Comprehensive analysis of differentially expressed lncRNAs as diagnostic and prognostic markers for colorectal cancer
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Abstract. Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common type of cancer worldwide. Recent studies had revealed the important roles of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) in a variety of human cancers, including CRC. However, the molecular mechanisms associated with CRC remain largely undetermined. In the current study, the GSE21510 dataset was analyzed to identify differentially expressed mRNAs and lncRNAs in CRC samples. The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery was used to perform Gene Ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway bioinformatics analysis. Furthermore, protein-protein interaction networks were constructed to reveal interactions among differentially expressed proteins. Kaplan-Meier analysis was subsequently performed to determine the association between key lncRNA expression and the overall survival of patients with CRC. A total of 107 upregulated lncRNAs and 43 downregulated lncRNAs were identified in CRC. A lncRNA mediated co-expression network was also constructed in CRC. Bioinformatics analysis indicated that lncRNAs were associated with a series of biological processes, including ‘xenobiotic glucuronidation’, ‘rRNA processing’, ‘sister chromatid cohesion’, ‘cell proliferation’, ‘mitotic nuclear division’ and ‘cell cycle regulation’. Furthermore, a higher expression of small nucleolar RNA host gene 17, tetratricopeptide repeat domain 2B-antisense RNA (AS) 1, erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.1 like 4A-AS2, deleted in lymphocytic leukemia 2, and a lower expression of muscle blind like splicing regulator 1-AS1 and LOC389332 were associated with shorter overall survival time in CRC samples. The present study provides useful information that can be used in the identification of novel biomarkers for CRC.

Introduction

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a major class of non-coding RNAs with >200 base pairs (1,2). Recent studies have revealed the important roles of lncRNAs in cancer progression (3-6). A variety of lncRNAs have been observed to be dysregulated in a number of human cancers, serve as oncogenes or serve a tumor suppressor role (7,8). LncRNAs are involved in the regulation of a variety of biological processes, including cell growth, apoptosis and differentiation via protein interaction, sponging microRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) and binding to DNA (9-11). For example, lncRNA GATA binding protein 6 -antisense RNA (AS) has been indicated to interact with lysyl oxidase like 2 to transcriptionally regulate gene expression (12). LncRNA associated with BCBM has been revealed to regulate interleukin (IL)-6-mediated signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 phosphorylation by binding to janus kinase 2 (13). Furthermore, lncRNAs have been demonstrated to have a prognostic value of in human cancers. The upregulation of serine peptidase inhibitor kunitz type 1 -antisense RNA 1 predicted a poor prognosis in colorectal cancer (14), and the overexpression of H19 imprinted maternally expressed transcript predicted a poor prognosis in melanoma (15). These results demonstrated that lncRNAs serves a crucial role in regulating human cancer progression.
associated with CRC progression and prognosis. IncRNA BRAF non-coding RNA was demonstrated to promote CRC tumorigenesis and enhance adriamycin resistance, and LINCO0312 was indicated to repress CRC cell proliferation and metastasis via miR-21 (18,19). However, the expression pattern and functional roles of a large number of IncRNAs remain undetermined. Therefore, there is an urgent requirement for the identification of CRC-associated IncRNAs and for the generation of useful information that can be used to understand their potential roles in CRC.

With the development of microarray profiles and RNA sequencing, a series of public gene expression analyses were published, including The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA; https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) datasets. These databases provide powerful tools that can be used to identify cancer-associated mRNAs, miRNAs and IncRNAs. For example, Zhang et al. (20) identified 218 differently expressed genes in papillary thyroid cancer using a TCGA dataset. Yuan et al. (21) identified 1,176 IncRNAs and 245 miRNAs in colorectal cancer using the TCGA dataset. A number of IncRNAs, which were predicted using bioinformatics, were identified to be potential biomarkers in a variety of human cancer types. A total of four IncRNAs (LINCO1018, LOC553137, miR4435-2HG and TTTY14) were revealed to be correlated with overall survival in patients with gastric cancer (22). An increased expression of miR100HG has also been associated with poor prognosis in patients with breast cancer (23). Emerging evidence has indicated bioinformatics analyses to be a powerful method that can be used to understand the potential roles of novel IncRNAs in a variety of human diseases, including cancer. Wang et al. (24) demonstrated that dysregulated IncRNAs in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma were associated with the regulation of cancer metastasis, using co-expression analysis (24). Cao et al. (25) also demonstrated that dysregulated IncRNAs in bladder cancer were associated with the regulation of cell migration and the Ras signaling pathway.

