Effects of laparoscopic radical gastrectomy and the influence on immune function and inflammatory factors

ZHAO MA, XUEBIN BAO and JUNBAO GU

Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, The People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450003, P.R. China

Received February 17, 2016; Accepted May 23, 2016

DOI: 10.3892/etm.2016.3404

Abstract. The effects of laparoscopic radical gastrectomy were observed, and changes in immune function and inflammatory factors of gastric cancer patients were examined. In total, 236 cases of laparoscopic radical gastrectomy were selected between March 2014 and October 2015 and divided into the control and experimental groups. The control group was treated using open radical gastrectomy, while laparoscopic radical gastrectomy was used in the experimental group. Treatment effects, immune function and inflammatory factor in the two groups were compared. Compared to the open radical gastrectomy group, surgery time in the laparoscopic radical gastrectomy group was longer, while blood loss during operation, time of exsufflation through anus after operation, duration of acesodyne use, length of stay and incidence of complications were lower, and the differences were statistically significant (P<0.05). As for the amount of lymph node dissection, differences between the two groups were of no statistical significance (P>0.05). CD3+, CD4+ and CD4+/CD8+ cell ratios in the two groups 1 and 7 days after surgery were obviously lower than those before surgery (P<0.05) while CD8+ was higher. In addition, compared with the open radical gastrectomy group, CD3+, CD4+, CD4+/CD8+ cell ratios in the laparoscopic radical gastrectomy group increased while CD8 was lower, and differences were statistically significant (P<0.05). Differences of interleukin (IL)-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and CRP between the two groups 1 day before surgery were of no statistical significance (P>0.05). One day after surgery, IL-6, TNF and CRP in the two groups increased (P<0.05) and the values in the open radical gastrectomy group were higher (P<0.05). Differences in IL-6 between the two groups 7 days after surgery were of no statistical significance (P>0.05). However, for CRP and TNF, the two values gradually decreased and the differences between the groups were of statistical significance (P<0.05).

In conclusion, laparoscopic radical gastrectomy has better treatment effects, lower inflammatory response, less impact on the immune system and fewer complications, which is worth clinical consideration.

Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is the second leading cause of mortality and the fourth most common cancer in the world (1). GC has a high prevalence in Asian countries, including China (2). Currently, surgery is the only treatment approach. However, two thirds of patients present with inoperable locally advanced or metastatic disease at diagnosis as well as local and distant recurrence after curative gastrectomy (3). In addition, combinatorial chemotherapy, which remains the mainstream treatment for these patients, is associated with poor prognosis (5-year survival rate of <10%) (4). Although a number of novel targeted therapeutics for gastric cancer have been identified (5-7), successful treatment is scarce and novel forms of treatment strategies are being intensively investigated.

Since the seminal application of laparoscopic radical gastrectomy in patients with advanced gastric cancer in 1991 (8), laparoscopic radical gastrectomy has been increasingly used as a promising approach, although laparoscopic radical gastrectomy is technically demanding and requires a long learning curve (9). As a minimally invasive surgery, laparoscopic radical gastrectomy has advantages such as small incisions, less trauma, bleeding and complications (8,9).

In the present study, the clinical effects of laparoscopic radical gastrectomy were observed to examine its influences on immune function and inflammatory factors. The results showed that laparoscopic radical gastrectomy has better treatment effects, lower inflammatory response, less impact on the immune system and fewer complications.

