Strong expression of chemokine receptor CXCR4 by pancreatic cancer correlates with advanced disease

THOMAS WEHLER^{1*}, FELIX WOLFERT^{2*}, CARL C. SCHIMANSKI^{2,3}, INES GOCKEL^{3,4}, WOLFGANG HERR¹, STEFAN BIESTERFELD⁶, JOACHIM K. SEIFERT⁴, HASSAN ADWAN⁵, MARTIN R. BERGER⁵, THEODOR JUNGINGER⁴, PETER R. GALLE² and MARKUS MOEHLER²

¹Third Department of Internal Medicine; ²First Department of Internal Medicine; ³The Interdisciplinary Translational Oncological Laboratorium (ITOL); ⁴Institute of Surgery, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Mainz; ⁵AG Toxicology and Chemotherapy, German Cancer Research Institute, Heidelberg; ⁶Institute of Pathology, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Mainz, Germany

Received June 26, 2006; Accepted July 27, 2006

Abstract. Certain chemokines have been proposed to distinctly contribute to tumor growth, dissemination and local immune escape. Expression of the chemokine receptor CXCR4 has been linked to tumor progression in diverse tumor entities. The aim of this study was to evaluate if the expression of CXCR4 influences progression of human pancreatic cancer. CXCR4 expression of pancreatic cancer was retrospectively assessed by immunohistochemistry in 103 patients with pancreatic cancer. Intensity of CXCR4 expression was correlated with both tumor and patient characteristics. Human pancreatic cancer revealed variable intensities of CXCR4 expression. Strong CXCR4 expression was significantly associated with advanced UICC stages (P=0.03) and revealed a trend for hematogenous metastasis (P=0.09) and progressed local tumor stages (P=0.15). In summary, strong expression of CXCR4 was significantly associated with advanced pancreatic cancer.

Introduction

Adenocarcinoma of the pancreas is the fourth to fifth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the Western world (1,2). The peak incidence is in the 65-75-year age group (3), and most patients present with advanced disease, resulting in low

Correspondence to: Dr Carl C. Schimanski, First Department of Internal Medicine, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Langenbeckstrasse 1, 55101 Mainz, Germany E-mail: dr_schimanski@yahoo.de

*Contributed equally

resection rates between 2.6-9% (4-6). The late presentation is responsible for the overall median survival of <6 months and 5-year survival rate of 0.4-5% (5,6).

Ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas is the most common epithelial exocrine pancreatic tumor and accounts for >85% of all malignant pancreatic tumors. Tumors (80-90%) are located in the head of the gland (7). Pancreatic carcinoma often metastasizes to multiple lymph nodes and to more than one lymph node group. The most common sites for distant intra-abdominal metastases are liver and peritoneum, and the most common extraperitoneal site for metastasis is the lung.

The majority of patients develop disease recurrence within 2 years after resection. Liver metastases frequently develop earlier (after 5-11 months), indicating the presence of micrometastases at the time of surgery, whereas local/lymphatic recurrences tend to appear a little later (after 13 months).

In vivo and *in vitro* results from different tumor entities suggest, that chemokine receptors direct lymphatic and hematogenous spread and furthermore influence sites of metastatic growth (8).

Chemokines and their respective G-protein-coupled receptors were initially described to mediate different proand anti-inflammatory responses (9). In particular, CXCR4 was reported to regulate homing of lymphocytes in inflammatory tissues (10). Its ligand, stromal cell derived factor 1, is being expressed by endothelial cells, biliary epithelial cells and lymph nodes and attracts lymphocytes into those organs by chemotaxis (11-13).

Recently, CXCR4 has shifted into focus as it might play an important role in tumor spread of colorectal, breast and oral squamous cell carcinoma (14-16). Further data from *in vitro* and murine *in vivo* tumor models underlined the key role of CXCR4 for tumor cell malignancy, as activation of CXCR4 by SDF-1 α induced migration, invasion and angiogenesis of cancer cells (17,18).

