

# Association between fetal chromosomal abnormalities and the frequency of spontaneous abortions

LAN YANG<sup>1</sup>, TAO TAO<sup>2</sup>, XIN ZHAO<sup>1</sup>, HEHUA TAO<sup>1</sup>, JINGNA SU<sup>1</sup>,  
YE SHEN<sup>3</sup>, YE TANG<sup>1</sup>, FANGBO QIAN<sup>3</sup> and JIANPING XIAO<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Prenatal Diagnosis Center, Wuxi Maternal and Child Health Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing Medical University, Wuxi, Jiangsu 214000; <sup>2</sup>Genesky Diagnostics Inc., Suzhou, Jiangsu 215000; <sup>3</sup>Department of Family Planning, Wuxi Maternal and Child Health Hospital Affiliated Nanjing Medical University, Wuxi, Jiangsu 214000, P.R. China

Received May 24, 2019; Accepted January 6, 2020

DOI: 10.3892/etm.2020.8524

**Abstract.** Fetal chromosomal abnormalities are a common cause of spontaneous abortion. The present study investigated the association between fetal chromosomal abnormalities and the frequency of spontaneous abortions to enable clinicians to provide more informed genetic counseling. A total of 182 patients with a history of spontaneous abortions were recruited from July 2015 to August 2017. G-banding cytogenetic analysis and novel high-throughput ligation-dependent probe amplification (HLPA) techniques were performed on conception in all 182 patients to detect chromosomal abnormalities. Low-coverage whole-genome sequencing (WGS) was performed in 74 patients to detect copy number variations (CNVs). There were no significant differences in the incidence of karyotype abnormalities between patients with sporadic miscarriages (48.0%; SM group) and patients suffering recurrent spontaneous abortions (44.8%; RSA group). The maternal age was markedly higher in patients with 3 miscarriages. WGS indicated that the incidence of pathogenic CNVs in the RSA group was higher than that in the SM group, but the difference was not significant. In conclusion, a high incidence of

karyotype abnormalities and pathogenic CNVs was observed in patients with spontaneous abortion. However, no association between fetal chromosomal abnormalities and the number of spontaneous abortions was observed. HPLA assays may be used as an alternative method for fetal karyotype analysis and determination of CNVs in patients with SM and RSA.

## Introduction

Spontaneous abortion is a common complication during human pregnancies that occurs in 10-15% of clinically recognized pregnancies (1). Recurrent spontaneous abortion (RSA), defined as two or more constitutive miscarriages, the pathogenesis of which is complex, occurs in 5% of clinically recognized pregnancies (2). Understanding the etiology of miscarriages may improve the risk evaluation in RSA and may enable clinicians to provide more informed genetic counseling. Fetal chromosomal abnormalities are considered a major genetic cause of spontaneous abortions, accounting for 50-60% of spontaneous pregnancy losses. However, the etiology of fetal chromosome abnormalities remains undetermined (3,4). Furthermore, differences between the incidence of sporadic miscarriages (SMs) and RSAs for couples carrying normal karyotypes are complex. A limited number of studies have focused on the association between the number of spontaneous abortions and the prevalence of pathogenic copy number variations (CNVs) in aborted fetuses (5). High-throughput ligation-dependent probe amplification (HLPA) is a novel molecular diagnostic technique for aneuploidy detection that provides a rapid, high-throughput and cost-effective alternative to multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) methods for the detection of copy numbers in all 24 chromosomes. HLPA may also detect duplication/deletions of genomic regions >10 Mb in a single PCR (6-8). In the present study, a comprehensive genetic analysis of fetal villus tissues of patients with spontaneous abortion was performed using a combination of G-banding karyotyping, HLPA and low-coverage whole-genome sequencing (WGS) (9). Diagnosing the genetic causes of spontaneous abortions is of great importance and allows clinicians to evaluate recurrence risks in pregnancies, which

---

*Correspondence to:* Dr Jianping Xiao, Department of Prenatal Diagnosis Center, Wuxi Maternal and Child Health Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing Medical University, 48 Huaishu Alley, Liangxi, Wuxi, Jiangsu 214000, P.R. China  
E-mail: jianpingx999@126.com

**Abbreviations:** HLPA, high-throughput ligation-dependent probe amplification; SM, sporadic miscarriage; RSA, recurrent spontaneous abortion; WGS, whole-genome sequencing; CNV, copy number variation; MLPA, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification; STR, short-tandem repeat; VOUS, variants of uncertain significance; FISH, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization; CMA, chromosomal microarray analysis; NGS, next-generation sequencing

**Key words:** spontaneous abortion, chromosomal abnormality, copy number variations

has a key role in family planning prior to and after subsequent conceptions.

