miR-106b-5p promotes cell proliferation and cell cycle progression by directly targeting CDKN1A in osteosarcoma
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Abstract. MicroRNA (miR)-106b-5p has been reported to act as both an oncogene and tumor suppressor in several tumors. The aim of the present study was to investigate the biological function of miR-106b-5p in osteosarcoma (OS). miR-106b-5p expression was observed to be significantly increased in OS tissues and cell lines. MTT assay and flow cytometry analysis determined that miR-106b-5p inhibitor transfection suppressed OS cell proliferation and induced cell cycle G0/G1 phase arrest. Furthermore, bioinformatics analysis and a luciferase reporter assay demonstrated that cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (CDKN1A) was a potential target of miR-106b-5p. p21 protein expression was found to be significantly increased by miR-106b-5p downregulation in OS cells. Further analysis demonstrated that CDKN1A was downregulated in OS tissues and was negatively correlated with miR-106b-5p expression. Furthermore, upregulation of CDKN1A expression mimicked, whilst CDKN1A knockdown reversed the suppressive effects of miR-106b-5p inhibitor on OS cell proliferation and cell cycle progression. In summary, the present data suggested that miR-106b-5p promotes cell proliferation and cell cycle progression by directly targeting CDKN1A in OS.

Introduction

Osteosarcoma (OS) is the most common form of primary bone malignancy that frequently affects children, adolescents and young adults, with ~3,260 cases in the United States reported in 2017 (1). Although progress has been made in the treatment of OS, including surgery and chemotherapy, the five-year survival rate remains relatively low in OS patients (2). Numerous studies indicate that epigenetic changes including non-coding RNA signatures, DNA methylation and histone modifications are present in all human malignancies and can facilitate cancer development and progression (3,4).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) have attracted major interest due to their ability to affect a wide range of fundamental biological processes such as proliferation, invasion, differentiation and apoptosis (5). Studies into OS-specific miRNAs have identified their potential in the prevention and management of OS (6,7). Based on the latest version of miRBase, ~3,700 miRNAs loci were annotated in human tissues (8). Bioinformatic predictions suggest that 30-60% of the protein-coding genes are regulated by miRNAs (9). MiRNAs cause mRNA degradation and post-transcriptional downregulation by binding to the 3'untranslated region (UTR) of their target genes (10, 11). MiR-106b-5p, a member of the miR-106b-25 cluster, is mapped to human chromosome 7q21 (Chr7q21) locus and has been reported to be upregulated in OS development and progression (12-15). The deleterious effects of miRNA dysregulation on malignant behaviors appear to be strongly associated with tumorigenesis and cell cycle progression (12-15). However, current understanding regarding the role of miR-106b-5p in OS development and progression remains limited.

Cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) represents a family of proline-directed serine/threonine kinases with important regulatory roles in modulating cell division in response to extrinsic and intrinsic signaling events (16). CDK inhibitor 1A (CDKN1A) is considered as a critical and universal CDK-interacting protein, which binds to CDKs and/or its subunits (17). There is clear evidence that CDKN1A is involved in cell cycle progression, proliferation, survival, motility and senescence (18,19). CDKN1A expression has been previously found to be downregulated in numerous human malignancies, the restoration of which has been observed to attenuate metastasizes in vivo (19,20). To date, a number of studies have identified that some miRNAs are associated with the expression of CDKN1A, including miR-93 (21), miR-130a (22), miR-519d (23) and miR-4295 (24).
miR-95-3p has been shown to inhibit cell growth by epigenetically regulating CDKN1A (25).

The purpose of the present study was to explore the biological role of miR-106b-5p in OS and identify the critical tumor-suppressed targets of miR-106b-5p. To the best of our knowledge, the current study first revealed that CDKN1A was a direct target of miR-106b-5p in OS, which will establish the miR-106b-5p/CDKN1A axis in the development and progression of OS.

Materials and methods

Patient and tumor specimens. A total of 18 pairs of fresh surgically resected OS tissue and adjacent bone tissue, 5 cm from the edge of tumor site, were obtained from OS patients (age range, 13-68 years; sex, 12 females and 6 males) after diagnosis by experienced pathologists between March 2015 and September 2017 at the Jingzhou Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital (Hubei, China). All collected tissues were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Jingzhou Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital and all patients provided their written informed consent.