Materials and methods

Materials. A total of 236 cases of gastric cancer patients received radical gastrectomy in the People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University (Henan, China) between March 2014 and October 2015. The patients included 148 men and 88 women, with an age range of 30-72 years and an average age of 51.32±14.40 years. Tumor location included 59 cases in the gastric region, 97 cases in the gastric antrum, 30 cases in the gastric body and 50 cases in the gastric angle. The tumor grossly presented as a small polypoid tumor in most cases, with an average size of 30.00±24.30 mm. The tumor was located in the greater curvature in 59 cases, the lesser curvature in 107 cases, and the posterior wall in 60 cases. The patients were randomly divided into the experimental group (laparoscopic radical gastrectomy) and the control group (open radical gastrectomy) with 118 cases in each group. The experimental group was treated using laparoscopic radical gastrectomy, while the control group was treated using open radical gastrectomy. The choice of surgical technique was determined by the attending surgeon.
the junction of the gastric region and gastric antrum, 23 cases in the stomach incisura and 27 cases at the bottom of the cardiac stomach. All the patients received gastrointestinal barium meal, endoscopy and abdominal CT examination prior to surgery to confirm pathology. Chest X-ray and ultrasonic testing were performed to ascertain whether there were liver metastases or metastatic sites. Patients were randomly divided into the laparoscopic radical gastrectomy (129 cases) and open radical gastrectomy groups (107 cases). Differences between the two groups in terms of age, gender, body mass index, tumor location and TNM pathological stage were of no statistical significance ($P>0.05$).

**Surgery.** The 236 patients received general anesthesia and intubation prior to surgery. Open radical gastrectomy was performed on the control group while laparoscopic radical gastrectomy was used on the experimental group. In the experimental group, after umbilical puncture, $CO_2$ pneumoperitoneum was established with intra-abdominal pressure maintained at 13-14 mmHg. Punctures were created at 12 mm below the costal margin of the left anterior axillary line, 5 cm left of the navel, 5 mm below the costal margin of the right anterior axillary line and 12 mm upper of the right mid-clavicular line to position a sheathed needle and laparoscopic camera lens for exploration of the abdomen. This assessment determined whether the tumor metastasized onto organs and peripheral viscera. Proximal subtotal gastrectomy or distal gastrectomy was then selected based on patient findings. Symptomatic and supportive treatments such as routine disinfection were performed after surgery.

**Observation index and testing method.** Immune function indices such as interleukin (IL)-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF), CRP, CD3$^+$, CD4$^+$, CD8$^+$ and NK were tested in the two groups after surgery. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was used to test IL-6 and TNF. CRP was tested using the immune suspension method. CD3$^+$, CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ cells were tested using FACScan flow cytometry (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Furthermore, surgery time, blood loss during operation, amount of lymph node dissection, time of exsufflation through anus after operation, duration of acesodyne use, length of stay and incidence of complications in the two groups were observed and compared.

**Statistical analysis.** SPSS 19.0 statistical software (SPSS software Inc. (Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Data were shown as mean ± standard deviation. Comparisons were made using the t-test. The $\chi^2$ test was used for enumeration data. $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Comparison of patients in the two groups after surgery.** Compared with the open radical gastrectomy group, surgery time in the laparoscopic radical gastrectomy group was longer while blood loss during the operation, time of exsufflation through anus after operation, duration of acesodyne use, length of stay and incidence of complications were obviously lower, and the differences were statistically significant ($P<0.05$). There were no obvious differences between the two groups regarding the amount of lymph node dissection, which was of no statistical significance ($P>0.05$) (Table I).

**Changes of IL-6, TNF and CRP in two groups before and after surgery.** Differences of IL-6, TNF and CRP in two groups 1 day prior to surgery were of no statistical significance ($P>0.05$). One day after surgery, IL-6, TNF and CRP in the two groups were increased ($P<0.05$) and the values in open radical gastrectomy group were higher ($P<0.05$). Differences of IL-6 in the two groups 7 days after surgery were of no statistical significance ($P>0.05$), but CRP and TNF values decreased gradually and their differences in the two groups were of statistical significance ($P<0.05$) (Table II).

