Clinical impact of omalizumab in refractory chronic urticaria: One centre experience
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Abstract. Chronic spontaneous urticaria is a debilitating disorder, which has a major impact on the quality of life of affected individuals, and is a substantial global burden. Refractory, difficult to treat cases pose a difficult challenge to patients and clinicians alike. Advances in the field of immunotherapy have led to novel and effective therapeutic strategies. Omalizumab, an immunomodulatory anti-IgE monoclonal antibody, inaugurated a new era in the treatment of refractory chronic urticaria. Several multicenter clinical trials have proven omalizumab to be a safe and effective option for the treatment of refractory symptoms of chronic spontaneous urticaria, while some small studies have shown its efficacy in chronic inductible urticaria as well. In this study, we bring forth updates in chronic urticaria approach, with a focus on our experience with anti-IgE therapy in different forms of chronic urticaria treated at the Allergy Department of the Professor Doctor Octavian Fodor Regional Institute of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Cluj-Napoca, Romania).

Introduction

Urticaria encompasses a group of disorders characterized by wheals, angioedema, or both. It is one of the most frequent skin disorders, characterized by pruritic wheal and flare-type skin reactions with or without angioedema that usually persist for <24 h’ (1). Urticaria is classified as acute or chronic based on the duration of the disease. Acute spontaneous urticaria is characterized by the occurrence of spontaneous pruritic wheals, angioedema or both for less than six weeks. In contrast, chronic urticaria (CU) encompasses a group of disorders characterized by the recurrence of pruritic wheals, occurring on most days during the week, for longer than six weeks, and accompanied by angioedema in >50% of the cases. In some patients, angioedema is the only clinical feature of the disease (2).

Recent advances in this field have led to a better understanding of incriminated mechanisms and to novel and effective therapeutic strategies. The 2018 EAACI/GA\(^2\)LEN/EDF/WAO guideline for the definition, classification, diagnosis and management of urticaria recommends classification of urticaria as spontaneous, non-dependent of a specific eliciting factor, or inducible, when a specific trigger elicits the reaction. According to statistical studies, the lifetime prevalence of acute spontaneous urticaria is almost 20% (2). The estimated point prevalence of CU (percentage of population affected at any time) is 0.5-1% (1), while the incidence of the various subtypes of CU remains to be defined. The reported prevalence of CU in adult population varies between 0.5% and 5% (3-5). There is scarce data on the prevalence of CU in paediatric population. However, it is considered that CU is more prevalent in adults. In the authors’ experience, an increasing number of medical visits are related to CU.

CU is frequently a debilitating disorder, which has a major impact on the quality of life, due to the persistent pruritus, regular recurrence of symptoms, unascertained etiology, sleep deprivation and psychiatric co-morbidity being a frequent finding in affected individuals. The global burden of disease is substantial, considering the health care costs, as well as reduced functional impairment at work and in private life (6,7). Refractory, difficult to treat cases pose a demanding challenge to patients and clinicians alike.

Advances in the field of immunotherapy have led to novel and effective therapeutic strategies. The current CU treatment algorithm follows a step-wise approach, starting with standard doses of second-generation non-sedative H1
Patients and methods

Patient population and study design. This study is a retrospective case series of patients (n=37) with refractory CSU and/or CINDU, diagnosed and treated with omalizumab in the Allergy Department of the Professor Doctor Octavian Fodor Regional Institute of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, (Cluj-Napoca, Romania), between April 2018 and March 2019. The database comprised of information retrieved from patients’ observation sheets from the archive of the Allergy Department. Omalizumab (Xolair®) was used in patients with CSU and/or inducible urticarias and who had persistent or recurrent symptoms for at least 4 weeks after second-line treatment (4-fold the licensed dose), as per EAACI/GALEN/EDF/UNEV consensus recommendations. Signed informed consent was obtained from all the patients before the initiation of therapy. Omalizumab was given subcutaneously in dose of 300 mg every month per patient for 6 months. Patients were followed up for 6 months after the first 6 months of treatment. Age, sex, prior and concomitant drug therapy, co-morbidities, presence of angioedema, disease duration from onset of clinical manifestations to anti-IgE therapy was recorded. None of the patients included in the study received cyclosporine prior to omalizumab treatment. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Professor Doctor Octavian Fodor Regional Institute of Gastroenterology and Hepatology.

Assessment of CSU activity and definitions of response. Patients were assessed for disease activity, impact and level of control with the weekly urticaria activity score (UAS7) (25), and the urticaria control test (UCT) (26).

UAS7 was used for the assessment of disease severity prior to anti-IgE-therapy, and for evaluation of treatment response. UAS7 values correspond to five score bands (0, 1-6, 7-15, 16-27, 28-42), reflecting urticaria-free to severe disease activity. According to the post-treatment values of UAS7, response to treatment with omalizumab was stratified into: complete response: UAS7=0, and well-controlled disease: UAS7 ≤6 (1,27). In terms of the time elapsed until clinical improvement, response was classified as early when noted in the first month, late when it occurred after 3 months of therapy, and intermediate when noted during the second and the third month of treatment (28).

