Abstract. Bladder tumors are frequently diagnosed urologic malignant diseases with an extremely high recurrence rate compared to other neoplastic tumors. Urothelial bladder carcinomas are mostly identified in their incipient form, as non-muscle invasive, but despite that, a third of them develop into aggressive recurrent disease. The diagnosis of bladder carcinoma at this moment is established using cytology and cystoscopy and is a great challenge for clinicians due to the lack of sensitivity. Urinary biomarkers could improve and enhance the diagnosis and screening techniques and determine a more accurate recurrence rate. However, bladder cancer is a heterogeneous disease and the existence of a single marker test with reduced cost is unlikely; thus, until then, the use of a panel of markers to obtain valuable information is inevitable even though suboptimal for use. To improve this deadlock, new biomarker panels should be identified and prepared to equalize the cost-efficiency balance. The present paper is a literature review concerning the most commonly used tumor markers in urinary bladder cancer as well as the most commonly encountered genetic modifications in such patients.
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1. Introduction

Bladder tumors are frequently diagnosed urologic malignant diseases with an extremely high recurrence rate compared to other neoplastic tumors. Bladder cancer types include squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma and urothelial carcinoma, the most common being transitional cell and...
urothelial bladder carcinoma representing the 10th most commonly diagnosed cancers worldwide (1). Urothelial bladder carcinomas are mostly identified in their inipient form, as non-muscle invasive, but despite that, a third will develop into aggressive recurrent disease (2). The diagnosis of bladder carcinoma at this moment is a great challenge for clinicians, as the only tools available for diagnosis and staging include: a) cytological analysis of urine, a pathologist-dependent method; b) cystoscopy and biopsy, an invasive and costly method; and c) computed tomography or magnetic resonance.

However, these tools may lead to the misdiagnosis of patients due to the lack of sensitivity by eluding micro-metastatic disease staging or providing false-negative results; mistakes that could hinder the application of the correct treatment strategy for these patients (3).

Tumoral markers are a new type of investigative tool that aid clinicians to understand the tumor macroenvironment and microenvironment, to diagnose cancer earlier, improve outcomes, risk-stratify patients and apply disease-targeted therapy. However, despite the fact that the blood, tissue and urine markers for bladder have been extensively investigated in the last few years, the actual international guidelines are slowly adding them as routine management of bladder cancer (4).

The aim of this review is to present new urine biomarkers that could, in the next few years, improve the diagnosis, staging and detection of recurrence for patients with bladder cancer.

In this review, we collected the latest data from international studies concerning the urinary urothelial cancer biomarkers and their important role in diagnosis, screening and surveillance.

At this moment, the gold standard investigation used for diagnosing bladder cancer is cystoscopy with biopsy and urine cytology. Cytology is a non-invasive urinary test with a specificity of approximately 99% and sensitivity of a maximum 70% dependent on pathologist experience used for detection and surveillance of low-grade urothelial carcinoma. Yet, in the literature, there are articles that support the use of more specific urinary biomarker tests that can reduce the high cost currently associated with the management of urothelial carcinoma, provide new information regarding targeted treatment, and offer an earlier non-invasive patient-friendly diagnostic tool (5). However, bladder cancer is a heterogeneous disease and the existence of a single marker test with reduced cost is unlikely; thus, until then, we will need to use a panel of markers to obtain valuable information (6).