## Materials and methods

**Study participants.** A total of 182 patients who experienced spontaneous abortions were recruited between July 2015 and August 2017 at Wuxi Maternal and Child Health Hospital. The average age of recruited patients was 28.46 years and ranged from 23 to 39 years old. Patients suffering from systemic infections and injury during pregnancy were excluded. Demographic and clinical data were collected from the medical records, including obstetric/gynecological history, as well as maternal age and gestational age at the time of the spontaneous abortion.

**Chorionic villus sample preparation.** Chorionic villus sampling was performed on the products of conception from all patients according to standard clinical procedures. Conventional G-banding cytogenetic analysis and HPLA detection were then performed. Low-coverage WGS was performed in the chorionic villus samples of low quantity to ensure successful detection rates. G-banded karyotyping assays were performed to analyze chromosomal abnormalities according to the standard protocols of the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN) (10). Fig. S1 provides an overview of this procedure and sample sizes are included.

**Cytogenetic analysis.** Qualified chorionic villus tissues were collected from the products of conception according to standard procedures. Chromosomes were G-banded and karyotypes were analyzed using the ISCN from 2013 (10).

**HPLA analysis.** Genomic DNA was extracted from uncultured chorionic villus tissues using QIAamp DNA Mini Kits (Qiagen). DNA concentrations were measured on a Nandrop-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). HPLA is a modified version of MLPA designed to measure genomic CNVs in a single multiplex PCR. The copy numbers for the 24 chromosomes were determined using HPLA kits (cat. no. N1002; Genesky). A total of 170 pairs of probes targeting all 24 chromosomes were designed. A total of 3 probes were placed at the end of each chromosome (excluding chromosomes 13, 14, 15, 21 and 22), with nearly 10 Mb of genomic distance between each probe. A single probe at each side of the centromere was also designed. Genomic DNA (100–200 ng) was denatured at 98°C for 6 min in a 10- $\mu$ l reaction containing 1X TE and mixed with 10  $\mu$ l ligation premix containing 2  $\mu$ l of 10X Taq ligase buffer, 0.5  $\mu$ l of Taq ligase, 1  $\mu$ l of 20X Probe Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 7.5  $\mu$ l Milli-Q water. Ligation reactions were performed on an ABI 2720 thermal cycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) using the following program: A total of 4 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 60°C for 4 h and 94°C for 2 min, followed by a hold at 72°C for 2 min until 20  $\mu$ l of 2X Stop Buffer was added. PCR mixtures (total volume, 20  $\mu$ l) were prepared to amplify the ligation products, containing 1X Taq Buffer, 0.3 mM of dNTP, 1X Fluorescence Primer Mix, 0.8 U of Hotstart Taq DNA polymerase and 1  $\mu$ l of the ligation reaction mixture. The PCR program was as follows: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min;

32 cycles of 94°C for 20 sec, 57°C for 40 sec, 72°C for 90 sec and 68°C for 60 min, followed by a hold at 4°C. PCR products were diluted 20-fold prior to loading on an ABI 3730XL sequencer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Raw data were analyzed using GENEMAPPER 4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to standard analytic procedures. Short tandem repeat (STR) profiling was applied to identify whether chromosomal abnormalities of the samples were genetic mosaics or contaminated with maternal DNA. The cut-off values of significant maternal DNA contamination percentages were  $\geq 30\%$ . Samples were amplified using the Human Personal Identification Detection kit (R1006; Genesky). A total of 17 markers were amplified in a single multiplex reaction and products were analyzed on an ABI 3130XL sequencer. STR alleles were analyzed using GENEMAPPER 4.0 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

