufficiently in patients with GU and CGDU. Thus, the current study demonstrated that the IR of dysplasia of all grades was significantly higher in GU patients compared with CGDU patients, and that the majority of dysplasia was detected in GU patients at the gastric antrum and incisura. The findings of the present study further demonstrate that dysplasia occurs more frequently in GU patients; thus, GU may increase the risk of gastric carcinogenesis.

A limitation of the present study was that sub-analyses for the subtypes of IM (complete vs. incomplete IM) were not performed, although incomplete IM has previously been proposed as a risk factor for GC development (9). Furthermore, various risk factors of GC (for example, *H. pylori* and diet) were not investigated in the present study and no regular follow-up of the patients occurred, preventing insight into the long-term histological consequences (i.e., dysplasia and GC) of the GU and CGDU patients exhibiting IM/dysplasia. Therefore, the clinical significance of the disparities in historical alterations between GU and CGDU patients requires verification in long-term prospective studies.

In conclusion, IM and dysplasia were present in 14.5 and 2.3% of patients, respectively. IM and dysplasia were significantly more prevalent in GU patients compared with CGDU patients, indicating that GU patients were more prone to developing GC compared with CGDU patients due to the low incidence of IM and dysplasia. Further long-term prospective investigations are required to verify these findings.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the endoscopists and pathologists at the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University (Nanchang, China) for their technical expertise and assistance in completing the study. The present study was supported

by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (grant no. 20122BAB215010). The authors would also like to thank the American Journal Experts (Durham, USA) for assisting in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

- Danaei G, Vander Hoorn S, Lopez AD, Murray CJ and Ezzati M; Comparative Risk Assessment collaborating group (Cancers): Causes of cancer in the world: comparative risk assessment of nine behavioural and environmental risk factors. Lancet 366: 1784-1793, 2005.
- Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z and Jemal A: Cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin 64: 9-29, 2014.
- Correa P, Haenszel W, Cuello C, Tannenbaum S and Archer M: A model for gastric cancer epidemiology. Lancet 2: 58-60, 1975.
- 4. de Vries AC, van Grieken NC, Looman CW, Casparie MK, de Vries E, Meijer GA and Kuipers EJ: Gastric cancer risk in patients with premalignant gastric lesions: a nationwide cohort study in the Netherlands. Gastroenterology 134: 945-952, 2008.
- 5. Uemura N, Okamoto S, Yamamoto S, Matsumura N, Yamaguchi S, Yamakido M, Taniyama K, Sasaki N and Schlemper RJ: *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the development of gastric cancer. N Engl J Med 345: 784-789, 2001.
- Naylor GM, Gotoda T, Dixon M, Shimoda T, Gatta L, Owen R, Tompkins D and Axon A: Why does Japan have a high incidence of gastric cancer? Comparison of gastritis between UK and Japanese patients. Gut 55: 1545-1552, 2006.
 Kim N, Park RY, Cho SI, Lim SH, Lee KH, Lee W, Kang HM,
- 7. Kim N, Park RY, Cho SI, Lim SH, Lee KH, Lee W, Kang HM, Lee HS, Jung HC and Song IS: *Helicobacter pylori* infection and development of gastric cancer in Korea: long-term follow-up. J Clin Gastroenterol 42: 448-454, 2008.
- 8. Clouston AD: Timely topic: Premalignant lesions associated with adenocarcinoma of the upper gastrointestinal tract. Pathology 33: 271-277, 2001.
- 9. Dinis-Ribeiro M, Areia M, de Vries AC, Marcos-Pinto R, Monteiro-Soares M, O'Connor A, Pereira C, Pimentel-Nunes P, Correia R, Ensari A, et al; European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; European Helicobacter Study Group; European Society of Pathology; Sociedade Portuguesa de Endoscopia Digestiva: Management of precancerous conditions and lesions in the stomach (MAPS): guideline from the European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE), European Helicobacter Study Group (EHSG), European Society of Pathology (ESP), and the Sociedade Portuguesa de Endoscopia Digestiva (SPED). Endoscopy 44: 74-94, 2012.
- 10. Lv SX, Gan JH, Wang CC, Luo EP, Huang XP, Xie Y and Huang Y: Biopsy from the base of gastric ulcer may find gastric cancer earlier. Med Hypotheses 76: 249-250, 2011.
- 11. Molloy RM and Sonnenberg A: Relation between gastric cancer and previous peptic ulcer disease. Gut 40: 247-252, 1997.
- 12. Eidt S and Stolte M: Prevalence of intestinal metaplasia in *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis. Scand J Gastroenterol 29: 607-610, 1904
- 13. Rugge M, Cassaro M, Di Mario F, Leo G, Leandro G, Russo VM, Pennelli G and Farinati F; Interdisciplinary Group on Gastric Epithelial Dysplasia (IGGED): The long term outcome of gastric non-invasive neoplasia. Gut 52: 1111-1116, 2003.

