Abstract. Pemphigus represents a group of chronic inflammatory disorders characterized by autoantibodies that target components of desmosomes, leading to the loss of intercellular adhesion between keratinocytes and causing intraepithelial blistering. The pemphigus group consists of four main clinical types with several variants: pemphigus vulgaris (with pemphigus vegetans and pemphigus herpetiformis as variants), pemphigus foliaceus, paraneoplastic pemphigus and IgA pemphigus (with two clinical variants: intraepidermal neutrophilic IgA dermatosis and subcorneal pustular dermatosis). Genetic factors are involved in the pathogenesis, with HLA-DR4 (DRB1*0402) and HLA-DRw6 (DQB1*0503) allele more common in patients with pemphigus vulgaris, HLA class II DRB1*0344 and HLA CW*1445 correlated with paraneoplastic pemphigus, and HLA-DRB1*04:01, HLA-DRB1*04:06, HLA-DRB1*01:01, HLA-DRB1*14, associated with a higher risk of developing pemphigus foliaceus. Autoantibodies are conducted against structural desmosomal proteins in the skin and mucous membranes, mainly desmogleins, desmocollins and plakins. Cell-mediated immunity may also play a role, especially in paraneoplastic pemphigus. Patients may present erythema, blisters, erosions, and ulcers that may affect the skin, as well as mucosal surfaces of the oral cavity, eyes, nose, leading to severe complaints including pain, dysphagia, and fetor. Oral mucosal postbullous erosive lesions are frequently the first sign of disease in pemphigus vulgaris and in paraneoplastic pemphigus, without skin involvement, making the diagnosis difficult. Treatment options classically include immunosuppressive agents, such as corticosteroids and corticosteroid-sparing agents such as azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate or dapsone. Newer therapies focus on blocking cell signaling events induced by pathogenic autoantibodies and/or targeting specific autoantibodies. The disease evolution is conditioned by the treatment with maximum doses of corticosteroids and the side effects associated with long-term immunosuppressive therapy, which is why patients need a multidisciplinary approach in following the treatment. In this review, we provide a comprehensive overview of the epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical aspect, diagnosis and management of the main intraepidermal blistering diseases from the pemphigus group.
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1. Introduction

Pathophysiologically, intraepidermal blistering diseases represent a group of disorders in which the body wrongly attacks healthy tissue with autoantibodies that attach to structural proteins in the mucous membranes and skin, which are components of desmosomes (desmocollins, desmogleins, plakins), causing intraepithelial blisters (1-3). This category of intraepidermal blistering diseases includes pemphigus, which can be classified into the following four entities: pemphigus vulgaris (PV), pemphigus foliaceus (PF), paraneoplastic pemphigus (PNP), IgA pemphigus (subcorneal pustular dermatosis and intraepidermal neutrophilic IgA dermatosis).
The specific symptoms and severity of these diseases vary from one person to another, even among individuals with the same disorder. The diagnosis of bullous skin diseases is based on the typical skin manifestations, which may be objectified by Nikolsky sign and characteristic direct immunofluorescence (DIF) patterns in skin biopsies (1-3). The presence of specific circulating autoantibodies guides the diagnosis and allows a correlation between the levels of specific autoantibodies and the severity of the disease (4).

Since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, oral ulcerative lesions have been described, associated with SARS-COV-2 infection. Bullous dermatoses can arise with similar lesions in the oral cavity, which is why the clinical picture must be very well known (2-4).

Although there is no cure for autoimmune blistering diseases, they can often be controlled with treatment. The choice of drugs and their dosage should be based on clinical severity and patient comorbidities. Most patients require months or even years of immunosuppressive maintenance therapy. In other cases, untreated autoimmune blistering diseases can cause life-threatening complications (1-5). In recent years, new insight into the causes and development of these disorders has led to research into new therapies, such as the development of drugs that target the specific autoantibodies that cause the symptoms of these diseases (6).

2. Research methods

A literature search was conducted, using electronic databases Key Elsevier, Medscape, PubMed, Google Scholar, for the term ‘pemphigus’ in combination with ‘vulgaris’, ‘vegetans’, ‘herpetiformis’, ‘foliaceus’, ‘paraneoplastic’, ‘IgA’, ‘epidemiology’, ‘pathophysiology’, ‘skin manifestations’, ‘mucosal manifestations’, ‘clinical variants’, ‘management’ and ‘evolution’ to collect reports of skin and mucosal manifestations described in patients with different clinical variants of pemphigus. Case reports, case series, and literature review-type articles were included in our research. A brief report was conducted based on 98 articles found in the literature.

3. Pemphigus group

Pemphigus includes a group of potentially life-threatening bullous autoimmune disorders of largely unknown etiology. Clinically, they are characterized by flaccid blisters and erosions of the skin and/or mucous membranes (1-4,7-9). The loss of intraepidermal adhesion between keratinocytes is attributable to the binding of autoantibodies directed against desmosomal structural proteins, primarily desmogleins (Dsg1 and Dsg3) and, in rare cases, also desmocollin 1-3 or plakins (1-4,10). Pemphigus has distinct forms: pemphigus vulgaris (PV), pemphigus foliaceus (PF), paraneoplastic pemphigus (PNP), and IgA pemphigus. PV and PF are caused by a humoral autoimmune response, whereas PNP is characterized by persistent mucosal erosions with or without skin involvement. PF presents fragile, superficial blisters, as well as subsequent erosions and leafy scales that exclusively affect keratinizing skin (11). In PNP, the clinical hallmark is painful oral mucosal lesions accompanied by morphologically heterogeneous skin lesions (erythematous macules, flaccid blisters, scaly plaques, or erosions) (4-8,11).

4. Pemphigus vulgaris (PV)

Epidemiology. According to several retrospective studies, pemphigus vulgaris (PV) is the most frequent representative of the group of pemphigus diseases, with an incidence of 0.1-0.5/100,000 population (7-9,11). A female predominance is reported in most epidemiological studies, with a peak age between 50-60 years, although childhood onset forms have been described (7,12). PV is also more common in certain ethnic groups, such as the Ashkenazi Jewish population and Mediterranean descendants (7,12).

