Clinical significance of P-glycoprotein and glutathione S-transferase π expression in gallbladder carcinoma
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Abstract. P-glycoprotein (P-gp) and glutathione S-transferase π (GST-π) are not only drug-resistance markers, but also prognostic markers of various cancers. The aim of the present study was to investigate the clinical significance of P-gp and GST-π in gallbladder carcinoma (GBC). Tissue samples from 42 patients with GBC were immunostained. Demographic, clinical and follow-up data were collected and analyzed. The positive expression rates of P-gp and GST-π in the GBC tissues were significantly higher (76.2 and 64.3%, respectively) than that of chronic cholecystitis specimens (30 and 20%, respectively) (P=0.014 and 0.035, respectively), and correlated with the Nevin stage of GBC. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that patients with positive expression of P-gp and GST-π showed a significantly lower 2-year survival rate (11.1 and 12%, respectively) compared with patients with negative expression (55.6 and 45.5%, respectively) (P=0.013 and 0.036, respectively). P-gp was also found to be an independent prognostic marker of 2-year survival rate by logistic regression analysis (B=-2.76, P=0.061). Results of this study suggest that P-gp is a prognostic marker of GBC and the detection of P-gp and GST-π may contribute to the prognosis of GBC and the application of chemotherapy as a therapeutic treatment.

Introduction

Gallbladder cancer (GBC) is a common and lethal cancer with a poor prognosis due to its insensitivity to radioactive and chemical therapies. The 5-year survival rate of GBC is <5% (1,2). Early tumor resection is the only effective and potentially curative treatment. However, a number of patients present with GBC in the later stages when surgical intervention is no longer effective. Therefore, the identification of a biomarker with diagnostic and prognostic values is crucial for the treatment of GBC.

P-glycoprotein (P-gp) is encoded by the multidrug resistance 1 (MDR1) gene and is a membrane glycoprotein. It acts as an energy-dependent drug efflux pump and is important in pharmacokinetics. Overexpression of P-gp is a major mechanism of drug resistance (3), thus, P-gp is used as a biomarker of drug resistance during the systemic treatment of various malignancies (4). In previous years, studies have identified that the expression of P-gp correlates with tumor progression and the prognosis of breast, colon and lung cancers (5-8).

Glutathione S-transferase π (GST-π) is a subclass of GSTs, a polymorphic supergene family of detoxification enzymes involved in the metabolism of numerous potential carcinogens (9). GST-π is mainly distributed in the placenta, lung, kidney, liver and red blood cells at a low levels of expression (10). In addition, GST-π is the most important phase II drug-metabolizing enzyme and is involved in the metabolism and detoxification of environmental carcinogens and chemotherapeutics. Previously, it was reported that the expression levels of GST-π correlate with the prognosis of malignancies, including glioblastoma and breast, prostate and colorectal cancer (9,11-13).

However, the clinical significance of P-gp and GST-π in the progression and prognosis of GBC remain unknown. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the expression levels and prognostic values of P-gp and GST-π in GBC.

Materials and methods

Samples. In total, 42 tissue samples of GBC were obtained from patients at the First Hospital of Xi’an Jiao Tong University (Xi’an, China) between January 2000 and July 2005. Following excision, samples were paraffin-embedded. The diagnosis of GBC was established by histopathological analysis and surgery was performed according to the stage defined by the Nevin classification (14). In addition, 10 tissue samples obtained from patients with chronic cholecystitis were used as the controls.

Demographic and clinical data, including age, gender, the presence of gallstones and disease history, were obtained. The patient cohort included 26 females and 16 males and the mean age at surgical resection was 60.8±1.30 years. All patients did not present with complications, such as hypertension, diabetes and chronic hepatitis. Histological types of GBC were clas-
ified according to previous studies (15,16), which included adenocarcinoma (not otherwise specified), papillary adenocarcinoma, adenosquamous, mucinous, adenocarcinomas and undifferentiated carcinoma.

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Xi’an JiaoTong University. All patients received oral and written information regarding the study protocol and signed an informed consent prior to inclusion in the study.

