Abstract. Successful embryo implantation is the first step for establishing natural pregnancy and is dependent on the crosstalk between the embryo and a receptive endometrium. However, the molecular signaling events for successful embryo implantation are not entirely understood. To identify differentially expressed transcripts [long-noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs), microRNAs (miRNAs) and mRNAs] and competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) networks associated with endometrial receptivity, the current study analyzed gene expression profiles between proliferative and mid-secretory endometria in fertile women. A total of 247 lncRNAs, 67 miRNAs and 2,154 mRNAs were identified as differentially expressed between proliferative and mid-secretory endometria. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis indicated that these differentially expressed genes were significantly enriched for ‘cell adhesion molecules.’ Additionally, 98 common mRNAs were significantly involved in tryptophan metabolism, metabolic pathways and FoxO signaling. From the differentially expressed lncRNA/miRNA/mRNA ceRNA network, hub RNAs that formed three axes were identified: The DLX6-AS1/miR-141 or miR-200a/OLFM1 axis, the WDFY3-AS2/miR-135a or miR-183/STC1 axis, and the LINC00240/miR-182/NDRG1 axis. These may serve important roles in the regulation of endometrial receptivity. The hub network of the current study may be developed as a candidate marker for endometrial receptivity.

Introduction

Successful embryo implantation, in which the blastocyst adheres to and invades the maternal endometrium, is the first step for establishing natural pregnancy. In the human endometrium, implantation occurs between 6 and 10 days after ovulation [the window of implantation (WOI)] (1), during which the human endometrium undergoes morphological and biochemical changes, including modulation of estrogen, progesterone, adhesion molecules, growth factors, cytokines, and chemokines, to facilitate implantation (2). This process enhances endometrial receptivity by balancing the expression of adhesion molecules and inhibitory proteins acting as a barrier to blastocyst implantation (3). However, implantation failure (i.e., poor endometrial receptivity) remains a serious barrier to both spontaneous and assisted pregnancies (4). To characterize the molecular mechanisms associated with endometrial receptivity, studies have identified, using microarrays or RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), hundreds of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between prereceptive and receptive endometria (5-12). Although these studies have verified many differentially expressed (DE) transcripts, the overlap between DEGs in different studies is low, and the molecular mechanisms underlying endometrial receptivity remain unclear (13).
Only 2% of the human transcriptome is translated into proteins; the remaining untranslated transcriptome contains noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs), which have been reported to control cellular processes and functions by regulating the expression of target genes (14). Long ncRNAs (lncRNAs) are over 200 nucleotides in length and display differential patterns of expression in various tissues, where they play diverse roles in various physiological processes (15). Additionally, lncRNAs can be used as biomarkers of implantation failure (16-18). MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are evolutionarily conserved ncRNAs, measuring 19-22 nucleotides in length, and negatively regulate target gene expression by binding to the 3’-untranslated region (UTR) of the target gene (19). Approximately half of all genes in the genome are miRNA targets regulated at the post-transcriptional level (20), and recent studies have linked miRNAs to human endometrial diseases such as endometriosis and endometrial cancer (21-23).

The competitive endogenous RNA (ceRNA) hypothesis was first proposed by Salmena et al., who demonstrated that lncRNAs can regulate other RNA transcripts by competing for target miRNAs via binding sites known as miRNA response elements (24). Since then, ceRNA networks have been shown to have roles in the development of various tumors. In this study, we attempted to identify DE lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs associated with endometrial receptivity using RNA-seq of proliferative and mid-secretory endometrium samples. Additionally, we performed in silico analysis of genes previously reported to show differential expression between prereceptive and receptive endometrium samples. We also constructed a ceRNA network based on DE miRNA/lncRNA and miRNA/mRNA pairs as correlations between mRNAs and ncRNAs can indicate complex gene regulatory events. The hub ncRNAs from this network can be developed as candidate markers for endometrial receptivity.

Materials and methods

Endometrial tissue collection. Endometrial samples were obtained from 30 fertile women who attended Konyang University Hospital (Daejeon, Republic of Korea) and had self-reported regular, normal (21-35 days) menstrual cycles. Each woman had at least one live birth, fewer than two spontaneous abortions, and had received no medication, including hormonal treatments, for at least three months prior to the day of the biopsy. Endometrial tissues were collected during the proliferative or secretory phase of the menstrual cycle, which donors were divided with 2 groups according to the phase of the biopsy. Endometrial tissues were collected during the post-transcriptional level (20), and recent studies have linked miRNAs to human endometrial diseases such as endometriosis and endometrial cancer (21-23).