**Comparison of immune cell testing results in the two groups.** CD3$^+$, CD4$^+$ and CD4$^+$/CD8$^+$ cell ratios in the two groups 1 and 7 days after surgery were obviously lower than those prior to surgery ($P<0.05$) while CD8$^+$ was higher. In addition, compared with the other groups, CD3$^+$, CD4$^+$, CD4$^+$/CD8$^+$ cell ratios in laparoscopic radical gastrectomy group were increased while CD8$^+$ was lower, and differences were of statistical significance ($P<0.05$) (Table III).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Surgery time (min)</th>
<th>Blood loss during surgery (ml)</th>
<th>Exsufflation through anus after surgery (h)</th>
<th>Lymph node dissection (piece)</th>
<th>Acesodyne used (days)</th>
<th>Length of stay (days)</th>
<th>Incidence rate of complications (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopic radical gastrectomy group</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>232.56±45.62$^a$</td>
<td>188.86±78.33$^a$</td>
<td>13.8±1.5$^a$</td>
<td>21.34±7.56$^a$</td>
<td>2.7±0.7$^a$</td>
<td>12.1±1.8$^a$</td>
<td>13$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open radical gastrectomy group</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>182.34±47.62</td>
<td>318.26±82.34</td>
<td>23.6±5.4</td>
<td>22.66±8.72</td>
<td>5.6±1.1</td>
<td>15.2±2.3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T/\chi^2$-value</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.345</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>3.231</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>13.627</td>
<td>2.236</td>
<td>4.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared with open radical gastrectomy group, $^aP<0.05$, $^bP>0.05$
Compared with before surgery, stress contribute to a better understanding of their influence on immune status. Comparisons between open and laparoscopic radical gastrectomy regarding the degree of trauma and metastasis of malignant tumor after surgery are closely related to immune function. Immunosuppression is caused because of stress response (13,14). Stress response is closely related to IL-6 and TNF levels are commonly used in indexes in studying inflammatory reactions through a series of responses, causing the release of inflammatory mediators, which contributes to negative treatment outcomes. Inflammatory responses are stronger with larger wounds. Surgical wounds activate inflammatory reactions through MHC (17,18) on APC. D4 is a helper and inducer T cell, that may release a large amount of cytokines after being activated by antigen. D4+CD8+/CD8− mediates by T cells. T-cell subsets such as CD3+, CD4+, CD8+ and CD4+/CD8+ are able to directly reflect immune functions of gastric cancer patients after surgery (6). CD3+ is a peripheral mature T lymphocyte that can assist TCR in recognizing MHC (17,18) on APC. D4+ is a helper and inducer T cell, that may release a large amount of cytokines after being activated by antigen.

### Table II. Changes of IL-6, TNF and CRP in the two groups before and after surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>IL-6 (ng/l)</th>
<th>CRP (ng/l)</th>
<th>TNF (ng/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopic radical</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>Before surgery</td>
<td>8.2±2.3</td>
<td>12.4±2.1</td>
<td>7.68±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastrectomy group</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 day after surgery</td>
<td>18.3±4.6b</td>
<td>27.8±3.5b</td>
<td>12.79±0.13b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 days after surgery</td>
<td>10.7±3.4b</td>
<td>11.5±2.9b</td>
<td>7.48±0.23a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open radical</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>Before surgery</td>
<td>8.1±2.1</td>
<td>12.2±2.2</td>
<td>8.11±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastrectomy group</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 day after surgery</td>
<td>42.6±7.4b</td>
<td>38.4±5.7b</td>
<td>18.21±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 days after surgery</td>
<td>11.5±3.5b</td>
<td>19.6±4.5b</td>
<td>10.35±0.30b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared with open radical gastrectomy group, P<0.05; compared with before surgery, a,b P<0.05. IL, interleukin; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

