Association between clusterin gene polymorphism rs11136000 and late-onset Alzheimer's disease susceptibility: A review and meta-analysis of case-control studies

WENJIN $\mathrm{DU}^1, \ \mathrm{JIPING} \ \mathrm{TAN}^2, \ \mathrm{WEI} \ \mathrm{XU}^1, \ \mathrm{JINWEN} \ \mathrm{CHEN}^1 \ \mathrm{and} \ \ \mathrm{LUNING} \ \mathrm{WANG}^2$

¹Department of Geriatric Neurology, Chinese PLA General Hospital of the Air Force, Beijing 100142; ²Department of Geriatric Neurology, Clinical Division of South Building,

Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, P.R. China

Received January 13, 2015; Accepted January 28, 2016

DOI: 10.3892/etm.2016.3734

Abstract. The present study aimed to evaluate the association between rs11136000 in clusterin (CLU) and late-onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD) by meta-analysis. Several databases including PubMed, EMbase, CBMdisc and CMCC were searched for relevant case-control studies based on defined selection criteria. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of the rs11136000 genotype and allele distribution were analyzed with RevMan and Stata software. The control population and heterogeneity between populations were examined in the selected studies using the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Overall OR among the frequencies of the genotype and allele in both patients with AD and controls was estimated using fixed or random effect models. The summary of the OR and 95% CI were then analyzed to obtain the effects across the studies. Publication bias was examined using a funnel plot, Egger's test and Begg's test, and a Fail-safe Number (N_{fs}). A total of 20 reports were used. The summary OR for studies in the Caucasian population with a frequency of TT+TC/CC genotype and T/C allele at rs11136000 locus in CLU were 0.79 (95% CI, 0.73-0.86; P<0.00001) and 0.87 (95% CI, 0.85-0.90; P<0.00001). The summary OR for the studies conducted in the Asian population were 0.90 (95% CI, 0.81-0.99; P=0.04) and 0.87 (95% CI, 0.81-0.93; P<0.0001). The summary OR in other mixed ethnic groups with regards to the frequency of T/C allele was 0.82 (95% CI, 0.68-0.99; P=0.04). These results demonstrated the presence of a statistically significant difference in LOAD susceptibility between individuals with the T allele CLU rs11136000 polymorphism and those without. The studies conducted in populations of African descent or Hispanics showed no statistically significant difference. Negligible publi-

Key words: clusterin, rs11136000, Alzheimer's disease, meta-analysis

cation bias was present, with N_{fs} being 750.604. In summary, polymorphism rs11136000 in the *CLU* gene may contribute to susceptibility to LOAD, and the presence of the T allele may reduce the risk of LOAD in Caucasian and Asian populations. However, no definitive association was found between the presence of the *CLU* rs11136000 polymorphism and LOAD in populations of African or Hispanic descent.

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder with progressive cognitive impairment. Molecular genetic studies have demonstrated the presence of complex genetic heterogeneity in AD (1-3). Previous investigations reported that certain gene mutations are associated with familial early-onset AD, such as amyloid-ß precursor protein, presenilin I and presenilin II (3-5). In addition, it was demonstrated that there are likely numerous gene polymorphisms that are risk factors for late-onset AD (LOAD), but to date, only the apolipoprotein E (APOE) ɛ4 allele has been widely confirmed as a susceptibility gene for LOAD (1). However, the APOE gene polymorphism may only contribute to 50% of genetic susceptibility (1). This suggests there may be other genes that could influence susceptibility to the onset of LOAD. The investigation of other susceptibility genes would facilitate the research on LOAD pathogenesis.

In 1996, the clusterin (*CLU*) gene was suggested to be a potential AD susceptibility gene (2). The *CLU* gene is located at p21-p12 of chromosome 8 (5). The protein expressed by *CLU* is known as apolipoprotein J which is secreted by neurons and astrocytes, and being the second-largest glycoprotein in the brain following APOE, it has similar biological functions to APOE (5). *CLU* is expressed in cerebrospinal fluid and amyloid plaques in brain tissues, is able to combine with β -amyloid peptide to participate in the processes of metabolism, transportation and clearance of amyloid- β (A β), and has an important role in the cell cycle regulation and lipid metabolism of AD (3-5). A previous study reported that the *CLU* gene may be associated with AD (2). Unfortunately, no gene locus identified in the earliest study regarding the correlation between *CLU* gene variants and AD (2).

Correspondence to: Professor Jiping Tan, Department of Geriatric Neurology, Clinical Division of South Building, Chinese PLA General Hospital, 28 Fuxing Road, Beijing 100853, P.R. China E-mail: jipingtancn@126.com

With the advance of molecular genetic technology, it became possible to include significantly greater numbers of individuals in the disease-association studies, thereby allowing the identification of more potential disease susceptibility genes. In October 2009, 'Nature Genetics' simultaneously published two AD-associated large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS) completed by Lambert et al (6) and Harold et al (7), which both confirmed that the CLU gene single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs11136000 was associated with LOAD. Another meta-analysis of genome-wide detection also suggested that the p22-p21.1 of chromosome 8, namely the CLU chromosomal locus, was a LOAD-associated susceptibility region (8). Since then, the association between AD and CLU variants has once again become the focus of numerous studies, in which various experiments were carried out in an attempt to demonstrated the correlation (9-26). However, the results of these studies were not concordant.

The present investigation reviewed and conducted a meta-analysis of previously published case-control studies on the correlation between the *CLU* gene polymorphism rs11136000 and LOAD both in China and abroad. The increased sample size could improve the significance of the results, and subgroup analyses of various ethnicities could eliminate possible ethnic differences. The aim of the present study was to provide objective evidence-based results for the association between *CLU* gene polymorphisms and AD susceptibility.

Materials and methods

Subjects. The previously published case-control studies regarding the association between *CLU* gene variants and LOAD in various ethnic groups were reviewed. The patients with LOAD in these studies were selected as study cases, and the *CLU* rs11136000 polymorphism as the locus of interest, which in the previous studies was demonstrated to be significant although controversial. The control groups in these studies were healthy participants of the same ethnicity as the cases studied. The genotype frequencies of TT, TC and CC, as well as the allele frequencies of T and C, in the case and control groups of these studies were obtained for meta-analysis. The control groups in this study were healthy participants with the same ethnicity as the cases studied.

Literature search. A systematic search was conducted using PubMed (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed), EMbase (store. elsevier.com/embase), CBMdisc (www.cnki.com.cn), CMCC, Wanfang (www.wanfangdata.com.cn), and other databases in English or Chinese (the last search was updated on August 31, 2014), with 'Clusterin AND Alzheimer disease' as MeSH terms, and 'Clusterin AND Alzheimer' and 'rs11136000' as free terms. Through literature retrospection, the references of the retrieved studies were further reviewed to ensure that a comprehensive selection of the relevant studies was carried out. According to the abstracts provided by the databases, the original sources of the studies were located in order to obtain full texts and complete data.

Inclusion criteria and quality control. All the participanting authors evaluated the quality of the selected studies and reached a consensus regarding the appropriate inclusion criteria and

quality control. Studies meeting the following criteria were included in the meta-analysis: 1) Case-control studies involving the polymorphism rs11136000; 2) LOAD cases diagnosed according to the internationally accepted standards, DSM-IV and NINCDS-ADRDA (27), with the controls being local matched healthy individuals; 3) sample size was explicit, genotype and allele frequency data could be obtained, original data was provided directly or could be used to calculate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), and information was sufficient to compare the statistical differences between the groups; 4) experimental methods for detecting gene polymorphisms were scientific and rigorous; and 5) allele frequency met the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). The articles published with incomplete data were excluded from the meta-analysis. Among repeatedly reported studies, those with the most complete information were used.

Statistical analysis. RevMan5.2 version 5.2 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and Stata 11.0 software version 11.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) were used for statistical analysis.

HWE test. A χ^2 test was used to investigate the HWE of rs11136000 allele distributions among the selected studies, in order to verify the representativeness of the study population. Studies in which the allele frequency of the control group did not satisfy HWE law were removed.

Heterogeneity test. A Q test was performed to examine the heterogeneity among the selected studies. RevMan software provided the P-value of the Q tests, with P<0.10 considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Different effect models for meta-analysis were selected based on the results of the Q tests.