Pathophysiology and genetic factors. In patients with PV, most types of antibodies are oriented against desmosomal cadherins, Dsg1 and Dsg3, but other autoantibodies have been identified targeting other metabolic and structural proteins, such as Dsc1 and Dsc3 desmocollins, mitochondrial antigens, hSPCA1, thyroid peroxidase, muscarinic and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, plakoglobin, E-cadherin and plakophilin 3 (9,12-14). The pathogenic role of these non-Dsg autoantibodies is mentioned by some studies, which suggest that they synergistically complement the classic effects of anti-Dsg autoantibodies in the complex process of pemphigus pathogenesis (14). The two antigens targeted by autoantibodies in PV are the 130-kDa glycoprotein Dsg3 and 160-kDa glycoprotein Dsg1. Dsg1 is mainly expressed on the surface of the epidermis, while Dsg3 accumulates predominantly in the mucous membranes and deeper epidermal layers (9-13). Patients with mucosal-dominant-type PV have only anti-Dsg3 antibodies, and those with mucocutaneous-type PV have both anti-Dsg3 and anti-Dsg1 antibodies (13).

There is a genetic predisposition for developing PV; certain major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules, such as DR4 (DRB1*0402) and DRw6 (DQB1*0503) occurring more frequently among those affected (7,15). Although these alleles are rare in the European population, they are more common in certain ethnic groups (e.g. Jewish population) and in countries of the eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East (Turkey, Iran, Iraq) (4-7,15).

Clinical features. PV is clinically characterized by flaccid blisters/erosions of the mucous membranes and the skin.

In most of the cases, the onset of the lesions involves the oral cavity. It is often not recognized in the early stages, thus, other oral ulcerative disorders are suspected, such as herpetic gingivostomatitis, recurrent aphthae, erosive oral lichen planus, or even candida stomatitis. Intact bullae are rare in the mouth. More commonly the lesions are ill-defined, irregular, painful erosions located on the gingiva, buccal or palatal mucosa (8,16-18). Other sites of the mucous membrane may be affected, including the conjunctiva, esophagus, pharynx, larynx, urethra, penis, labia, vagina, cervix, and anus (8) (Fig. 1).

Skin lesions appear several weeks or months after the onset of mucosal erosions and may develop anywhere on the skin, but there are some areas of predilection that include the scalp, face, chest, axillae, groin, and umbilicus. Blisters are...
flaccid, fragile and break easily, leading to painful erosions, which bleed easily and often become crusted, and can lead to residual pigmented lesions after healing under immunosuppressive treatment (5,6,8-10) (Fig. 2). The blister on the skin may remain localized for 6 to 12 months, and then afterwards becomes widespread. The lesions can be painful, pruritic, and associated with a burning sensation, weakness, history of epistaxis, malaise, weight loss, dysphagia, and hoarseness (4,7,8). It is uncommon that the lesions emerge as a generalized acute eruption (9). During the active phase of PV, Nikolsky signs can be obtained but they are not specific to PV and can be found in other active blistering diseases (8). The direct Nikolsky appears because of an absence of cohesion within the epidermis and its upper layers move easily laterally with slight pressure or rubbing. Another sign that may be present is Asboe-Hansen sign also referred to as the ‘indirect Nikolsky’ or ‘Nikolsky II’ which occurs when a gentle pressure on intact bulla forces the fluid to spread under the skin away from the site of pressure -‘bulla-spread phenomenon’ (1,3,4-8). In the case of pregnant women with active pemphigus, there is a chance that the newborn could develop neonatal pemphigus as a result of the transmission of maternal IgG (consisting of autoantibodies against Dsg3) through the placenta (8,19). The clinical picture in neonatal pemphigus is not as severe compared to the disease that caused it since it is not a systemic disease. The symptoms and signs are reduced to skin lesions, and exanthematous; crusted erosions erupt as a temporary phenomenon over several weeks until the degradation of maternal autoantibodies (19-21). Untreated, pemphigus vegetans can be fatal within 5 years due to severe blistering, secondary infection and malnutrition. Mortality is approximately 5 to 15% per year (8,22-24).

Pemphigus herpetiformis is a rare variant of PV, characterized by erythematous, vesicular, bullous, pustular or papular lesions, often in a ‘herpetiform’ pattern and with severe pruritus, frequently located on the trunk and proximal extremities (8,26). Skin lesions tend to present with annular-shaped distribution, or in some cases, the main lesions can resemble urticaria (26,27). Oral mucosa involvement is rare (8,27). Therefore, pemphigus herpetiformis possesses clinical similarity to dermatitis herpetiformis and must be included in the differential diagnostic considerations (27).

Diagnosis. PV diagnosis is based on a combination of clinical presentation (presence of recurrent blister formation, erosions and crust, Nikolsky sign); histological detection of intraepidermal blistering; detection of acantholytic keratinocytes by the Tzanck test; detection of pemphigus antibodies, DIF, IDIF, ELISA (9-12).

Histopathological examination will reveal acantholysis and a sparse inflammatory infiltrate. The acantholysis occurs in the suprabasal layer, leaving a single layer of basal keratinocytes attached to the dermal-epidermal basement membrane looking like a ‘row of tombstones’ (4,7,9-12). In the early
pemphigus vulgaris, pre-bullous stage, histology also shows eosinophilic spongiosis (12).

Direct immunofluorescence (DIF) of patients’ perilesional skin reveals a reticular fluorescence pattern, a ‘honeycomb-like pattern’, caused by the deposition of IgG autoantibodies and C3 on the surface of epidermal keratinocytes (4,28‑30). The detection of circulating IgG autoantibodies can be conducted using methods such as indirect immunofluorescence (IIF), using monkey esophagus or human skin as the substrate (29‑31). ELISA and chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay using recombinant Dsgs enable detection of circulating autoantibodies in pemphigus (12,32‑34). Currently, there is no consensus on which assay should be used as a diagnostic test for PV, but ELISA is one of the most accurate diagnostic tests, separately measuring anti-Dsg1 and anti-Dsg3 IgG. In a meta-analysis of 13 studies with a sample size of 1,058 patients, anti-Dsg3 ELISA demonstrated a sensitivity of 97% and specificity of 98% in PV (34). ELISA or chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay are useful for both diagnosis and monitoring of disease activity, as autoantibody titters often fluctuate in parallel with disease activity and decrease with clinical improvement (7,32‑34). In ~20 to 40% of patients, even after clinical remission, anti-Dsg1 and anti-Dsg3 autoantibodies remain detectable and they are occasionally detectable in clinically healthy individuals (7,35).