Cell culture. Human OS cell lines (Saos-2, MG-63, SW1353 and U2OS), osteoblast cell line hFOB 1.19 and embryonic kidney cell line 293T were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. All cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 100 U/ml penicillin and streptomycin (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Samples were maintained in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37°C.

Oligonucleotides and cell transfection. Oligonucleotides, including miR-106b-5p inhibitors (5'-ATCTGCACTGTCAGACATT-TA-3') and negative controls (miR-NC, 5'-TTCAGCACTGTCAGACATT-TA-3') were designed and synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. The open reading frame of CDKN1A, generated from RNA samples of Saos-2 cells (forward, 5'-CACCATGTCAGACCGCTGGGATG-3'; reverse, 5'-TTAGGCTTCCTCTCTGAGAGTCACTG-3'), was inserted into the pcDNA3.1 expression vector to generate an overexpressing recombinant vector pcDNA3.1-CDKN1A (Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd.). Small interfering (si)RNA for CDKN1A (si-CDKN1A) and its NC (si-NC) were synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. Saos-2 or U2OS cells (1x10^4 cells per well) were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 4x10^3 cells per well and cultured (37°C; 5% CO2) for 4 days. At the indicated time points, 20 µl MTT reagent was added to each well (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) and incubated for another 2 h at 37°C. The blue formazan crystals in each well were subsequently dissolved by adding 150 µl dimethylsulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). Cell proliferation was evaluated by recording the absorbance value at 595 nm using Model 680 microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.).

Luciferase reporter assay. The putative target genes of miR-106b-5p were predicted using public available algorithms, including PicTar (https://picTar.mdc-berlin.de), TargetScan (http://targetscan.org) and miRDB (http://www.mirdb.org). The predicted miR-106b-5p binding sites in the wild-type (WT) 3'UTR of CDKN1A and the corresponding mutant type (MUT) miR-106b-5p binding sites were cloned into a pGL3 vector (Promega Corporation). For the luciferase reporter assay, 293T cells (1x10^5 cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates and co-transfected with 300 ng WT-CDKN1A or MUT-CDKN1A, and 100 nM of miR-106b-5p inhibitor or miR-NC using Lipofectamine® 2000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. After 4 h transfection, the relative luciferase activities were measured using the dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega Corporation). Renilla luciferase activity was used for normalization.

Western blot analysis. Total protein was extracted using the RIPA protein extraction reagent (Beyotime Institute of
Biotechnology) and the protein concentration was determined using the bicinchoninic acid Protein Assay kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Approximately 30 μg protein was separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). The membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) for 2 h at room temperature and then probed with primary antibodies against p21 (1:1,000; cat. no. #2947; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) and GAPDH (1:5,000; cat. no. #2118; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) overnight at 4°C. After washing with PBS, the membranes were incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:20,000; cat. nos. ab205718; Abcam) for 2 h at room temperature. Protein bands were visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (Pierce Biotechnology; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

Statistical analysis. All experiments were independently performed in triplicate. The data were analyzed with SPSS 19.0 software (SPSS, Inc.) and expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Differences between two groups were assessed using Student’s t-test. Differences amongst multiple groups were evaluated using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test. Correlations between the expression of miR-106b-5p and the expression of CDKN1A were analyzed by Spearman’s rank correlation. P<0.05 was considered to indicate statistically significant difference.

Results

miR-106b-5p is highly expressed in OS tissues and cells. RT-qPCR was used to detect the expression of miR-106b-5p in tumor tissue and adjacent tissue derived from OS patients. As presented in Fig. 1A, miR-106b-5p was significantly upregulated in OS tissue compared with adjacent tissue (P<0.001). In addition, the expression of miR-106b-5p in several human OS cells lines including MG-63, U2OS, SW1353 and Saos-2 was also analyzed. As presented in Fig. 1B, miR-106b-5p expression was significantly higher in OS cell lines compared with the osteoblast cell line hFOB1.19 (P<0.01 and P<0.001). These results suggested that increased miR-106b-5p may serve an important role in OS.