Selection of statistical models. If there was no statistically significant heterogeneity among the results of the studies, a Peto Mantel-Haenszel fixed-effect model was used to determine the overall OR. If there was statistically significant heterogeneity, a Dersimonian-Laird random-effect model was used. The RevMan software provided the overall OR and its 95% CI.

Test for overall effect. Forest plots were provided by the RevMan software, on which the Z and P-values were shown on the 'test for overall effect', with P<0.05 considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Bias analysis. Funnel plots were generated by the RevMan software for the preliminary analysis of publication bias. Using the Stata software, Egger's linear regression and Begg's rank correlation tests were performed to objectively evaluate publication bias and to verify the symmetry of the funnel plots, with P<0.10 considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Lastly, the fail-safe number (N_{fs}) was calculated to further measure publication bias using the following formula: N_{fs0.05} = ($\Sigma Z/1.64$)² - k. The larger the N_{fs} value, the smaller the bias.

Results

Characteristics of eligible studies. Following a literature review and further screening according to the inclusion criteria, 20 studies were included in the meta-analysis (Fig. 1) (6,7,9-26), all of which were published after 2009 in foreign biomedical journals, but not reported in Chinese journals. The study popula-





Figure 1. Flowchart for the screening of articles for meta-analysis.

tion of these investigations included Caucasian, Hispanic, and Asian populations, populations of African descent, as well as other ethnicities, of which 12 were from Europe or America, and 8 were from Asia. A total of 32,017 individuals with LOAD were included as cases, whose diagnoses were predominantly based on the clinical criteria, with only a minority diagnosed based on pathological evidence. A total of 43,956 individuals were included as controls, in which the allele distributions were in accordance with the HWE. The characteristics of the eligible studies are shown in Table I. The genotype and allele frequencies of the *CLU* gene polymorphism rs11136000 are shown in Table II.

Considering ethnic differences, subgroup meta-analyses were conducted according to five ethnicities (Caucasian, Asian, African descent, Hispanic and other/mixed ethnicities). Using the (TT+TC)/CC genotype model (Fig. 2), a significant heterogeneity was observed in the Caucasian population with P=0.0004, although no significant heterogeneity was observed in the Asian population with P=0.38. Using the T/C allele model (Fig. 3), statistically significant heterogeneity was not observed in the Caucasian population with P=0.57, nor in the Asian (P=0.47) or other/mixed ethnicity populations (P=0.27). No heterogeneity tests were required in the ethnic subgroups in which only one study was eligible.

Meta-analysis. The random-effect model was used to test for the overall effect of the (TT+TC)/CC genotype model in the Caucasian population (Fig. 2A), in which a statistically significant difference was observed (overall OR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.73-0.86; P<0.00001). The fixed-effect model was used in the Asian population for the (TT+TC)/CC genotype model (Fig. 2B), and a statistically significant difference was also observed (overall OR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.81-0.99; P=0.04). The fixed-effect model was used in the Caucasian, Asian and other/mixed ethnicity populations for the T/C allele model (Fig. 3), and statistically significant differences were observed for all ethnicities (Caucasian: Overall OR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.85-0.90; P<0.00001; Asian: Overall OR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.81-0.93; P<0.0001; other/mixed ethnicities: Overall OR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.68-0.99; P=0.04). Statistically significant differences were not however observed in the populations of African descent (overall OR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.88-1.28; P=0.54) or Hispanics (overall OR, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.93-1.30; P=0.27) for the T/C allele model (Fig. 3).

Evaluation of publication bias. The RevMan funnel plot for the genotype model exhibited relative symmetry, whereas the allele model exhibited incomplete symmetry (Fig. 4). Following Egger's linear regression analysis and Begg's rank correlation test, it was demonstrated that the studies on genotype had no statistically significant publication bias (bias factors, -0.156; P=0.658 of Egger's; P=0.584 of Begg's), whereas those on alleles did have some bias (bias factors, -16.716; P=0.002 of Egger's; P=0.009 of Begg's) (Table III and Fig. 5). N_{fs0.05} was equal to 750.604, which was large enough to warrant ignoring the publication bias.

Discussion

The *CLU* gene is located on p21-p12 of human chromosome 8, with CLU as its encoded product, which has various physiological functions, including participating in lipid metabolism (28), oxidative stress reaction (29), and cell cycle

meta-analysis.
the
in.
led
nclue
S II
studie
the
of
cteristics
. Chara
Ë.
Table

				LOAD			Control			
ncluded studies	Population	Results	Cases (% female)	Diagnostic criteria	Average age of onset	Average age	Case (% female)	Average age	Genotyping	References
Caucasian Lambert <i>et al</i> , 2009	Stage 1 (France)	Pos	2.025 (66%)	J	68.3±9.0	73.7±8.9	5.328 (61%)	73.8±5.4	Illumina infinium	(9)
、	Stage 2 (Italy)	Pos	1,520 (68%)	C	73.8±8.8	76.6±8.7	1,291 (55%)	72.3±8.9	system Illumina infinium	~
	Stage 2 (Spain)	Neg	755 (57%)	C	72.5±9.4	75.3±9.3	833 (62%)	76.9±10.9	system Illumina infinium	
	Stage 2 (Belgium)	Pos	1,084~(66%)	C	74.4±8.6	78.6±8.1	509 (58%)	67.0±12.9	system Illumina infinium	
	Stage 2 (Finland)	Pos	619 (67%)	C	71.4±7.5	71.4±7.5	664 (60%)	69.2 1 6.0	system Illumina infinium	
Harold <i>et al</i> , 2009	Stage 1 (USA)	Pos	1,159 (58%)	Μ	73.5	80.7	1,783 (56%)	68.1	system Illumina infinium	(2)
	Stage 1 (UK, Ireland)	Pos	2,227 (65%)	Μ	72.9	79.7	5,241 (53%)	51.2	system Illumina infinium	
	Stage 1 (Germany)	Pos	555 (64%)	C	70.5	72.9	824 (51%)	56.5	system Illumina infinium	
Giedraitis <i>et al</i> , 2009	Sweden (ULSAM)	Neg	86(0%)	C	80.2	I	404 (0%)	81.8	system Illumina	(6)
-		;		¢					GoldenGate assay	
Golenkina <i>et al</i> , 2010 Jun <i>et al</i> , 2010	Russian USA (ADGC-C)	Neg Pos	534 (-) 5,935 (-)	Z C	1 1	1 1	702 (-) 7,034 (-)	1 1	- Illumina or	(10) (17)
Seshadri <i>et al</i> , 2010	Spain (ACE)	Pos	1,140 (70%)	C	I	78.8±7.9	1,209 (53%)	49.9 <u>+</u> 9.2	affymetrix arrays Illumina or	(24)
Carrasquillo <i>et al</i> , 2010	NSA USA	Pos	1,829 (-)	Μ	I	I	2,576 (-)	I	affymetrix arrays TaqMan SNP	(13)
Schjeide et al, 2011	Germany	Neg	214	C	I	I	211 (-)	ı	genotyping assays OpenArray	(23)
	USA	Pos	2,654	Μ	I	I	1,175 (-)	ı	genotyping system OpenArray	
									genotyping system	