The competitive endogenous RNA (ceRNA) hypothesis was first proposed by Salmena et al., who demonstrated that lncRNAs can regulate other RNA transcripts by competing for target miRNAs via binding sites known as miRNA response elements (24). Since then, ceRNA networks have been shown to have roles in the development of various tumors. In this study, we attempted to identify DE lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs associated with endometrial receptivity using RNA-seq of proliferative and mid-secretory endometrium samples. Additionally, we performed in silico analysis of genes previously reported to show differential expression between prereceptive and receptive endometrium samples. We also constructed a ceRNA network based on DE miRNA/lncRNA and miRNA/mRNA pairs as correlations between mRNAs and ncRNAs can indicate complex gene regulatory events. The hub ncRNAs from this network can be developed as candidate markers for endometrial receptivity.

RNA library construction. Ten nanograms of RNA isolated from each sample was used to construct miRNA sequencing libraries using a SMARTer small noncoding RNA (smRNA)-Seq Kit (Takara Bio Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA concentrations were calculated using Quant-IT RiboGreen (Invitrogen), and integrity was assessed using a TapeStation RNA ScreenTape. Only high-quality RNA preparations with an RNA integrity number greater than 6.5 were used to construct the RNA library with total RNA isolated from four proliferative and four mid-secretory endometrium samples. Ten nanograms of RNA isolated from each sample was used to construct miRNA sequencing libraries using a SMARTer small noncoding RNA (smRNA)-Seq Kit (Takara Bio Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA concentrations were calculated using Quant-IT RiboGreen (Invitrogen), and integrity was assessed using a TapeStation RNA ScreenTape. Only high-quality RNA preparations with an RNA integrity number greater than 6.5 were used to construct the RNA library with total RNA isolated from four proliferative and four mid-secretory endometrium samples. Ten nanograms of RNA isolated from each sample was used to construct miRNA sequencing libraries using a SMARTer small noncoding RNA (smRNA)-Seq Kit (Takara Bio Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA concentrations were calculated using Quant-IT RiboGreen (Invitrogen), and integrity was assessed using a TapeStation RNA ScreenTape. Only high-quality RNA preparations with an RNA integrity number greater than 6.5 were used to construct the RNA library with total RNA isolated from four proliferative and four mid-secretory endometrium samples.

RNA extraction. Total RNA was isolated from endometrial tissue immediately after biopsy using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA concentrations were calculated using Quant-IT RiboGreen (Invitrogen), and integrity was assessed using a TapeStation RNA ScreenTape. Only high-quality RNA preparations with an RNA integrity number greater than 6.5 were used to construct the RNA library with total RNA isolated from four proliferative and four mid-secretory endometrium samples.

RNA sequencing. smRNA libraries underwent 51-bp single-end sequencing using Illumina HiSeq 2500 (Illumina). Indexed cDNA libraries were subjected to paired-end (2x100 bp) sequencing using an Illumina Novaseq (Illumina).
The reference genome sequence for *Homo sapiens* (hg19) and annotation data were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information, and known transcripts were assembled using StringTie v1.3.4d (26). Transcript abundance and gene expression were calculated as the read count or fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped (FPKM) value per sample. We deposited smRNA sequences derived from sequencing of smRNA libraries into Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) of National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE167325). The expression profiles were used to identify DEGs. In groups with different conditions, DEGs or transcripts were filtered by statistical hypothesis testing.

**Statistical analysis of differential miRNA and total RNA expression.** Raw data were normalized using the relative log expression method in DESeq2 (27). miRNAs with no count in more than 50% of samples were excluded, leaving 507 mature miRNAs for further analysis. Various plots were drawn using normalized log transformation. Two groups were compared using the ‘nbinomWaldTest’ in DESeq2. Relative gene abundance was measured in FPKM using StringTie. Statistical analysis was performed on the estimated abundance of each gene in the samples to identify DEGs. Genes with more than one ‘zero’ FPKM value in the samples were excluded. The statistical significance of the differential expression data was determined using independent t-tests based on fold changes and the null hypothesis that no difference existed. The false discovery rate was controlled by adjusting the P-value using the Benjamini-Hochberg algorithm.