In the present study, a GEO dataset GSE21510 was re-annotated to identify CRC associated mRNAs and IncRNAs. Bioinformatics analyses were also performed to understand the potential roles of these IncRNAs in CRC. The current study aimed to provide a novel insight into CRC-associated IncRNAs that could serve as biomarkers in CRC.

Materials and methods

IncRNA classification pipeline. A pipeline, which was previously described by Zhang et al. (26), was used to re-annotate microarray data.

Microarray data and data preprocessing. In the present study, GSE21510 dataset (27) was downloaded from the GEO database to identify differently expressed mRNAs and IncRNAs. GSE21510 included 25 normal and 123 CRC samples. A total of 104 patients were assigned to the microarray study, including 13 patients with stage I, 37 patients with stage II, 34 patients with stage III and 20 patients with stage IV CRC. Metastatic recurrence after surgery occurred in 18 patients with stage I-III disease, and the median follow-up time was 22 months for these patients. The raw data were normalized using robust multi-array average (RMA) method (28) using R 2.6.2 statistical software (29) with the affy package (30) from BioConductor V3.9 (http://www.bioconductor.org/). Normalization was separately performed for LCM dataset and homogenized tissue dataset (31). The normalized gene expression levels were presented as log2-transformed values using the RMA method. LncRNAs or mRNAs with fold changes (FC) ≥2 and P<0.05 were considered as differentially expressed IncRNAs or mRNAs. Furthermore, gene expression profile data in CRC (including 275 colon adenocarcinoma samples and 92 rectum adenocarcinoma samples) and normal tissues were downloaded from TCGA (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) and used to examine the expression pattern of key IncRNA in CRC and its association with the patient clinicopathological features.

Functional group analysis. The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery v6.8 (http://david.ncifcrf.gov/) was used to perform Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses. GO analyses included biological process, cellular component and molecular function. GO terms and KEGG pathways with a value of P<0.05 were considered to be significantly enriched functional annotations.

IncRNA-mRNA correlation network. The IncRNA-mRNA correlation network was established according to IncRNA target predictions along with differentially expressed IncRNA and mRNA profiles in GSE21510. A total of 3,647 IncRNA-mRNA pairs with an absolute Pearson value >0.85 were selected to construct the co-expression network in CRC.

Statistical analysis. Statistical comparisons between groups were performed using a t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test, as appropriate. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were constructed with the log-rank test and P-values were calculated. The cut-off threshold was set to P<0.05. A log-rank test was conducted to examine the significance of the difference between the curves. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant result, with a 95% confidence level.

Results

Identification of the differentially expressed mRNAs and IncRNAs in CRC. A public GEO dataset, GSE21510, which included 25 normal and 123 CRC samples was analyzed to identify CRC-associated mRNAs and IncRNAs. A total of 2,387 mRNAs were revealed to be differentially expressed in CRC with a fold change of >1.5 and P<0.01. Among these mRNAs, 1,874 were upregulated and 1,385 were downregulated in CRC compared with normal samples. Hierarchical clustering was used to identify differentially expressed mRNAs in CRC (Fig. 1A).

After re-annotating GSE21510 according to Zhang et al. (26) report, a total of 107 upregulated IncRNAs and 43 downregulated IncRNAs were identified in CRC compared with normal samples with a fold change of >1.5 and P<0.01. Among these genes, a number of IncRNAs, including colorectal neoplasia differentially expressed (CRNDE) (32),
growth arrest specific 5 (GAS5) (33), small nucleolar RNA host gene 1 (SNHG1) (34) and ZNFX1 antisense RNA 1 (ZFAS1) (35) have been identified to be associated with CRC progression in previous studies (36-38). However, most lncRNAs, including LINC00525, LINC00869 and LINC01279, have not been previously identified in human cancers and still need to be investigated further. The present study identified that CRNDE, deleted in lymphocytic leukemia 2 (DLEU2) and LOC101060264 were revealed to be upregulated most, and LOC101929340, CDKN2B-AS1 and LOC101928405 lncRNAs were revealed to be downregulated most in CRC. Hierarchical clustering was used to present differentially expressed lncRNAs in CRC (Fig. 1B).