There are three subtypes of PV measured by the pattern of autoantibodies: mucosal‑dominant PV, when serum is positive for anti-Dsg3 but negative for anti-Dsg1; mucocutaneous PV, when serum is positive for anti-Dsg3 and anti-Dsg1 and show the implication of the epidermis in addition to the mucous membranes; cutaneous PV is scarcely and correlated with blistering in deep epidermal layers due to anti-Dsg1 and pathogenically weak anti-Dsg3 (12,28‑31). In patients suffering from PV, many autoantibodies have been found to aim at other structural and metabolic proteins, including desmocollins (Dsc) 1 and 3, mucaric and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, mitochondrial antigens, thyroid peroxidase, histocompatibility (hSPCA1), plakophilin 3, plakoglobin, and E-cadherin (14,31,35‑37). Research on some of these non-Dsg autoantibodies implies that they complement the typical effects of anti-Dsg autoantibodies in pemphigus pathogenesis (14,37). A cross-sectional study and meta-analysis reported a high incidence of other coexisting autoimmune disease of patients with PV, such as thyroid diseases (e.g. hypothyroidism), rheumatoid arthritis, type 1 diabetes mellitus, inflammatory bowel disease, alopecia areata, vitiligo, systemic lupus erythematosus, scleroderma, and rare entities such as myasthenia gravis (38,39). As part of PV investigations and surveillance, investigation for these conditions should be considered.

Treatment. There is high morbidity and mortality within the population suffering from PV. Systemic corticosteroids and immunosuppressants remain the main therapy and have managed to decrease the mortality rates from 75 to 10%. The purpose of initial therapy is to control the disease by minimizing the blister formation, stimulating the healing of present blisters, and prolong remission with a minimum dose (e.g. oral prednisolone ≤0.2 mg/kg/day or ≤10 mg/day) (7,12,40).

The latest guidelines recommend corticosteroids (0.5‑1.5 mg/kg/day) as the first treatment in the initial phase. Steroid‑sparing immunosuppressants can be added in case there is a high risk of an adverse reaction to CS (9‑12,40). Systemic corticosteroids are reduced in concordance with the therapeutic response. Clinicians should be mindful of complications, eventually relapses, of long‑term CS therapy during the maintenance period, in the likes of susceptibility to infections and infestations, osteoporosis, secondary adrenal insufficiency, hypertension, posterior subcapsular cataract, and transient hyperglycemia (41). During the steroid tapering phase around half of the patients relapse, and the other half reach complete remission after approximately 3 years of treatment (40,41).

Multiple immunosuppressive adjuvants have been used to lessen the complications of high doses of CS in the long-term. These include: azathioprine (AZA) with a recommended dose of 2.0 mg/kg/day with normal thiopurine methyltransferase activity and 1 mg/kg/day with TPMT enzyme mutations; cyclophosphamide (CYP) 2 mg/kg/day, i.v. pulse therapy or continuous oral administration; mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) 2 g/day, divided into two doses; methotrexate (MTX) 10‑20 mg/week; dapsone, but before the administration a measuring of serum glucose‑6‑phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) activity is mandatory (7,42,43).

Patients who are unable to reach clinical remission with systemic CS and/or immunosuppressant agents, or who present moderate to severe pemphigus or refractory PV, might undergo high‑dose intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIg) treatment, plasmapheresis, or extracorporeal immunoadsorption (IA) (7,12,44‑46). IVIg treatment is well tolerated, mostly safe, and works by quickly decreasing the autoantibodies which are responsible for pemphigus, targeting pathogenic antibodies (10‑12,44‑46). Plasmapheresis is effective especially when it is combined with immunosuppressant agents (e.g. pulsed IV cyclophosphamide), and numerous clinical trials have indicated the increased efficacy and blistering diminishing with this treatment (7,9‑12,45). IA uses affinity adsorption of pathogenic autoantibodies. These autoantibodies attach to the adsorber through an immobilized ligand. A quick and substantial decline in desmoglein (Dsg)‑reactive autoantibodies, along with clinical remission of mucocutaneous erosions and blisters has been observed when applying IA in severe PV. Systemic immunosuppressive medication
can be combined with IA and is safe and well-tolerated in general (10,11,46).

Recently, targeted biologic therapies have been adopted in pemphigus, such as rituximab (RTX) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α inhibitors (47). Rituximab is a chimeric type I anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody, which can bind to CD20 antigen and remove B-lymphocytes expelling CD20 from blood (7,12,48). Rituximab leads to a clear reduction of circulating anti-Dsg autoantibodies at the expense of B cells, and because of the pathogenic role of these autoantibodies, there is a noteworthy amelioration of the lesions (12,47-49). Initial treatment with RTX, in combination with high potency topical CS or IVlg, has shown to be efficient in patients with pemphigus that have a contraindication to systemic steroids (47-49).

