Age-related changes in peripheral blood counts in humans
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Abstract. Anaemia has become a common concern in geriatric health. Since its prevalence varies quite significantly among different groups depending on factors such as ethnicity, lifestyle or fitness, the appropriateness of the current WHO definition of anaemia in the elderly may be questioned. We evaluated peripheral blood parameters from 1,724 individuals (908 women aged 18-101 years and 816 men aged 18-96 years), who were treated at the University of Heidelberg Medical Center with no known haematological history. Patients with a known malignant haematological or oncological disease or with chronic infection or inflammation were excluded. Patients with disorders affecting the kidneys, thyroid or stomach, as well as patients with a bleeding history, haemolysis or who had been previously diagnosed with anaemia were excluded from the study. Average haemoglobin levels for men beyond the age of 70 and for women beyond the age of 80 were found to fulfill the WHO criteria for the diagnosis of anaemia. While in our cohort ~20% of men and women between 60-69 years of age were by definition anaemic, these numbers steadily increased to 63% in females and 76% in males beyond the age of 90. Based on the results of our study and in accordance with the literature on this topic, we suggest age-adjusted criteria for the diagnosis of anaemia in the elderly in conjunction with a geriatric assessment.

Introduction

For many years, particularly in view of the increasingly ageing population in the Western world, haematologists have had a profound interest in researching the pathophysiology and clinical relevance of anaemia in association with aging. Despite the fact that numerous studies show a link between reduced haemoglobin levels and morbidity and/or mortality, this is also the case for elevated or upper normal haemoglobin levels (1), which may be associated with secondary polycythaemia; e.g., associated with underlying pulmonary or cardiac disease, or resulting from increased blood viscosity as has been reported with the use of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents, which may increase the risk for thromboembolic events (2). Numerous studies have been carried out on anaemia in distinct ethnic or geographic populations (3-8), in children (9-12), elderly people (4,6,8,13) and pregnant women (14). Differences noted in such studies may not only be explained by lifestyle and environmental factors, they may also and most essentially be explained by different exclusion criteria that have been used in these studies.

Presently, there are nearly 500 million (7%) adults 65 years or older in the world, but by 2030 this population will double to 1 billion (12%) worldwide (15,16). In Germany, the increasingly ageing population will be paralleled by a continuously shrinking total population, which is currently 81.5 million (as reported for the year 2010) and which will decrease to 77.4 million by the year 2030 and to 64.7 million by 2060. Thus, the number of people beyond the age of 65 currently accounts for 20.6% of the total population (16.8 million) (2010), while in 2030 this proportion will rise to 28.7% of the total population, and in 2050 this percentage will climb to 33% of the total German population (16). This means that by the year 2050 the number of taxpayers in Germany will roughly be equal to the number of people beyond the age of 65.

Since ageing is a process that results from the accumulation of somatic damage, which increases the risk of mortality (17), there is a profound desire to assess the risk of mortality on the basis of laboratory parameters. The evaluation of haemoglobin levels in the elderly is a complex task, since it is difficulty to assess whether a haemoglobin level beyond the normal range in a given individual is the result of an underlying disease or whether it is a phenomenon of the expression of age (4). Numerous factors have been described as affecting blood counts in the elderly. Reduced numbers of haematopoietic stem cells, the finite number of cell divisions (18), a defect in progenitor cell proliferation (19), the inability to sufficiently mobilize such progenitors (6), and the lack of hormonal stimulation or the reduced response to hormonal stimulation (20-23) are a few examples of conditions that may concur with reduced haemoglobin levels in the absence of an apparent illness.

Taking the current definition of the World Health Organization, which defines anaemia as haemoglobin levels of ≤12.0 g/dl for women and ≤13.0 g/dl for men, we may run into a most significant public health crisis in the near future. In view of the fact that anaemia is a common and most frequently
an underestimated condition in the elderly, several key ques-
tions should be addressed in order to better understand the
following: i) to what extent anaemia in the elderly is the result
of pre-existing disorders, ii) to what extent it predetermines
potential subsequent morbidity, and iii) to what extent public
health improvements could make a difference. In this context,
it may be important to reflect on whether the current definition
of anaemia by the World Health Organization is still adequate
to define anaemia in the elderly, or whether new definitions for
different elderly subpopulations would aid in more adequately
describing the association of specific haemoglobin levels in
the context of actual patient morbidity. Finally, but of equal
importance, discussion should be initiated regarding the
appropriate management of anaemia in the elderly and the
economic implications to health care systems.