Construction of lncRNAs co-expression network in CRC. The differentially expressed lncRNA co-expression network in CRC was subsequently constructed according to expression values in GSE21510. A total of 3,647 lncRNA-mRNA pairs with an absolute Pearson value >0.85 were selected to construct the co-expression network in CRC. This network included 47 lncRNAs and 636 mRNAs, as presented in Fig. 2.

A number of lncRNAs were identified as key regulators in CRC. For example, lncRNA LOC389332 was co-expressed with 328 mRNAs, LOC101928405 with 295 mRNAs, FLJ36848 with 260 mRNAs and EPB41L4A-AS2 with 251 mRNAs. A total of 4 mRNAs were co-expressed with >20 lncRNAs in CRC, including yip1 interacting factor homolog B (YIF1B), zinc finger and BTB domain containing 7B (ZBTB7B), rub like AAA ATPase 2 (RUVBL2) and vesicle associated membrane protein 2 (VAMP2) (Fig. 2).

Bioinformatics analysis for differentially expressed lncRNAs in CRC. Considering that lncRNAs serves a regulatory role in a number of human cancers through downstream targets, GO and KEGG analysis were subsequently performed to reveal the potential roles of lncRNAs in CRC using their co-expressing mRNAs. GO analysis indicated that differentially expressed lncRNAs were associated with numerous biological processes, including ‘xenobiotic glucuronidation’, ‘rRNA processing’, ‘sister chromatid cohesion’, ‘regulation of Rac protein signal transduction’, ‘cell proliferation’, ‘mitotic nuclear division’, ‘negative regulation of cellular glucuronidation’, ‘regulation of transcription’, ‘DNA replication’, ‘keratan sulfate biosynthetic process’, ‘negative regulation of fatty acid metabolic process’, ‘telomere maintenance via recombination’, ‘oligosaccharide biosynthetic process’, ‘flavonoid glucuronidation’ and ‘cell cycle’ (all, P<0.001; Fig. 3A).

KEGG pathway analysis revealed that differentially expressed lncRNAs were associated with a series of pathways, including ‘pentose and glucuronate interconversions’, ‘glyco-sphingolipid biosynthesis’, ‘ascorbate and aldarate metabolism’, ‘chemical carcinogenesis’, ‘retinol metabolism’, ‘mismatch repair’, ‘bile secretion’, ‘cell cycle’, ‘metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450’, ‘drug metabolism-other enzymes’, p53 signaling pathway, ‘drug metabolism-cytochrome P450’ and ‘inosine biogenesis in eukaryotes’ (all, P<0.001; Fig. 3B).

TCGA dataset validation of expression of key lncRNAs in CRC. As presented in Fig. 4, a total of 16 key lncRNAs were identified to be dysregulated in CRC when compared with normal samples using the TCGA dataset. Among these lncRNAs, programmed cell death 4 (PDCD4)-AS1 (P<0.001), heart and neural crest...
derivatives expressed 2 (HAND2)-AS1 (P<0.001), erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.1 like 4A (EPB41L4A)-AS2 (P<0.001), LOC389332 (P<0.001), long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 294 (LINC00294; P<0.001) and PI14571 (P<0.001) were downregulated in CRC in comparison with normal samples. However, LINC00202-2 (P<0.001), DLEU2 (P<0.001), GAS5 (P<0.001), SNHG1 (P<0.001), small nucleolar RNA host gene 17 (SNHG17; P<0.001), pituitary tumor-transforming 3 pseudo-gene (PTTG3P; P<0.001), muscle blind like splicing regulator 1 (MBNL1)-AS1 (P<0.001), ZFAS1 (P<0.001), tetratricopeptide repeat domain 2B (TTC28)-AS1 (P<0.001) and cancer susceptibility 15 (CASC15; P<0.001) were upregulated in CRC when compared with normal tissues.