led.	
ntinı	
Ō	5
<u> </u>	ł
Table	

				LOAD			Control			
Included studies	Population	Results	Cases (% female)	Diagnostic criteria	Average age of onset	Average age	Case (% female)	Average age	Genotyping	References
Bettens et al, 2012	Stage 1 (Belgium) Stage 2 (France)	Pos Neg	$1,057 (66\%) \\ 1,465 (66\%)$	σσ	74.9±8.9 69.5±8.2	1 1	873 (57.4%) 717 (62.3%)	65.1±14.9 74.0±8.0	PCR PCR	(12)
Kamboh <i>et al</i> , 2012	Stage 2 (Canada) USA	Neg Neg	323 (55%) 1,348 (66%)	M C	75.3±9.7 72.6±6.4	1 1	250 (60.0%) 1,359 (61%)	73.0±10.2 74.7±6.5	PCR TaqMan SNP	(18)
Carrasquillo <i>et al</i> , 2014	USA	Pos	54 (76%)	Z	ı	I	2,523 (56.7%)	I	genotyping assays TaqMan SNP	(14)
Asian Yu <i>et al</i> , 2010	China	Neg	324 (56%)	U	I	76.8±5.5	388 (54%)	75.9±4.6	MALDI-TOF mass	(25)
Gu <i>et al</i> , 2011	Indiana	Neg	106 (56%)	U	I	76.7±7.0	98 (55.1%)	76.1±7.1	spectrometry PCR	(11)
Ohara et al, 2012	Japan	Pos	824 (77%)	C	I	83.2±6.5	2,933 (56.0%)	60.2 ± 11.5	Invader assay	(22)
Lin <i>et al</i> , 2012	Taiwan	Pos	268 (-)	C	I	ı	389 (-)	ı	1	(19)
Chen et al, 2012	Hong Kong	Neg	462 (-)	C	I	I	350 (-)	I	Sequenom platform	(15)
Ma <i>et al</i> , 2012	China	Neg	127 (58%)	C	I	73.1±8.6	143 (55.2%)	73.8±6.3	PCR-RFLP	(20)
Miyashita <i>et al</i> , 2013	Stage 1 (Japan)	Pos	1,008 (72%)	C	73.0±4.3	ı	1,016(57%)	77.0±5.9	Affymetrix GeneChip	(21)
	Stage 3 (Korean)	Pos	339 (72%)	U	I	73.7±9.5	1,129~(49%)	71.0±4.9	6.0 microarrays TaqMan assays	
Lu <i>et al</i> , 2014	Stage 2 (China)	Neg	499 (55%)	C	I	70.0 ± 10.0	592 (59.3%)	68.9 ± 9.4	PCR-RFLP	(26)
African descent Jun <i>et al</i> , 2010	USA (ADGC-AA)	Neg	462 (-)	Μ	I	I	449 (-)	I	Illumina 660Quad	(17)
Hispanics Jun <i>et al</i> , 2010	USA (ADGC-H)	Neg	549 (-)	Μ	I	I	544 (-)	I	Illumina HumanHap	(17)
Other/Mixed Jun <i>et al</i> , 2010	USA	Neg	124 (-)	Μ	I	1	142 (-)	I	1000 1 Curp Illumina 660Quad	(17)
Ferrari et al, 2012	(ADGC-Wadi Ara) UK	Pos	342 (59%)	C	76.8±8.6	ı	277 (64.6%)	70.2±8.6	TaqMan SNP	(16)
									genotyping assays	

Pos, positive: statistically significant; Neg, negative: non statistically significant; Alzheimer's disease diagnostic criteria: C, clinical criteria; N, neuropathological criteria; M, mixture of clinical and neuropatho-logical criteria; -, no data obtained; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; RFLP, restriction fragment length polymorphism; MALDI-TOF, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization time-of-flight; ADGC-C, the Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium-Caucasian; ADGC-AA, the ADGC-African American; ADGC-H; ADGC-Hispanics; ADGC-Wadi Ara, ADGC-Wadi Arab.



2920

Table II. Distribution of CLU rs11136000 genotypes and alleles among LOAD cases and controls in the included studies.

			Gen	otype distr	ibution (%	(9				IIA	lele distrib	tion (%)		
			LOAD			Control		ΓO	AD	Cont	trol			
Included studies	Studied population	TT	TC	CC	TT	TC	CC	T	С	L	C	OR	95% CI	Z-value
Lambert <i>et al</i> ,	Stage 1 (France)	270	006	869	860	2,561	1,957	1,440	2,638	4,281	6,475	0.83	0.77, 0.89	-5.0122
2009 (6)		(13.2)	(44.1)	(42.6)	(16.0)	(47.6)	(36.4)	(35.3)	(64.7)	(39.8)	(60.2)			
	Stage 2 (Italy)	197	682	601	211	570	482	1,076	1,884	992	1,534	0.88	0.79, 0.99	-2.2241
		(13.3)	(46.1)	(40.6)	(16.7)	(45.1)	(38.2)	(36.4)	(63.6)	(39.3)	(60.7)			
	Stage 2 (Spain)	66	344	305	112	389	309	542	954	613	1,007	0.93	0.81, 1.08	-0.9293
		(13.2)	(46.0)	(40.8)	(13.8)	(48.0)	(38.1)	(36.2)	(63.8)	(37.8)	(62.2)			
	Stage 2 (Belgium)	155	472	408	79	241	171	782	1,288	399	583	0.89	0.76, 1.04	-1.5117
		(15.0)	(45.6)	(39.4)	(16.1)	(49.1)	(34.8)	(37.8)	(62.2)	(40.6)	(59.4)			
	Stage 2 (Finland)	86	286	224	109	323	218	458	734	541	759	0.88	0.75, 1.03	-1.6241
		(14.4)	(48.0)	(37.6)	(16.8)	(49.7)	(33.5)	(38.4)	(61.6)	(41.6)	(58.4)			
Harold et al,	Stage 1 (USA)	163	509	481	328	1,085	774	835	1,471	1,741	2,633	0.86	0.77, 0.95	-2.8678
2009 (7)		(14.1)	(44.1)	(41.7)	(15.0)	(49.6)	(35.4)	(36.2)	(63.8)	(39.8)	(60.2)			
	Stage 1	295	1038	887	787	2323	1,723	1,628	2,812	3,897	5769	0.86	0.80, 0.92	-4.1228
	(UK, Ireland)	(13.3)	(46.8)	(40.0)	(16.3)	(48.1)	(35.7)	(36.7)	(63.3)	(40.3)	(59.7)			
	Stage 1 (Germany)	99	240	233	144	368	294	372	706	656	956	0.76	0.65, 0.89	-3.2328
		(12.2)	(44.5)	(43.2)	(17.5)	(46.8)	(35.7)	(34.5)	(65.5)	(40.7)	(59.3)			
Giedraitis et al,	Sweden	15	31	33	59	166	140	61	<i>L</i> 6	284	446	0.99	0.69, 1.41	-0.0693
2009 (9)		(19.0)	(39.2)	(41.8)	(16.2)	(45.5)	(38.4)	(38.6)	(61.4)	(38.1)	(61.1)			
Golenkina et al,	Russian	58	262	214	66	341	262	378	069	539	865	0.88	0.75, 1.04	-1.5277
2010 (10)		(10.9)	(49.0)	(40.1)	(14.1)	(48.6)	(37.3)	(35.4)	(64.6)	(38.4)	(61.6)			
Jun <i>et al</i> ,	USA (ADGC-C)	I	ı	ı	ı	I	I	I	I	I	ı	0.91	0.85, 0.96	-3.7532
2010 (17)	USA (ADGC-AA)	I	ı	ı	ı	I	I	I	I	ı	ı	1.06	0.89, 1.28	0.6172
	USA (ADGC-H)	I	ı	ı	ı	I	I	I	I	ı	ı	1.10	0.91, 1.32	1.1137
	USA	ı	ı	ı	ı	I	I	ı	I	ı	ı	0.96	0.69, 1.32	-0.2141
	(ADGC-Wadi Ara)													
Seshadri et al,	Spain (ACE)	148	525	467	184	575	450	821	1459	943	1475	0.88	0.78, 0.99	-2.1150
2010 (24)		(13.0)	(46.1)	(41.0)	(15.2)	(47.6)	(37.2)	(36.0)	(64.0)	(39.0)	(61.0)			
Carrasquillo et al,	USA	249	848	722	431	1,241	893	1,346	2,292	2,103	3,027	0.85	0.77, 0.92	-3.7725
2010 (13)		(13.7)	(46.6)	(39.7)	(16.8)	(48.4)	(34.8)	(37.0)	(63.0)	(41.0)	(59.0)			
Yu et al,	China	2	104	218	12	126	250	108	540	150	626	0.83	0.64, 1.10	-1.2983
2010 (25)		(0.0)	(32.1)	(67.3)	(3.1)	(32.5)	(64.4)	(16.7)	(83.3)	(19.3)	(80.7)			
														Continues

_
· U
U U
-
<u> </u>
_
. =
-
_
Ť.
\sim
0
T)
()
\sim
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
I.
II.
П.
е II. (
e II. (
le II. (
ole II. (
ble II. (
ible II. (
able II. (
[able II. (
Table II. (

