Clinical efficacy of 5-aminolevulinic acid photodynamic therapy in the treatment of moderate to severe facial acne vulgaris
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Abstract. Acne vulgaris is considered as a therapeutic challenge in terms of managing ongoing symptoms and preventing scar formation. Although there are many available treatments for alleviating acne, therapies for resistant or moderate-to-severe forms have been limited to systemic agents that are accompanied by potentially severe side-effects. While, aminolevulinic acid (ALA) photodynamic therapy (PDT) has increasingly been used as a simple and safe therapeutic option of acne vulgaris, the clinical efficacy requires confirmation in further studies. The aim of this study was to investigate the efficacy and safety of 5-ALA-PDT in the treatment of moderate-to-severe facial acne vulgaris. A total of 50 patients with moderate-to-severe facial acne were enrolled in the study and randomly divided equally into a therapy group and a control group. In the therapy group, the patients were treated with 5% 5-ALA for 1.5 h, followed by three 20-min doses of infrared radiation once a week; in the control group, the patients were treated with three 20 min doses of infrared radiation without 5-ALA once a week. Both treatments lasted for 3 weeks. The clinical efficacy was determined by evaluating acne lesion counts at weeks 0, 2, 4 and 6. Total efficacy rate (TER) was the primary endpoint of the study, and was defined as the proportion of the patients whose treatment effectiveness evaluation was cured (≥90% of skin lesions improved) and excellent (60-89% improvement). Adverse effects were recorded throughout the study. The study was completed by 24 patients in the therapy group and 23 patients in the control group. The numbers of acne lesions significantly decreased. The TER of the therapy group and 2 patients of the control group. In the therapy group the most common adverse effect was a burning sensation (n=7), followed by transient hyperpigmentation (n=5) and acute acneform lesions (n=2), while in control group, the 2 patients experienced flushing and dryness. The adverse effects were all cured by a symptomatic approach prior to the end of the study. 5-ALA-PDT combined with infrared radiation is an effective and safe therapy for moderate-to-severe facial acne.

Introduction

Acne is a human chronic inflammatory skin disease that typically presents with seborrhea, comedones, papules, pustules and nodules. Its prevalence ranges from 70 to 87% (1). Furthermore, acne can lead to psychological and social problems, and seriously affect a person's quality of life. Therefore, researchers are focused on finding safe and effective methods for treating acne vulgaris.

Numerous different treatments are available for acne, including benzoyl peroxide, antibiotics, oral or topical retinoids, antiseborrheic medications and hormonal treatments. However, these drugs have limitations in practice, for example, retinoids have certain side-effects, and antibiotics may lead to resistance and affect female fertility (2). Therefore, light therapy, a therapeutic method that includes visible light therapy, photodynamic therapy (PDT) and laser therapy, has widespread clinical value in the treatment of acne (3,4).

Propionibacterium acnes, an important conditioned pathogen, is the target of the different kinds of acne phototherapies. P. acnes synthesizes and stores porphyrins, including protoporphyrin and coproporphyrin. Porphyrins are endogenous photosensitive materials that absorb light energy within a specific wavelength range; however the amount of porphyrins produced by P. acnes is relatively low (5). Therefore, the topical application of exogenous materials such as the porphyrin precursor 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) to the skin lesions is necessary. ALA is absorbed and metabolized into protoporphyrin IX, which accumulates in sebaceous glands and epithelial cells. Porphyrins transfer energy to oxygen, to generate active oxygen molecules, such as singlet oxygen and superoxide anion free radicals, which are electrophilic and effectively oxidize molecules to cause toxicity in epithelial cells.
cells (6,7). Studies on the optimal drug concentration, exposure dose, and contact time for East Asian populations are in the exploratory stage. The present study investigated the use of 5-ALA-PDT to treat 24 patients with acne vulgaris from June 2011 to December 2012.

Materials and methods

Subjects. A total of 50 patients were randomly divided equally into a control group and a therapy group. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and with approval from the Ethics Committee of Fuzhou General Hospital (Fuzhou, China). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Enrollment criteria. The acne vulgaris of the patients was graded in terms of property and severity as follows: Low grade, which presented with only acne; moderate grade, which was acne that presented with inflammatory papules and pustules; and severe grade, which is acne that presented with inflammatory papules, nodules, cysts and scars.