Dysregulation of key lncRNAs is associated with overall survival time in CRC. Kaplan-Meier analysis was subsequently performed to explore whether key lncRNAs could serve as novel CRC biomarkers. The online Cutoff Finder (39) (http://molpath.charite.de/cutoff/output.jsp) was used to determine the cut-off value to divide all patients with CRC into the lncRNA low and high group. As presented in Fig. 5, higher expression levels of SNHG17 (P=0.0097), TTC28-AS1 (P=0.0065), EPB41L4A-AS2 (P=0.0075), DLEU2 (P=0.007) and SNHG1 (P=0.0033) were associated with shorter overall survival time of patients with CRC compared with the respective low expression groups, whereas the increased expression levels of MBNL1-AS1 (P=0.0002) and LOC389332...
(P=0.0468) were associated with an improved overall survival in CRC. These results indicated that the key lncRNAs identified in the current study may serve as biomarkers for CRC.

**Discussion**

LncRNAs are cancer progression regulators that are associated with regulating cell proliferation, apoptosis and migration. In CRC, a number of lncRNAs have been demonstrated to serve crucial roles in cancer tumorigenesis. MEG3 has been indicated to regulate the miR-141/PDCD4 axis to affect CRC oxaliplatin resistance (40). DLEU1 has been indicated to promote CRC progression by activating KPNA3 (41). HAND2-AS1 has also been observed to suppress CRC proliferation though sponging miR-1275 (42). However, the expression pattern and functional roles of a large number of lncRNAs remain unclear. In the present study, CRC-associated lncRNAs were identified though re-annotating the GSE21510 dataset. A total of 1,125 upregulated lncRNAs and 1,245 downregulated lncRNAs were identified in CRC compared with normal samples. Among these, a number of lncRNAs, including CRNDE, GAS5, SNHG1 and ZFAS1, were associated with CRC progression. CRNDE has previously been indicated to promote CRC cell proliferation by epigenetically silencing DUSP5/CDKN1A expression (43). GAS5, a well-known lncRNA in a variety of cancer types, suppressed CRC cell proliferation via the miR-182-5p/FOXO3a axis (44). SNHG1 has been demonstrated to serve as an oncogene in CRC though activating the wnt pathway and sponging miR-484 (37). However, the functional roles of the majority of lncRNAs remain unclear.

Bioinformatics analysis provides a powerful tool to reveal the potential roles of unknown RNA transcripts in human diseases. LncRNA co-expression networks are used
ZHANG et al: KEY lncRNAs IN COLORECTAL CANCER

To understand the important roles of lncRNAs in a number of human diseases, including diabetes (46) and major depressive disorder (47). In the present study, differentially expressed lncRNAs co-expression networks were constructed in CRC, which included 47 lncRNAs and 636 mRNAs. The current study indicated that a number of lncRNAs, including LOC389332, LOC101928405, FLJ36848 and EPB41L4A-AS2 were identified as key regulators in CRC and were co-expressed with >250 mRNAs in the progression of CRC. LOC389332 was downregulated and associated with favorable prognosis in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (48). EPB41L4A-AS2 was demonstrated to inhibit tumor proliferation and was associated with favorable prognoses in breast cancer and other solid tumors (49). Furthermore, a number of mRNAs, including YIF1B, ZBTB7B, RUVBL2 and VAMP2, also served an important role in this co-expression network. A previous study indicated that YIF1B was associated with the anterograde traffic pathway and the Golgi architecture (50). ZBTB7B is a transcription factor associated with the regulation of lineage commitment of immature T-cell precursors (51). VAMP2 is a member of the vesicle-associated membrane protein, and VAMP2-NRG1 fusion is a novel oncogenic driver of non-small-cell lung adenocarcinoma (52). Bioinformatics analysis indicated that differentially expressed lncRNAs were associated with ‘xenobiotic glucuronidation’, ‘rRNA processing’, ‘sister chromatid cohesion’, ‘regulation of Rac protein signal transduction’, ‘cell proliferation’, ‘mitotic nuclear division’, ‘p53 signaling pathway’ and ‘cell cycle progression’. 