2. Urinary biomarkers

DNA methylation. DNA methylation is a biological process that develops early in carcinogenesis. It consists of the addition of methyl groups to a segment of DNA thus changing the activity affecting tumor initiation and progression (7). Urothelial bladder carcinoma is characterized by hypomethylation or hypermethylation (8). DNA methylation can be examined in tumor cells and circulating free DNA (cfDNA) fragments found in patient urine (9). Studies have shown that hypermethylated genes such as adenomatous polyposis coli (APC), glutathione S-transferase π1 (GSTP1) and retinoic acid receptor β2 (RARβ2) are frequently found in the urine of urothelial carcinoma patients; thus, the methylation status of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (p16INK4A), death-associated protein kinase 1 (DAPK), ARF tumor suppressor (p14ARF), APC and Ras association domain family member 1 (RASSF1A) tumor-suppressor genes have been found to be associated with the stage and grade of bladder cancer (10‑12). Other studies have evaluated twist family BHLH transcription factor 1 (TWIST1) and nidogen 2 (NID2) gene methylation as well as spalt like transcription factor 3 (SALL3) and cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) concluding that the combination with cytology increases both the negative predictive value and sensitivity in patients with urothelial carcinoma or studied DNA methylation patterns that help distinguish non-invasive from muscle-invasive bladder cancer (13,14). In addition, new studies concerning DNA methylation have recently provided evidence for superior prognostic value for bladder tumor recurrence compared with classic diagnostic tools, by analyzing SRY-box transcription factor 1 (SOX1), interleukin-1 receptor associated kinase 3 (IRAK3) and Li-MET gene methylation grade. On the other hand, the study of SEPTIN9, Slt guidance ligand 2 (SLT2) and heparan sulfate glucosamine 3-O-sulfotransferase 2 (HS3ST2) genes associated with alteration status of fibroblast growth factor 3 (FGFR3) could be used for urothelial carcinoma diagnosis, surveillance and risk stratification in non-invasive bladder cancer patients with 85% specificity and 98% sensitivity (13). Besides the encouraging results acquired in studies about methylated genes, the diagnostic precision of methylated DNA genes fluctuates between different research groups, so the results will need to be validated before clinical application (15,16).

Circulating tumor-cell DNA (ctDNA) and cell-free DNA (cfDNA). ctDNA and cfDNA status are additional alternatives for detecting urothelial bladder carcinoma in urine samples. ctDNA can be found in almost 80% of the patient probes. It contains a variation of alteration in DNA [180 and 200 base pairs (bp) and tumor-specific mutations], revealing the heterogeneity of tumors. On the other hand, ctDNA disappears from the urine after systemic therapy, which could impede clinical use. Moreover, cfDNA can be PCR-based analyzed from urine or blood and our supposition is that it can be used for screening or early detection of urothelial carcinoma in the future (17,18).

Mutation status and microsatellite alterations. Microsatellites are short repeat sequences of 1-6 bp that form the DNA structure. Microsatellite alteration is defined as the modification in length of the microsatellite, being the result of epigenetic silencing or mutational inactivation of DNA mismatch repair genes which may initiate oncogenesis by silencing tumor-suppressor genes or disrupting other non-coding regulatory sequences. Literature reports that microsatellite alteration analysis by loss of heterozygosity methods is more sensitive than urine cytology alone (96 vs. 80%) in the diagnosis of low-grade bladder carcinoma and low-stage bladder carcinoma, with 95% sensitivity for lower grades and 100% for CIS and other high-grade tumors (19).

On the other hand, new studies concerning mutational status have considered that FGFR3 mutational status, urinary telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) promoter mutations,
and telomere length could be used to determine the high risk of recurrence of urothelial bladder carcinoma. Telomeric repeated amplification combined with FGFR3 and orthodenticle homebox 1 (OTX1) mutational status provide high sensitivity results for the diagnosis of non-muscle invasive urothelial bladder carcinoma, and high-grade T1 carcinoma and also for determining metastatic potential (20,21). A recent study using innovative sequencing technology to detect urinary tumor DNA using TERT amplification known as utDNA CAPP-Seq reached over 90% specificity. uCAPP-Seq outperformed the commonly used UroVysion test, cytology, and cystoscopy combined, suggesting that uCAPP-Seq is an encouraging method for early detection and follow-up of urothelial carcinoma (22).

Urinary tumor RNAs. In the last few years, research groups have studied the various RNA classes, such as microRNAs (miRs), transfer RNA fragments (tRFs), messenger RNAs (mRNAs), and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), to identify a correlation among them and urothelial bladder cancer. All of them have shown the potential of being used as biomarkers (21). For example, miR values were increased in the case of patients with active carcinoma and after treatment (23).