At present, no data correlated CXCR4 expression and pancreatic cancer progression, although the relevance of CXCR4 activation for pancreatic tumor cell proliferation, adhesion, migration and invasion has been described *in vitro* (17,19). Therefore, we evaluated the expression of CXCR4 in

Abbreviations: CXCR4, chemokine receptor 4; SDF-1a, stem cell derived factor 1a; VCAM, vascular cellular adhesion molecule

Key words: chemokine receptor, cancer, pancreas, metastases

pancreatic cancer cell lines and specimens of ductal adenocarcinoma and correlated these results with the patients' clinicopathological parameters and survival.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. The human pancreatic cancer cell lines BxPc-3, Colo-357, T3M4, MiaPaca, AsPc-1, Capan-1, SU-8686 and Panc-1 were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen, Germany) supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 μ g/ml streptomycin (Cambrex, Germany) and 1 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen, Germany).

Western blot analysis. Tumor cells were washed with PBS and lysed in 0.5% NP-40 solution. Protein (100 μ g) was loaded on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. The gel was transferred onto a PVDF membrane following seperation. The respective proteins were detected with anti-CXCR-4 (1:500, CIO115, Capralogics, USA; 1:1000 donkey anti-goat IgG 2nd antibody SC-2020 by Santa Cruz) and anti-actin (1:1000, A2066, Sigma, Germany; 1:1000 goat anti-rabbit IgG 2nd antibody 170-6515 by Biorad, USA) and were visualized by ECL Western blot analysis system (Amersham Biosciences, USA).

Tissue samples. For retrospective analysis of CXCR4 expression in ductal adenocarcinoma, paraffin-embedded routine samples from the local Institute of Pathology were used, which had been intra-operatively obtained from 90 patients with pancreatic cancer who underwent Whipple surgery at the Department of General and Abdominal Surgery of the University of Mainz and from 13 patients with metastases who underwent endosonographic biopsy of their primary cancer at the First Department of Internal Medicine for routine diagnosis. The morphological classification of the carcinomas was conducted according to the World Health Organization (WHO) specifications. Patients were followed up on a regular basis depending on the procedure performed.

Immunohistochemical staining. The LSAB+ system from DakoCytomation (K0690; DakoCytomation Inc., CA, USA) was used to detect the protein CXCR-4 (anti-CXCR-4, CIO115, dilution 1:300; Capralogics). In brief, samples were exposed to 70°C for 1 h in a humified oven and hereafter deparaffinised. After pre-incubation with hydrogen peroxide (3%) for 5 min and consecutive incubation with human fresh frozen plasma for 1 h, the primary antibodies were applied for 1.5 h at room temperature. After incubation with the secondary antibody (pooled swine anti-goat, anti-mouse, anti-rabbitantibody; LSAB+ kit) for 30 min, the samples were exposed to streptavidin peroxidase for another 30 min and chromogensolution (LSAB+ solution) for 15 min (LSAB+ kit, respectively). Counterstaining was performed with haematoxylin (Sigma, Germany). For negative controls only the secondary antibody was used. A negative control was performed for every pancreatic cancer sample (N=103). For positive controls formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue samples of the human spleen were applied.

Evaluation of immunostaining. Immunostaining was evaluated by three authors independently (F.W., W.S., S.B.), blinded to

Table I. Patient and tumor characteristics.