A recent clinical study involving 11 patients that had PV refractory to conventional therapy showed that three weeks of treatment with RTX (375 mg/m²/week) followed by IVlg (2 g/kg) for four weeks, and then four consecutive months with monthly infusions of IVlg and RTX, resulted in remission in 9 of the patients which lasted from 22 to 37 months (47-50). Another study with 136 patients suffering from refractory pemphigus coming from 4 European countries reported a 95% average response rate, with 2/3 of patients achieving complete remission (51). The most common side effects of RTX include infections and adverse events related to infusion. Opportunistic infections may also arise, including cytomegalovirus and pneumocystis jirovecii infections and theory describes the risk of hepatitis B and C virus reactivation, as well as tuberculosis (52-54). Late reactions include vasculitis, hypersensitivity (serum sickness), Steven-Johnson syndrome and some cases have shown paradoxical pemphigus flares consequent to RTX treatment (55). RTX has revolutionized PV treatment, but some patients remain refractory to this agent and for such refractory cases, new drugs are being tested in clinical trials. Ofatumumab is a fully humanized anti-CD20 mAb, which is less immunogenic than RTX (56). Veltuzumab is a humanized anti-CD20 mAb that can be administered subcutaneously and shows clinical efficacy in patients with refractory PV. Veltuzumab is a more economical alternative to intravenous RTX, because a lower dose is required (57).

For the treatment of oral lesions, intralesional RTX was reportedly effective in 3 patients with PV with oral lesions refractory to systemic therapy, including intravenous RTX (58).

Evolution and complications. Most deaths associated with untreated PV occur within the first few years of the disease onset. Considering that the drugs used in the treatment of PV have serious side effects, patients must be monitored carefully for infections, liver and renal function abnormalities, electrolyte disturbances, osteoporosis, hypertension, diabetes, anemia, and gastrointestinal bleeding (7,10-12).

5. Paraneoplastic pemphigus (PNP)

Epidemiology. PNP represents a rare disorder with an incidence and prevalence that remains unclear. There are ~500 cases reported in the literature, and PNP accounts for 3-5% of all pemphigus cases (7,12). Patients between 45 and 70 years of age are usually affected, and a female predominance is reported in most epidemiological studies (7,9-12,59). However, PNP can affect also children and adolescents, particularly in association with Castleman’s disease. Clear racial, ethnic, or geographic differences in the risk for PNP have not been established (7,9-12,59).

Pathophysiology and genetic factors. The etiopathogenesis of PNP is not completely known, but it is plausible that both autoantibodies and cell-mediated immunity play a key role.

The most common autoantibodies detected in PNP are directed against the plakin family, such as envoplakin (210-kDa), periplakin (190-kDa), bullous pemphigoid antigen I (230-kDa), desmoplakin I (250-kDa), desmoplakin II (210-kDa), plectin (500-kDa), and α2-macroglobulin-like-1 (170-kDa) (7,12,59). Tsuchisaka reported that epilakin is a PNP autoantigen, being detected in 35 (72.9%) of 48 PNP sera of Japanese patients by immunoprecipitation-immunoblotting (60). PNP antibodies are typically IgG, although IgA has been reported in a few cases (59-61).

In a review, Czernik et al summarized that cell-mediated immunity may also play a role in PNP, highlighting lesional mononuclear cells and elevated IL-6 levels in the sera of patients with PNP (61). In addition, Wade and Black detected MHC-restricted CD8+ cytotoxic T cells, non-MHC-restricted CD56+, and CD68+ natural killer cells within the dermoepidermal junction of PNP lesions (62).

Regarding the genetic predisposition, an association with HLA class II DRB1*0344 and HLA Cw *1445 confer strong susceptibility to PNP in Caucasian and Han Chinese patients. These conclusions were drawn by Martel et al (63) from a series of 13 Caucasian French patients.

Clinical features. Clinical features are extremely polymorphic in PNP, and lesions can be detected not only on the skin, but also on different mucosae. The cross-reactivity with tumor antigens and the presence of different autoantibodies could justify the different manifestations in PNP patients (59,62-64). PNP can be the first clinical manifestation that leads to the detection of an occult tumor in ~30% of cases (7,12,59). PNP is associated with underlying neoplasms and the most frequent include non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (38.6%), chronic lymphocytic leukemia (18.4%), Castleman’s disease (18.4%, benign tumors, commonly in children), adenocarcinomas (prostate, pancreas, breast, gastric), squamous cell carcinomas (8.6%), sarcomas (6.2%), thymoma (5.5%), Waldenström’s macroglobulinemia (1.2%), Hodgkin lymphoma (0.6%), and monoclonal gammopathy (0.6%) (12,59,62-64).

Initially, PNP typically manifests as hemorrhagic stomatitis with extensive mucous membrane erosions accompanied by intense pain and resistance to therapy (64,65). The lesions are polymorphic, and symptoms such as blisters, erosions, spots, papules, and plaques can occur, involving the lips, vermillion and the tongue (62-65). Painful erosions and crusting on the lips could resemble oral lesions commonly found in erythema multiforme (EM) or Stevens-Johnson syndrome (59). In children, the stomatitis caused by PNP may be often mistaken for herpetic stomatitis or toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN), leading to a delay in the diagnosis (66).

In addition to stomatitis, mucositis involving the pharynx, larynx, esophagus, and anogenital region can occur. Symptoms
of oropharyngeal involvement may include a sore throat and dysphagia. Ocular involvement occurs in ~70% of cases and the most common symptoms and signs include painful ocular irritation, worsening of vision, mucus discharge, conjunctival erosions, eyelid margin thickening, corneal erosions, and pseudomembranous conjunctivitis. In several cases, mucosal involvement is the only sign of PNP (38, 39, 65-67).

Skin lesions of PNP are polymorphic and usually appear after the onset of mucosal lesions, involving any site, but especially the torso, head, neck, and proximal extremities (59, 62-64). Blisters and erosions are commonly observed and mimic those of PV, PF or bullous pemphigoid, affecting any area of the body, but especially the upper trunk. The erythematous maculopapular lesions with dusky centers or central vesicles may arise on the extremities, mimicking the erythema multiforme-like targetoid lesions (59, 64-67). Another type of characteristic cutaneous lesions is represented by lichenoid eruptions, which manifest as erythematous papules or plaques, similar to that in lichen planus and graft-versus-host disease and are frequently identified in children, predominantly on the torso and limbs (59, 62, 66). In some cases of PNP, cutaneous lesions may present as a nail or periungual lesions (onychodys trophy, erosions, scaling) and alopecia (59).