The objective of the study presented herein, was to
examine the influence of increasing age on peripheral blood
parameters obtained from hospitalized individuals with no
known haematological history and to identify criteria which
could be useful in the discussion of age-dependent reference
values. Data from 1,724 individuals in the age range between
18 and 101 years were evaluated with particular consider-
ation for red and white blood cell parameters and platelet
counts.

Materials and methods

Patients. The study population comprised 1,724 individuals
(908 women aged 18-101 years and 816 men aged 18-96 years)
with no known haematological history who were treated at
the University of Heidelberg Medical Center as inpatients
or outpatients. All blood samples were obtained using
routine diagnostic procedures. Haematological parameters
and clinical chemistry were analyzed at the University of
Heidelberg Medical Center central laboratory. Patients with
a known malignant haematological or oncological disease, with
chronic infection or inflammation were excluded. Patients
with disorders affecting the kidneys, thyroid or stomach as
well as patients with a bleeding history, haemolysis or who
had been previously diagnosed to have anaemia were also
excluded from this study. All samples were anonymized, and
all data were handled confidentially. This study was carried
out in accordance with the local ethics committee in agree-
ment with the Declaration of Helsinki. The composition of
the study population is outlined in Table I.

Statistical procedures. Mean, median and standard deviation
were calculated for every haematological parameter in each
study group. Outliers were defined as arguments beyond the
reference interval that corresponded to the mean ± 2 standard
deviations (SD), and were not considered for the further
calculation of mean values and SD. Age-dependent reference
ranges were proposed on the basis of the 95% confidence
intervals (mean ± 2 SD) for a specific parameter in a defined
age group. The Kolmogorow-Smirnow test was carried out
in order to find out whether the included arguments follow
a Gaussian distribution. When a Gaussian distribution was
confirmed, the Student's t-test was carried out in order to test
for significant differences. Alternatively, the Wilcoxon U-test
was carried out.

Results

The reference intervals for blood counts as used at the
University Medical Center Heidelberg and the new reference
intervals that were calculated by gender for specific age groups
are shown in Table I.

With the exception of platelet and neutrophil counts,
values were persistently higher in men than in women. These
gender differences were most prominent in the younger age
groups, and decreased continuously with increasing age.
The most obvious age-dependent changes were observed for
erythropoiesis-related parameters. A statistically significant
(p<0.0001) age-dependent decline in haemoglobin levels was
observed for both genders (Fig. 1). This decline was paralleled
by the decline in age-dependent haematocrit levels in both
genders (p<0.0001), and was more pronounced in men than in
women. We evaluated peripheral blood parameters from 1,724
hospitalised individuals between the ages of 18 and 101 years
with no known haematological history, who were admitted at
the University of Heidelberg Medical Center for a medical or
surgical condition. The average haemoglobin levels for men
beyond the age of 70 and for women beyond the age of 80
were found to fulfil the WHO criteria for the diagnosis of
anaemia, which are set at haemoglobin levels of ≤12.0 g/dl for
women and ≤13.0 g/dl for men (Figs. 1 and 2). In contrast to
haemoglobin, erythrocyte counts and haematocrit, the values
for mean corpuscular volume (MCV) steadily increased in an
age-dependent manner (p<0.0001), while the age-dependent
increase in mean corpuscular haemoglobin levels was only
statistically significant in males (p<0.0001) and not in females
(p=0.359) when individuals below and beyond the age of 60
were compared.