**Low-coverage WGS.** Genomic DNA was extracted from test samples using a QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen). Genomic DNA ( $\sim 3 \mu$ g) was sheared to construct the library with insert sizes of 3–8 kb. After circularization and ligation, circular DNA fragments were again sheared to generate fragments of  $\sim 500$  bp. The fragments were end-repaired and A-tailed in preparation for ligation to Illumina paired-end oligonucleotide adapters. PCRs were used to assess the DNA fragments with adapter molecules at both ends. PCR products of  $\sim 626$  bp were collected. Constructed libraries were subjected to 50 bp-end multiplex sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq™ 2000 platform (Illumina, Inc.). Qualified paired-end reads were aligned to the NCBI human assembly hg19/GRCh37.1 using SOAP2 and only unique reads were used for the follow-up copy number analysis. To improve detection sensitivity, a 60-kb window with 5-kb sliding was used to allocate and analyze  $\sim 5$  million sequencing reads in overlapping 60-kb bins. For each chromosome, the mean  $\log_2$  values of the normalized sequencing reads (y-axis) vs. the number of sequential 5-kb sliding 60-kb sequencing bins (x-axis) were plotted. The mean  $\log_2$  values were then calculated along the length of each chromosome. The theoretical  $\log_2$  value for duplications (three copies) is  $\log_2(1.5)=0.58$  and that for a deletion (one copy) is  $\log_2(0.5)=1.0$ . Cut-off copy number values  $>2.8$  [ $\log_2(1.4)=0.49$ ] were used to detect duplications and values  $<1.2$  [ $\log_2(0.6)=-0.74$ ] were used to identify deletions.

Pathogenic CNVs were confirmed by aligning detected CNVs with genetic databases, including Decipher (<http://decipher.sanger.ac.uk/syndromes>; version 9.31), Database of Genomic Variants (DGV; <http://dgv.tcag.ca>), Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM; <http://www.omim.org>) and University of California Santa Cruz Genome Browser (UCSC Genome Browser; <http://genome.ucsc.edu>; Human GRCh37/hg19).

**Sanger sequencing validation.** Blood samples of selected couples were collected and DNA was extracted. Low-coverage WGS results were analyzed and a total of 170 probes were designed to validate the genetics of micro-deletions and micro-duplications. Genomic DNA of 100–200 ng was denatured at 98°C for 2 min in a 20- $\mu$ l reaction containing 1.25  $\mu$ l of 4X DNA lysis buffer, 2  $\mu$ l of 10X Taq ligase buffer, 0.2  $\mu$ l of Taq ligase, 1  $\mu$ l of 20X probe mix and 10.55  $\mu$ l of Mili-Q water. Ligations were performed on an ABI 2720

thermal cycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) using the following parameters: A total of 5 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec; 60°C for 3 h; 94°C for 2 min; hold at 72°C for 2 min until the addition of 20  $\mu$ l of 2X EDTA. PCRs were performed to amplify the ligation products with the 20- $\mu$ l reaction mixture containing 10  $\mu$ l of 2Z PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 1  $\mu$ l of Primer Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 1  $\mu$ l of the ligation product and 8  $\mu$ l Milli-Q water. The PCR parameters were as follows: 95°C for 2 min; 5 cycles of 94°C for 20 sec, 62-1°C/cycle for 40 sec and 72°C for 1 min; 27 cycles of 94°C for 20 sec, 57°C for 40 sec, 72°C for 1 min and 68°C for 20 min, and a final hold at 4°C. PCR products were diluted 5-fold prior to loading on the ABI 3730XL sequencer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Raw data were analyzed using GENEMAPPER 4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

**Statistical analysis.** All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software package (version 13.0; SPSS Inc.). Values are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or n (%).  $\chi^2$  tests were used to compare the positive ratios between groups and Student's t-tests were used to examine the mean age.  $P \leq 0.05$  was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

## Results

**Karyotyping and HPLA analysis.** A total of 50 patients with SMs with single spontaneous pregnancy loss were assigned to the SM group (group A), whilst 132 patients who had RSAs with >1 pregnancy loss were assigned to the RSA group. Amongst all RSAs, 87 patients with two spontaneous pregnancy losses were assigned to group B, 34 patients who had three spontaneous pregnancy losses were assigned to group C and 11 patients who had four or more pregnancy losses were assigned to group D.

Samples were successfully analyzed for 137/182 patients (75.3%). Failed samples were generated from the cytogenetic analysis process due to inevitable failure of cell culture (7). STR profiling revealed no indication of significant maternal cell contamination. Samples were analyzed using G-banded karyotyping and CNVplex assays. Chromosomal abnormalities were detected in 63 cases, including 24 cases from the SM group (48.0%, 24/50) and 39 cases from the RSA group (44.8%, 39/87). However, no significant differences between the SM group and RSA group were observed ( $P > 0.05$ ; Table I). In conclusion, 63/137 (46.00%) chorionic villus samples were determined to have chromosomal abnormalities.