			Ger	notype dist	ribution (9	(0)				All	ele distribu	tion $(\%)$		
			LOAD			Control		ΓO	AD	Con	trol			
Included studies	Studied population	TT	TC	СС	ΤT	TC	CC	Т	С	Т	С	OR	95% CI	Z-value
Schjeide et al,	Germany	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	0.99	0.83, 1.27	-0.0905
2011 (23)	USA	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	0.84	0.73, 0.96	-3.4529
Gu et al,	Indiana	4	72	30	9	67	25	80	132	<i>6L</i>	117	06.0	0.60, 1.34	-0.5318
2011 (11)		(3.8)	(6.79)	(28.3)	(6.1)	(68.4)	(25.5)	(37.7)	(62.3)	(40.3)	(59.7)			
Ohara <i>et al</i> ,	Japan	60	295	469	242	1,156	1,535	415	1,233	1,640	4,226	0.87	0.77, 0.98	-2.2324
2012 (22)	E	(7.3)	(35.8)	(56.9)	(8.3)	(39.4)	(52.3)	(25.2)	(74.8)	(28.0)	(72.0)	Ĩ		
Lin <i>et al</i> ,	laiwan	3	89	176	29	118	242	95	441	176	602	0.74	0.56, 0.97	-2.1521
2012 (19)	;	(1.1)	(33.2)	(65.7)	(7.5)	(30.3)	(62.2)	(17.7)	(82.3)	(22.6)	(77.4)			
Chen <i>et al</i> ,	Hong Kong	15	162	274	24	114	200	192	710	162	514	0.86	0.68, 1.09	-1.2616
2012 (15)		(3.3)	(35.9)	(60.8)	(7.1)	(33.7)	(59.2)	(21.3)	(78.7)	(24.0)	(76.0)			
Bettens et al,	Stage 1 (Belgium)	I	I	I	I	I	I	676	1,232	630 (25.0)	066	0.79	0.68, 0.93	-2.1199
7017 (17)								(4.05)	(04.0)	(38.9)	(01.1)			
	Stage 2 (France)	I	ı	I	I	I	I	875	1,707	452	764	0.93	0.79, 1.10	-1.9789
								(33.9)	(66.1)	(37.2)	(62.8)			
	Stage 2 (Canada)	I	I	ı	I	ı	ı	236	372	179	299	1.00	0.77, 1.31	0.4606
								(38.8)	(61.2)	(37.4)	(62.6)			
Kamboh et al,	USA	179	623	542	195	636	519	981	1707	1,026	1,674	0.94	0.84, 1.05	-1.1420
2012 (18)		(13.3)	(46.4)	(40.3)	(14.4)	(47.1)	(38.4)	(36.5)	(63.5)	(38.0)	(62.0)			
Ferrari et al,	UK	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	254	430	242	312	0.76	0.60, 0.96	-2.3357
2012 (16)								(37.1)	(62.9)	(43.7)	(56.3)			
Ma <i>et al</i> ,	China	L	39	81	5	58	80	53	201	68	218	0.85	0.56, 1.27	-0.8090
2012 (20)		(5.5)	(30.7)	(63.8)	(3.5)	(40.6)	(55.9)	(20.9)	(79.1)	(23.8)	(76.2)			
Miyashita <i>et al</i> ,	Stage 1 (Japan)	I	ı	ı	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	0.87	0.78, 0.97	-2.0100
2013 (21)	Stage 3 (Korean)	I	ı	ı	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	0.79	0.63, 0.98	-2.3006
Carrasquillo	USA	4	25	25	416	1,165	843	33	75	1,997	2,851	09.0	0.39, 0.92	-2.2044
et al, 2014 (14)		(7.4)	(46.3)	(46.3)	(17.2)	(48.0)	(34.8)	(30.6)	(69.4)	(41.2)	(58.8)			
Lu <i>et al</i> ,	Stage 2 (China)	18	156	319	23	161	399	192	794	207	959	1.12	0.90, 1.39	1.0224
2014 (26)		(3.7)	(31.6)	(64.7)	(4.0)	(27.6)	(68.4)	(19.5)	(80.5)	(17.8)	(82.2)			
LOAD, late-onset A ADGC-Hispanics; A	Jzheimer's disease; CI, co DGC-Wadi Ara, ADGC-	onfidence in Wadi Arab.	terval; OR,	odds ratio; ,	ADGC-C, t	he Alzheime	er's Disease	Genetics C	onsortium-(Caucasian; A	DGC-AA,	ADGC-Af	rican American;	ADGC-H,