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs). lncRNAs are a subtype of RNA having a length of 200 nucleotides that regulate gene expression, certain epigenetic changes, and do not translate into protein. Fan et al. studied lncRNA modifications in malignancy and proposed a role in the promotion of tumor progression and growth which received interest by the scientific community (24). Circulating urothelial carcinoma antigen 1 (UCAI) could be used as a urinary biomarker, with 80% sensitivity and approximately 90% specificity (25). Du et al. proposed uc004cox.4 lncRNA as a biomarker for bladder cancer. The high value in urinary sediment may be associated with low recurrence-free survival (26). On the other hand, the long interspaced element-1 (LINE-1) retrotransposon was found to be associated with high recurrence-free and tumor-specific survival (27).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs). miRNAs are small non-coding RNAs approximately 22 nucleotides long that control gene expression by coupling to the 3'-untranslated section of their target messenger RNA (mRNA). Sethi et al. support that certain miRNAs have an important role in carcinogenesis, evolution, and progression of cancer, thus urine is a source for miRNA detection having a high quantity of cell-free nucleic acid in sediments (28). However, the utility of miRNAs in the urine to diagnose urothelial carcinoma remains polemic mostly due to the reduced number of studies (28,29).

Hanke et al. studied miR-126 urinary levels and found that they are higher in urothelial carcinoma compared to non-malignant control patients and that miR-146a-5p could be used as a biomarker for high-grade urothelial carcinoma (30). On the other hand, low miR-200c values were found to be associated with progression of non-invasive urothelial carcinoma (20). Chen et al. used a 74 miRNA panel and discovered 33 upregulated miRNAs and 41 downregulated miRNAs in urothelial cancer compared to control patients, the most important being let-7miR, miR-196a, miR-1268, miR-143, miR-100, miR-101, miR-1 and miR-200 (31). The miR-200 was acknowledged as an epithelial-mesenchymal transition regulator in malignant cells by targeting zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1.2 (ZEB1, ZEB2) and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) (32). A recent study in patients with bladder cancer found that miR-96 and miR-210 were present in urinary sediment despite the fact that the control cystoscopy was negative (33). The expression of miR-100 and miR-138 was recently considered as a prognostic biomarker in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (34).

In conclusion, a panel of 12 miRNAs could reduce the cystoscopy rates by 30%, increasing specificity and sensitivity showing higher diagnostic performance, giving more exact information about the recurrence rate and about the aggressiveness and the stage than classic diagnostic methods and therefore may be the preferred methodology in the future. For example, an miRNA profiling urine study by NGS-derived analysis classified different carcinoma subtypes as follows. In non-invasive urothelial carcinoma G1/G2 patients, miR-205-5p upregulation was observed; in non-invasive urothelial carcinoma G3 patients, miR-21-5p, miR-106b-3p, miR-486-5p, miR-151a-3p, miR-200c-3p, miR-185-5p, miR-185-5p and miR-224-5p upregulation and miR-30c-2-5p and miR-10b-5p downregulation were observed. However, the results obtained through NGS analysis is a discovery that could be considered the perfect method of biomarker investigation using miRNAs (35).

Messenger RNAs (mRNAs). mRNAs are single-stranded RNA fragments that fit the genetic sequence of a gene and can be translated by the ribosome in the protein-producing process. Circulating mRNAs are detectable in the urine of cancer patients, despite the fact that the majority of circulating mRNA is destroyed by the ribonuclease. Their role in intracellular protein communication reveals the intracellular activity, supposed to be used as urine biomarkers (36). For example, mRNA levels of urine ubiquitin conjugating enzyme E2 C (UBE2C) and isoleucine glutamine motif-containing Gtaase-activating proteins (IQGAP3) in urine were found to be increased in urothelial carcinoma compared to control samples. Other studies of IQGAP3, concerning tumor aggressiveness and pathological grade, also conceded a high diagnostic accurateness, concluding that IQGAP3 might be used as a relevant biomarker for urothelial carcinoma in the context of microscopic or macroscopic hematuria (37). On the other hand, lower values of N-Myc downstream-regulated gene 2 (NDRG2) mRNA in the urine were found to be correlated with tumor grade and stage (38). Another important mRNA biomarker is carbonic anhydrase 9 splice variant mRNA that could increase the diagnostic performance for urothelial carcinoma with 72% specificity and 90% sensitivity (38).

Transfer RNA fragments (tRFs). tRFs are short molecules that emerge after cleavage of mature tRNAs or the precursor transcript. tRFs are formed from 14- to -32 bases, single-stranded RNA. They are grouped into 3 principal types (tRF-1, -3, and -5) and, by their cleavage site; they are divided into 5 subtypes. High levels of tRFs are found in malignancies. The first identified tRF in non-muscle invasive urothelial
cancer and perhaps the most important is miR720/3007a, which has been considered a potential urine biomarker (39). Yet, there are few studies on the usage of tRFs as biomarkers.