Using the G-banded karyotyping and CNVplex assays, a total of 63 CNVs were identified in the SM and RSA groups. Trisomy was the most frequent chromosomal abnormality in the two groups (SM, n=15; RSA, n=29), followed by 45,X syndrome (SM, n=6; RSA, n=7). Other CNVs were detected, including triploidy and tetraploidy. Furthermore, a tetrasomy 48,XXY,+13 was observed (Table II). However, there were no significant differences between the SM and RSA groups in terms of chromosomal abnormalities collectively.

The average age of the patients in the SM and RSA groups was  $29.67 \pm 5.16$  and  $29.85 \pm 4.62$  years, respectively, with no significant differences between the groups ( $P > 0.05$ ). Groups A-D were further sub-divided according

Table I. Fetal chromosomal status of miscarriages.

Chromosomal status	SM (n=50) (%)	RSA (n=87) (%)	P-value
Normal	26 (52.0)	48 (55.2)	0.72
Abnormal	24 (48.0)	39 (44.8)	

SM, sporadic miscarriage; RSA, recurrent spontaneous abortion. Values are expressed as n (%).

Table II. Distribution of chromosomal abnormalities in the SM and RSA groups.

Type of chromosomal abnormality	SM	RSA	Total	P-value
Trisomy	15	29	44	0.54
Tetrasomy (48,XXY,+13)	1	0	1	
45,X	6	7	13	
Triploidy 69,XXY and 69,XXX	2	2	4	
Tetraploidy (92,XXYY)	0	1	1	

SM, sporadic miscarriage; RSA, recurrent spontaneous abortion.

to the frequency of spontaneous pregnancy loss (Table III). The average age of patients in groups A-D was  $29.67 \pm 5.16$ ,  $29.39 \pm 4.59$ ,  $29.56 \pm 4.27$  and  $34.70 \pm 3.33$  years, respectively. However, there were no significant differences between groups A, B and D, whilst group C had a significant age difference between normal and abnormal karyotype subgroups. Group D had the highest average age. The four groups exhibited no significant differences in chromosomal abnormalities ( $P > 0.05$ ).

**Low-coverage WGS analysis.** Low-coverage WGS was applied to 74 cases with normal karyotypes to further explore whether unknown causes led to spontaneous abortions. A total of 21 patients with CNVs were identified. The distribution of the cases is provided in Table IV; no significant differences between the two groups were identified ( $P > 0.05$ ). To verify whether these CNVs were responsible for spontaneous abortions, specific primers of CNVplex assays were designed according to the detected CNVs, which were further subjected to Sanger sequencing validation to determine whether the CNVs were *de novo* or genetically inherited from the parents. A total of 10 patients with CNVs were selected and the tissues of the fetuses and blood samples obtained from the parents were jointly analyzed. The results revealed that all CNVs, excluding those for which primers could not be designed, were inherited from the parents (Table SI).

## Discussion

Pregnancy losses affect 15-25% of couples trying to conceive. The etiologies are complex and include uterine anomalies,

Table III. Age of maternal females with different numbers of spontaneous abortions (years).

Number of spontaneous abortions	Total	Normal karyotype (n=74)	Abnormal karyotype (n=63)	P-value
1	29.67±5.16	30.08±5.96	29.15±4.02	0.55
2	29.39±4.59	29.44±4.98	29.36±4.35	0.93
3	29.56±4.27	28.30±4.98	31.67±4.46	0.03
≥4	34.70±3.33	34.50±3.74	35.50±0.71	0.73

Table IV. Distribution of CNVs detected in patients with different numbers of spontaneous abortions.

Number of spontaneous abortions	CNVs, n/totals (%)
1	6/26 (23.08)
2	8/23 (34.78)
3	5/17 (29.41)
≥4	2/8 (25.00)
Total	21/74 (28.38)

CNV, copy number variation.

immune and endocrine disturbances, maternal thrombophilic disorders and parental cytogenetic aberrations (11). Previous studies have indicated that fetal chromosomal abnormalities are responsible for the genetic causes of spontaneous abortions, presenting in 50-70% of cases (12,13). Karyotyping and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) are classic diagnostic techniques to detect chromosomal abnormalities in abortion tissue. However, the utility of karyotyping is hindered by its high failure rates in cell culture (14). FISH may be used for rapid diagnosis, but the probes are limited and cannot guarantee full mapping of the chromosomal status. Furthermore, karyotyping and FISH are unable to exclude maternal DNA contamination (15,16). CNVplex is a high-throughput ligation-dependent probe amplification method that provides a rapid and cost-effective method for initial screening for aneuploidy, as described previously (17). In the present study, the percentages of chromosomal abnormalities in the SM group were higher than those in the RSA group, but the difference was not statistically significant. This was consistent with previous studies, including that by Rubio *et al* (18). Furthermore, the abnormality rates decreased with the increase in time of spontaneous abortions, but the differences were not statistically significant. Goldstein *et al* (19) reported that fetal chromosomal abnormality rates in cases with two or more clinically recognized miscarriages were higher than those in cases of SM. However, there were no significant differences between groups, indicating that the occurrence of chromosomal abnormalities was of low relevance to the frequency of spontaneous abortions. In the present study, trisomy was most common in the SM and RSA groups, followed by 45,X syndrome, triploidy and tetraploidy. The occurrence of 45,X syndrome was higher in the SM group compared with that in the RSA