	AD		Cont	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95%
1.2.1 Caucasian							
Carrasquillo,2010 USA (13)	1097	1819	1672	2565	7.4%	0.81 [0.72, 0.92]	
Carrasquillo,2014 USA (14)	29	58	1581	2424	1.5%	0.53 [0.32, 0.90]	
Giedraitis,2009 Sweden (9)	46	79	225	365	1.6%	0.87 [0.53, 1.42]	
Golenkina,2010 Russian (10)	320	534	440	702	4.7%	0.89 [0.71, 1.12]	
Harold,2009 S1 Germany (7)	306	539	512	806	4.9%	0.75 [0.60, 0.94]	
Harold,2009 S1 UK,Ireland (7)	1333	2220	3110	4833	8.0%	0.83 [0.75, 0.92]	
Harold,2009 S1 USA (7)	672	1153	1413	2187	6.8%	0.77 [0.66, 0.89]	
Kamboh,2012 USA (18)	802	1344	831	1350	6.6%	0.92 [0.79, 1.08]	
Lambert,2009 S1 France (6)	1170	2039	3421	5378	8.0%	0.77 [0.69, 0.85]	-
Lambert,2009 S2 Belgium(6)	627	1035	320	491	4.8%	0.82 [0.66, 1.03]	
Lambert,2009 S2 Finland (6)	372	596	432	650	4.7%	0.84 [0.66, 1.06]	
Lambert,2009 S2 Italy (6)	879	1480	781	1052	6.1%	0.51 [0.43, 0.60]	
Lambert,2009 S2 Spain (6)	443	748	501	810	5.3%	0.90 [0.73, 1.10]	
Seshadri,2010 Spain(24)	673	1140	759	1209	6.2%	0.85 [0.72, 1.01]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		14784		24822	76.4%	0.79 [0.73, 0.86]	•
	0760		15998				
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F	= 36.89, < 0.000	df = 13 01)	(P = 0.00	04); I ² = 1	65%		
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian	= 36.89, < 0.000	df = 13	(P = 0.00	04); I² = 1	65%		
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15)	= 36.89, < 0.000	df = 13 01) 451	(P = 0.00	04); I ^z = 1 338	65% 3.6%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen, 2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11)	= 36.89, < 0.000) 177 76	, df = 13 101) 451 106	(P = 0.00 138 73	04); I ² = 1 338 98	65% 3.6% 1.1%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.87 [0.47, 1.61]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19)	= 36.89, < 0.000) 177 76 92	df = 13 01) 451 106 268	(P = 0.00 138 73 147	04); I ² = 1 338 98 389	65% 3.6% 1.1% 3.1%	0.94 (0.70, 1.25) 0.87 (0.47, 1.61) 0.86 (0.62, 1.19)	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (26)	= 36.89, < 0.000) 177 76 92 174	df = 13 01) 451 106 268 493	(P = 0.00 138 73 147 184	04); I ² = 1 338 98 389 583	3.6% 3.6% 1.1% 3.1% 4.2%	0.94 (0.70, 1.25) 0.87 (0.47, 1.61) 0.86 (0.62, 1.19) 1.18 (0.92, 1.53)	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (26) Ma,2012 China (20)	= 36.89, < 0.000 177 76 92 174 46	df = 13 01) 451 106 268 493 127	(P = 0.00 138 73 147 184 63	04); l ² = 1 338 98 389 583 143	3.6% 1.1% 3.1% 4.2% 1.7%	0.94 (0.70, 1.25) 0.87 (0.47, 1.61) 0.86 (0.62, 1.19) 1.18 (0.92, 1.53) 0.72 (0.44, 1.18)	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.72$ (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (28) Ma,2012 China (20) Ohara,2012 Japan (22)	= 36.89, < 0.000 177 76 92 174 46 355	df = 13 001) 451 106 268 493 127 824	(P = 0.00 138 73 147 184 63 1398	04); I ² = 1 338 98 389 583 143 2933	3.6% 3.6% 1.1% 3.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.5%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.87 [0.47, 1.61] 0.86 [0.62, 1.19] 1.18 [0.92, 1.53] 0.72 [0.44, 1.18] 0.83 [0.71, 0.97]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (26) Ma,2012 China (26) Ma,2012 China (20) Ohara,2012 Japan (22) Yu,2010 China (25)	 36.89 	df = 13 101) 451 106 268 493 127 824 324	(P = 0.00 138 73 147 184 63 1398 138	04); * = 338 98 389 583 143 2933 388	3.6% 3.6% 1.1% 3.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.5% 3.3%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.87 [0.47, 1.61] 0.86 [0.62, 1.19] 1.18 [0.92, 1.53] 0.72 [0.44, 1.18] 0.83 [0.71, 0.97] 0.88 [0.65, 1.20]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (28) Ma,2012 China (20) Ohara,2012 Japan (22) Yu,2010 China (25) Subtotal (95% CI)) 177 76 92 174 46 355 106	df = 13 101) 451 106 268 493 127 824 324 2593	138 73 147 184 63 1398 138	04); * = 338 98 389 583 143 2933 388 4872	65% 3.6% 1.1% 3.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.5% 3.3% 23.6%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.87 [0.47, 1.61] 0.86 [0.62, 1.19] 1.18 [0.92, 1.53] 0.72 [0.44, 1.18] 0.83 [0.71, 0.97] 0.88 [0.65, 1.20] 0.90 [0.81, 1.00]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (26) Ma,2012 China (20) Ohara,2012 Japan (22) Yu,2010 China (25) Subtotal (95% CI) Total events	 3789 = 36.89, < 0.000 177 76 92 174 46 355 106 1026 	df = 13 101) 451 106 268 493 127 824 324 2593	138 (P = 0.00 138 73 147 184 63 1398 138 2141	04); * = 338 98 389 583 143 2933 388 4872	3.6% 3.6% 1.1% 3.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.5% 3.3% 23.6%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.87 [0.47, 1.61] 0.86 [0.62, 1.19] 1.18 [0.92, 1.53] 0.72 [0.44, 1.18] 0.83 [0.71, 0.97] 0.88 [0.65, 1.20] 0.90 [0.81, 1.00]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (26) Ma,2012 China (26) Ma,2012 China (20) Ohara,2012 Japan (22) Yu,2010 China (25) Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ²	3769 = 36.89, < 0.000 177 76 92 174 46 355 106 1026 = 6.40, 0	df = 13 101) 451 106 268 493 127 824 324 2593 af = 6 (P	(P = 0.00 138 73 147 184 63 1398 138 2141 = 0.38); i	04); * = 1 338 98 389 583 143 2933 388 4872 * = 6%	3.6% 1.1% 3.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.5% 3.3% 23.6%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.87 [0.47, 1.61] 0.86 [0.62, 1.19] 1.18 [0.92, 1.53] 0.72 [0.44, 1.18] 0.83 [0.71, 0.97] 0.88 [0.65, 1.20] 0.90 [0.81, 1.00]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 5.72 (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (28) Ma,2012 China (20) Ohara,2012 Japan (22) Yu,2010 China (25) Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² Test for overall effect: Z = 1.88 (F	3769 = 36.89, < 0.000) 177 76 92 174 46 355 106 = 6.40, 0 = 0.06)	df = 13 101) 451 106 268 493 127 824 324 2593 df = 6 (P	(P = 0.00 138 73 147 184 63 1398 138 2141 = 0.38); F	04); * = 1 338 98 389 583 143 2933 388 4872 * = 6%	3.6% 1.1% 3.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.5% 3.3% 23.6%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.87 [0.47, 1.61] 0.86 [0.62, 1.19] 1.18 [0.92, 1.53] 0.72 [0.44, 1.18] 0.83 [0.71, 0.97] 0.88 [0.65, 1.20] 0.90 [0.81, 1.00]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.72$ (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (28) Ma,2012 China (20) Ohara,2012 Japan (22) Yu,2010 China (25) Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.88$ (F	3/89 = 36.89, > < 0.000) 177 76 92 174 46 355 106 1026 = 6.40, 0 > = 0.06)	df = 13 101) 451 106 268 493 127 824 324 2593 df = 6 (P 17377	(P = 0.00 138 73 147 184 63 1398 138 2141 = 0.38); F	04); ² = 338 98 389 583 143 2933 388 4872 ² = 6% 29694	3.6% 3.1% 3.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.5% 3.3% 23.6%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.87 [0.47, 1.61] 0.86 [0.62, 1.19] 1.18 [0.92, 1.53] 0.72 [0.44, 1.18] 0.83 [0.71, 0.97] 0.88 [0.65, 1.20] 0.90 [0.81, 1.00]	• •
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.72$ (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (28) Ma,2012 China (20) Ohara,2012 Japan (22) Yu,2010 China (25) Subtotal (95% Cl) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.88$ (F Total (95% Cl) Total events	8/89 = 36.89, > < 0.000) 177 76 92 174 46 355 106 1026 = 6.40, 0 > = 0.06) 9795	df = 13 01) 451 106 268 493 127 824 324 2593 df = 6 (P 17377	(P = 0.00 138 73 147 184 63 1398 1398 2141 = 0.38); F 18139	04); * = 338 98 389 583 143 2933 388 4872 * = 6% 29694	3.6% 3.6% 1.1% 3.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.5% 3.3% 23.6%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.87 [0.47, 1.61] 0.86 [0.62, 1.19] 1.18 [0.92, 1.53] 0.72 [0.44, 1.18] 0.83 [0.71, 0.97] 0.88 [0.65, 1.20] 0.90 [0.81, 1.00] 0.82 [0.76, 0.88]	
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ² Test for overall effect: $Z = 5.72$ (F 1.2.2 Asian Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) Gu,2011 Indiana(11) Lin,2012 China Taiwan (19) Lu,2014 S2 China (28) Ma,2012 China (20) Ohara,2012 Japan (22) Yu,2010 China (25) Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.88$ (F Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; Chi ²	3/89 = 36.89, < 0.0000) 177 76 92 174 46 355 106 1026 = 6.40, (≥ = 0.06) 9795 = 47.97,	df = 13 01) 451 106 268 493 127 824 324 2593 df = 6 (P 17377 df = 20	(P = 0.00 138 73 147 184 63 1398 1398 2141 = 0.38); F 18139 (P = 0.00	04); *= 338 98 389 583 143 2933 388 4872 *= 6% 29694 04); *=	3.6% 1.1% 3.1% 4.2% 1.7% 6.5% 3.3% 23.6%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.87 [0.47, 1.61] 0.86 [0.62, 1.19] 1.18 [0.92, 1.53] 0.72 [0.44, 1.18] 0.83 [0.71, 0.97] 0.88 [0.65, 1.20] 0.90 [0.81, 1.00] 0.92 [0.76, 0.88]	• • • •

		AD)	Cont	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
R	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
D	1.2.1 Caucasian							
	Carrasquillo,2010 USA(13)	1097	1819	1672	2565	10.5%	0.81 [0.72, 0.92]	
	Carrasquillo,2014 USA(14)	29	58	1581	2424	0.7%	0.53 [0.32, 0.90]	
	Giedraitis,2009 Sweden (9)	46	79	225	365	0.6%	0.87 [0.53, 1.42]	
	Golenkina,2010 Russian(10)	320	534	440	702	2.9%	0.89 [0.71, 1.12]	
	Harold,2009 S1 Germany (7)	306	539	512	806	3.4%	0.75 [0.60, 0.94]	
	Harold,2009 S1 UK,Ireland(7)	1333	2220	3110	4833	14.9%	0.83 [0.75, 0.92]	-
	Harold,2009 S1 USA(7)	672	1153	1413	2187	7.8%	0.77 [0.66, 0.89]	
	Kamboh,2012 USA(18)	802	1344	831	1350	6.4%	0.92 [0.79, 1.08]	
	Lambert,2009 S1 France(6)	1170	2039	3421	5378	15.3%	0.77 [0.69, 0.85]	
	Lambert,2009 S2 Belgium(6)	627	1035	320	491	3.3%	0.82 [0.66, 1.03]	
	Lambert,2009 S2 Finland(6)	372	596	432	650	3.0%	0.84 [0.66, 1.06]	
	Lambert,2009 S2 Italy(6)	879	1480	781	1052	7.1%	0.51 [0.43, 0.60]	
	Lambert,2009 S2 Spain(6)	443	748	501	810	3.7%	0.90 [0.73, 1.10]	
	Seshadri,2010 Spain(24)	673	1140	759	1209	5.8%	0.85 [0.72, 1.01]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		14784		24822	85.2%	0.79 [0.76, 0.83]	•
	Total events	8769		15998				
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 36.89, df =	13 (P =	0.0004)	; I ² = 65%	5			
	Test for overall effect: Z = 10.36	(P < 0.00	0001)					
	1.2.2 Asian							
	Chen,2012 China Hongkong(15) 177	451	138	338	1.8%	0.94 [0.70, 1.25]	
	Gu,2011 Indiana(11)	76	106	73	98	0.4%	0.87 [0.47, 1.61]	
	Lin,2012 China Taiwan(19)	92	268	147	389	1.5%	0.86 [0.62, 1.19]	
	Lu,2014 S2 China(26)	174	493	184	583	2.1%	1.18 [0.92, 1.53]	<u>+</u>
	Ma,2012 China (20)	46	127	63	143	0.7%	0.72 [0.44, 1.18]	
	Ohara, 2012 Japan (22)	355	824	1398	2933	6.7%	0.83 [0.71, 0.97]	
	Yu,2010 China(25)	106	324	138	388	1.6%	0.88 [0.65, 1.20]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		2593		4872	14.8%	0.90 [0.81, 0.99]	•
	Total events	1026		2141				
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 6.40, df = 6	6 (P = 0.3	38); I² = 6	3%				
	Test for overall effect: Z = 2.07 (F	P = 0.04)						
	Total (95% CI)		17377		29694	100.0%	0.81 [0.78, 0.84]	•
	Total events	9795		18139				
	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 47.97, df =	20 (P =	0.0004);	1 ² = 58%	5			
	Test for overall effect: Z = 10.33	(P < 0.00	0001)					0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2
	Test for subaroup differences: C	hi ² = 4.7	4. df = 1	(P = 0.03	3), I ² = 78	8.9%		AD Control