**Hierarchical clustering analysis.** Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed using complete linkage and Euclidean distance as a measure of similarity to display the expression patterns of DE miRNAs and transcripts that satisfied fold change (FC) greater than or equal to 1.5 and a raw P-value of less than 0.05. All data analysis and DEG visualization were conducted using R v.3.6.0 (www.r-project.org).

**Functional enrichment analysis.** Gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) functional enrichment analyses of DE miRNAs were performed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/). All DEG data analysis and visualization were conducted using R v.3.6.0 (www.r-project.org).

**Reverse transcription (RT)-qPCR.** RNA samples isolated from 14 proliferative and nine mid-secretory phase endometrium samples were subjected to RT-qPCR. To determine mRNA and IncRNA expression levels, cDNA was synthesized using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega). qPCR was performed using a CFX 96 qPCR instrument and iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with the following amplification conditions: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min; followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 10 s, and extension at 72°C for 15 s. We performed TaqMan microRNA assays for determination of miRNA expression levels. The primer sequences are presented in Tables SI and SII. mRNA and lncRNA expression levels were quantified following normalization to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) expression and miRNA expression levels were quantified following normalization to RNA, U6 small nuclear 6, pseudogene (RNU6B) using the 2−ΔΔCT method, the fold change (FC) was evaluated in comparison with the proliferative phase. Assays were conducted in triplicate. The data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). The Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U test was used to assess between group differences.

**Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA).** To investigate the associated gene function networks, IPA was performed (Ingenuity Systems; http://www.ingenuity.com).

**ceRNA network construction.** To investigate potential interactions among IncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs, we constructed a ceRNA network. Interactions between DE IncRNAs and miRNAs were predicted using mirCode (http://www.mircode.org/). Interactions between DE miRNAs and mRNAs were
predicted using mirDIP (http://ophid.utoronto.ca/mirDIP; ‘bidirectional search’). The DE IncRNA/miRNA/mRNA ceRNA network was visualized and constructed using the Cytoscape software (28).

**Results**

*Identification of DE transcripts.* To investigate genes associated with endometrial receptivity, we analyzed DE transcripts between proliferative and mid-secretory endometrium samples using next-generation sequencing (NGS). We identified 2,154 DE mRNAs, of which 1,042 (48.37%) were upregulated and 1,112 (51.63%) were downregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium (Table SIII). Variance in the DE mRNAs in the proliferative or mid-secretory endometrium, visualized using volcano plots, indicated the top 5 up- and downregulated genes (Fig. 1A). Additionally, we identified 247 significant DE IncRNAs, of which 112 (45.34%) were upregulated and 135 (54.66%) were downregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium (Table SIV). In Fig. 1B, a volcano plot, displaying the differences in the expression values of the DE IncRNAs in the proliferative or mid-secretory endometrium, indicated the top 5 up- and downregulated IncRNAs. The expression of DE mRNAs and IncRNAs in the proliferative or mid-secretory endometrium is illustrated in Fig. 1C and D. Notably, DE mRNAs and IncRNAs were able to distinguish between the proliferative and mid-secretory endometria. The top 10 up- and downregulated DE mRNAs and IncRNAs are indicated in Tables II and III, respectively.

*Identification of DE miRNAs.* We next checked the expression patterns of miRNAs from NGS expression data. In
total, 507 miRNAs were identified with small RNA-seq (GSE167325), of which 67 were DE in the mid-secretory endometrium compared with the proliferative endometrium. Of the 67 DE miRNAs, 32 (47.76%) were upregulated, and 35 (52.24%) were downregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium (Table SV). The DE miRNAs were visualized using a volcano plot, which indicated the top 5 up- and downregulated genes, and a heatmap (Fig. 2A and B). Based on the heatmap of the DE miRNAs, the mid-secretory endometrium could be clustered separately from the paired proliferative endometrium. The top ten up- and downregulated DE miRNAs are summarized in Table IV.