As for extracutaneous lesions, the involvement of the respiratory epithelium is frequently associated with pulmonary disease in the form of bronchiolitis obliterans, a frequently lethal obstructive respiratory disorder (59, 62, 66). The initial symptom of bronchiolitis obliterans is dyspnea, and pulmonary function tests show obstructive lung disease. Bronchiolitis obliterans is found in ~30% of PNP patients and frequently develops in patients with Castleman disease (65-67). Due to the involvement of diverse organ systems, PNP has recently often been viewed as a mucocutaneous variant of the 'paraneoplastic autoimmune multiorgan syndrome' (PAMS) (61).

**Diagnosis.** The diagnostic criteria for PNP include different criteria, based on the clinical picture, histopathology, direct and indirect immunofluorescence and immunoprecipitation.

The clinical presentation includes painful erosions involving mucosae with or without a multiform skin eruption producing blisters and erosions, occurring in association with an occult or evident neoplasm.

PNP has two major clinical phenotypes, blisters and lichenoid eruptions, and depending on the type of lesion biopsied, the histological findings are variable, and often the diagnosis requires multiple biopsies (62-64). In blisters, suprabasal acantholysis and individual keratinocyte necrosis with sparse inflammatory infiltrate are observed, while in lichenoid eruptions, an interface and lichenoid dermatitis with a dense band-like lymphocytic infiltrate in the upper dermis are usually detected (59, 68). Sometimes, blisters and interface dermatitis may coappear in the same lesion (59). Histological findings show the combination of blisters in the epidermis caused by IgG autoantibodies (humoral autoimmune response) and interface dermatitis caused by self-reacting T cells (cellular autoimmune reaction) (59, 64-68).

DIF reveals IgG and complement C3 deposition both in the intercellular space and in the dermoeipidermal junction, along the basement membrane zone (28-31, 59-62). In the classic forms of pemphigus, IIF is positive only on stratified squamous epithelial substrates, but in PNP there is staining of other tissues, such as the bladder, heart, and liver (28-31, 59). IIF shows the presence of circulating IgG autoantibodies that target the intercellular proteins found in transitional or stratified squamous epithelia (28-31, 59).

Although immunoprecipitation is still the gold standard for the demonstration of specific autoantibodies, immunoblotting is a valuable aid for diagnosis (69). Immunoblot analysis using epidermal extracts has been used to detect 210-kDa envoplakin and 190-kDa periplakin, which are highly sensitive and specific for PNP (30, 59, 69). Immunoprecipitation can detect antibodies against multiple epidermal antigens, including desmoplakin I (250 kDa), bullous pemphigoid antigen (230 kDa), envoplakin (210 kDa), desmoplakin II (210 kDa), periplakin (190 kDa) and 2-macroglobulin-like-1 (170 kDa) (28-31, 59-61).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) are a useful technique for detecting circulating autoantibodies in PNP, especially those against Dsgs and Dscs. Approximately 80% of patients with PNP have circulating anti-Dsg3 IgG; in 19-42% of patients, autoantibodies have been detected against other desmosomal cadherins (Dsg1, Dsc1, Dsc2, Dsc3), and in 40% of patients, ELISA reveals autoantibodies against BP180 (34, 59, 64).

When PNP is suspected in a known patient with a history of malignancy, thorough investigations such as blood cell count, lactate dehydrogenase, flow cytometry, computed tomography of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis should be performed. Up to a third of the patients with PNP, have an underlying malignancy discovered after the onset of PNP symptoms (7, 12, 59-61).

The differential diagnosis of PNP includes pemphigus vulgaris, mucous membrane pemphigoid, erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis, major aphthous stomatitis, oral lichen planus, graft-versus-host disease, and herpes simplex virus infection (59, 62-64). In pediatric cases, oral manifestations may be mistaken for a herpetiform stomatitis (66).

**Treatment.** The rarity of PNP makes it more difficult to treat. Even though some therapies have been proposed in the literature, PNP has been observed to be more resilient to treatment compared to different forms of pemphigus (59, 62-64, 65-67). If there is suspicion of PNP, the six steps reported by Frew and Murrell (70) should be followed for better management of the patient. The six steps are as follows: vital parameters stabilization, evaluation of any underlying malignancy, correct diagnosis of PNP, the extirpation and medical therapy of the trigger tumor, and PNP treatment using immunosuppression, immunomodulation, or plasmapheresis (59, 71).

Cases that are associated with benign tumors, such as benign thymoma and localized Castleman's disease, generally ameliorate or reach complete remission after complete tumor resection (59, 64). In patients with PNP and malignant neoplasms, extirpating the tumor does not lead to controlling the disease, and an agreement on the best treatment has yet to be recognized (64). The first line of PNP treatment is a high dose of systemic corticosteroids (prednisolone), but many patients do not appear to have a good response with only corticosteroids (59, 64-67, 71). Corticosteroids only improve skin lesions, while mucosal lesions are resistant to most types of therapy. Steroid-sparing
agents, namely cyclosporin, azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, and MMF, can be used with glucocorticoid therapy to lessen the total steroid burden (62,64,71). IVlg and plasmapheresis manifest high efficiency, safety, and promising effects in the treatment of PNP (71). A 2 g/kg dose per cycle is used for IVlg; these cycles are repeated monthly. IVlg act by reducing pathogenic autoantibodies rapidly. In addition, IVlg can be added to the patient’s existing treatment regimen without the added concern of additional immunosuppression (44,62‑64,70).

Alternative therapies are being applied notably in patients whose malignancy is in remission. RTX and ibrutinib are B-cell-targeting agents and they generate different outcomes among patients suffering from PNP associated with B-cell malignant lymphomas (70,71). Overall the efficiency of RTX in PNP is much less consistent than PV and PF. RTX is generally well tolerated; however, adverse effects include infusion and allergic reactions (59,64,47‑49).

According to reports, alemtuzumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody against CD5, which is shown in most B and T lymphocytes, has induced long-term remission in a patient with B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Alemtuzumab administered 30 mg i.v., 3 times a week for 12 weeks, showed recovery of cutaneous and mucosal lesions (72). In two cases of PNP, tocilizumab, a monoclonal antibody anti-IL-6 receptor, was shown to quickly improve mucositis, although bronchiolitis obliterans did not show signs of improvement (73).