**Extracellular vesicles and exosomes.** Exosomes are membrane-bound extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted in body fluids by an endosomal compartment of cells. They have a function in intercellular communication and the transfer of active molecules (RNAs, DNA, and proteins). Exosomes in urine also contain miRNAs. Studies have shown that they are elevated in cancer patients. High levels of EVs were determined in the urine from patients with urothelial carcinoma. Andreu et al performed a study using a microarray platform and RT-PCR analysis, showing that miR-375 and miR146a were useful to identify high- and low-grade urothelial carcinoma (40). The application of nanowires anchored into a microfluidic substrate helped to determine EV collection, allowing the identification of EVs that contain miRNAs. Studies have determined that urinary exosomes have increased levels of HOX transcript antisense RNA (HOTAIR) together with other IncRNAs, such as HOX-AS-2, ANRIL and linc-RoR in patients with high-grade muscle-invasive bladder cancer (41). On the other hand, the loss of HOTAIR expression in bladder cancer cells was found to change the expression of SNAI, ZEB1, TWIST1, ZO1, laminin subunit β3 (LAMB3), laminin subunit γ2 (LAMC2) and MMP1 epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition genes (42). Also, proteomic examination identified calcium-signal transducer 2 (TACSTD2), a tumor-associated marker, in urinary exosomes (42). EVs can also be used to detect bladder carcinoma progression by delivering the discoidin 1-like domain-containing protein-3 and protein EGF-like. In conclusion, EVs and exosomes are considered an important source of cancer biomarkers (43).

**Novel urine-based biomarkers.** Recent studies of urinary biomarkers have aimed to improve the diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of urothelial carcinoma diagnosis. BCLA-1 and BCLA-4 are nuclear matrix proteins specifically targeting BC tissues, with no interference with infection, smoking, catheterization or cystitis. For example, in patients with hematuria, aurora A kinase (AURKA) may differentiate between normal urine vs. low-grade bladder carcinoma (44). Also, high levels of nicotinamide N-methyltransferase are present in urothelial bladder carcinoma and can help distinguish the pathologic grade (45). Apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1/redox factor-1 (APE/Ref-1) represents another novel urine-based biomarker for bladder carcinoma that could be used to establish stage, grade and recurrence (46). In addition, activated leucocyte cell adhesion molecule (ALCAM), a cell adhesion molecule, could be utilized for determining tumor stage and overall survival (47). UBC Rapid test that detects high levels of cytoketatin 8 and 18, may help to distinguish patients with high- vs. low-grade urothelial carcinoma (48). Schiffer et al claims that a conglomerate of 4 urinary fragments such as collagen α1 (I), collagen α1 (III), uromodulin and membrane-associated progesterone receptor component 1 (PGRMC1) can differentiate between non-invasive from muscle-invasive carcinoma (49). In addition, higher urinary levels of apolipoprotein A1, A2, B, C2, C3, E were found in the urine of patients with urothelial carcinoma (50). The benefits of these multi-urinary biomarker panels were useful in determining the grade of disease. Another new biomarker panels discovered in the urine was the combination of midkine and synuclein G or midkine, CEACAM1, ZAG2, clusterin and angiogenin that could increase the sensitivity and specificity of urinary cytology using immunoassay (51). A recent report published by Blanca et al based on protein detection of FGFR3/cyclin D3 proposed an important biomarker role of this combination, with a sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 73% in the detection of bladder cancer (52).

Moreover, metabolite panels could be used as biomarkers. A combination of N2-galacturonyl-L-lysine, indolyl acryloyl glycin, and aspartyl-glutamate allow establishment of the grade of urothelial bladder carcinoma. Furthermore, nicotinuric acid, acid trehalose, AspAspGlyTrp peptide, inosinic acid, ureidosuccinic acid, and GlyCysAlaLys peptide found to be upregulated or downregulated in urothelial carcinoma, compared to controls (53). In addition, Loras et al reported the modification of phenylalanine, proline, tryptophan and arginine metabolisms revealed by ultraperformance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry in patients with urothelial bladder carcinoma (54). In conclusion, metabolomics holds great future promise and remains uninvestigated at this moment.