group, while the occurrence trisomy exhibited the opposite effects, as presented in Table II. Trisomy 16, 18 and 22 and 45,X syndrome were the most common abnormalities in the SM and RSA groups.

In the present study, the average age of patients in group D was >4 years higher than that in the other groups, but the differences were not significant. Furthermore, the differences in average age of patients in groups A-C were not significant, indicating that the frequencies of spontaneous abortion were not relevant to the age of these patients. Furthermore, the difference in average age of the patients in the normal chromosome and abnormality groups were not statistically significant, indicating that age was not the major cause of chromosomal abnormalities. However, conflicting results have been reported. Nikitina *et al* (20) reported that the frequency of abortions with normal karyotypes was significantly higher in maternal patients with recurrent miscarriages as compared to that in patients with SM (53.1 vs. 43.3%;  $P<0.01$ ), in agreement with the studies by Ogasawara *et al* (21) (23.7 vs. 48.7%;  $P<0.01$ ) and Sullivan *et al* (22) (57.9 vs. 74.6%;  $P<0.01$ ), whilst Stern *et al* (23), Marquard *et al* (24) and Grande *et al* (25) reported conflicting results. The sample size may be an influencing factor that may have led to the differences observed between the studies, in addition to the criteria for RSAs. In the present study, SM was defined as loss of the first pregnancy, whilst Nikitina *et al* (20) defined SM as the first miscarriages that occurred in maternal patients with prior normal pregnancies (a live birth or elective termination) Grande *et al* (25) and Marquard *et al* (24) defined RSA as three or more miscarriages. The authors considered that a more definite and standardized classification should be used to distinguish SM and RSA patients. G-banded karyotypes were previously used to detect chromosomal anomalies and indicated no advantage for the detection of balance translocation, with more recent techniques including HPLA, next-generation sequencing (NGS) and chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) demonstrating clear advantages, including low test failure rates and faster detection efficiency. The techniques were compared and it was indicated that HPLA was the most efficient and cost-effective method to detect miscarriages during conception.

The clinical application of karyotyping is hindered by technical limitations. This method only reveals chromosomal abnormalities with a length of ≥8-10 Mb (26-28). CMA has been rapidly applied to detect micro-duplications and deletions due to its high resolution. Low-coverage WGS is a burgeoning technique based on NGS as if frequently used for the diagnosis of genetic diseases (29-32). WGS is a high-throughput method with improved accuracy and lower cost compared to CMA. In the present study, low-coverage WGS was applied



to further detect samples with negative results derived from karyotyping and CNVplex assays. An additional 21 samples were determined to have CNV variations. The CNV variation rates indicated no significant differences amongst the different frequencies of spontaneous abortion. The occurrence of pathogenic CNVs in RSA was higher than that in the SM group, though the differences were not statistically significant. A total of 19 variants of uncertain significance (VOUS) were detected as positive, which was significantly higher than the 2% reported by Dhillon *et al* (33) using CMA. This indicates that WGS is able to detect more CNVs than CMA. Sanger sequencing was further applied to 10 cases of VOUS to verify whether they were inherited from the parents or *de novo* aberrations. A mere 10% of CNVs were *de novo*, and a total of 8 VOUS were verified as being inherited from the parents. Studies on heredity of CNVs in cases of spontaneous abortions are infrequent and may not be the cause of spontaneous abortions. However, this is not consistently the case and further studies should pay more attention to possible incomplete penetrance of the CNVs and possible epigenetic modifications, e.g. by genomic imprinting or X-chromosome inactivation mechanisms. This deepens the requirement for further studies to distinguish the differences between polymorphisms and pathogenic CNVs. It is indicated that recurrent miscarriages with normal karyotypes and unclarified CNVs may have clinical value for the identification of undiscovered pathologies, including epigenetic abnormalities, telomere DNA deficiency, environmental exposure. CNV is the major type of structure variation caused by genomic rearrangement, which mainly includes deletion and duplication of sub-microscopic genomic segments. CNV has been recognized as one of the major genetic factors underlying human diseases. The mutation rate (per locus) of a CNV is much higher than that of single nucleotide polymorphisms. Various molecular mechanisms are involved in CNV formations, which may be divided into two major categories, DNA recombination-based and DNA replication-based mechanisms. CNVs may be associated with Mendelian diseases, sporadic diseases and susceptibility to complex diseases. CNVs may convey clinical phenotypes by gene dosage, gene disruption, gene fusion and position effects. In the present study, several CNVs with unknown pathogenicity were identified, e.g. case V130 (Table SII), a 334-kb duplication in chromosome 9. The inheritance and phenotype of this CNV and genes involved exhibited inconsistency among different cases and further study should focus on confirming the pathogenicity of these unknown CNVs.