Downregulation of miR-106b-5p inhibits OS cell proliferation and cell cycle progression. Saos-2 and U2OS cells demonstrated relatively higher levels of miR-106b-5p and were therefore selected for miR-106b-5p inhibitor transfection to knockdown miR-106b-5p. RT-qPCR was utilized to validate transfection efficiency. The results demonstrated that transfection with the miR-106b-5p inhibitor significantly reduced miR-106b-5p levels in Saos-2 and U2OS cells compared with the miR-NC group (Fig. 2A; P<0.001). The results of the MTT assay indicated that miR-106b-5p inhibition significantly suppressed the proliferation of Saos-2 and U2OS cells at day 3, 4 and 5 (Fig. 2B; P<0.001). As cell proliferation may be regulated by cell cycle progression, flow cytometry analysis was performed to examine the cell cycle distribution in Saos-2 and U2OS cells. As presented in Fig. 2C, downregulation of miR-106b-5p caused an increase in the cell population in the G0/G1 phase (P<0.001). Accordingly, the cell population in the S and G2/M phase was reduced in Saos-2 (P<0.01 and P<0.001) and U2OS cells (P<0.01 and P<0.001). These results indicated that miR-106b-5p accelerated cell proliferation which may be closely associated with cell cycle progression in OS cells.

miR-106b-5p negatively regulates CDKN1A by binding to its 3’UTR. Bioinformatics analysis was performed to predict the potential target genes of miR-106b-5p involved in cell cycle regulation. Among the predicted targeted genes, three miR-106b-5p targets associated with cell cycle regulation, including CDC37L1, CDKN1A and CCNG2 were selected as potential target genes of miR-106b-5p. Luciferase reporter assay showed that there was no significant interaction between miR-106b-5p and the 3’UTRs of CCNG2 and CDC37L1 (Fig. S1). It was determined that CDKN1A, a gene associated with G1-S transition, was a putative target gene of miR-106b-5p (Fig. 3A). As expected, the luciferase activity of the miR-106b-5p inhibitor + WT-CDKN1A was significantly increased compared with the miR-106b-5p inhibitor + MUT-CDK1A in 293T cells (Fig. 3B). In addition, to further confirm whether CDKN1A was regulated by miR-106b-5p, the expression of CDKN1A in transfected Saos-2 and U2OS cells was examined using RT-qPCR and western blotting. The results demonstrated that miR-106b-5p downregulation significantly upregulated the expression of CDKN1A mRNA (Fig. 3C; P<0.01) and protein levels (Fig. 3D and E) in both Saos-2 and
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U2OS cells. Furthermore, the expression of CDKN1A in OS tissues was determined. As depicted in Fig. 3F, CDKN1A had a significantly lower expression in OS tissues compared with adjacent tissues (P<0.001). Through a two-tailed Pearson’s correlation analysis, it was determined that the expression of miR-106b-5p was inversely correlated with CDKN1A expression in OS tissues (Fig. 3G; P=0.0057). Taken together, the results suggested that CDKN1A was negatively regulated by miR-106b-5p in OS.

Overexpressed CDKN1A imitates the suppressive effects of miR-106b-5p inhibitor transfection on OS cells. To illustrate whether CDKN1A acts as a downstream effector in miR-106b-5p-mediated OS cell proliferation and cell cycle progression, gain-of-function assays were performed in Saos-2 cells by transfecting pcDNA3.1-CDKN1A. Following transfection, the mRNA (Fig. 4A; P<0.001) and protein (Fig. 4B) levels of CDKN1A were markedly increased in the pcDNA3.1-CDKN1A group compared with the control group in Saos-2 cells. The MTT assay further indicated that overexpression of CDKN1A suppressed the proliferation of Saos-2 cells, which was identical to the effect exerted by miR-106b-5p knockdown (Fig. 4C; P<0.001). In addition, flow cytometry demonstrated that CDKN1A upregulation induced cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 stage in Saos-2 cells, similar to the effect of miR-106b-5p knockdown (Fig. 4D; P<0.001). Collectively, these results suggested that CDKN1A might be a direct effector involved in the miR-106b-5p-mediated cell proliferation in OS.

CDKN1A knockdown abolishes the suppressive effects of miR-106b-5p inhibitor transfection on OS cells. To further confirm whether miR-106b-5p-mediated cell proliferation and cell cycle progression was dependent on its capacity to modulate CDKN1A expression, rescue experiments were performed by transfecting si-CDKN1A plasmid into Saos-2 cells treated with miR-106b-5p inhibitor. The expression of CDKN1A in Saos-2 cells was detected and it was determined that si-CDKN1A transfection markedly attenuated the increased CDKN1A mRNA (P<0.01; Fig. 5A) and protein (Fig. 5B) expression. As expected, the decreased cell proliferation and induced cell cycle G0/G1 phase arrest by miR-106b-5p inhibitor were partially abolished by CDKN1A knockdown in Saos-2 cells, as determined by an MTT assay (P<0.01 and P<0.001; Fig. 5C) and flow cytometry analysis.
These results further demonstrated that CDKN1A might be a key regulator of miR-106b-5p-mediated cell proliferation and cell cycle progression in OS cells.