	Patient characteristics		
Total number	103		
Median age (years)	65		
Gender			
Female	46 (47%)		
Male	57 (53%)		
T-Status			
1	5 (5%)		
2	22 (21%)		
3	67 (65%)		
4	9 (9%)		
N-Status			
0	30 (29%)		
1	70 (68%)		
2	1 (1%)		
Unknown	2 (2%)		
M-Status			
0	91 (88%)		
1	12 (12%)		
Grading			
1	5 (5%)		
2	56 (54%)		
3	37 (36%)		
4	2 (2%)		
Unknown	3 (3%)		
5-year survival	16%		

patient outcome and all clinicopathological findings. The immunohistochemical staining was analyzed according to a scoring method as previously validated and described (14): the tumors were classified into four groups based on the homogeneous staining intensity: 0, absent; 1, weak; 2, intermediate; 3, strong staining. In the case of heterogeneous staining within the same sample, the respective, 0.5 points higher score was chosen, if >50% of cells revealed the higher staining intensity. If evaluations did not agree, specimens were re-evaluated and re-classified according to the assessment given most frequently by the observers.

Statistics. The correlation of CXCR4 staining intensity with clinicopathological patterns was assessed with the χ^2 test and with the unpaired Student's t-test, when appropriate. Survival rates were visualized applying Kaplan-Meier curves, and P-values were determined by log-rank test. P<0.05 was considered significant and P<0.001 highly significant in all statistical analyses.

Results

CXCR4 expression in pancreatic cancer cell lines. CXCR4 expression of pancreatic cancer cell lines revealed varying expression intensities as depicted in Fig. 1A.



Figure 1. (A) Varying expression intensities of CXCR4 in diverse human pancreatic cell lines. (B) Depicts CXCR4 expression in healthy pancreas as well as the respective cytoplasmatic expression grades of CXCR4 (weak, medium and strong) in pancreatic cancer. (C) Distribution of UICC grades dependent on CXCR4 expression status. (D) The probability of survival of pancreatic cancer patients dependent on CXCR4 expression intensity is given in relation to time after histological confirmation.

Tumor characteristics and patient profiles. The selected group of patients represents the typical characteristics of pancreatic cancer in industrialized countries, except for a lower percentage of cases with distant metastases as shown in Table I.

Immunohistochemical staining of CXCR4 in pancreatic cancer. The staining of normal human pancreas for CXCR4 revealed a weak cytoplasmatic, and in only few specimens an additional weak membranous location of CXCR4 (Fig. 1B). A nuclear

	CXCR4 expression			
	Weak (1)	Intermediate (2)	Strong (3)	Statistics
Total number	10 (10%)	63 (61%)	30 (29%)	
Median age (years)	66	Ď	65	NS
Gender				
Female	36	Ď	10	NS (P=0.13)
Male	37	,	20	
T-Status				
1+2	22		5	NS (P=0.15)
3+4	51		25	
N-Status ^a				
0	23	}	7	NS
+	48	}	23	
M-Status				
0	67	1	24	NS (P=0.09)
+	6)	6	
UICC-Status				
1+2	64		21	P=0.03
3+4	9)	9	
Grading 1				
1+2	42	2	19	NS
3+4	28	}	11	
5-year survival	189	%	15%	NS

Table II. Patient and tumor characteristics	ependent on intensit	y of CXCR4 exp	ression
---	----------------------	----------------	---------

^aN-status could not be obtained from two patients, whereas grading could not be obtained for three patients. NS, not significant.

staining of CXCR4 was not observed. Similarly, a predominantly cytoplasmatic location was observed in human pancreatic cancer. The respective expression rate for CXCR4 was 100% and varied from weak (10%), intermediate (61%) to strong (30%). Negative controls of human pancreatic cancer remained negative for all tissue samples (Fig. 1B). As positive control, splenic lymphocytes revealed a strong CXCR4 expression matching human pancreatic cancer tissue. Similarly, inflammatory infiltates in pancreatic tissue showed a strong CXCR4 expression (data not shown).