**GO and KEGG pathway analysis.** To gain insights into the functions of the DE mRNAs in the proliferative and mid-secretory endometria, we performed GO and KEGG analyses of DEGs. GO classes were separated into three major categories. In the biological process category, DE mRNAs upregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium were significantly enriched in response to stimulus, localization, immune system process, and immune response (Fig. 3A), whereas downregulated DE mRNAs were significantly enriched in cell cycle and chromosome organization (Fig. 3D). In the cell component category, upregulated DE mRNAs were mainly enriched in cytoplasm, cytoplasmic part, cell periphery, and plasma membrane (Fig. 3B), whereas downregulated DE mRNAs were enriched in nonmembrane-bound organelles, intracellular nonmembrane-bound organelles, chromosomes, and chromosomal parts (Fig. 3E). In the molecular function category, upregulated DE mRNAs were dominantly enriched in protein binding (Fig. 3C), and downregulated DE mRNAs were enriched in protein binding and DNA binding (Fig. 3F).

The top 20 enriched KEGG pathways included phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT signaling, cell adhesion molecules, focal adhesion, cAMP signaling, and Rap1 signaling pathways (Fig. 3G). These pathways are associated with the upregulation of essential cell adhesion-related molecules (integrin, cadherin, selectin, and laminin) in the mid-secretory endometrium (29). These results suggest that these pathways mainly contribute to the expression of adhesion molecules in endometrial epithelial cells during the receptive phase.

**Validation of DE lncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs.** To verify the RNA sequencing results, we investigated relative ncRNA expression by RT-qPCR. The validated results of NGS suggested that LINC00598 and HAND2 antisense
Table III. Top 10 differentially expressed upregulated and downregulated IncRNAs with the highest fold change.

### A. Upregulated IncRNAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transcript ID</th>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR_123740</td>
<td>RIMKLB, variant3</td>
<td>30.2256</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_121625</td>
<td>LINC02432</td>
<td>15.5469</td>
<td>1.16x10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_046371</td>
<td>CP, variant2</td>
<td>15.3309</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_120480</td>
<td>IL6ST, variant4</td>
<td>14.7984</td>
<td>0.0118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_110114</td>
<td>LOC101927668</td>
<td>7.9406</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_126404</td>
<td>LINC01320</td>
<td>7.8229</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_045623</td>
<td>NABP1, variant4</td>
<td>7.0512</td>
<td>0.0131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_131782</td>
<td>CCEPR</td>
<td>6.4217</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_026979</td>
<td>DRAIC</td>
<td>6.0252</td>
<td>0.0151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_045580</td>
<td>RXFP1, variant9</td>
<td>5.6729</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Downregulated IncRNAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transcript ID</th>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR_133013</td>
<td>MMP11, variant2</td>
<td>-7.9496</td>
<td>0.0166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_002813</td>
<td>KCNIP4-IT1</td>
<td>-6.8875</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_121610</td>
<td>BMPR1B-AS1</td>
<td>-6.0480</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_038861</td>
<td>TUNAR, variant2</td>
<td>-4.8339</td>
<td>0.0291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_120454</td>
<td>LINC02449</td>
<td>-4.5053</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_027349</td>
<td>MIR17HG, variant2</td>
<td>-4.3154</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_072997</td>
<td>PTK7, variant7</td>
<td>-4.0389</td>
<td>0.0234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_120409</td>
<td>PCSK5, variant3</td>
<td>-3.8489</td>
<td>0.0202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_039982</td>
<td>LINC00639</td>
<td>-3.1286</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR_015448</td>
<td>DLX6-AS1</td>
<td>-3.0147</td>
<td>8.93x10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FC, fold change; IncRNA, long non-coding RNA.

Figure 2. Volcano plot and hierarchical clustering analysis of DE miRNAs in proliferative and mid-secretory endometria. (A) Volcano plot of DE miRNAs. The top 5 up and down DE miRNAs are indicated by red circles. The hub RNAs are indicated by green circles. (B) Hierarchical clustering analysis of DE miRNAs. miRNAs were selected using P<0.05 and FC ≥1.5 or ≤-1.5. DE, differentially expressed; miRNA, microRNA; up, upregulated; down, downregulated.
RNA 1 (HAND2-AS1) were upregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium, whereas DLX6 antisense RNA1 (DLX6-AS1), TCF21 antisense RNA inducing promoter demethylation (TARID), and HHIP antisense RNA1 (HHIP-AS1) were downregulated (Fig. 4A). In addition, hsa-miR-29b, hsa-miR-30b, and hsa-miR-30d were upregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium, whereas hsa-miR-182 and hsa-miR-183 were downregulated (Fig. 4B). Of the DE mRNAs, ribosomal modification protein RimK like family member B (RIMKLB), cysteine-rich secretory protein 3 (CRISP3), and thioredoxin interacting protein (TXNIP) were significantly upregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium, whereas neuronal regeneration-related protein (NREP) and progesterone receptor membrane component 1 (PGRMC1) were downregulated (Fig. 4C).