Due to the risk of sepsis followed by iatrogenic immunosuppression and loss of skin integrity, early antimicrobial therapy is suggested. In the case of pain caused by extensive erosions, antalgic therapy could be beneficial (64,69‑71).

**Evolution and complications.** The prognosis of PNP is generally poor, and the mortality rate ranges from 75 to 90%, with a 5-year overall survival rate of only 38%. Death is usually due to systemic complications, including sepsis, gastrointestinal bleeding, bronchiolitis obliterans and severe infection due to immunosuppressive therapy. Similar to mucositis, bronchiolitis obliterans is resistant to therapy, and lung transplantation is the last therapeutic option for respiratory failure (59,62‑64,67).

The evolution of PNP is not correlated with that of the associated malignancy. PNP lesions may progress after removal of the triggering malignancy or when the malignancy is under control. However, in patients with PNP and Castleman’s disease or benign thymomas, the outcome is favorable after tumor removal (61). Paraneoplastic pemphigus may precede the clinical appearance of a neoplasm, which makes screening of these patients mandatory (62,64‑67).

The prognosis of PNP depends on a prompt diagnosis and early initiation of treatment. Effective control of the oral and skin lesions, proper treatment of the underlying neoplasm, and prevention of bronchiolitis obliterans are of paramount importance (70).

**6. Pemphigus foliaceus (PF)**

**Epidemiology.** PF is rare and sporadic worldwide and the incidence varies depending on the population studied.

In Western Europe, the incidence of PF is ~0.5-1 case per million per year. In South America (Brazil, Colombia, and Peru) and North Africa (Tunisia and neighboring countries), the incidence of PF is higher than in other countries and this is because of an endemic form of the disease (fogo selvagem), which affects mostly young adults (4‑7,9‑12,74). In Brazil, the incidence of endemic PF in the Terena reservation is around 3.4% (7,12,74). The prevalence of PF in men and women is approximately equal, but in some regions such as the Sousse region of Tunisia, women are more affected (6.6 cases per million per year) (9‑12,75). In El Salvador, a similar female and age predisposition may also be evident.

The mean patient age at onset of PF is ~50‑60 years, but it may occur at any age. Fogo selvagem often occurs in children, young adults, and genetically related family members, and the mean patient age at onset is ~20‑30 years (4‑7,9‑12,74).

No ethnic predisposition has been reported, and most of the patients are young rural workers living in forested areas adjacent to rivers and streams. In these areas, some insects, including black fly (*Simulium* species), trigger the disease through insect saliva, leading to an immune reaction against Dsg1 through molecular mimicry (4‑7,9‑12,74). This hypothesis is supported by high positivity rates of anti-Dsg1 IgG autoantibodies in the sera of healthy individuals living in endemic regions of fogo selvagem and the low prevalence of endemic PF in urbanized areas (4‑7,9‑12,74‑76).

**Pathophysiology and genetic factors.** PF is mediated by autoantibodies against desmosomal proteins on the keratinocyte cell surface. The lesions in PF are induced by IgG (mainly IgG4 subclass) autoantibodies directed against Dsg1, a 160-kDa desmosomal cadherin transmembrane glycoprotein that mediates cell adhesion, expressed mainly in the granular layer of the epidermis (9‑12,76). Dsg1 is closely associated with plakoglobin, an 85-kDa polypeptide found in the desmosomal plaques of keratinocytes, that links desmoglein to the intermediate keratin filament network inside the keratinocyte (76). Dsg1 is expressed more strongly in skin from the upper torso than from the lower torso, buccal mucosa, or scalp, which may explain the distribution of lesions (7,10‑12,74‑76). The mechanism of acantholysis induction by specific autoantibodies may involve phosphorylation of intracellular proteins associated with desmosomes.

Other target antigens, including the acetylcholine receptor and desmoglein 3 (Dsg3), have been postulated to be relevant in the pathogenesis of PF (4‑7,75‑77). The regulation of keratinocyte cell-to-cell and cell-matrix adhesion is an important biological function of cutaneous acetylcholine and the progress in therapy of pemphigus using cholinergic drugs supports this concept (7,9‑12,74).

Patients with both sporadic and endemic forms of PF have anti-Dsg1 antibodies, their titer correlating with the extent and activity of the disease (76). The prevalence of anti-Dsg1 antibodies is high in people living in endemic areas of Brazil, and a Tunisian study found that anti-Dsg1 IgG antibodies were generally against pre-Dsg1 domains and/or C-terminals of Dsg1 (7,9‑12,74‑77). Some cases have been associated with the use of certain drugs, such as penicillamine (78). In patients who were treated with penicillamine, PF is more frequent than PV, with a ratio of 4:1. Penicillamine and captopril contain sulfhydryl groups that are speculated to interact with the sulfhydryl groups in Dsg1 and Dsg3 (7,12,76‑78). Most patients
with drug-induced pemphigus go into remission after the offending drug is discontinued.

Genetic factors predispose to the development of PF. The HLA-DRB1*04:01, HLA-DRB1*04:06, HLA-DRB1*14, HLA-DRB1*01:01, have been associated with a higher risk of PF (7,12,77-79). In the Brazilian population HLA-DRB1 alleles *04:04, *14:02, *14:06, and *01:02 have been reported as risk factors for fogo selvagem (12,79). In France, people with DRB1*0102 and 0404 are at an increased risk of PF (77). It has been suggested that polymorphisms in the 2q33 and 3q21 chromosomal regions increase susceptibility to PF (80).

Clinical features. Unlike PV, PF only affects the skin. Mucosal lesions do not usually occur, because Dsg1 is only expressed in skin (11,74). There are two versions of PF: an endemic version (fogo selvagem), and a localized version (pemphigus erythematosus or Senear-Usher syndrome), that typically share the same clinical findings (9-12,74-76).