Figure 2. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of the rs11136000 genotype (TT+TC, vs. CC). (A) Random effect model. (B) Fixed effect model.



		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Caucasian			
Bettens,2012 S1 Belgium(12)	2.7%	0.86 [0.75, 0.99]	
Bettens,2012 S2 Canada(12)	0.7%	1.06 [0.83, 1.36]	
Bettens, 2012 S2 France (12)	2.5%	0.87 [0.75, 1.00]	
Carrasquillo,2010 USA(13)	6.7%	0.85 [0.77, 0.92]	
Carrasquillo,2014 USA(14)	0.4%	0.03 [0.42, 0.95]	
Glearking 2010 Swederi (9)	1 0 %	0.99 [0.09, 1.41]	
Harold 2009 S1 Germany (7)	2.1%	0.88 (0.75, 1.04)	
Harold 2009 S1 UK Ireland (7)	9.4%	0.86 (0.80, 0.92)	
Harold 2009 S1 USA (7)	4.7%	0.86 (0.77, 0.95)	
Jun,2010 USA(ADGC-C)(17)	20.2%	0.91 [0.87, 0.96]	+
Kamboh,2012 USA (18)	4.0%	0.94 [0.84, 1.05]	
Lambert,2009 S1 France(6)	9.3%	0.83 (0.77, 0.89)	
Lambert,2009 S2 Belgium(6)	2.0%	0.89 (0.76, 1.04)	
Lambert,2009 S2 Finland(6)	1.9%	0.88 [0.75, 1.03]	
Lambert,2009 S2 Italy (6)	4.1%	0.88 [0.79, 0.99]	
Lambert,2009 S2 Spain(6)	2.3%	0.93 [0.81, 1.08]	
Schjeide,2011 Germany(23)	0.6%	0.99 [0.75, 1.30]	
Schjelde, 2011 USA(23) Rochodri 2010 Regin(24)	5.2%	0.84 [0.76, 0.93]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	84.5%	0.87 [0.85, 0.90]	•
Total events	04.570	0.07 [0.03, 0.30]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 17.36. df:	= 19 (P = 1	0.57); I ² = 0%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 10.79	(P < 0.00	001)	
	v	,	
1.1.2 Asian			
Chen,2012 China Hongkong (19	5) 0.9%	0.86 [0.68, 1.09]	
Gu,2011 Indiana(11)	0.3%	0.90 [0.60, 1.34]	
Lin,2012 China Taiwan(19)	0.7%	0.74 [0.56, 0.97]	
Lu,2014 S2 China(26)	0.9%	1.12 [0.90, 1.39]	
Ma,2012 China(20)	0.3%	0.85 [0.56, 1.27]	
Miyashita 2013 ST Japan (21)	2.770	0.87 [0.76, 1.00]	
Obere 2012 Japan (22)	2.2%	0.79 [0.05, 0.97]	
Yu 2010 China(25)	0.7%	0.83 [0.64 1.10]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	11.2%	0.87 [0.81, 0.93]	•
Total events		• • •	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 7.59, df =	8 (P = 0.4	7); I² = 0%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.10 (P < 0.000	1)	
1.1.3 African descent	4.200	4 00 10 00 4 00	
Jun,2010 USA(ADGC-AA)(17) Subtotal (05% CI)	1.3%	1.06 [0.88, 1.28]	
Total evente	1.370	1.00 [0.88, 1.28]	
Heterogeneity: Not applicable			
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.62 (P = 0.54)		
	0.0 1,		
1.1.4 Hispanic			
Jun,2010 USA(ADGC-H)(17)	1.5%	1.10 (0.93, 1.30)	
Subtotal (95% CI)	1.5%	1.10 [0.93, 1.30]	
Total events			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable			
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.11 (P = 0.27)		
1 1 5 Other Mixed			
Forrari 2012 LIK (16)	1.0%	0.76.00.64.0.061	
Jun 2010 USA(ADGC-WA)(17)	0.4%	0.70 [0.01, 0.90]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	1.4%	0.82 [0.68. 0.99]	
Total events			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.24, df =	1 (P = 0.2	?7); I² = 19%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.06 (P = 0.04)		
Total (95% CI)	100.0%	0.88 [0.86, 0.90]	•
Total events		0.000.00.4.504	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 37.67, df:	= 32 (P = 1 /P < 0.00	0.23); l* = 15%	0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2
Test for subgroup differences: ((r ≤ 0.00 `hi≥ – 11 -	001) 50 df = 1 /P = 0.02\ P =	AD Control
reactor autorous dillerences. C	201 - 113	30. ur = 4 tr = 0.021. F =	05.270

2923

Figure 3. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of the rs11136000 allele (T, vs. C). Fixed effect model. Table I.

and amyloid plaques in brain tissues, and is involved in the pathogenesis of AD (4,5,31). Yerbury et al (32) demonstrated that the deposition of CLU in senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles of AD. Howlett et al (33) further reported a correlation between CLU and senile plaque A β 40 in the brain cortex of patients with AD. Martin-Rehrmann et al (34) demonstrated the presence of dysfunctional neurons with phosphorylated tau protein surrounding the senile plaques in 71% of CLU-positive patients with AD. Furthermore, they also showed that the tau and phosphorylated tau protein were significantly increased in the rat hippocampus, following the injection of a CLU-rich solution (34). It was suggested that CLU may be involved in the formation of $A\beta$ and dysfunctional neurons in the pathological state of AD (5). Wahrle et al (35) reported that CLU regulated the expression and metabolism of APOE in the brain. Indeed, the levels of cholesterol and APOE were decreased in the mouse following CLU gene knocked out. A β and clusterin combine together in normal cerebrospinal fluid, which suggested that CLU may be involved in the metabolism, transportation and clearance of A β in the brain (30). Bell *et al* (36) demonstrated that the clearance of A β 42 through the blood brain barrier was increased by 83% when it was combined with CLU. Thambisetty et al (37) demonstrated the important role of CLU in the pathogenesis of AD. They showed that CLU was associated with atrophy of the entorhinal cortex and rapid clinical progression of AD (37). The higher the plasma concentration levels of CLU, the more significant the entorhinal cortex atrophy, and the more severe the cognitive impairment (37). It was also demonstrated that increased plasma concentration levels of CLU was predictive of greater A β burden in the medial temporal lobe (31). In view of the above results, it was hypothesized that gene mutations or specific environmental factors could induce changes in CLU gene expression or function, which have an important role in the pathogenesis of AD.