In silico identification of mRNAs associated with endometrial receptivity. To investigate the mRNAs associated with endometrial receptivity, we performed in silico analysis and compared the DE mRNAs that we identified with those reported by three previous studies based on RNA-seq (10-12). Of the 2,154 DE mRNAs we identified, 501 were identified in at least two previous studies; 1,121 were uniquely identified in this study, of which 565 were upregulated and 556 were downregulated. Moreover, 98 DE mRNAs, of which 60 were upregulated and 38 were downregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium, were commonly identified in all four studies (Fig. 5A; Table SVI). Furthermore, KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed that these 98 genes were significantly involved in tryptophan metabolism, metabolic pathways,
and FoxO signaling (Fig. 5B). In the category of tryptophan metabolism, AOX1, MAOA, and IDO1 expression levels were highly increased in the mid-secretory endometrium compared with those in the proliferative endometrium, whereas in the category of metabolic pathways, AOX1, MAOA, RIMKLB, IDO1, NNMT, ARG2, HAL, GALNT13, PLA2G16, and ADCY1
were upregulated and GALNT12 was downregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium. Conversely, in FoxO signaling, SOD2, FBXO32, GABARAPL1, and BCL6 expression increased in the mid-secretory endometrium, whereas CCNB2 expression decreased.

To investigate changes in biological pathways based on these 98 common DE mRNAs, we performed IPA and identified five networks, the first of which had a score of 44 and included 23 focus genes (Fig. 5C) whose main functions were in ‘cell cycle,’ ‘cellular assembly and organization,’ ‘DNA replication,’ and ‘recombination and repair.’ In this network, ADAMTS15, C1R, CRYAB, DNAJC6, HSP, IRX3, TCI1, and TIMP3 mRNAs were upregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium, whereas BUB1B, CCNB2, CENPF, DEPDC1, DLGAP5, estrogen receptor, FAM83D, HMMR, IQGAP3, NEK2, PCDH10, ROBO1, TOP2A, and TTK mRNAs were downregulated. The second network had a score of 39 and included 19 focus genes (Fig. 5D), whose main functions were in ‘cell death and survival,’ ‘cellular development,’ and ‘connective tissue development and function.’ In this network, ADCY1, ANXA4, AQP3, CEBPD, DKK1, EFNA1, HAND2, LOMD1, MYOCD, PLAAT3, STAR, STC1, and VNN1 mRNAs were upregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium, whereas EDN3, HMGAI2, LAMA1, MSX2, NRCAM, and SFRP4 mRNAs were downregulated. These results suggest that the above networks were closely related to endometrial receptivity.

Figure 5. Transcriptome and bioinformatics analysis of DEGs from the current study and previous RNA-sequencing results. (A) Venn diagram of the number of DEGs in the four assessed studies. (B) Top 20 KEGG pathways for the 98 common genes of the four studies. Ingenuity pathway analysis network generated from 98 common genes from the four studies. (C) Network related with ‘cell cycle, cellular assembly and organization, DNA replication, and recombination and repair’. (D) Network related with ‘cell death and survival, cellular development, and connective tissue development and function’. DEGs, differentially expressed genes.
ceRNA network construction. To better understand the pivotal combined roles of DE IncRNAs, miRNAs, and mRNAs, we constructed and visualized a ceRNA network (Fig. 6A). Eleven DE IncRNAs (five upregulated and six downregulated) interacted with 13 DE miRNAs from the miRcode database, and 36 DE miRNAs (19 upregulated and 17 downregulated) interacted with 79 DE mRNAs (51 upregulated and 28 downregulated) retrieved from the mirDIP database. These 11 DE IncRNAs, 36 DE miRNAs, and 79 DE mRNAs were then used to establish a ceRNA network that consisted of 126 nodes and 370 edges, where the number of edges derived from nodes indicates regulatory interactions between RNAs and the importance of biological functions. The top hub RNAs included two IncRNAs (WDFY3-AS2, upregulated; DLX6-AS1, downregulated) and six miRNAs (hsa-miR-141, hsa-miR-200a, and hsa-miR-204: Upregulated; hsa-miR-93, hsa-miR-182, and hsa-miR-424: Downregulated). Of the hub IncRNAs, DLX6-AS1 formed a connecting network with two DE miRNAs and 10 DE mRNAs (Fig. 6B). WDFY3-AS2 was also associated with five DE miRNAs and 11 DE mRNAs (Fig. 6C). Finally, LINC00240 was associated with one DE miRNA and 16 DE mRNAs (Fig. 6D).