Initial circumscribed lesions appear in seborrhoeic areas, such as the scalp, face, and chest (pretibial and interscapular regions). Blisteres appear slowly and are not obvious, because the cleavage is superficial, and small flaccid blisters break easily. The scales separate leaving painful erosions, surrounded by erythema and small vesicles along the edges (4-8,10-12,74-76). In the endemic version (fogo selvagem), the erosions are intensely painful, like ‘wild fire’, and predominantly affect young women in endemic regions (8,74). In the localized version (pemphigus erythematosus/Senear-Usher syndrome), the lesions are similar to the malar erythema present in lupus erythematosus (strongly scaled erythematous plaques) that appear on sun-exposed areas such as the scalp, face and upper torso (8,12,74-76,81). Pemphigus erythematosus mainly affects elderly patients, and medications, sun exposure and trauma are considered possible triggers (8,80,81). In approximately 80% of these cases, immunoreactive deposits along the basement membrane and a mean titer of antinuclear antibodies can be detected, usually without the presence of anti-ds-DNA antibodies, which may suggest an association with lupus erythematosus (8,80,81). In PF a common clinical finding is a positive Nikolsky sign, which is very specific in the diagnosis of pemphigus.

In the most severe form of PF, the skin lesions can dramatically progress, leading to exfoliative erythroderma, characterized by generalized erythema and diffuse scaling involving 90% or more of the cutaneous surface (8,74). In cases of erythroderma of unknown origin, PF must be considered as a possible cause. These patients require prompt hospitalization to prevent serious and sometimes fatal complications from metabolic instability (8,12,74-76).

Unusual presentations of PF have also been described, such as an acute rash with multiple hyperpigmented and hyperkeratotic lesions similar to seborrhoeic keratoses, lesions resembling impetigo, and scaly erythema on the scalp that may be confused with seborrhoeic dermatitis (74,80-82). In cases of sporadic PF in children, patients have the same primary lesions (blisters) and secondary lesions (erosions), but with a distinct configuration that has been described as arcuate, circinate and/or polycyclic (81-83). Pemphigus seborrhoeicus is a special form of PF, with very superficial blisters, extensive erythematous plaques and erosions that develop in the seborrhoeic areas (4,12).

Diagnosis. The diagnosis of PF is based on the following criteria: the overall clinical picture, including the patient's history and physical examination; the histopathological findings; the presence of autoantibodies as detected by direct and indirect immunofluorescence studies.

The histologic changes of pemphigus foliaceus, pemphigus erythematosus, and fogo selvagem are identical. The histopathological examination of early blisters demonstrates acantholysis of the upper epidermis, often resulting in a subcorneal cleft and leading to detachment of the epidermis in its midlevel. Subcorneal pustules contain neutrophils, fibrin and scattered acantholytic keratinocytes. The stratum corneum is often lost from the surface, the deeper epidermis usually remains intact, eosinophilic spongiosis and a mixed inflammatory infiltrate of neutrophils and eosinophils in the superficial dermis may be present (4-7,12,74). These superficial blisters are histologically indistinguishable from those seen in staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome or bullous impetigo, because Dsg1 is targeted in both of these diseases, thus the histological features may not be diagnostic in the early stages (74-76). Chronic persistent lesions are acanthotic, papillomatous, and hyperkeratotic with focal parakeratosis. Dyskeratotic cells in the granular layer of older lesions distinguish PF from PV (4-7,12,74-76).

The DIF biopsy must be performed on the skin with a normal appearance, immediately adjacent to a lesion because inflamed and blistered skin can lead to the destruction of immune deposits (28,29,74). DIF reveals IgG and C3 deposition in intercellular space staining (ICS), this model being called ‘chicken wire’ (4,12,74-76). This is a result of the antibody bound to Dsg1 on desmosomes on the surface of keratinocyte cells. The intensity of this fluorescent stain in PF may be greater in the upper epidermis due to the increased density of Dsg1 (28,74). IIF is positive in over 85% of PF cases and detects circulating IgG antibodies against epithelial cell surfaces, using monkey or guinea pig esophagus as substrate (28-30,74). Staining of the IgG subclass for PF shows both IgG1 and IgG4 subclasses are produced against Dsg1, IgG4 being the predominant autoantibody subclass. IIF titers can be used to estimate the disease activity (28-30,74). ELISA detects anti-Dsg1 antibodies in 71% of patients with PF, using purified recombinant human Dsg1 to detect IgG autoantibodies in patient serum (32-34,74,84). It was found that the sensitivity and specificity of detecting anti-Dsg1 antibodies by ELISA is 97.9%, respectively 98.9% (84-86). In endemic regions with FS, ELISA specificity is relatively lower because more normal individuals in these areas test positive (false-positive increase) for total anti-Dsg1 IgG autoantibodies (85). ELISA titers have been found to correlate with disease activity, and are considered the best laboratory test for monitoring a patient's response to therapy (32-34,84-86). Trichoscopy has proven to be a useful tool in the differential diagnosis of scalp damage in pemphigus. Extravasations and yellow hemorrhagic crusts were the most common findings and the ‘fried egg sign’ (yellow dots with a whitish halo) was identified as a trichoscopy feature in pemphigus (87).
The differential diagnosis of PF includes other forms of pemphigus, bullous impetigo, subcorneal pustular dermatosis, subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus, and seborrheic dermatitis (74-76,84-86).

Treatment. The purpose of therapy in the handling of PF is to heal the existing lesions and to stop the surfacing of new ones. Before the advent of steroid therapy, PF was fatal in approximately 60% of patients, and almost always fatal in elderly patients with concurrent medical problems (11,74-76,88). With corticosteroids, immunosuppressive therapy, and other therapeutic options, mortality has been dramatically reduced.

There are several factors to consider when deciding on a therapy such as the severity of the disease at introduction, associated medical illnesses in the likes of diabetes or tuberculosis, the patient's general health and age, hypertension, the speed of onset, efficacy, adverse effects, and the cost of the therapy (4,10-12,74).