2924

Since October 2009, association studies between the CLU gene polymorphism and LOAD susceptibility have rapidly progressed worldwide, and have been conducted in numerous populations, including Caucasian, Asian, African descent, and Hispanic populations (6,7,9-26). Notably, SNP rs11136000 in the CLU gene was demonstrated to be significantly associated with LOAD by two GWAS with large sample sizes and two stages, of which one was conducted by Lambert et al (6) (OR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.81-0.90; P=7.5x10⁻⁹) and the other by Harold et al (7) (OR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.82-0.90; P=8.5x10⁻¹⁰). The meta-analysis carried out by Jones et al (38) also supported the above conclusion ($P=1.72 \times 10^{-15}$). From then on, numerous other studies obtained concordant or nonconcordant results (9-26). An issue is that many of the studies differ in their selection of ethnic genetic backgrounds and sample sizes, which leads to inconsistent or contrary conclusions regarding the association between gene polymorphism and diseases. The present study performed a meta-analysis to systematically review the published articles regarding the association between the CLU polymorphism rs11136000 and LOAD susceptibility, with increased sample size to improve and enhance test efficiency and ethnic subgroups to eliminate possible ethnic differences. This investigation aimed to provide objective results of evidence-based medicine for

			Egge	r's test				Be	gg's test		
	Std-Eff	Coef.ª	SE	t	P>ltl	95% CI	Adj. Kendall's score (P-Q)	SD of score	Number of studies	Z-value	Pr> z
Genotype	Slope	0.2160835	0.0287501	7.52	0.000	0.1534424, 0.2787246	-11	18.27	14	0.55	0.584
	bias	-0.1556121	0.3430764	-0.45	0.658	-0.9031114, 0.5918871					
Allele	Slope	-0.0658025	0.3326355	-0.20	0.844	-0.744217, 0.0612612	-170	64.54	33	2.62	0.009
	bias	-16.71626	5.075586	-3.29	0.002	-27.06799, -6.364535					





Figure 4. Funnel plot of the meta-analysis of rs11136000. (A) Genotype (TT+TC, vs. CC); (B) allele (T, vs. C). The funnel plot for the genotype model exhibited relative symmetry, whereas the allele model exhibited incomplete symmetry. OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error.



Figure 5. Egger's bias plot for the meta-analysis of rs11136000. (A) Genotype (TT+TC, vs. CC); (B) allele (T, vs. C). The plot for the genotype model exhibited relative symmetry, whereas the allele model exhibited incomplete symmetry.

the association between *CLU* gene polymorphisms and AD susceptibility. The results of the present study demonstrated a positive association between *CLU* gene polymorphisms and LOAD susceptibility, as expected.

In the present study, 20 studies of interest were included in a meta-analysis, covering 33 independent study populations from various regions, ethnicities and stages, all meeting the predetermined inclusion criteria. The results demonstrated that there were some differences in the association between rs11136000 and LOAD among the various ethnicities. Meta-analysis supported the following conclusions: 1) TT+TC genotypes and the T allele of rs11136000 in Caucasian populations are associated with LOAD, as for the overall-effect test P<0.01; 2) TT+TC genotypes and the T allele of rs11136000 in Asian populations is associated with LOAD, as for the overall-effect test both P<0.05; 3) the T allele of rs11136000 in the population of African descent and the Hispanic population was not associated with LOAD, as for the single tests P>0.05; and 4) the T allele of rs11136000 in the other/mixed ethnicity group was associated with LOAD, as for the overall-effect test P<0.05. To summarize, the meta-analysis demonstrated that TT+TC genotypes and (or) the T allele of rs11136000 in Caucasian, Asian, and other/mixed ethnicity populations were associated with LOAD (P<0.05), and the population carrying the T allele would likely suffer lower LOAD risk (OR<1). The results therefore demonstrated that the T allele of rs11136000 had a protective effect on LOAD. The results also demonstrated the impact of racial differences on association studies of gene polymorphisms and disease.

In addition to ethnic differences, sample size also had an important effect on the study results. In the present meta-analysis, there was only one study population of African descent or Hispanic population included (17), with a relatively small sample size, which may not have provided accurate results. For instance, in the studies conducted in the Chinese Han population, Yu *et al* (25), Chen *et al* (15) and Ma *et al* (20) did not report any statistical significance in the T allele distribution of rs11136000 (P=0.21, 0.22, 0.42, respectively). However, when sample size was enlarged through meta-analysis and the three studies were included, a weak association was revealed (P=0.048 in the overall effect test). The majority of the studies and those with the largest sample size were conducted in the Caucasian population in the Caucasian population are

the most reliable. Further studies are required in other ethnic groups with larger sample sizes in order to improve the reliability of the study findings.

It is not only rs11136000 but also other polymorphisms of the *CLU* gene may contribute to LOAD susceptibility. For instance, the study carried out by Yu et al (25) in the Chinese Han population did not find an association between rs11136000 and LOAD, although the results indicated instead an association between rs9331888 and LOAD, with OR=1.39 (95% CI, 1.13-1.72) and P=0.002. Other studies also demonstrated the association between polymorphisms of rs9331888 (6,11,25), rs2279590 (6,15,21,23), rs7982 (7,17), rs7012010 (7,17), rs9331908 (12), rs1532278 (12,39), and rs9331949 (40) and LOAD, although these studies were few and inconsistent in their results. The rs11136000 polymorphism systematically reviewed in the present meta-analysis is likely one of the factors that influences LOAD susceptibility. The precise forms of the genetic variants require further investigation. The meta-analysis only involved rs11136000, the most well-established CLU gene polymorphism, but was not representative of the entire CLU gene. The results of the meta-analysis supported that the CLU gene polymorphism was associated with LOAD susceptibility in Caucasian and Asian populations, but there was no such definitive association in populations of African descent or Hispanic populations.

In conclusion, although the studies included in the meta-analysis of the present investigation were less than those conducted on the APOE gene, all were published in authorized journals after 2009, which indicated that the research on the *CLU* gene polymorphism was novel and promising. It is likely that the *CLU* polymorphism will be the subject of numerous studies on the genetic susceptibility to AD in the future. Further investigations are required in various ethnic population. The present meta-analysis will be regularly updated in order to draw more scientific and reliable conclusions regarding the association between the *CLU* gene polymorphism and susceptibility to LOAD.

There are clinical significances and wider implications of the findings in the present study. For example, there may be a use in monitoring disease progression in the elderly at risk. Furthermore, understanding the influence of the LOAD risk variants on cognitive decline may provide additional information with regard to their plausible mechanism of action.

References

- Ossenkoppele R, van der Flier WM, Zwan MD, Adriaanse SF, Boellaard R, Windhorst AD, Barkhof F, Lammertsma AA, Scheltens P and van Berckel BN: Differential effect of APOE genotype on amyloid load and glucose metabolism in AD dementia. Neurology 80: 359-365, 2013.
- 2. Tycko B, Feng L, Nguyen L, Francis A, Hays A, Chung WY, Tang MX, Stern Y, Sahota A, Hendrie H and Mayeux R: Polymorphisms in the human apolipoprotein-J/clusterin gene: Ethnic variation and distribution in Alzheimer's disease. Hum Genet 98: 430-436, 1996.
- 3. Bertram L and Tanzi RE: Alzheimer's disease: New light on an old CLU. Nat Rev Neurol 6: 11-13, 2010.
- Malkki H: Alzheimer disease: Chaperone protein clusterin is involved in amyloid-β-associated entorhinal atrophy in early AD. Nat Rev Neurol 10: 60, 2014.
- Nuutinen T, Suuronen T, Kauppinen A and Salminen A: Clusterin: A forgotten player in Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res Rev 61: 89-104, 2009.