We also identified hub RNAs forming three axes: The DLX6-AS1/miR-141 or miR-200a/OLFM1 axis, WDFY3-AS2/miR-135a or miR-183/STC1 axis, and LINC00240/miR-182/NDRG1 axis, which may be related to...
endometrial receptivity (Fig. 6B, C and D; dotted lines). To evaluate the RNA expression correlation between the tree axes, we examined the relative RNA expression by RT-qPCR in proliferative and secretory phase endometrial tissues. As shown in Fig. 7, the miRNA expression patterns were negatively correlated with lncRNAs in both proliferative and secretory tissues. The mRNA expression patterns were contrary to those of miRNA. In addition, three hub RNAs showed different expression patterns between secretory and proliferative tissues. Taken together, these results indicate that the ceRNA network might play crucial roles in the regulation of endometrial receptivity.

Discussion

Many studies have investigated the gene expression profiles of the prereceptive and receptive endometria to identify the transcriptome related to endometrial receptivity; however, the interactions between genes and ncRNAs associated with endometrial receptivity have remained unclear. In this study, we investigated whether the interplay among genes, miRNAs, and lncRNAs affect molecular signaling associated with endometrial receptivity. Of the top 10 up- and downregulated DE mRNAs identified in our study (Table II), eight upregulated genes and three downregulated genes belonged to 57 genes that were previously proposed as biomarkers of human endometrial receptivity (30). Of the DEGs, CXC motif chemokine ligand (CXCL14), transcobalamin 1 (TCN1), complement component 4 binding protein alpha (C4BPA), aldehyde oxidase 1 (AOX1), Dickkopf Wnt signaling pathway inhibitor 1 (DKK1), secreted frizzled-related protein (SFRP4), endothelin 3 (EDN3), and olfactomedin 1 (OLFM1) were related to 238 endometrial receptivity analysis array genes (31). The PAEP gene showed the greatest upregulation in the mid-secretory endometrium in this study. This gene has been reported to display negative or low expression in the proliferative endometrium (32) and may serve as a candidate biomarker for endometrial receptivity (33,34). SFRP4 modulates Wnt signaling and is more highly expressed in proliferative endometrium compared with that in secretory endometrium (35); upregulation of SFRP4 in the placenta is associated with severe preeclampsia (36). OLFM1 is an extracellular matrix protein that is expressed at significantly lower levels in the secretory endometrium than in the proliferative endometrium and negatively regulates
Table IV. Top 10 differentially expressed upregulated and downregulated microRNAs with the highest fold change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A, Upregulated microRNAs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-4525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-489-3p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-1247-5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-193b-3p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-876-3p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-224-5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-376b-3p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-30d-3p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-642a-3p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B, Downregulated microRNAs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-149-3p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-362-5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-335-3p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-486-3p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-95-3p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-365b-5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-3179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-296-3p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-135a-5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-a-miR-1224-5p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FC, fold change; miR, microRNA.

Spheroid attachment between choriocarcinoma JAr cells and Ishikawa cells (37). Moreover, human chorionic gonadotropin secreted by pre-implantation embryos significantly down-regulates OLFM1 expression (38). Thus, the genes identified in this study may be closely related to endometrial receptivity.

Of the 2,154 DEGs identified herein, 1,121 mRNAs were upregulated in the endometrium (11), whereas FoxO1 expression is induced during the differentiation of the endometrial stromal cells in culture (52). In addition, decidualization is associated with the induction of various free radical scavengers, including SOD2 (56). Although MAOA, IDO1, ARG2, HAL, GALNT12, SOD2, GABARAPL1, BCL6, and CCNB2 were uniquely identified in this study, they are known to be expressed in human endometrial epithelial cells and can be used as markers of endometrial receptivity (43). Focal adhesion, cAMP signaling, and RAP1 signaling pathways were significantly enhanced in the mid-secretory endometrium. Consistent with this, Kusama et al demonstrated that RAP1 is crucial for cAMP-mediated decidualization in rat and human endometrial stromal cells (44). Thus, focal adhesion, cAMP signaling, and RAP1 signaling may be important for decidualization during the receptive phase.