Exclusion criteria. The exclusion criteria were as follows: i) use of any topical antibiotics within 2 weeks of the study or intake of systemic oral antibiotics within 4 weeks of the study; ii) use of systemic retinoids within 6 months of the study; iii) porphyria or facial atopic dermatitis; iv) pregnancy or lactation; v) history of keloid or photosensitivity disorders; vi) photosensitive eczema or autoimmune diseases; and vii) use of anti-acne medication such as prophylactics, glucocorticoid and photosensitizers.

Treatment methods. The 5% ALA solution was prepared by Shanghai Fudan-Zhangjiang Bio-Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Prior to ALA application, the skin was cleansed with 70% isopropyl alcohol. Then, 20% topical ALA was applied for 90 min under plastic film occlusion and exposed three times for 20 min to red light (LED-IB photodynamic therapy instrument, Wuhan Yage Optic and Electronic Technique Co. Ltd., Wuhan, China; wavelength, 633±10 nm; energy density, 10 mW/cm²; standard of energy, 120 J/cm²) once a week. The control group was exposed to red light three times for 20 min once a week. Both treatments were for 3 weeks.

Side-effects, including itching, pain, erythema, hyperpigmentation and exfoliation, were recorded during the course of treatment. Clinical photographs were taken prior to and following treatment and at every follow-up every 2 weeks for 6 weeks.

Clinical evaluation. The acne of each patient was evaluated using an inflammatory acne score modified from previously described criteria (8). The classifications used in this study accounted for both the number and the size of the lesions. The number of comedones, inflammatory comedones, papules, pustules, nodules and cysts in each test area were recorded. The effects were evaluated in terms of the reduction rate of the acne lesions. Reduction rate was calculated as follows: Reduction rate (%) = (number of comedones before treatment - number of comedones after treatment)/number of comedones before treatment x 100. Skin lesions with ≥90% improvement were classified as cured, skin lesions with 60-89% improvement were classified as excellent effect, skin lesions with 30-59% improvement were classified as fair effect and skin lesions with <30% improvement or exacerbations were classified as no effect. The total effective rate (TER) was computed as follows: TER (%) = (number of cured cases + excellent effect cases)/total number of cases x 100.

Statistical analysis. The data were analyzed using SPSS software version 13.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and a \( \chi^2 \) test was used for two-sample comparisons. A rank sum test was used to compare ages and courses among multiple groups. Differences with P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

General data. Of the 25 patients in the therapy group, 24 patients (13 males and 11 females) completed the course and 1 dropped out of the study for an undisclosed reason. The age of the patients who completed the ALA-PDT treatment ranged from 18 to 33 years, with a mean age of 23.57 years. The courses ranged from 2 months to 11 years, with a mean course duration of 13.25 months. Of the 25 patients in the control group, 23 cases (12 males and 11 females) completed the course. Two cases dropped out of the treatment because of side-effects and/or poor effect. The age of the patients who completed the control treatment ranged from 19 to 32 years, with a mean age of 24.12 years. The courses ranged from 1 month to 12 years, with a mean of 12.36 months. The two groups did not significantly differ in terms of age distribution, gender and courses (P>0.05).

Clinical effects. The effects of treatment in two patients from the therapy group are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. In the therapy group, TERs at 2, 4 and 6 weeks were 54.2, 75.0 and 83.3%, respectively, whereas those in the control group were 26.1, 43.5 and 56.5%, respectively. When the TERs of the treatment and control group were compared, the \( \chi^2 \) values were 3.845, 4.846 and 4.037 and the P-values were 0.050, 0.028 and 0.045, respectively. This result indicates that the 4- and 6-week efficacy rates significantly differed between the two groups, and were greater in the therapy group than in the control group (Table I).

Adverse effects. In the ALA-PDT group, 7 patients experienced burning sensations, pain, erythema and edema with 1-4 days of red light therapy. The side-effects were treated with saline compresses. Three patients had transient hyperpigmentation and were advised to avoid light. Two patients developed small pimples and were diagnosed with acute acneform lesions, which were topically treated successfully with mupirocin ointment. These two patients completed the course and were cured without any adverse effects. In the control group, two patients experienced flushing and dryness of the face. Following treatment with a saline compress, the evident hyperpigmentation and scars disappeared.