Karyotype abnormalities and pathogenic CNVs have been indicated to be the leading causes of spontaneous abortions (34,35). The present results revealed that chromosomal abnormalities were not relevant to the frequency of spontaneous abortions. Fetal chromosomal screening is generally suggested for patients affected by spontaneous abortion during clinical counseling, regardless of the frequency of miscarriages (36,37). Furthermore, most fetal karyotype abnormalities appeared at random events due to unknown reasons, leading to chromosomal non-disjunction during gamete formation. Following the exclusion of endocrine, immune, infection and normality of chromosomes of parents, natural conception may proceed. If fetal karyotypes are

normal but the structures are unbalanced, the chromosomal status of the parents should be first assessed to establish the inheritance of the fetal chromosome imbalance (18,38,39). If the structural abnormality is familial, there may be a high risk of relapse and therefore, pre-implantation genetic screening is suggested to select a normal embryo. If the fetal karyotype is normal but possesses CNVs, the pathogenicity and inheritance of CNVs should be clarified and clinical counseling should be performed according to the classification of CNVs, regardless of a pathogenic, benign or VOUS nature. Finally, if the fetal and chromosomes of the parents are normal in RSA, maternal and environmental factors, including immune, endocrine, infections and gene expression abnormalities, should be further explored to better understand the mechanisms of spontaneous abortion.

### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

### Funding

This study was supported by a grant from Revitalize and the Defense Project in Science and Education of the Developing Department of Genetic and Reproductive Medicine of Wuxi, Jiangsu Province (grant no. KJQW-YC2017), a key talent's subsidy from the Maternal and Child Health Care Project of Jiangsu Province (grant no. FRC201745) and the Wuxi Science and Technology Development Fund (grant no. CSE31N1511).

### Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

### Authors' contributions

JX and LY designed the study and prepared the manuscript. JX reviewed the manuscript. LY and TT conceived the review and modified the manuscript. XZ, HT and JS collected the relevant literature and performed molecular biology experiments. LY, TT, YS and FQ designed the study and performed data analysis. YT developed the manuscript and interpreted the data. All authors approved the final manuscript for publication.

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Board of the Affiliated Wuxi Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Wuxi, China). Informed written consent was obtained from all patients.

### Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

- Kavalier F: Investigation of recurrent miscarriages. *BMJ* 331: 121-122, 2005.
- Branch DW, Gibson M and Silver RM: Clinical practice. Recurrent miscarriage. *N Engl J Med* 363: 1740-1747, 2010.
- Larsen EC, Christiansen OB, Kolte AM and Macklon N: New insights into mechanisms behind miscarriage. *BMC Med* 11: 154, 2013.
- Nagaishi M, Yamamoto T, Iinuma K, Shimomura K, Berend SA and Knops J: Chromosome abnormalities identified in 347 spontaneous abortions collected in Japan. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 30: 237-241, 2004.
- Rull K, Nagiraja L and Laan M: Genetics of recurrent miscarriage: Challenges, current knowledge, future directions. *Front Genet* 3: 34, 2012.
- Chen S, Liu D, Zhang J, Li S, Zhang L, Fan J, Luo Y, Qian Y, Huang H, Liu C, *et al*: A copy number variation genotyping method for aneuploidy detection in spontaneous abortion specimens. *Prenat Diagn* 37: 176-183, 2017.
- Zhang Z, Li C, Wu F, Ma R, Luan J, Yang F, Liu W, Wang L, Zhang S, Liu Y, *et al*: Genomic variations of the mevalonate pathway in porokeratosis. *eLife* 4: e06322, 2015.
- Yang C, Shen L, Xu Z, Wu X, Mo X, Zhang J, Wang D, Wang Y, Peng Y, Cao L, *et al*: A novel competitive fluorescent multiplex STR polymorphism assay for rapid, reliable and single-tube screening of 22q11.2 copy-number aberrations. *Electrophoresis* 30: 465-471, 2009.
- Aleksandrova N, Shubina E, Ekimov A, Kodyleva T, Mukosey I, Makarova N, Kulakova E, Levkov L, Trofimov D and Sukhikh G: Comparison of the results of preimplantation genetic screening obtained by a-CGH and NGS methods from the same embryos. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 32 (Suppl 2): S1-S4, 2016.
- Gonzalez Garcia JR and Meza-Espinoza JP: Use of the International system for human cytogenetic nomenclature (ISCN). *Blood* 108: 3952-3953, 2006.
- Conlin LK, Thiel BD, Bonnemann CG, Medne L, Ernst LM, Zackai EH, Deardorff MA, Krantz ID, Hakonarson H and Spinner NB: Mechanisms of mosaicism, chimerism and uniparental disomy identified by single nucleotide polymorphism array analysis. *Hum Mol Genet* 19: 1263-1275, 2010.
- Haoud K, Mellali S, Gouas L, Tchirkov A, Vago P and Moulesheoul S: Prevalence of aneuploidies in products of spontaneous abortion: Interest of FISH and MLPA. *Morphologie* 98: 40-46, 2014.
- Kasak L, Rull K, Vaas P, Teesalu P and Laan M: Extensive load of somatic CNVs in the human placenta. *Sci Rep* 5: 8342, 2015.
- Boormans EM, Birnie E, Oepkes D, Galjaard RJ, Schuring-Blom GH and van Lith JM: MLP and Karyotyping Evaluation (M.A.K.E.) Study Group: Comparison of multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification and karyotyping in prenatal diagnosis. *Obstet Gynecol* 115: 297-303, 2010.
- Wapner RJ, Martin CL, Levy B, Ballif BC, Eng CM, Zachary JM, Savage M, Platt LD, Saltzman D, Grobman WA, *et al*: Chromosomal microarray versus karyotyping for prenatal diagnosis. *N Engl J Med* 367: 2175-2184, 2012.
- Wang BT, Chong TP, Boyar FZ, Kopita KA, Ross LP, El-Naggar MM, Sahoo T, Wang JC, Hemmat M, Haddadin MH, *et al*: Abnormalities in spontaneous abortions detected by G-banding and chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) at a national reference laboratory. *Mol Cytogenet* 7: 33, 2014.
- Yang L, Tang Y, Lu M, Yang Y, Xiao J, Wang Q, Yang C, Tao H and Xiang J: Novel rapid molecular diagnosis of fetal chromosomal abnormalities associated with recurrent pregnancy loss. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 95: 1433-1440, 2016.
- Rubio C, Simon C, Vidal F, Rodrigo L, Pehlivan T, Remohí J and Pellicer A: Chromosomal abnormalities and embryo development in recurrent miscarriage couples. *Hum Reprod* 18: 182-188, 2003.
- Goldstein M, Svirsky R, Reches A and Yaron Y: Does the number of previous miscarriages influence the incidence of chromosomal aberrations in spontaneous pregnancy loss? *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 30: 2956-2960, 2017.
- Nikitina TV, Sazhenova EA, Tolmacheva EN, *et al*: Comparative cytogenetic analysis of spontaneous abortions in recurrent and sporadic pregnancy losses. *Biomedicine Hub* 1, 2016.
- Ogasawara M, Aoki K, Okada S and Suzumori K: Embryonic karyotype of abortuses in relation to the number of previous miscarriages. *Fertil Steril* 73: 300-304, 2000.