Relevance of CXCR4 expression in pancreatic cancer. Strong CXCR4 expression significantly correlated with progressed pancreatic cancer, indicated by the UICC stages III and IV (P=0.03; Fig. 1C, Table II). Furthermore, strong CXCR4 expression revealed a strong trend towards hematogenous dissemination (M-Status; P=0.09) and progressed local disease (T-status; P=0.15), whereas no correlation was seen for lymphatic dissemination (N-Status). CXCR4 expression did not impact on grading, medium age or gender. Concerning survival, a strong CXCR4 expression was not significantly correlated with decreased survival, as indicated by Kaplan-

Meier and log-rank tests (Fig. 1D), neither was survival significantly influenced by CXCR4 in the subgroup of patients undergoing Whipple surgery (N=90).

Discussion

In diverse tumor entities, expression of the chemokine receptor CXCR4 has been linked to tumor dissemination and poor prognosis. Therefore, we analyzed the expression profile of CXCR4 in a large series of human pancreatic cancer cell lines and patient samples. The human pancreatic cancer cell lines and tumor samples analyzed, revealed different intensities of CXCR4 expression, as previously described for colorectal cancer (14). A cytoplasmatic staining of CXCR4 was observed in all cases, whereas fewer cases had an additional membranous localization of CXCR4. An inducible translocation of CXCR4 from the membrane to the cytoplasma has been reported previously (30).

In our pancreatic cancer patients, a strong CXCR4 expression was significantly associated with progressed pancreatic cancer as indicated by the UICC system and revealed a clear, though not significant trend towards M1-Status

and T3/T4 tumors. Hence, our results imply a relevant influence of CXCR4 on proliferation and hematogenous dissemination of pancreatic cancer *in vivo*. Furthermore, our data strengthen the *in vitro* observations by Mori and colleagues, who described a dose-dependent SDF-1 α stimulation of migration and invasion in pancreatic cancer cells (17). In addition, they confirm current murine *in vivo* studies, demonstrating that CXCR4 expression mediates metastasis of pancreatic cancer cells (20).

Our results are also in line with recent studies from our group and others, describing a similar effect of CXCR4 on disease dissemination in different tumor entities (14,15,21,22). Furthermore, CXCR4 expression was up-regulated in glioblastoma and its inhibition resulted in tumor growth arrest (23).

CXCR4 is regulated by different external factors, such as hypoxia (hif-1-pathway), as well as by internal alterations as the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes pVHL or overexpression of NF κ B and DNA methylation (24-26).

Homing factors, inducing chemotaxis to target organs of dissemination, have been proposed as the major inductor of tumor cell dissemination and metastatic growth, as the filter theory does not sufficiently explain the growth of metastases in target organs (27-29). Herein, CXCR4 certainly favours the 'homing' theory, as CXCR4 expression co-mediates dissemination of primary tumors to different organs through the chemotactic factor SDF-1 α (27). Moreover, SDF-1 α expression is most intense in typical 'homing organs' such as lungs, bone marrow, liver and lymph nodes as compared with other non-homing tissues (11,16). Pathophysiologically, endothelial cells co-express SDF-1 α and VCAM-1, thus mediating tumor-cell/endothelial cell attachment. CXCR4 activation by SDF-1 α induces β -integrin expression, binding VCAM-1 on endothelial cell (30,31). Similar pathophysiological processes must be proposed for pancreatic cancer dissemination.

In conclusion, chemokines have been connected to tumor growth, dissemination and local immune scape (32,33). Our *in vivo* results expand these data for human pancreatic cancer, as expression of CXCR4 was associated with advanced stages of this disease. Thus, inhibition of pancreatic cancer progression by CXCR4 antagonists might be a promising therapeutic option.

References

- Parkin DM, Bray FI and Devesa SS: Cancer burden in the year 2000. The global picture. Eur J Cancer 37 (Suppl 8): S4-S66, 2001.
- Jemal A, Murray T, Samuels A, Ghafoor A, Ward E and Thun MJ: Cancer statistics, 2003. CA Cancer J Clin 2003 53: 5-26, 2003.
- 3. Lankisch PG, Assmus C, Maisonneuve P and Lowenfels AB: Epidemiology of pancreatic diseases in Luneburg County. A study in a defined German population. Pancreatology 2: 469-477, 2002.
- Sener SF, Fremgen A, Menck HR and Winchester DP: Pancreatic cancer: a report of treatment and survival trends for 100313 patients diagnosed from 1985-1995, using the National Cancer Database. J Am Coll Surg 189: 1-7, 1999.
- Hedberg M, Borgstromm A, Genell S and Janzon L: Survival following pancreatic carcinoma: a follow-up study of all cases recorded in Malmo, Sweden 1977-1991. Br J Surg 85: 1641-1644, 1998.