In our cohort, 23% of all women between 60 and 69 years
of age were diagnosed as anaemic according to the WHO
criteria. This percentage increased to 36% in all female indi-
cviduals between 70 and 79 years of age and 45% in all female
individuals between 80 and 89 years of age. Sixty-three
percent of all female individuals beyond the age of 90 were
anaemic by definition. In the male population, these figures
were even more dramatic. In the age-range between 60 and 69
years, 20% fulfilled the WHO criteria for anaemia, and 49%
of all male individuals between 70 and 79 years of age, 70% of
all male individuals between 80 and 89 years of age and 76%
of all male individuals beyond the age of 90 were identified as
anaemic according to the WHO criteria for the diagnosis of
anaemia (Fig. 2).

In contrast to almost all other haematopoiesis param-
eters, which were higher in male individuals throughout all
age groups, platelet counts were higher in female individuals
in all evaluated age groups (Fig. 1), and showed a significant
age-related decline in both genders (p<0.0001). However,
the measured platelet levels remained within the reference
limits in use by the University of Heidelberg Medical Center
and in accordance with the German Accreditation Council
(DAR).

While the WBC mean values showed an age-dependent
decreasing trend for both genders, no statistical significance
was noted when individuals below and beyond the age of 60
years were compared to each other. However, when the cut-off
age was set at 70 years, statistical significance was reached
Table I. Age-adjusted reference intervals for women and men as calculated from age group-dependent mean values ± 2 SD (rows 3-9).a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference values</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>18-19</th>
<th>20-29</th>
<th>30-39</th>
<th>40-49</th>
<th>50-59</th>
<th>60-69</th>
<th>70-79</th>
<th>80-89</th>
<th>≥90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb 12-15 g/dl</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 36</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC 4.0-5.2/pl</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 36</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hct 0.36-0.47 l/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 35</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV 83-97 fl</td>
<td></td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 33</td>
<td></td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>102.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH 27-33 pg</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 33</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plt 150-440/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td>401.9</td>
<td>404.0</td>
<td>412.8</td>
<td>406.5</td>
<td>442.4</td>
<td>385.9</td>
<td>434.7</td>
<td>388.0</td>
<td>360.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 35</td>
<td></td>
<td>157.1</td>
<td>160.3</td>
<td>175.7</td>
<td>156.1</td>
<td>179.0</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>122.3</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td>117.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC 4.0-10.0/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 36</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Men**          |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| Hb 13-17 g/dl    |             | 13.5  | 13.5  | 13.3  | 13.0  | 12.1  | 11.7  | 9.9   | 9.6   | 9.7 |
| No. 19           |             | 17.5  | 16.9  | 17.0  | 16.7  | 16.6  | 16.3  | 16.0  | 14.9  | 14.8 |
| RBC 4.3-6.1/ml   |             | 4.3   | 4.4   | 4.3   | 4.3   | 3.9   | 3.7   | 3.3   | 3.0   | 2.9 |
| No. 19           |             | 5.9   | 5.7   | 5.7   | 5.4   | 5.4   | 5.3   | 4.8   | 4.8   | 4.9 |
| Hct 0.38-0.52 l/l|             | 0.40  | 0.39  | 0.38  | 0.37  | 0.35  | 0.34  | 0.29  | 0.27  | 0.28 |
| No. 17           |             | 0.49  | 0.49  | 0.49  | 0.48  | 0.47  | 0.48  | 0.46  | 0.44  | 0.44 |
| MCV 83-97 fl     |             | 82.0  | 80.2  | 77.6  | 81.0  | 80.6  | 79.9  | 81.4  | 82.0  | 85.1 |
| No. 18           |             | 90.8  | 94.6  | 96.2  | 95.3  | 98.8  | 100.1 | 97.2  | 100.7 | 98.4 |
| MCH 27-33 pg     |             | 28.3  | 27.7  | 27.3  | 28.2  | 27.9  | 27.7  | 27.5  | 26.9  | 28.8 |
| No. 18           |             | 32.3  | 33.0  | 33.5  | 33.0  | 34.4  | 34.2  | 33.9  | 35.9  | 34.6 |
| Plt 150-440/ml   |             | 183.7 | 157.8 | 162.8 | 150.8 | 136.5 | 123.9 | 82.8  | 104.8 | 137.4|
| No. 18           |             | 342.0 | 336.7 | 366.9 | 366.9 | 377.6 | 374.7 | 385.2 | 307.2 | 292.7|
| WBC 4.0-10.0/ml  |             | 3.7   | 4.0   | 4.4   | 4.2   | 4.3   | 3.9   | 4.1   | 4.2   | 4.2 |
| No. 18           |             | 11.5  | 11.8  | 11.2  | 10.9  | 11.4  | 11.8  | 11.1  | 10.5  | 9.99 |