Discussion

Light therapies such as PDT have played an important role in dermatological practice. These procedures offer alternatives to
people who seek topical treatments, a quicker onset of action, non-serious side-effects and decreased antibiotic resistance rates. Following 5-ALA-PDT, 5-ALA is absorbed by epithelial cells and synthesized into protoporphyrin IX through the
hemachrome biosynthetic pathway. Photoactivated porphyrin is produced from the formation of singlet oxygen and other potent oxidizers that induce transient antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory effects (9,10). However, this also inhibits secretion by the sebaceous gland and damages its structure (11-13). In a review of 16 randomized and 3 controlled trials, Haedersdal et al (14) investigated the use of physical therapy such as PDT, infrared lasers and intense pulsed light, in the treatment of 587 patients with acne vulgaris. The results indicated that optical treatments for acne vulgaris have short-term efficacy, with the most consistent outcomes achieved through PDT, which minimizes scar formation. The majority of the patients developed ALA photosensitivity that resolved within 24 h (14). In addition, Shaaban et al (15) observed 30 patients with nodulocystic acne vulgaris and compared the effects of intralesional ALA in combination with intense pulsed light (IPL) with the effects of IPL alone. The results demonstrated that ALA-PDT treatment significantly reduces acne lesions to a greater extent than the IPL treatment alone; thus, the authors concluded that PDT is effective in treating acne vulgaris when combined with ALA (15).

The clinical effectiveness of treating acne vulgaris with 5-ALA-PDT is dependent upon the ALA concentration, contact time, light source and dose. Hongcharu et al performed an open-labeled prospective study involving 22 patients with acne vulgaris treated with ALA and red light (16). The patients were treated with 20% topical ALA with 3 h of occlusion with a plastic film, and 150 J/cm² of broadband light (550-700 nm). The results indicated significant clearance of the acne at 20 weeks after four treatments and at 10 weeks after a single PDT treatment using ALA with red light. The results of a study conducted by Oh et al suggested that the outcome of treatment with 10% topical 5-ALA is better with 3 h occlusion than with 0.5 h occlusion in acne vulgaris (17). A study by Wang et al also supported the use of this regimen for treating common acne vulgaris (18). However, Yin et al suggested that the high cost of this therapy in China would discourage patients from undergoing this treatment (19). In the current study, 5% 5-ALA with 1.5 h of occlusion and 20 min of red light three times once a week was used. The total efficacy rates at 2, 4 and 6 weeks showed a significant difference between the control group and the therapy group. This indicates that ALA-PDT is effective against acne vulgaris.

ALA-PDT is not a minor procedure, and has some side-effects, such as pain, edema and burning and itching sensations, even erythema, hyperpigmentation and exfoliation (20-22), which are of concern to patients. A review found that every patient experienced a burning sensation that lasted for several minutes (~5 min) during ALA-PDT treatment, however this symptom eventually resolved during the course of the treatment. Additionally, almost each patient suffered from mild or moderate erythema in the affected area that lasted for 1-5 days; however, most of the patients (85.46%) recovered within 2 days. Higher ALA concentrations correlate with longer persistence of the erythema (23).

In the present study, 7 cases presented with different degrees of burning sensation, pain, erythema and edema, 3 cases had hyperpigmentation, and 2 cases had acute acne lesions. It is hypothesized that these symptoms, as well as the superficial capillary vasodilatation, were the body's response to the ALA-PDT and red light, which required only minor treatment. The hyperpigmentation may be associated with the ALA concentration or light dose. Photosensitizers accumulate in the epidermis to cause skin exfoliation. Generally, this symptom appeared within 3-4 days after treatment, but resolved within 1 month. In addition, patients were asked to avoid light with 24 h after treatment. Furthermore, the patients were asked to apply an antioxidant such as vitamin C prior to going outside to prevent hyperpigmentation.

In conclusion, the results of the present study indicate that 5% 5-ALA with 1.5 h of occlusion in addition to irradiation with red light for 20 min three times once a week is an effective treatment for moderate-to-severe acne vulgaris. Moreover, the few side-effects of 5-ALA-PDT and the short therapy course are likely to improve patient compliance. Additionally, 5% 5-ALA is easy to apply, the procedure is simple and the treatment does not induce resistance. Thus, 5-ALA-PDT treatment is recommended for clinical application in the treatment of acne vulgaris.
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