- Bramhall SR, Allum WH, Jones AG, Allwood A, Cummins C and Neoptolemos JP: Treatment and survival in 13560 patients with pancreatic cancer and incidence of the disease in the West Midlands. An epidemiological study. Br J Surg 82: 111-115, 1995.
- 7. Solcia E, Capella C and Kloppel G: Tumors of the Pancreas. Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington DC, 1997.
- Arya M, Patel HR and Williamson M: Chemokines: key players in cancer. Curr Med Res Opin 19: 557-564, 2003.
- 9. Zlotnik A and Yoshie O: Chemokines: a new classification system and their role in immunity. Immunity 12: 121-127, 2000.
- Murdoch C: CXCR4: chemokine receptor extraordinaire. Immunol Rev 177: 175-184, 2000.
- Phillips RJ, Burdick MD, Lutz M, Belperio JA, Keane MP and Strieter RM: The stromal derived factor-1/CXCL12-CXC chemokine receptor 4 biological axis in non-small cell lung cancer metastases. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 167: 1676-1686, 2003.
- 12. Terada R, Yamamoto K, Hakoda T, Shimada N, Okano N, Baba N, Ninomiya Y, Gershwin ME and Shiratori Y: Stromal cell-derived factor-1 from biliary epithelial cells recruits CXCR4-positive cells: implications for inflammatory liver diseases. Lab Invest 83: 665-672, 2003.
- 13. Wald O, Pappo O, Safadi R, Dagan-Berger M, Beider K, Wald H, Franitza S, Weiss I, Avniel S, Boaz P, Hanna J, Zamir G, Eid A, Mandelboim O, Spengler U, Galun E and Peled A: Involvement of the CXCL12/CXCR4 pathway in the advanced liver disease that is associated with hepatitis C virus or hepatitis B virus. Eur J Immunol 34: 1164-1174, 2004.
- 14. Schimanski CC, Schwald S, Simiantonaki N, Jayasinghe C, Gonner U, Wilsberg V, Junginger T, Berger MR, Galle PR and Moehler M: Effect of chemokine receptors CXCR4 and CCR7 on the metastatic behavior of human colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 11: 1743-1750, 2005.
- 15. Kato M, Kitayama J, Kazama S and Nagawa H: Expression pattern of CXC chemokine receptor-4 is correlated with lymph node metastasis in human invasive ductal carcinoma. Breast Cancer Res 5: R144-R150, 2003.
- Uchida D, Begum NM, Almofti A, Nakashiro K, Kawamata H, Tateishi Y, Hamakawa H, Yoshida H and Sato M: Possible role of stromal-cell-derived factor-1/CXCR4 signaling on lymph node metastasis of oral squamous cell carcinoma. Exp Cell Res 290: 289-302, 2003.
- 17. Mori T, Doi R, Koizumi M, Toyoda E, Ito D, Kami K, Masui T, Fujimoto K, Tamamura H, Hiramatsu K, Fujii N and Imamura M: CXCR4 antagonist inhibits stromal cell-derived factor 1-induced migration and invasion of human pancreatic cancer. Mol Cancer Ther 3: 29-37, 2004.
- Chen Y, Stamatoyannopoulos G and Song CZ: Down-regulation of CXCR4 by inducible small interfering RNA inhibits breast cancer cell invasion *in vitro*. Cancer Res 63: 4801-4804, 2003.
- Marchesi F, Monti P, Leone BE, Zerbi A, Vecchi A, Piemonti L, Mantovani A and Allavena P: Increased survival, proliferation, and migration in metastatic human pancreatic tumor cells expressing functional CXCR4. Cancer Res 64: 8420-8427, 2004.
- 20. Saur D, Seidler B, Schneider G, Algul H, Beck R, Senekowitsch-Schmidtke R, Schwaiger M and Schmid RM: CXCR4 expression increases liver and lung metastasis in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology 129: 1344-1347, 2005.
- 21. Laverdiere C, Hoang BH, Yang R, Sowers R, Qin J, Meyers PA, Huvos AG, Healey JH and Gorlick R: Messenger RNA expression levels of CXCR4 correlate with metastatic behavior and outcome in patients with osteosarcoma. Clin Cancer Res 11: 2561-2567, 2005.
- 22. Oonakahara KI, Matsuyama W, Higashimoto I, Kawabata M, Arimura K and Osame M: Stromal-derived factor-1(alpha)/ CXCL12-CXCR 4 axis is involved in the dissemination of NSCLC cells into pleural space. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 30: 671-677, 2004.
- 23. Rubin JB, Kung AL, Klein RS, Chan JA, Sun Y, Schmidt K, Kieran MW, Luster AD and Segal RA: A small-molecule antagonist of CXCR4 inhibits intracranial growth of primary brain tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 13513-13518, 2003.
- 24. Helbig G, Christopherson KW II, Bhat-Nakshatri P, Kumar S, Kishimoto H, Miller KD, Broxmeyer HE and Nakshatri H: NF-kappaB promotes breast cancer cell migration and metastasis by inducing the expression of the chemokine receptor CXCR4. J Biol Chem 278: 21631-21638, 2003.