aThe values indicated in the 2nd row are the reference values used by the University of Heidelberg Medical Center set and validated in accordance with the German Accreditation Council (DAR). No., number of individuals in the corresponding age group; Hb, haemoglobin; RBC, red blood cell count; Hct, haematocrit; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MCH, mean corpuscular haemoglobin level; Plt, platelet count; WBC, white blood cell count.
for male individuals (p=0.008), but not for female subjects (p=0.23). Despite the age-dependent decreasing trend for leukocyte values, these remained within the reference interval in use by the University of Heidelberg Medical Center (Fig. 1). A comparison of differential blood counts among the various age groups and genders was quite inconsistent.

**Discussion**

The diagnosis and assessment of anaemia is an essential part of everyday clinical practice and is gaining importance, particularly in view of the increasingly ageing population in the Western world. Anaemia is a common disorder in the elderly and, while it is typically mild in this population, it has been associated with substantial morbidity and mortality (24). It is therefore important to initially determine whether a patient is, in fact, anaemic, or whether his/her low haemoglobin level is a phenomenon of the expression of old age. This may be difficult to assess if a record of previous blood counts is unavailable and a physician is forced to make a judgement on the basis of a specific population's haemoglobin distribution.

In the 1960s the World Health Organization (WHO) carried out a number of studies on nutritional anaemias of pregnancy in India, Israel, Mexico, Poland and Venezuela. Based on these data, in 1968, the WHO arbitrarily defined...
haemoglobin limits, which became the standard in the diagnosis of anaemia and which are still being used at present. Accordingly, the diagnosis of anaemia is considered when haemoglobin levels are lower than 13.0 g/dl in males, 12.0 g/dl in non-pregnant females and 11 g/dl in pregnant females for individuals residing at sea level (25). From the time of the establishment of these first guidelines in 1968, there was difficulty in precisely defining normality in the populations that were studied and in deducing haemoglobin limits that were generally binding for all populations worldwide. In addition, since 1968, numerous parameters that may affect haemoglobin levels (environmental and nutritional factors, lifestyle and others) have changed quite considerably in the Western world. In addition and most importantly, individuals beyond 65 years of age and racial and ethnic differences were not considered in these studies. Also, numerous factors have been described that affect blood counts in the elderly. Reduced numbers of haematopoietic stem cells, the finite number of cell divisions (18), a defect in progenitor cell proliferation (19), the inability to sufficiently mobilize such progenitors (6), and the lack of hormonal stimulation or the reduced response to hormonal stimulation (20-23) are a few examples of conditions that may be associated with reduced haemoglobin levels in the absence of an apparent illness. A considerable decline in oxygen need due to the diminishing body mass and/or physical activity which is reflected in the relationship between haemoglobin and body mass index are also factors that contribute to low haemoglobin levels, and should therefore be considered (6,19,26). The current definition of anaemia suggested by a WHO expert committee in 1968 is therefore not applicable to the elderly, and urgently needs to be updated (25,27-31).

Despite the fact that numerous studies have been carried out in the last 40 years showing aberrant haemoglobin distributions within distinct populations, most authors have dismissed the need for a modification of the lower haemoglobin reference values solely on the basis of an individual's age (3-12,32). This is essentially due to the fear of incorrectly underdiagnosing anaemia once age-related haemoglobin changes are considered, and consequently accepting an overdiagnosis of anaemia when an individual's age is not being taken into account (33). Several studies have aimed to determine the most relevant causes of anaemia in the elderly. In addition to well-described causes such as chronic disease, infection, iron or vitamin B12 deficiency, renal or liver failure, in up to 36% of individuals the origin of anaemia was unknown (34,35). In accordance with our study, the vast majority of authors identified a higher prevalence of anaemic subjects in the elderly population as compared to younger individuals. Therefore, the question arises as to whether this phenomenon is part of the physiological ageing process, or whether it is the consequence or cause of an underlying disease process as yet undiagnosed (4).