- Lambert JC, Heath S, Even G, Campion D, Sleegers K, Hiltunen M, Combarros O, Zelenika D, Bullido MJ, Tavernier B, *et al*: Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 41: 1094-1099, 2009.
- Harold D, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, Sims R, Gerrish A, Hamshere ML, Pahwa JS, Moskvina V, Dowzell K, Williams A, *et al*: Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 41: 1088-1093, 2009.
- 8. Butler AW, Ng MY, Hamshere ML, Forabosco P, Wroe R, Al-Chalabi A, Lewis CM and Powell JF: Meta-analysis of linkage studies for Alzheimer's disease-a web resource. Neurobiol Aging 30: 1037-1047, 2009.
- Giedraitis V, Kilander L, Degerman-Gunnarsson M, Sundelöf J, Axelsson T, Syvänen AC, Lannfelt L and Glaser A: Genetic analysis of Alzheimer's disease in the Uppsala Longitudinal Study of Adult Men. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 27: 59-68, 2009.
- Golenkina SA, Gol'tsov AIu, Kuznetsova IL, Grigorenko AP, Andreeva TV, Reshetov DA, Kunizheva SS, Shagam LI, Morozova IIu, Goldenkova-Pavlova IV, *et al*: Analysis of clustering gene (CLU/APOJ) polymorphism in Alzheimer's disease patients and in normal cohorts from Russian populations. Mol Biol (Mosk) 44: 620-626, 2010 (In Russian).
- 11. Gu H, Wei X, Chen S, Kurz A, Müller U, Gasser T, Dodel RC, Farlow MR and Du Y: Association of clusterin gene polymorphisms with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 32: 198-201, 2011.
- 12. Bettens K, Brouwers N, Engelborghs S, Lambert JC, Rogaeva E, Vandenberghe R, Le Bastard N, Pasquier F, Vermeulen S, Van Dongen J, *et al*: Both common variations and rare non-synonymous substitutions and small insertion/deletions in CLU are associated with increased AD risk. Mol Neurodegener 7: 3, 2012.
- Carrasquillo MM, Belbin O, Hunter TA, Ma L, Bisceglio GD, Zou F, Crook JE, Pankratz VS, Dickson DW, Graff-Radford NR, *et al*: Replication of CLU, CR1 and PICALM associations with alzheimer's disease. Arch Neurol 67: 961-964, 2010.
- 14. Carrasquillo MM, Khan Qu, Murray ME, Krishnan S, Aakre J, Pankratz VS, Nguyen T, Ma L, Bisceglio G, Petersen RC, *et al*: Late-onset Alzheimer disease genetic variants in posterior cortical atrophy and posterior AD. Neurology 82: 1455-1462, 2014.
- 15. Chen LH, Kao PY, Fan YH, Ho DT, Chan CS, Yik PY, Ha JC, Chu LW and Song YQ: Polymorphisms of CR1, CLU and PICALM confer susceptibility of Alzheimer's disease in a southern Chinese population. Neurobiol Aging 33: 210.e1-210. e7, 2012.
- Ferrari R, Moreno JH, Minhajuddin AT, O'Bryant SE, Reisch JS, Barber RC and Momeni P: Implication of common and disease specific variants in CLU, CR1 and PICALM. Neurobiol Aging 33: 1846.e7-1846.e18, 2012.
- 17. Jun G, Naj AC, Beecham GW, Wang LS, Buros J, Gallins PJ, Buxbaum JD, Ertekin-Taner N, Fallin MD, Friedland R, et al: Meta-analysis confirms CR1, CLU and PICALM as alzheimer disease risk loci and reveals interactions with APOE genotypes. Arch Neurol 67: 1473-1484, 2010.
- Kamboh MI, Minster RL, Demirci FY, Ganguli M, Dekosky ST, Lopez OL and Barmada MM: Association of CLU and PICALM variants with Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 33: 518-521, 2012.
- 19. Lin YL, Chen SY, Lai LC, Chen JH, Yang SY, Huang YL, Chen TF, Sun Y, Wen LL, Yip PK, *et al*: Genetic polymorphisms of clusterin gene are associated with a decreased risk of Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Epidemiol 27: 73-75, 2012.
- 20. Ma JF, Liu LH, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Deng YL, Huang Y, Wang G, Xu W, Cui PJ, Fei QZ, *et al*: Association study of clusterin polymorphism rs11136000 with late onset Alzheimer's disease in Chinese Han population. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen 26: 627-630, 2012.
- 21. Miyashita A, Koike A, Jun G, Wang LS, Takahashi S, Matsubara E, Kawarabayashi T, Shoji M, Tomita N, Arai H, *et al*: SORL1 is genetically associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease in Japanese, Koreans and Caucasians. PLoS One 8: e58618, 2013.
- 22. Ohara T, Ninomiya T, Hirakawa Y, Ashikawa K, Monji A, Kiyohara Y, Kanba S and Kubo M: Association study of susceptibility genes for late-onset Alzheimer's disease in the Japanese population. Psychiatr Genet 22: 290-293, 2012.



- 23. Schjeide BM, Schnack C, Lambert JC, Lill CM, Kirchheiner J, Tumani H, Otto M, Tanzi RE, Lehrach H, Amouyel P, *et al*: The role of clusterin, complement receptor 1 and phosphatidylinositol binding clathrin assembly protein in Alzheimer disease risk and cerebrospinal fluid biomarker levels. Arch Gen Psychiatry 68: 207-213, 2011.
- 24. Seshadri S, Fitzpatrick AL, Ikram MA, DeStefano AL, Gudnason V, Boada M, Bis JC, Smith AV, Carassquillo MM, Lambert JC, *et al*: Genome-wide analysis of genetic loci associated with Alzheimer disease. JAMA 303: 1832-1840, 2010.
- 25. Yu JT, Li L, Zhu QX, Zhang Q, Zhang W, Wu ZC, Guan J and Tan L: Implication of CLU gene polymorphisms in Chinese patients with Alzheimer's disease. Clin Chim Acta 411: 1516-1519, 2010.
- 26. Lu SJ, Li HL, Sun YM, Liu ZJ, Yang P and Wu ZY: Clusterin variants are not associated with southern Chinese patients with Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 35: 2656.e9-2656.e11, 2014.
- 27. McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA work group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology. 1984, 34: 939–944.
- 28. Leeb C, Eresheim C and Nimpf J: Clusterin is a ligand for apolipoprotein E receptor 2 (ApoER2) and very low density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR) and signals via the Reelin-signaling pathway. J Biol Chem 289: 4161-4172, 2014.
- Trougakos IP: The molecular chaperone apolipoprotein J/ clusterin as a sensor of oxidative stress: Implications in therapeutic approaches-a mini-review. Gerontology 59: 514-523, 2013.
- Song HB, Jun HO, Kim JH, Yu YS, Kim KW, Min BH and Kim JH: Anti-apoptotic effect of clusterin on cisplatin-induced cell death of retinoblastoma cells. Oncol Rep 30: 2713-2718, 2013.
- 31. Li X, Ma Y, Wei X, Li Y, Wu H, Zhuang J and Zhao Z: Clusterin in Alzheimer's disease: A player in the biological behavior of amyloid-beta. Neurosci Bull 30: 162-168, 2014.

- 32. Yerbury JJ, Poon S, Meehan S, Thompson B, Kumita JR, Dobson CM and Wilson MR: The extracellular chaperone clusterin influences amyloid formation and toxicity by interacting with prefibrillar structures. FASEB J 21: 2312-2322, 2007.
- Howlett DR, Hortobágyi T and Francis PT: Clusterin associates specifically with Aβ40 in Alzheimer's disease brain tissue. Brain Pathol 23: 623-632, 2013.
- 34. Martin-Rehrmann MD, Hoe HS, Capuani EM and Rebeck GW: Association of apolipoprotein J-positive beta-amyloid plaques with dystrophic neurites in Alzheimer's disease brain. Neurotox Res 7: 231-242, 2005.
- 35. Wahrle SE, Jiang H, Parsadanian M, Legleiter J, Han X, Fryer JD, Kowalewski T and Holtzman DM: ABCA1 is required for normal central nervous system ApoE levels and for lipidation of astrocyte-secreted ApoE. J Biol Chem 279: 40987-40993, 2004.
- 36. Bell RD, Sagare AP, Friedman AE, Bedi GS, Holtzman DM, Deane R and Zlokovic BV: Transport pathways for clearance of human Alzheimer's amyloid beta-peptide and apolipoproteins E and J in the mouse central nervous system. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 27: 909-918, 2007.
- 37. Thambisetty M, Simmons A, Velayudhan L, Hye A, Campbell J, Zhang Y, Wahlund LO, Westman E, Kinsey A, Güntert A, *et al*: Association of plasma clusterin concentration with severity, pathology and progression in Alzheimer disease. Arch Gen Psychiatry 67: 739-748, 2010.
- Jones L, Harold D and Williams J: Genetic evidence for the involvement of lipid metabolism in Alzheimer's disease. Biochim Biophys Acta 1801: 754-761, 2010.
- 39. Naj AC, Jun G, Beecham GW, Wang LS, Vardarajan BN, Buros J, Gallins PJ, Buxbaum JD, Jarvik GP, Crane PK, *et al*: Common variants at MS4A4/MS4A6E, CD2AP, CD33 and EPHA1 are associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 43: 436-441, 2011.
- 40. Yu JT, Ma XY, Wang YL, Sun L, Tan L, Hu N and Tan L: Genetic variation in Clusterin gene and Alzheimer's disease risk in Han Chinese. Neurobiol Aging 34: 1921.e17-1921.e23, 2013.