Immunohistochemistry. Paraffin-embedded tumor tissues were sliced into 5-µm thick sections and mounted on glass. Slides were deparaffinized and rehydrated in 10 min through a graded alcohol series to deionized water in 1% Antigen Unmasking Solution (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) and microwaved to enhance antigen retrieval. Tissue samples were sequentially incubated with anti-mouse immunoglobulin coupled to horseradish peroxidase (HRP). Slides were incubated with the specific primary anti-GST-π (ab7709; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and anti-P-gp (P9765; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) monoclonal antibodies with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (A1293; Sigma), and then stained with 3,3-diaminobenzidine and counterstained with hematoxylin and eosin. In addition, 10 tissue samples from patients with chronic cholecystitis obtained by cholecystectomy were used as the control group. Two pathologists independently observed and interpreted the results of the immunohistochemical staining.

Assessment of staining. Staining of P-gp and GST-π was evaluated according to the percentage of positive cells under an optical microscope (Leica Microsystems, GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany; magnification, x20). Staining intensity was classified as the following: Negative (−), no immunopositive staining or <10% of positive cells observed; weak to moderate (+), 10-30% positive cells; and high (++), >30% positive cells.

Patient follow-up. Patients were advised to undergo 2-year regular follow-ups following GBC diagnosis. Follow-up data from 36 patients were obtained and the remaining data were lost.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 11.5; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences of expression rate among groups were analyzed by Pearson’s χ² test. The Fisher’s exact test was used to assess the differences between the positive rates when the number of total cases was <40. All statistical tests were two-sided. To elucidate the risk factors for prognosis (2-year survival rate), multivariate analysis was performed using the logistic regression model and found that P-gp staining is an independent risk factor for metastasis of GBC (R²=3.09; P=0.044) (Table II).

Expression levels of P-gp and GST-π correlate with the Nevin stage. The expression levels of P-gp and GST-π in the early Nevin stages of GBC (I, II and III) were lower (33.3 and 16.7%, respectively) than that in the later stages (IV and V) (83.3 and 72%, respectively) (P=0.021 and 0.016, respectively) (Fig. 2B). As Nevin staging is classified by tumor metastasis, multivariate analysis was performed using the logistic regression model and found that P-gp staining is an independent risk factor for metastasis of GBC (R²=2.76; P=0.061) (Table III).

Expression levels of P-gp and GST-π in GBC. P-gp and GST-π were mainly expressed in the cytoplasm or membrane of GBC cells (Fig. 1). The positive expression rate of P-gp and GST-π in the GBC tissues were 76.2 and 64.3%, respectively, which was significantly higher than that in the chronic cholecystitis tissues (30 and 20%, respectively) (P=0.014 and P=0.035, respectively) (Fig. 2A). The expression levels were not correlated with gender, age, pathology, presence of gallstones and histological grading (Table I).

Correlation between P-gp and GST-π. A significant positive correlation was also found between the expression levels of P-gp and GST-π in tumor tissues (R²=0.20; P=0.003) and the coexpression rate was 59.5% (Fig. 2D).

Discussion

In the present study, expression levels of P-gp and GST-π in malignant lesions were found to be higher than that of benign lesions, indicating multiple drug resistance of GBC. In addition, majority of positive cells were located on the mucosal surface of the gallbladder, this phenomena was consistent with the role of P-gp as a multidrug transporter and support the mechanism of GBC. Similar results have also previously been identified suggesting that P-gp is substantially expressed on the biliary surface of hepatocytes and small biliary ductules (17).

Although P-gp and GST-π correlate with drug resistance, their mechanisms and drug-resistant spectrum are different. GST-π is regulated in vivo by reactive oxygen species and its induction represents part of an adaptive response mechanism to chemical stress caused by electrophiles (18). The drug-resistance spectrum of GST-π is cisplatin. However, the drug-resistance spectrum of P-gp is vincristine and doxorubicin (19,20). Therefore, the expression of P-gp and GST-π should be taken into consideration when designing clinical trials.