Figure 4. Validation of expression of key lncRNAs in CRC, using the TCGA dataset. The expression levels of (A) PDCD4-AS1, (B) HAND2-AS1, (C) EPB41L4A-AS2, (D) LOC389332, (E) LINC00294 and (F) PP14571 were decreased in CRC compared with normal samples. The expression levels of (G) LINC00202-2, (H) DLEU2, (I) GAS5, (J) SNHG1, (K) SNHG17, (L) PTTG3P, (M) MBNL1-AS1, (N) ZFAS1, (O) TTC28-AS1 and (P) CASC15 were increased in CRC compared with normal tissues. Statistical comparisons between normal and CRC groups were performed using a Mann-Whitney U-test. ***P<0.001. COAD, colon adenocarcinoma; READ, rectum adenocarcinoma; lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; CRC, colorectal cancer; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; PDCD4, programmed cell death 4; AS, antisense RNA; HAND2, heart and neural crest derivatives expressed 2; EPB41L4A, erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.1 like 4A; LINC00294, long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 294; DLEU2, deleted in lymphocytic leukemia 2; GAS5, growth arrest specific 5; SNHG1, small nucleolar RNA host gene 1; SNHG17, small nucleolar RNA host gene 17; PTTG3P, pituitary tumor-transforming 3 pseudogene; MBNL1, muscle blind like splicing regulator 1; ZFAS1, ZNFX1 antisense RNA 1; TTC28, tetratricopeptide repeat domain 2B; CASC15, cancer susceptibility 15.
regulation’. Abnormal cell cycle progression is considered a hallmark of human cancer (53,54). Targeting cell cycle checkpoints is a therapeutic approach against cancer (55). A number of compounds were reported as potential anti-cancer drugs in CRC, including itraconazole, a drug which targets cell cycle heterogeneity (56), and 5-Aminosalicylic acid, which inhibits cell cycle progression in a phospholipase D dependent manner (57). The present study revealed that dysregulated lncRNAs in CRC were strongly associated with the regulation of cancer cell cycle progression, indicating that these lncRNAs may serve as novel therapeutic biomarkers for this disease. Previous studies have indicated that the dysregulation of lncRNAs may serve as novel biomarker for a variety of cancers, including CRC. For example, upregulated SNHG6 has been revealed to predict a poor prognosis in CRC (27). In the present study, the prognostic value of key lncRNAs in CRC were evaluated using TCGA CRC dataset PDCD4-AS1, HAND2-AS1, EPB41L4A-AS2, LOC389332, LINC00294 and PPI4571 were observed to be expressed at a low level, and LINC00202-2, DLEU2, GAS5, SNHG1, SNHG17, PTTG3P, MBNL1-AS1, ZFAS1, TTC28-AS1 and CASCI5 were indicated to be highly expressed in CRC compared with normal tissues. Furthermore, patients with CRC and higher expression of SNHG17, TTC28-AS1, EPB41L4A, erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.1 like 4A; DLEU2, deleted in lymphocytic leukemia 2; SNHG1, small nucleolar RNA host gene 1; MBNL1, muscle blind like splicing regulator 1.

Figure 5. Dysregulation of key lncRNAs is associated with overall survival time in CRC. Kaplan-Meier analysis of the overall survival rates in patients with high and low expression levels of (A) SNHG17, (B) TTC28-AS1, (C) EPB41L4A-AS2, (D) DLEU2, (E) SNHG1, (F) MBNL1-AS1 and (G) LOC389332. Log-rank test was used to examine the significance of the difference between the curves. lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; SNHG17, small nucleolar RNA host gene 17; TTC28, tetratricopeptide repeat domain 2B; AS, antisense RNA; EPB41L4A, erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.1 like 4A; DLEU2, deleted in lymphocytic leukemia 2; SNHG1, small nucleolar RNA host gene 1; MBNL1, muscle blind like splicing regulator 1.
In conclusion, a total of 38 upregulated lncRNAs and 31 downregulated lncRNAs were observed in CRC. Bioinformatics analysis indicated that differentially expressed lncRNAs were associated with 'xenobiotic glucuronidation', 'rRNA processing', 'sister chromatid cohesion', 'regulation of Rac protein signal transduction', 'cell proliferation', 'mitotic nuclear division' and 'cell cycle regulation'. Furthermore, the results revealed that high expression of SNHG17, TTC28-AS1, EBP41L4A-AS2, DLEU2 and SNHG1, and low expression of MBNL1-AS1 and LOC389332 were associated with shorter overall survival time of patients with CRC. The current study provides useful information for identifying novel biomarkers in CRC.
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