Post-treatment values of UCT were also used for the evaluation of treatment response: patients who did not require antihistamines for the 6 months of follow-up (UCT score >12) were included in the category of disease remission, those who were asymptomatic on first-line treatment with low-dose antihistamines (UCT score >12) were considered as having a complete response, patients who required continued treatment with omalizumab, concomitantly with antihistaminic drugs (UCT score >12) were classified as having a partial response, while those who continued to be symptomatic despite treatment with omalizumab along with antihistamines (UCT <12) were included in the non-responder category.

Results

Demographics. Most patients (n=30, 81.08%) were females aged between 18 and 68 years, with mean age being 44.89 years in the entire study lot, 45.90 in the female group and 40.57 in the male group, respectively. Geographic locations of participants were represented as follows: 6 patients (16.21% of total) were from a rural area while 31 patients (83.79% of total) from urban area (Table I).

Disease characteristics. The patients had a long personal history of CSU and/or CINDU. Duration of disease ranged from 12 to 36 months with the average of 17.75 months (approximately one and a half years). CSU was associated with CINDU in 21.62% of cases, namely with symptomatic dermographism in 4 patients (10.81%), cold-induced urticaria in 3 patients (8.10%), and aquagenic urticaria in one patient (2.70%). The latter had concurrent haematological disease...
(polycythemia vera). Three patients (10.81%) had cold-induced urticaria. Angioedema was an intermittent feature of the disease in 13 of patients, accounting for 35.13% of the studied group. Hashimoto’s thyroiditis was concomitant with CSU in 8.10% (n=3), one patient had concurrent asthma, and in one of the patients, CSU was associated with allergic rhinitis (Table I).

Discussion

Treatment. All patients were unresponsive to treatment with maximum-dose of H1 antihistamines and some of them required short courses of glucocorticoids by the time omalizumab treatment was considered. Omalizumab was administered at the dose of 300 mg per month for 6 months in all patients. All patients were advised to continue anti-H1 antihistamine treatment.

Treatment response. All the patients had severe disease activity with pre-omalizumab UAS7 scores ranging from 28 to 42 (mean: 39). All of the patients responded to treatment with omalizumab. Post-treatment UAS7 showed complete response in 31/37 patients (84%) and well-controlled disease in the remaining 6/37 patients (16%), after administration of one to six doses of omalizumab (mean: 2.10). In terms of time of response, most patients, 20/37 (54%) responded ‘early’, within the first month, 10/37 (27%) responded during the second and the third month of treatment (‘intermediate’), while ‘late’ response, which occurred after 3 months of therapy was noted in the remaining 7/37 patients (19%). The late response category included the three patients with concurrent autoimmune phenomena (Hashimoto’s thyroiditis), the patient with polycythemia vera associated with aquagenic urticaria, and three patients with CSU. All of the patients with CSU and symptomatic dermographism (n=4), as well as patients with CSU and cold-induced urticaria (n=3) responded effectively to omalizumab, being in the ‘early’ complete responder category. Four out of the seven patients with ‘late’ response had concurrent angioedema. No adverse reactions were recorded during omalizumab administration.

According to the post-treatment values of UCT, 8/37 patients (23%) underwent remission and remained asymptomatic despite discontinuation of antihistamine treatment, 19/37 patients (51%) had a complete response to treatment with disease being controlled with first line treatment (low doses of H1 antihistamines), while 3/37 patients (8.10%) had a partial response, and required both omalizumab and low-dose antihistamines to remain asymptomatic. The latter category included the patient with aquagenic urticaria, and two patients with CSU. Treatment with omalizumab was initiated in 7 of the patients, due to reoccurrence of symptoms which were refractory to the second-line treatment. The present study did not include any non-responders to omalizumab therapy.

Almost one quarter of the cases underwent remission, while more than half of them had a complete response to treatment. None of the patients required oral corticosteroids to control the disease while being treated with omalizumab. Only a small percentage of the patients required both omalizumab and antihistamine therapy. Monotherapy with omalizumab proved effective in an overwhelming majority (92%) of the cases. Recurrent symptoms responded favourably to the re-initiation of anti-IgE therapy in 19% of the cases. Best clinical response was noted within the first month of treatment, as noted in more than half of the cases, while only 19% of the patients had a ‘late’ response after 3 to 6 months of treatment. This finding is consistent with published data (11-13,30) on CSU being well-controlled after a single dose of omalizumab.

Chronic spontaneous urticaria greatly impacts the quality of life of affected individuals. Omalizumab was confirmed to be a safe and effective therapeutic approach for refractory cases of chronic spontaneous urticaria. In our experience, anti-IgE therapy brought significant clinical benefits and ensured a normal quality of life in patients with refractory chronic spontaneous urticaria, cold urticaria, and aquagenic urticaria.
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