Of the 2,154 DEGs identified herein, 1,121 mRNAs were uniquely identified in this study. Recently, 18,210 structural variations have been identified in the Korean human genome compared with the human reference genome GRCh38, and most of the insertions among structural variants are causes of the variance in the transcriptome (45). Therefore, as compared with previously published data, the mRNAs that were uniquely expressed in our study were probably derived from differences between human populations. Moreover, the study endometrium donors (about 37.5 years of age) were about 10 years older than donors in previous studies (about 28 years of age). Aging regulates complex biological processes in humans (46). Therefore, differences in the age of volunteers may have contributed to the uniquely expressed mRNA. By analyzing previously published RNA-seq expression profiling studies, we identified 98 common genes involved in mid-secretory endometrial function, of which 34 were shared with the endometrial receptivity analysis array, a commercial tool used for endometrial receptivity diagnosis (31). Moreover, 50 of these 98 common genes were identical to 57 genes proposed as putative receptivity markers through meta-analysis by Altmäe et al (30). Therefore, we propose that the 98 common genes identified in this study may be related to endometrial receptivity. In the KEGG pathways of these 98 common genes, previous studies have reported the expression patterns of AOX1, MAOA, IDO1, NNMT, ARG2, HAL, GALNT12, SOD2, GABARAPL1, BCL6, and CCNB2 in the endometrium (30,31). In particular, the localization and involvement of AOX1, MAOA, IDO1, ARG2, NNMT, and BCL6 in the mid-secretory endometrium have been well described (30). MAOA is known to affect human endometrial receptivity, and its expression may be altered by inadequate decidualization (47); indeed, patients who experience implantation failure display decreased MAOA expression (48,49). IDO1 inhibits the expression of the decidualization marker genes Prl and Igfbp1 in mice under in vitro decidualization (50). FOXO1 is an important cAMP-dependent transcription factor in decidualizing human endometrial stromal cells and exerts antioxidant properties by targeting and regulating SOD2 expression (51-55). SOD2 and FoxO1 expression is induced during the differentiation of the stromal compartment in the mid- to late-secretory phase of the cycle and these proteins are expressed in decidualizing endometrial stromal cells in culture (52). In addition, decidualization is associated with the induction of various free radical scavengers, including SOD2 (56). Although MAOA, IDO1,
and SOD2 are known to participate in decidualization and endometrial receptivity, further studies are required to determine the effects of the other genes on endometrial receptivity.

In this study, we also demonstrated that networks related to the cell cycle, DNA replication, DNA recombination, and DNA repair for cell proliferation were mostly downregulated in the mid-secretory endometrium, whereas networks involved in cell survival, cellular development, and connective tissue development were upregulated. Within these networks, the DKK1 protein has been shown to affect spheroid attachment on endometrial epithelial cells, and MSX2 knockout directly affects endometrial receptivity and embryo implantation in mice (57,58). Therefore, these networks and the genes involved in them may play important roles in endometrial receptivity and implantation.