It has been previously suggested that aromatic compounds can induce GST-π expression (21,22). In addition, it is well known that GBC is closely associated with gallstone and chronic cholecystitis, which may generate aromatic compounds due to the long-term stasis of bile and bacterial infection (23). Thus, we hypothesized that aromatic compounds are not only...
The main prognostic factors of GBC are the clinical or pathological stages (24) and the Nevin staging system for GBC is widely used (25). The Nevin stage of GBC is mainly defined according to metastasis and invasion. In the present study, P-gp and GST-\(\pi\) showed lower levels in non-metastatic tumors (Nevin stage, I, II and III) than in metastatic tumors (Nevin stage, IV and V), suggesting that P-gp and GST-\(\pi\) may be used as indicators for invasion and metastasis. In the present study, patients with positive P-gp or GST-\(\pi\) expression showed a shorter 2-year survival rate compared with patients with a negative expression. Furthermore, patients with coexpression of P-gp and GST-\(\pi\) were associated with the worst prognosis. These results demonstrate that P-gp and GST-\(\pi\) may be used as prognostic markers for GBC and were consistent with previous studies on liver, colon, breast and ovarian cancer (26-28). A close correlation between GST-\(\pi\) and P-gp expression was also identified in the current study, with coexpression observed in 59.5% of patients with GBC. Similar studies have

Table I. Demographic and clinical data and expression of P-gp and GST-\(\pi\) of gallbladder carcinoma patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Cases, n</th>
<th>P-gp staining</th>
<th>Positive rate, %</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>GST-(\pi) staining</th>
<th>Positive rate, %</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td>- + ++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- + ++</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3 11 2</td>
<td>81 -</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 8 2</td>
<td>63 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7 11 8</td>
<td>73 0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 12 5</td>
<td>65 0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5 12 9</td>
<td>81 -</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 16 3</td>
<td>73 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5 10 1</td>
<td>69 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 4 4</td>
<td>50 0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of gallstones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5 8 7</td>
<td>75 -</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 9 4</td>
<td>65 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5 14 3</td>
<td>78 0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 11 3</td>
<td>64 0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma (NOS)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5 17 6</td>
<td>82 -</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 14 5</td>
<td>68 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 2 1</td>
<td>60 -</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 2 -</td>
<td>40 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosquamous adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 2 -</td>
<td>67 -</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 1 -</td>
<td>33 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>- 1 1</td>
<td>100 -</td>
<td></td>
<td>- 1 1</td>
<td>100 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated carcinoma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 - 2</td>
<td>50 0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 1</td>
<td>75 0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4 4 1</td>
<td>56 -</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 5 -</td>
<td>56 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3 12 4</td>
<td>84 -</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 10 3</td>
<td>68 -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3 6 5</td>
<td>79 0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 5 4</td>
<td>64 0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-gp, P-glycoprotein; GST-\(\pi\), glutathione S-transferase \(\pi\); NOS, not otherwise specified.

Table II. Logistic regression analysis of metastasis of gallbladder carcinoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Regression coefficient</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-gp</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological type</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallstones</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-gp expression was coded as: 1, positive; 2, negative. Tumor grade was coded 1-3, with increasing grade. Gender was coded as: 1, male; 2, female. Pathological type was coded as: 1, adenocarcinoma; 2, non-adenocarcinoma. Presence of gallstones was coded as: 0, no; 1, yes. P-gp, P-glycoprotein.
shown that the rate of coexpression was 93% in patients with leukemia and 80% in patients with lung cancer (29,30). It has been demonstrated there are abnormal expression of genes, such as c-erbB-2, neu, P53, ras, INT2, HSTF1, bcl-2, c-fos and c-jun.
in various types of cancer, including GBC, and these genes not only correlate with drug resistance but also frequently regulate and co-amplify P-gp and GST-π genes (28-34). Therefore, the higher expression levels of P-gp and GST-π in patients with GBC may be a reflection of the abnormal expression of oncogenes and cancer suppressor genes.

In conclusion, results of the present study suggest that P-gp is a prognostic marker for GBC. In the future, the detection of P-gp and GST-π in patients with GBC may contribute to chemotherapeutic and surgical decisions.
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