Studies involving only elderly patients over a long period of time are difficult to interpret, as ageing itself is a process that progresses at different rates and at different times. Analyses of survival and death rates can indicate whether an elderly person carries an increased risk of mortality because of low haemoglobin levels or because of advanced age is still unclear. One possible explanation for low haemoglobin levels in the aged is the reduced haematopoietic activity, as determined by a decrease in bone marrow cellularity of up to 50% in individuals beyond the age of 60 years, which occurs along with a significant reduction in peripheral blood counts (19,36). In one study, reduced numbers of both bone marrow erythroid and myeloid progenitors were observed to be more pronounced in elderly men than in elderly women, which may at least in part explain gender differences in the decline of haemoglobin levels in the elderly (6). Also, stem cells are subject to replicative senescence and can only perform a finite number of cell divisions, which provides an additional explanation for the age-dependent reduction in haematopoiesis (Fig. 4) (18,37-39). As demonstrated by several research groups, an age-dependent decline in bone marrow cellularity is observed after the 3rd decade of life. By contrast, in young individuals below the age of 30, over 70% of haematopoietic cellular matter comprises the bone marrow volume and 30% consist of degenerated marrow fat. In accordance with the following simplified formula: 100 - age (years) = bone marrow cellularity (%) (40,41), an estimate of bone marrow cellularity within single age groups can be determined, and – particularly in the elderly – a dramatic age-associated loss of bone marrow cellularity has been described by several authors (42-44). In addition, it must be taken into consideration that the bone marrow response and thus the haematopoietic response to incoming stimuli and the cellular crosstalk are reduced in aged individuals (Figs. 3 and 4) (19,45-47).

Even though average haemoglobin values vary from laboratory to laboratory, a working definition of anaemia in a specific population may be described by haemoglobin levels less than the mean values in a population minus 2 SD. Based

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit patient</th>
<th>Frail patient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECOG 0 or Karnofski index 90-100%</td>
<td>ECOG ≥1 or Karnofski index ≤80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No comorbidities</td>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤59 years</td>
<td>≤59 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.0 g/dl</td>
<td>12.0 g/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤79 years</td>
<td>≤79 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5 g/dl</td>
<td>10.5 g/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥80 years</td>
<td>≥80 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0 g/dl</td>
<td>10.0 g/dl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Geriatric assessment based on performance status (ECOG or Karnofski-Index) and the presence of comorbidities (upper panel) (50,51) and proposal of a novel age-adjusted definition of anaemia for elderly Caucasians.
on the results of our study data collected at the University of Heidelberg Medical Center, the proposed age-adjusted lower haemoglobin levels that would allow the diagnosis of anaemia in healthy elderly individuals are lower than the reference values that are currently being used worldwide based on the WHO studies of the 1960s (Table II).

Haemoglobin levels in healthy elders are generally lower than those in younger adults, and the differences between males and females that are noted in younger adults are continuously narrowed with increasing age (Fig. I) (13,48,49).

We therefore propose a re-definition of the diagnosis criteria for anaemia in the elderly in conjunction with geriatric assessment, which distinguishes ‘fit’ from ‘frail’ patients. A patient should be considered ‘frail’ when fulfilling at least 1 out of the 5 criteria that are listed for frail patients (Table II), and the WHO diagnostic criteria for anaemia should be applied in accordance with the previous WHO recommendations with lower haemoglobin limits of ≤12.0 g/dl for women and ≤13.0 g/dl for men. However, when a patient fulfills the criteria for a ‘fit’ patient, i.e., all indicated criteria are met (Table II), the age-adjusted haemoglobin levels should be used as proposed in Table II.
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