- Bahmanyar S, Ye W, Dickman PW and Nyrén O: Long-term risk of gastric cancer by subsite in operated and unoperated patients hospitalized for peptic ulcer. Am J Gastroenterol 102: 1185-1191, 2007.
- 15. Ogura M, Yamaji Y, Hikiba Y, Maeda S, Matsumura M, Okano K, Sassa R, Yoshida H, Kawabe T and Omata M: Gastric cancer among peptic ulcer patients: retrospective, long-term follow-up. Dig Liver Dis 38: 811-814, 2006.
- Wong BC, Ching CK, Lam SK, Li ZL, Chen BW, Li YN, Liu HJ, Liu JB, Wang BE, Yuan SZ, et al: Differential north to south gastric cancer-duodenal ulcer gradient in China. China Ulcer Study Group. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 13: 1050-1057, 1998.
- Chen MJ, Wu DC, Ko YC and Chiou YY: Personal history and family history as a predictor of gastric cardiac adenocarcinoma risk: a case-control study in Taiwan. Am J Gastroenterol 99: 1250-1257, 2004.
- Leung WK, Lin SR, Ching JY, To KF, Ng EK, Chan FK, Lau JY and Sung JJ: Factors predicting progression of gastric intestinal metaplasia: results of a randomised trial on *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. Gut 53: 1244-1249, 2004.
- Chang CH, Wu MS, Chang YT, Chang MC, Shun CT, Liu CY and Lin JT: Risk factors for intestinal metaplasia in adult residents of Matzu: a cross-sectional study. J Formos Med Assoc 101: 835-840, 2002.
- 20. Malfertheiner P, Chan FK and McColl KE: Peptic ulcer disease. Lancet 374: 1449-1461, 2009.
- 21. Xia HH, Wong BC, Wong KW, Wong SY, Wong WM, Lai KC, Hu WH, Chan CK and Lam SK: Clinical and endoscopic characteristics of non-*Helicobacter pylori*, non-NSAID duodenal ulcers: a long-term prospective study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 15: 1875-1882, 2001.
- 22. Dixon MF, Genta RM, Yardley JH and Correa P: Classification and grading of gastritis. The updated Sydney System. International Workshop on the Histopathology of Gastritis, Houston 1994. Am J Surg Pathol 20: 1161-1181, 1996.
- 23. Yee YK, Wong KW, Hui CK, Chan CK, Chan AO, Lam SK, Fung FM, Hung I and Wong BC: Prevalence and time trend of intestinal metaplasia in Hong Kong. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 24: 896-899, 2009.
- 24. Ubukata H, Nagata H, Tabuchi T, Konishi S, Kasuga T and Tabuchi T: Why is the coexistence of gastric cancer and duodenal ulcer rare? Examination of factors related to both gastric cancer and duodenal ulcer. Gastric Cancer 14: 4-12, 2011.
- 25. Misdraji J and Lauwers GY: Gastric epithelial dysplasia. Semin Diagn Pathol 19: 20-30, 2002.
- 26. Correa P: Clinical implications of recent developments in gastric cancer pathology and epidemiology. Semin Oncol 12: 2-10, 1985.
- 27. You WC, Li JY, Blot WJ, Chang YS, Jin ML, Gail MH, Zhang L, Liu WD, Ma JL, Hu YR, *et al*: Evolution of precancerous lesions in a rural Chinese population at high risk of gastric cancer. Int J Cancer 83: 615-619, 1999.