**Discussion**

Changes in miR-106b-5p levels are correlated with and facilitate cancer tumorigenesis. Some examples include the amplification of miR-106b-5p triggering stem cell-like properties of hepatocellular carcinoma cells (12), miR-106b-5p conferring proliferative advantage and inhibiting apoptosis in non-small cell lung cancer and overexpression of miR-106b-5p attenuating invasion and metastasis in colorectal cancer (27). By contrast, miR-106b-5p is reported to inhibit metastasis in colorectal cancer (27) and is associated with better survival in bladder cancer (28). However, whether miR-106-5p functions as an oncogene or tumor suppressor in OS still remains unclear. The present study assessed the differential expression of miR-106b-5p in OS clinical tissue and adjacent tissue, as well as in OS cell lines and a normal osteoblast cell line (hFOB1.19). The present results demonstrated that miR-106b-5p was overexpressed in OS tissues and cell lines using RT-qPCR.
Furthermore, miR-106b-5p inhibition resulted in reduction of cell proliferation, suggesting that miR-106a-5p could act as oncomiR in OS cell growth.

Uncontrolled cell proliferation is a pathological manifestation of cancer (29). Beneath the complexity of cancer cell proliferation lies a critical event involving defects in cell cycle progression, that have propelled the tumor cells and its progeny into uncontrolled mitotic division (29). The present study investigated the cell-cycle profile to better understand the modulation of OS cell proliferation by miR-106b-5p.
Knockdown of miR-106b-5p caused a significant increase in the percentage of cells in G0/G1 phase and a decrease in the number of cells in S and G2/M phases, suggesting that depletion of miR-106b-5p prevented cell-cycle progression by arresting cells at the G0/G1 phase.

A number of miR-106b-5p target genes have been identified, including SET domain containing 2, histone lysine methyltransferase (11), cathepsin A (27), PTEN (14) and BTG anti-proliferation factor 3 (15), which have pivotal roles in anti-oncogenic processes. Since miRNAs depress the expression of their target mRNAs, the present study hypothesized that miR-106b-5p may target certain genes that function as tumor suppressors. Using bioinformatic prediction and a luciferase reporter assay, it was identified and validated that a cell cycle related gene, CDKN1A, was a direct target gene of miR-106b-5p. A negative correlation between miR-106b-5p and CDKN1A was confirmed by RT-qPCR and western blot analysis. In mammalians, cell cycle progression is partly controlled by a catalytic subunit CDK and its essential activator, cyclin (30). Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors are known to exert effects by binding to CDK monomers or CDK/cyclin complexes (31). As a universal inhibitor (especially the CDK4/6-cyclin D complexes in G1 phase), CDKN1A serves a significant role in a p53-dependent and independent manner, leading to G0/G1 extension and suppression of further cell proliferation (32).

Transfection experiments were performed to validate that whether CDKN1A was implicated in the miR-106b-5p knockdown-induced reduction of OS cell proliferation. Upregulation of CDKN1A expression mimicked, while CDKN1A knockdown reversed the suppressive effects of miR-106b-5p inhibitor transfection on OS cell proliferation and cell cycle progression. Similarly, miR-106-5p targeting CDKN1A has been reported in gastric cancer (33) and renal cell carcinoma (34). The present study hypothesized that knockdown of miR-106b-3p inhibited proliferation via G0/G1 cell cycle arrest by negatively regulating CDKN1A. However, the present study had a number of limitations including i) lack of immunohistochemical staining of CDKN1A in OS clinical specimens and no investigation into its relationship with miR-106-5p; ii) the association between miR-106-5p and the clinicopathological features in The Cancer Genome Atlas was not investigated and iii) the effects of miR-106b-5p on cell apoptosis, migration and invasion still need to be explored.

In conclusion, the present study provided strong evidence that miR-106b-5p acts as an oncogene to attenuate the tumor suppressor CDKN1A. Characterization of the miR-106b-5p/CDKN1A functional axis correlation will deepen our understanding of OS etiology and data also indicate the potential of miR-106-5p/CDKN1A axis as a therapeutic target in the treatment of OS.
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