- Sullivan AE, Silver RM, LaCoursiere DY, Porter TF and Branch DW: Recurrent fetal aneuploidy and recurrent miscarriage. *Obstet Gynecol* 104: 784-788, 2004.
- Stern JJ, Dorfmann AD, Gutierrez-Najar AJ, Cerrillo M and Coulam CB: Frequency of abnormal karyotypes among abortuses from women with and without a history of recurrent spontaneous abortion. *Fertil Steril* 65: 250-253, 1996.
- Marquard K, Westphal LM, Milki AA and Lathi RB: Etiology of recurrent pregnancy loss in women over the age of 35 years. *Fertil Steril* 94: 1473-1477, 2010.
- Grande M, Borrell A, Garcia-Posada R, Borobio V, Muñoz M, Creus M, Soler A, Sanchez A and Balasch J: The effect of maternal age on chromosomal anomaly rate and spectrum in recurrent miscarriage. *Hum Reprod* 27: 3109-3117, 2012.
- Choi TY, Lee HM, Park WK, Jeong SY and Moon HS: Spontaneous abortion and recurrent miscarriage: A comparison of cytogenetic diagnosis in 250 cases. *Obstet Gynecol Sci* 57: 518-525, 2014.
- Di Gregorio E, Savin E, Biamino E, Belligni EF, Naretto VG, D'Alessandro G, Gai G, Flocchi F, Calcia A, Mancini C, *et al*: Large cryptic genomic rearrangements with apparently normal karyotypes detected by array-CGH. *Mol Cytogenet* 7: 82, 2014.
- Liu S, Song L, Cram DS, Xiong L, Wang K, Wu R, Liu J, Deng K, Jia B, Zhong M and Yang F: Traditional karyotyping vs copy number variation sequencing for detection of chromosomal abnormalities associated with spontaneous miscarriage. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 46: 472-477, 2015.
- Al-Asmar N, Peinado V, Vera M, Remohí J, Pellicer A, Simón C, Hassold T and Rubio C: Chromosomal abnormalities in embryos from couples with a previous aneuploid miscarriage. *Fertil Steril* 98: 145-150, 2012.
- Benkhalifa M, Kasakyan S, Clement P, Baldi M, Tachdjian G, Demirel A, Gurgan T, Fiorentino F, Mohammed M and Qumsiyeh MB: Array comparative genomic hybridization profiling of first-trimester spontaneous abortions that fail to grow in vitro. *Prenat Diagn* 25: 894-900, 2005.
- Dong Z, Zhang J, Hu P, Chen H, Xu J, Tian Q, Meng L, Ye Y, Wang J, Zhang M, *et al*: Low-pass whole-genome sequencing in clinical cytogenetics: A validated approach. *Genet Med* 18: 940-948, 2016.
- Liang D, Wang Y, Ji X, Hu H, Zhang J, Meng L, Lin Y, Ma D, Jiang T, Jiang H, *et al*: Clinical application of whole-genome low-coverage next-generation sequencing to detect and characterize balanced chromosomal translocations. *Clin Genet* 91: 605-610, 2017.
- Dhillon RK, Hillman SC, Morris RK, McMullan D, Williams D, Coomarasamy A and Kilby MD: Additional information from chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) over conventional karyotyping when diagnosing chromosomal abnormalities in miscarriage: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG* 121: 11-21, 2014.
- Levy B, Sigurjonsson S, Pettersen B, Maisenbacher MK, Hall MP, Demko Z, Lathi RB, Tao R, Aggarwal V and Rabinowitz M: Genomic imbalance in products of conception: Single-nucleotide polymorphism chromosomal microarray analysis. *Obstet Gynecol* 124: 202-209, 2014.
- Bruno DL, Burgess T, Ren H, Nouri S, Pertile MD, Francis DI, Norris F, Kenney BK, Schouten J, Andy Choo KH and Slater HR: High-throughput analysis of chromosome abnormality in spontaneous miscarriage using an MLPA subtelomere assay with an ancillary FISH test for polyploidy. *Am J Med Genet A* 140: 2786-2793, 2006.
- Kasak L, Rull K, Sober S and Laan M: Copy number variation profile in the placental and parental genomes of recurrent pregnancy loss families. *Sci Rep* 7: 45327, 2017.
- Zimowski JG, Massalska D, Pawelec M, Bijok J, Michalowska A and Roszkowski T: First-trimester spontaneous pregnancy loss-molecular analysis using multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. *Clin Genet* 89: 620-624, 2016.
- Wang Y, Cheng Q, Meng L, Luo C, Hu H, Zhang J, Cheng J, Xu T, Jiang T, Liang D, *et al*: Clinical application of SNP array analysis in first-trimester pregnancy loss: A prospective study. *Clin Genet* 91: 849-858, 2017.
- Bug S, Solfrank B, Schmitz F, Pricelius J, Stecher M, Craig A, Butcherby M and Nevinny-Stickel-Hinzpeter C: Diagnostic utility of novel combined arrays for genome-wide simultaneous detection of aneuploidy and uniparental isodisomy in losses of pregnancy. *Mol Cytogenet* 7: 43, 2014.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.