The initial treatment in PF is topical and oral corticosteroids. If the condition is not responsive to topical corticosteroid, systemic corticosteroid therapy may be initiated with prednisone at a dose of 0.5-1.5 mg/kg daily or prednisolone 20-40 mg daily (40,88). If there are no signs of remission in the first 2 weeks, a higher dose of prednisone is recommended. Nearly all patients reach total remission in 4-12 weeks, afterwards the dose of prednisone is reduced gradually. If no recurrence happens, the dose is maintained at 5 or 7.5 mg/day, the reason being that low doses help prevent recurrences (88).

In patients who fail treatment with corticosteroids, have contraindications to systemic corticosteroids, or that have serious adverse effects, an immunosuppressant agent can be added. In cases of severe PF, an immunosuppressant and prednisone combined treatment can be used (10-12,40,88). Immunosuppressants used include azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, and mycophenolate mofetil. Azathioprine (AZA) is a synthetic, quite potent, anti-inflammatory immunosuppressant. Thiopurine-methyltransferase dosing is required before the administration of AZA. The standard recommended dose is 1-3 mg/kg/day (7,10-12,88). Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) works by reducing the production of antibodies and inhibiting purine synthesis in stimulated T and B lymphocytes, blocking their proliferative response. The recommended dose of MMF is 1g x 2 daily. It should be noted that the onset of action with MMF is slow and evidence of response occurs between 2 and 12 months of continued use (10-12,40,88). Cyclophosphamide (CP), an alkylating agent, is an immunosuppressive and cytotoxic drug that binds DNA regardless of the cell cycle phase. The dose of CP ranges from 1-3 mg/kg per day, generally given as 50-200 mg per day in doses equally divided or as a single dose in the morning (10-12,40,88).

Other treatment options for refractory disease, or if there are contraindications to immunosuppressive agents include hydroxychloroquine 200 mg x2 daily, dapsone 100 mg daily or up to 1.5 mg/kg daily, methotrexate 10-20 mg weekly, IVlg 2 g/kg monthly, or RTX, given as 4 weekly infusions of 375 mg/m² (10,11,47). Plasmapheresis and IVlg are therapeutic options in patients with recalcitrant disease (44,45,88).

Considering the possible side effects of therapy, patients should be monitored closely. After the interruption of systemic corticosteroids in patients with total remission, adjuvant immunosuppression can be decreased over 6 to 12 months (41). The interruption of therapy depends on the clinical picture that shows no active cutaneous lesions over several months. Negative or low ELISA-Dsg1 values or negative immunofluorescence are useful to support the discontinuation of therapy (84-86).

Evolution and complications. PF tends to persist for months or years and is regarded as a benign disease that responds well to treatment. PF may be associated with thymoma, myasthenia gravis, lupus erythematosus, and other autoimmune bullous diseases (88,89).

New cutaneous lesions, changes in primary morphology, rapid disease progression, constitutional symptoms, or failure to respond to appropriate therapies may suggest a concomitant viral skin infection, such as herpes simplex or cytomegalovirus (89).

7. IgA pemphigus

Epidemiology. IgA pemphigus is one of the rarest forms of the autoimmune blistering disease. The frequency of IgA pemphigus is not well defined but has been reported in Asia (Japan and India), South America (Brazil), Europe (Scandinavian countries), and in the US (1,3,4-7,90). The distribution by sex and race is unknown, with cases being reported in all age groups, with a mean onset age of 53 years (90).

Pathophysiology and genetic factors. The exact pathomechanism of IgA pemphigus is not well defined, but it is related to IgA autoantibodies that target desmosomal and non-desmosomal keratinocyte cell surface components. These components are cell-to-cell-adhering molecules, including Dsg1, Dsg3, and Dsc1 (10-12,90,91).

Desmogleins and desmocollins are glycoproteins that belong to a superfamily of cadherin molecules. The subcorneal pustular dermatosis subtype exhibits IgA autoantibodies targeting the transmembrane glycoprotein Dsc1, while the antigen of the intraepidermal neutrophilic dermatosis has been found to interact with both Dsg1 and Dsg3 (90,91).

In IgA pemphigus, autoantibodies bind to sites containing the monocyte/granulocyte IgA-Fc receptor (CD89), causing a massive inflammatory reaction and neutrophil infiltration of the epidermis, which clinically presents as blistering and pustule (4,7,12,90). Although the targets of IgA antibodies have been identified, the direct pathogenic effects of the IgA autoantibodies and the exact mechanism of blister formation have not been established, thus a clinical picture of IgA pemphigus is not well known and requires additional investigations (90).

Clinical features. IgA pemphigus is a rare entity among the pemphigus diseases. It is considered to be a distinct entity that includes 2 clinical subtypes with different histologic features and different IgA deposition patterns in the epidermis: intraepidermal neutrophilic IgA dermatosis (IEN) and the subcorneal pustular dermatosis (SPD) (4,7,10-12,90).

IgA pemphigus is characterized by fragile blisters and intraepidermal pustules or vesicles with neutrophilic infiltration in the erythematosus skin located in flexural areas.
the lowest efficacious dose, and patients should be aware of slow tapering of corticosteroids is advised in order to identify IgA pemphigus (40,90‑92). To minimize adverse effects, daily dose of 0.5‑1 mg/kg, are the mainstay of treatment for clinically as chronic inflammation.

A group of autoimmune blistering skin diseases manifested to reduce the inflammation because IgA pemphigus represents infections (90).

Folliculitis, pemphigus herpetiformis and bacterial skin diseases and IgA pemphigus is still unclear, a thorough survey reported (90,94). While the direct relationship between these diseases (Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis) have been elucidated, there are still unanswered questions, including determination of the mechanism of the autoantibody production, or if there are any predictive factors of response to therapy. Pemphigus is a heterogeneous condition, and further studies are needed to assess the complexity of the disease.

As most patients require long‑term immunosuppressive therapy, health care providers must establish effective and interdisciplinary management of the side effects of therapy.

The treatment of pemphigus should target the cells, autoantibodies, and/or factors directly involved in pathogenesis to avoid general immune suppression. New treatments, including B‑cell‑directed therapy, are the new therapeutic frontier for this kind of disease.

In this review, we summarized the process of establishing and revising the diagnostic criteria, and the clinical and therapeutic aspects of the main types of intraepidermal blistering diseases from the pemphigus group.
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