**Urine analysis of components of the tumor microenvironment.** A recent study by Wong et al identified urine derived lymphocytes as an available source of T cells in 32 patients with muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma. CD8+ and CD4+ effector cells and regulatory T cells from urine accurately highlight the immune reaction of the body and map the tumor microenvironment, possibly determining the stage and status of the tumor (55). For example, an increased urine-derived lymphocyte count, such as PD-1 (PD-1+) high expression on CD8+ before cystectomy, was related to shorter recurrence-free survival. It was established that urine-derived lymphocyte examination is a dynamic liquid biopsy that characterizes the immune tumor microenvironment and could be used to determine the prognosis of the disease (56).

**Urine-based gene mutation profile in bladder cancer diagnosis and prognosis.** Recent research in utilizing urine probe as a biomarker to predict the presence of malignancy or to monitor the response of treatment that has captured research interest is the detection of genetic signatures. Ideally, early identification of urothelial carcinoma results in a better prognosis, offers a higher survival rate for patients, and could achieve a reduced recurrence rate. Thus, providing new diagnostic methods for non-invasive urothelial carcinoma will be of great practical significance in patient management. The cells from urinary residues of patients with non-invasive muscular bladder cancer have multiple gene mutational loads, and this is useful to predict recurrence after treatment. These research studies have gathered multiple reports on urinary gene mutational analysis as biomarkers of recurrence and progression after therapy. A recent study conducted by Christensen et al recognized 14 genes (152 mutation sites) in a study of 95 non-invasive muscular bladder cancer subjects and 67 control subjects. The study acknowledged genes such as FGFR3, PIK3CA and TP53 that, in comparison with the control group, the mutational rate of 14 genes was higher in the studied group (56). Non-invasive muscular bladder cancer diagnostic pattern was established by
Undeniably, also presented a study in inflammation. Cxbladder has three different types of bladder cycle, cell proliferation; and v) CXCR2 which is a marker for reducing apoptosis; iv) CDC2 (CDK1), which finalizes the cell which alter angiogenesis in malignant cells; iii) IGFBP5, which genetic biomarkers linked to bladder cancer based on mRNA: having urothelial bladder. The test measures the following five Commercially available RNA/DNA based bladder cancer studies in the future to support their role. RAS mutation of the RAS‑MAPK pathway producing mutations in the urothelial cancers display a great genetic heterogeneity in and specificity of 86 and 80/97% in the testing for recurrence markers (SOX‑1, Li‑MET, and IRAK3) achieved a sensitivity lial carcinoma. The optimum marker panel, a panel of three markers collected from 90 patients with non‑invasive urothe Su et al recently reported on DNA methylation levels of six markers collected from 90 patients with non-invasive urothelial carcinoma (58). It analyzed deep sequencing for 9 gene targets and shallow whole‑genome sequencing for detecting the variation that appears. The research group discovered 17 somatic mutations in 6 patients that appear in cancer including amplification of MDM2, CCND1, CCNE1 and ERBB2; and deletion of CDKN2A, RB1 and PTEN. In conclusion, urinary exoDNA represent another source that could be used for biopsy. Allory et al also presented a study on the prognostic relevance of genomic profiling analyzing TERT mutation frequency and correlated it with the detection of recurrences in urine and clinical outcome in patients. TERT mutations had 90% specificity, 62% sensitivity and 42% sensitivity in recurrent urothelial bladder cancer (59). Su et al recently reported on DNA methylation levels of six markers collected from 90 patients with non-invasive urothelial carcinoma. The optimum marker panel, a panel of three markers (SOX‑1, Li‑MET, and IRAK3) achieved a sensitivity and specificity of 86 and 80/97% in the testing for recurrence status, a result higher then cytology or cystoscopy (8). Also, urothelial cancers display a great genetic heterogeneity in contrast to many other types of tumors, the most frequent mutations being on the FGFR3 oncogene, that cause deregulation of the RAS‑MAPK pathway producing mutations in the RAS oncogenes (HRAS, NRAS, KRAS). Undeniably, FGFR3 mutation analysis of urine has been performed and established a sensitivity of 58% for detecting bladder cancer in the last studies and could be used as a predictive tool but it needs more studies in the future to support their role.