LncRNA/miRNA/mRNA interactions are known to form a network of ceRNAs with key roles in biological networks. Until recently, most studies of ceRNAs have been related to cancer, including tumor diagnosis, prognosis, and targeted treatments, with few studies examining endometrial receptivity by constructing ceRNA networks. Recently, in patients with and without endometriosis, Wang and Yu identified four ceRNA networks as biomarkers for endometrial receptivity (59). Similarly, Xu et al identified potential novel biomarkers for repeated implantation failure from a ceRNA network constructed from DE RNAs (60). In this study, we successfully constructed ceRNA networks to identify the RNA interactions that affect endometrial receptivity and discovered that the top hub RNAs include two lncRNAs and six miRNAs. Of the hub lncRNAs, DLX6-AS1 acts as a sponge for many miRNAs and is significantly overexpressed in various cancers, including cervical cancer (61,62). DLX6-AS1 is also upregulated in the placenta of patients with preeclampsia and negatively regulates the proliferation, migration, and invasion of trophoblasts (39). Of the hub miRNAs, miR-141-3p can directly sponge DLX6-AS1 (63), and miR-141 is upregulated in endometriomas compared with the eutopic endometrium of patients with endometriosis (64). Interestingly, mmu-miR-141 affects the proliferation of endometrial cells and the number of embryo implantation sites in mice, suggesting essential roles in embryo implantation (65). miR-141 belongs to the miR-200 family, which also includes miR-200a, miR-200b, miR-200c, and miR-429. miR-200a/b/c are upregulated during endometrial stromal cell decidualization in vitro (66), whereas OLFM1 has been reported as a target of miR-141 and miR-200a in human gastric cancer cells (67). In a trophoblastic spheroid (JAr)-endometrial epithelial cell (Ishikawa) co-culture model, recombinant OLFM1 protein treatment suppressed the attachment of JAr spheroids onto the Ishikawa cell monolayer, suggesting that OLFM1 inhibits endometrial receptivity (68). Therefore, the DLX6-AS1/miR-141 or miR-200a/OLFM1 axes may be important regulators of endometrial receptivity.

Endometrial cell proliferation decreased between the proliferative to mid-secretory phases; however, uncontrolled endometrial epithelial cell proliferation can lead to implantation failure and has been observed in the eutopic secretory endometrium of patients with endometriosis (69). Therefore, endometrial cell proliferation is closely related to pregnancy. WDFY3-AS2, which was identified from our ceRNA network, has no known endometrial function but has been reported to be related to cancer progression. For example, WDFY3-AS2 inhibits cancer cell proliferation and invasion (70,71), and miR-135a, which is a potential target of WDFY3-AS in diffuse glioma (72), promotes cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion (73) and directly suppresses FOXO1 in hepatocellular carcinoma cells (74). Other pregnancy-related studies showed strong expression of stanniocalcin (STC) 1 and STC2 mRNA in decidualized rat cells, suggesting that STC1 and STC2 play important roles in implantation and decidualization (75). In humans, STC1 is upregulated in the secretory endometrium compared with that in the proliferative endometrium, and is dysregulated in the eutopic endometrium of patients with endometriosis, suggesting its roles in the pathogenesis of decidualization defects (76). Therefore, accumulating evidence indicates that the WDFY3-AS2/miR-135a/STC1 axis may be involved in endometrial stromal cell decidualization. The ceRNA network in this study revealed a potential, previously unknown interaction between WDFY3-AS2 and miR-183, which is decreased in vitro during human endometrial stromal cell decidualization (77). In addition, miR-183 suppresses FOXO1 in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), significantly increasing NSCLC growth in vitro and in vivo (78). Recently, Akbar et al suggested that miR-183-5p may be a potential biomarker for endometrial receptivity (79), and STC1 has been reported as a potential target of miR-183-5p in bladder cancer (80). Thus, our study suggests that the WDFY3-AS2/miR-183-5p/STC1 axis could be a biomarker for endometrial receptivity.

Although LINC00240 was more highly expressed in the secretory endometrium than in the proliferative endometrium in this study, no interaction between LINC00240 and miR-182 has been reported. LINC00240 promotes cell proliferation, invasion, and migration in gastric and cervical cancer (81,82), and miR-182 suppresses FOXO1 expression in endometrial cancer cells (83). In addition, N-myc downregulated gene 1 (NDRG1) directly affects pregnancy in mice, and reduced NDRG1 expression has been reported in decidual samples from patients with recurrent miscarriage (84). Thus, the LINC00240/miR-182/NDRG1 axis may also play important roles in endometrial receptivity.

There were some limitations to this study. First, the sample size was small, and tissue heterogeneity could have limited the generalization of the results. Additionally, sample collection was based on menstrual cycle history, ultrasound finding, and histological confirmation rather than serum luteinizing hormone concentrations, which are generally used for cycle dating. In fact, endometrial receptivity is the receptive status of the endometrium that allows the embryo to implant and is usually compared between endometria of fertile and infertile patients in the WOI. Therefore, we are currently collecting endometrium samples from infertile patients to further explore genes associated with endometrial receptivity.

In conclusion, the ceRNA networks constructed in this study may partially explain the regulatory mechanisms underlying endometrial receptivity; however, further studies are required to define the relationships between these ceRNA networks and endometrial receptivity.
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