### Table III. Comparison of immune cell testing results in the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>CD3+ (%)</th>
<th>CD4+ (%)</th>
<th>CD8+ (%)</th>
<th>CD4+/CD8+ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopic radical</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>Before surgery</td>
<td>61.25±3.47</td>
<td>31.84±2.23</td>
<td>26.56±0.65</td>
<td>1.23±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastrectomy group</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 day after surgery</td>
<td>54.35±3.38b</td>
<td>27.85±3.13b</td>
<td>27.86±0.52b</td>
<td>0.98±0.07b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 days after surgery</td>
<td>58.69±3.14b</td>
<td>29.25±3.24b</td>
<td>27.21±0.32b</td>
<td>1.18±0.15b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open radical</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>Before surgery</td>
<td>61.32±3.34</td>
<td>31.78±2.33</td>
<td>26.57±0.74</td>
<td>1.22±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastrectomy group</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 day after surgery</td>
<td>50.12±3.32</td>
<td>24.47±2.62</td>
<td>29.93±0.25</td>
<td>0.76±0.05a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 days after surgery</td>
<td>51.31±2.88</td>
<td>27.06±2.68</td>
<td>28.85±0.26</td>
<td>0.85±0.06a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared with before surgery, a,b P<0.05; compared with open radical gastrectomy group, a,b P<0.05.

### Discussion

Currently, it is believed that laparoscopic radical gastrectomy is notable for minimal trauma and quick recovery after surgery (10-12). In the present study, when the open radical gastrectomy group was compared with patients undergoing laparoscopic radical gastrectomy, the latter group required more surgical time, but was associated with less blood loss during the operation, which supported a rapid recovery. Surgical wounds activate inflammatory reactions through a series of responses, causing the release of inflammatory mediators, which contributes to negative treatment outcomes. Inflammatory responses are stronger with larger wounds. Compared with the open radical gastrectomy group, the anus exhaustion time of patients in the laparoscopic group was shorter, thus the laparoscopic radical gastrectomy contributed to more rapid patient recovery.

Surgical operations are a major acute reactive protein obtained by synthesis of MHC (17,18) on APC. D4+CD8+/CD8− mediates by T cells. T-cell subsets such as CD3+, CD4+, CD8+ and CD4+/CD8+ are able to directly reflect immune functions of gastric cancer patients after surgery (6). CD3+ is a peripheral mature T lymphocyte that can assist TCR in recognizing MHC (17,18) on APC. D4+ is a helper and inducer T cell, that may release a large amount of cytokines after being activated by antigen. Therefore, it can be concluded that laparoscopic radical gastrectomy is shown to minimize trauma, stress response and accelerate recovery after surgery. One possible reason is that laparoscopic surgery causes less tissue damage and has a lower rate of infection, therefore, with less impact on global physiological function.

As a minimally invasive surgery, laparoscopic surgery has its own advantages, but may cause certain stress responses, influencing immune function (15,16). During surgical treatment for gastric cancer patients, analysis on immune functions before and after surgery may reflect indirectly the relationship that trauma in surgery has with immunological function repression. The immune response of an organism to tumor is mainly mediated by T cells. T-cell subsets such as CD3+, CD4+, CD8+ and CD4+/CD8+ are able to directly reflect immune functions of gastric cancer patients after surgery (6). CD3+ is a peripheral mature T lymphocyte that can assist TCR in recognizing MHC (17,18) on APC. D4+ is a helper and inducer T cell, that may release a large amount of cytokines after being activated.
and help increase antitumor effects. CD8+ is also known as a cytotoxic T cell and is capable of cleaning viruses and adhesions (19,20). CD4+/CD8 can reflect the immune balance of an organism, if the ratio is low, it means that body immune function is decreasing and may increase tumor proliferation. Of note, a low ratio is commonly regarded as the index for severe disease or a poor prognosis (21-23). In the present study, CD3+, CD4+ and CD4+/CD8+ cell ratios in two groups 1 and 7 days after surgery were obviously lower than those before surgery (P<0.05) while CD8+ was higher. In addition, compared with the other group, CD3+, CD4+, CD4+/CD8+ cell ratios in the laparoscopic radical gastrectomy group were increased while CD8 was lower with the differences being of statistical significance (P<0.05). This also demonstrates that laparoscopic surgery produces less trauma and impact on immune functions, which is good for recovery after surgery and has a high clinical reference value.

In conclusion, compared with the open radical gastrectomy group, there is less trauma and change on immune function in the laparoscopic radical gastrectomy group, which promotes good recovery. Therefore, it is believed that laparoscopic surgery is a safe, reliable and feasible surgery worth clinical consideration.
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