- 25. Schioppa T, Uranchimeg B, Saccani A, Biswas SK, Doni A, Rapisarda A, Bernasconi S, Saccani S, Nebuloni M, Vago L, Mantovani A, Melillo G and Sica A: Regulation of the chemokine receptor CXCR4 by hypoxia. J Exp Med 198: 1391-1402, 2003.
- 26. Staller P, Sulitkova J, Lisztwan J, Moch H, Oakeley EJ and Krek W: Chemokine receptor CXCR4 downregulated by von Hippel-Lindau tumour suppressor pVHL. Nature 425: 307-311, 2003.
- 27. Stetler-Stevenson WG and Kleiner DE: Molecular Biology of Cancer: Invasion and Metastases. Lippincott & Wilkins, 2001.
- 28. Weiss L, Grundmann E, Torhorst J, Hartveit F, Moberg I, Eder M, Fenoglio-Preiser CM, Napier J, Horne CH and Lopez MJ: Haematogenous metastatic patterns in colonic carcinoma: an analysis of 1541 necropsies. J Pathol 150: 195-203, 1986.
- Nicolson GL: Paracrine and autocrine growth mechanisms in tumor metastasis to specific sites with particular emphasis on brain and lung metastasis. Cancer Metastasis Rev 12: 325-343, 1993.
- 30. Burger M, Glodek A, Hartmann T, Schmitt-Graff A, Silberstein LE, Fujii N, Kipps TJ and Burger JA: Functional expression of CXCR4 (CD184) on small-cell lung cancer cells mediates migration, integrin activation, and adhesion to stromal cells. Oncogene 22: 8093-8101, 2003.
- 31. Cardones AR, Murakami T and Hwang ST: CXCR4 enhances adhesion of B16 tumor cells to endothelial cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* via beta(1) integrin. Cancer Res 63: 6751-6757, 2003.
- Balkwill F and Mantovani A: Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? Lancet 357: 539-545, 2001.
- Brigati C, Noonan DM, Albini A and Benelli R: Tumors and inflammatory infiltrates: friends or foes? Clin Exp Metastasis 19: 247-258, 2002.