Commercially available RNA/DNA based bladder cancer detection tests. Cxbladder is a family of urine biomarker laboratory tests optimized to diagnose the probability of having urothelial bladder. The test measures the following five genetic biomarkers linked to bladder cancer based on mRNA: i) HOXA13, which disturbs cell differentiation; ii) MDK, which alter angiogenesis in malignant cells; iii) IGFBP5, which reduces apoptosis; iv) CDC2 (CDK1), which finalizes the cell cycle, cell proliferation; and v) CXCR2 which is a marker for inflammation. Cxbladder has three different types of bladder cancer urinary tests: i) Cxbladder Triage: A test that excludes bladder cancer for patients with hematuria; ii) Cxbladder Detect: A test that establishes the early possibility of having bladder cancer for high-risk patients; and iii) Cxbladder Monitor: A test used as a replacement for cystoscopy. Another bladder cancer-detecting test is the uCAPP-seq test that uses the detection of cfDNA in urine sediment by measuring cell-free tumor DNA released from tumor cells. Uromonitor is a test that senses trace amounts of the FGFR3 mutation and TERT promoter, two of the most frequent alterations detected in urine. Another test is the Xpert Bladder Cancer Monitor, a test that measures the expression of five mRNA targets (ABL1, IGF2, UPK1B, CRH ANXA10) in hematuria and it is used as a negative predictive value of cystoscopy. It has recently been validated in a large cohort of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer cases with outstanding results. It can partially replace cystoscopy by excluding bladder cancer recurrence for the patients already diagnosed.

UroSEEK is a test that uses urine samples and detects the presence of modified numbers of chromosomes and mutations in 11 genes that identify the presence of bladder cancer or upper tract cancer. It is proposed to be used for early detection of bladder cancer in patients who have high risk or patients who require monitoring for recurrence. In a recent study on 570 patients, UroSEEK was positive in 83% of those who had urothelial carcinoma. Combined with cytology, the test identified 95% of patients who had bladder cancer. For upper tract urothelial cancer, 75% of the patients tested positive. Also, it had good results for detecting the recurrences for the patients already diagnosed. Compared with cytology, the test detected 67% of cases while cytology detected nothing (60).

The UroMuTERT is another test, capable of detecting urothelial cancer. It is based on analyzing two highly recurrent mutations in the telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) gene, mutations that are present in the urothelial tumor and can easily be detected in the urine of patients. The test was developed to be sensitive to low levels of TERT mutations in urine sediment and has demonstrated excellent specificity and specificity for the discovery of urothelial cancer, greater than urine cytology for the detection of low-grade early-stage carcinoma (61).

Classic biomarkers approved for clinical practice. Cystoscopy and urine cytology are the gold methods for the diagnosis and surveillance of bladder cancer, but the role of new urinary biomarker testing that could complete with or replace these two forms of examination is not well established. Biomarkers are useful in particular situations, such as to improve the atypical cytology results, to analyze the bacillus Calmette-Guerin instillations, to identify high risk patients or recurrence rate according to (AUA) American Urological Association guidelines. Some biomarkers have been accepted for clinical practice in the last 20 years. NMP22, immunoCyt (uCyt+), BTA‑TRAK, BTA‑STA and UroVysion have been approved by authorities for urothelial carcinoma diagnosis and surveillance. Thus the approval of urinary tumor markers has transformed the urologist tactic to diagnose urothelial carcinoma. For example, UroVysion, NMP22 and BTA are replacing urine cytology for detecting urothelial carcinoma. ImmunoCyt, on the other hand, has achieved best results combined with urine cytology enhancing the specificity and sensitivity of 78 and 90%. Studies that analyzed the SEER-Medicare database highlights the fact that urinary biomarker testing has increased in the last years.
leading the urological community to use new non-invasive way of monitoring bladder cancer despite the lack of guideline endorsement, confusion regarding the usefulness, cost concerns, reimbursement changes, logistical issues and availability (62).

3. Conclusions

Despite the overabundance of studies investigating the role of urinary biomarkers in urothelial bladder cancer with an incredible rate of data, none of the studies has reached equilibrium between cost, relevance, the worldwide spread of techniques; thus, it will take a few more years to reach the popularity of cystoscopy and cytology (63,64).
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