Current practices in haemophilic patients undergoing orthopedic surgery - a systematic review
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Abstract. Haemophilia is an inherited disease that requires a different approach in order to evaluate, monitor and treat patients. Despite the great advances in therapeutic agents that have emerged, reports on the impact of monitoring outcomes on treatment decisions are rarely presented. Haemophilia A and haemophilia B are inherited bleeding disorders caused by deficiencies in blood clotting factor proteins. A systematic review was performed to identify literature reports on the current practices in haemophilic patients undergoing orthopedic surgery. The best therapy for haemophilic patients consists in performing primary prophylaxis to prevent joint bleeding and other complications. Besides the primary prophylaxis, thromboprophylaxis is used to prevent venous thrombosis in patients with hemophilia who undergo surgical orthopedic procedures. Further research is needed to better manage the pharmacologic approaches in haemophilic patients undergoing orthopedic surgery. Although patients with haemophilia present low risk for thromboembolic complications, such events have been reported in surgical procedures. The recommendations in patients with haemophilia are considerably variable in the current guidelines and clinical practice. The best therapy for haemophilic patients consists in performing primary prophylaxis to prevent joint bleeding and other complications.
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1. Introduction

Haemophilia A, haemophilia B and von Willebrand disease (VWD) are inherited bleeding disorders of coagulation which represent 95% of all these diseases, while the remaining part is attributed to the rare bleeding disorders (RBDs) (1). Haemophilia A, an X chromosome-linked disease is determined by deficiency of factor VIII and haemophilia B is due to a deficiency of factor IX. Clinical features of haemophilia A and B are almost identical, but the two haemophilic types can be separated on the basis of specific assays that distinguish between them (2). The severity of hemophilia is classified according to the amount of the deficient coagulation factor present in the circulation. This is expressed as a percentage (100% represent the normal concentration for the investigated coagulation factor): Mild disease results from a lower concentration between 5-40% of normal; moderate disease from 1-5% and those with severe disease have a concentration of less than 1% (3). Excessive bleeding is observed after minor trauma or surgery for patients with mild and moderate disease, whilst the patients with severe disease bleed spontaneously. The orthopedic problems of hemophilia have reduced since the introduction of prophylaxis using concentrates of the deficient coagulation factor (4).

Different treatment options are available for optimal management of bleeding and their prevention, and long-term outcomes are generally good. Initially the treatment for patients with hemophilia A consisted of administration of cryoprecipitates (enriched in factor VIII) prepared from individual donors or lyophilized factor VIII concentrates prepared from plasma pools of up to 5000 donors. It is now possible to prepare factor VIII by recombinant DNA technology. This
type of preparations is free of contaminating viruses (e.g., hepatitis A, B, C, or HIV-1) found in human plasma.

The management of patients with haemophilia is complex as their condition is associated with a large number of comorbidities. Joint problems resulting from recurrent haemarthrosis, such as chronic synovitis and degenerative arthritis represent an important cause of morbidity (5).

2. Search strategy

We used Science Direct, Scopus, PubMed, Google Scholar to search original articles and review articles published in English language with the following key words ‘haemophilia’, ‘orthopaedic surgery’ and ‘arthropathy’. The scientific databases were searched from January 2000 to January 2019. Only full-text, English-language papers were selected. Duplicate publications, irrelevant topics and book chapters were excluded.

3. Orthopaedic procedures in haemophilic patients

Orthopaedic surgery is a viable option to manage a target joint for haemophilic patients with severe arthropathy associated with persistent symptoms (6,7). Surgical orthopaedic procedures generally used for the haemophilic patients are presented in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orthopaedic procedures</th>
<th>Joint type</th>
<th>Key summary</th>
<th>(Refs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arthroscopic synovectomy</td>
<td>Elbows</td>
<td>This procedure should be considered in young hemophilia patients with chronic synovitis.</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>An arthroscopic synovectomy of the knee using appropriate arthroscopic portals is a useful method in treating haemophilic patients as it decreases bleeding episodes, amount of factor replacement and knee pain.</td>
<td>(8,24,25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>Arthroscopic synovectomy significantly reduces joint pain, prevents bleeding episodes, and improves joint function with few complications.</td>
<td>(8,26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteotomy</td>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>The patient can benefit from this treatment option so that joint, replacement may possibly be avoided or at least postponed to a later stage of life.</td>
<td>(27-29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>Intertrochanteric varus osteotomy for haemophilic arthropathy of the hip is an alternative to joint arthroplasty.</td>
<td>(29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthrodesis (joint fusion)</td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>Arthrodesis in young patients with haemophilia resulted in good long-term functional outcome, with a low surgery-related complication rate.</td>
<td>(9,11,30,31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthroplasty</td>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>Total knee replacement is considered the gold standard for the treatment of end stage chronic arthropathy in hemophilic patients.</td>
<td>(16,32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>Total hip replacement in haemophilic patients leads to a significant increase of function, reduction of pain and a high satisfaction.</td>
<td>(33,34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>Ankle arthroplasty in hemophilic patients leads to pain relief and improves joint mobility in the vast majority of patients.</td>
<td>(35-37)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2005, Panotopoulos et al (9) reported no early postoperative complications after three arthrodeses of the subtalar joint and one triple arthrodesis performed with screws and staples. Screw removal was required because of mechanical irritation of soft tissues in two cases after 4 and 12 months, respectively. For advanced haemophilic arthropathy of the ankle, the best solution is an ankle arthrodesis (10,11).

4. Pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis

The major complication that may occur in the patient with haemophilia undergoing total arthroplasty is postoperative bleeding. Considering major bleeding risk of a haemophilia patient, mainly by coagulopathy but also due to surgery, most of the endoprostheses are aimed to provide the required amount of coagulation factor for the substitution treatment. Currently, standard patient care protocols sustain the need for using a haemostatic product, so once administered it could achieve effective haemostasis. In this regard, the use of Moroctocog alfa (a 3rd generation recombinant coagulation factor VIII) in patients with type A haemophilia has proven its safety and effectiveness in orthopaedic surgery (12,13). For of haemophilia patients with inhibitors undergoing total knee
replacement surgery, tranexamic acid used as anti-fibrinolytic agent, has been proven to be efficient in reducing hemorrhagic phenomena (14).

Holme and Tjønnfjord (15) reported the efficacy of Simoctocog alfa (a 4th generation recombinant FVIII produced in a human cell line without chemical modification or fusion with any other protein) delivered by continuous infusion for bleeding prophylaxis during arthroplasty in patients with haemophilia A.

Silva and Luck Jr (16) reported the risk of infection for total knee replacement is about 16%, and that risk can increase for the ankle because of the poor soft tissue envelope. In the non-haemophilic population, the rate of failure is high, as a result of infection and loosening, similarly to that seen in persons with haemophilia.

The use of thromboprophylaxis is recommended for non-haemophilic patients undergoing orthopedic surgeries because of the particularly well recognized high risk for venous thromboembolism. The drugs prescribed to prevent thrombus formation in total joint arthroplasty are presented in Table II (17,18).

Although patients with haemophilia present low risk for thromboembolic complications, such events have been reported in surgical procedures. Orthopedic surgeries are often required in patients with haemophilia, due to the presence of haemophilic arthropathy. Arthropathy mainly involves synovial joints, such as elbows, ankles and knees.

Rodríguez-Merchán and De la Corte-García (19) suggested the same type of pharmacological thromboprophylaxis for haemophilic patients undergoing orthopedic surgery as for non-haemophilic patients, if the haemophilic ones present several risk factors for thromboembolism. For hemophilia patients treated with inhibitor, pharmacologic thromboprophylaxis is not recommended (20).

Dargaud et al (21) reported that haemophilic patients should not routinely receive thromboprophylaxis, but specific cases with increased risk of thrombosis should receive therapy with LMWH.

Krekeler et al (22) analysed 105 interventions on haemophilic patients, 90 of them being major orthopaedic surgeries and 15 minor surgeries. The authors did not find any case of deep vein thrombosis or lung embolism when therapy with LWMH was not given after surgery. For haemophilia patients the use of venous thrombosis prophylaxis during orthopedic surgeries remains controversial (23).

The presence of particular surgical indications, the need for different surgical techniques and dedicated multidisciplinary post-operative care and the higher rate of complication have to be clearly highlighted.

5. Conclusions and prospects

In summary, the recommendations in patients with haemophilia are considerably variable in the current guidelines and clinical practice. The best therapy for haemophilic patients consists in performing primary prophylaxis to prevent joint bleeding and other complications. Patients with hemophilia should be clearly informed on the risk-benefit ratio of each surgical procedure taking into account functional outcome, quality of life improvement and the risk of complications. Further research is needed in order the settle general current guidelines and clinical practices, to achieve better management of haemophilic patients undergoing orthopedic surgery.
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