Abstract. Exosomes are membranous extracellular vesicles 50-100 nm in size, which are involved in cellular communication via the delivery of proteins, lipids and RNA. Emerging evidence shows that exosomes play a critical role in cancer. It has recently been revealed that maternal and umbilical cord serum (UCS)-derived exosomes may enhance endothelial cell proliferation and migration. However, the role of exosomes isolated from the human umbilical cord in cancer development has not been investigated. To explore the potential differences in the composition and function of proteins from umbilical serum exosomes (UEs) and maternal serum exosomes, a proteomic analysis of exosomes was conducted using mass spectrometry and bioinformatics. Moreover, Cell Counting Kit-8 assays and flow cytometry were used to study the biological effects of UEs on liver cancer cell lines. The present study demonstrated that UCS was enriched with proteins involved in extracellular matrix-receptor interactions, which may be closely related to cell metastasis and proliferation. The findings further indicated that exosomes derived from human umbilical serum could inhibit the viability and induce apoptosis of liver cancer cells. This suggests that UCS-derived exosomes may represent potential leads for the development of biotherapy for liver cancer.

Introduction

Exosomes are membranous extracellular vesicles 50-100 nm in size, which are involved in cellular communication via the delivery of proteins, lipids and RNA. Tumor derived exosomes could inhibit immune cell proliferation and affect antigen presentation and activation of immune cells (1). In the field of cancer, exosomes from cancer cells may present both immunosuppressive and immunostimulatory properties (2,3). Increasing evidence has shown that exosomes are closely associated with cancer (4) and a previous study demonstrated that exosomes can serve as possible drug delivery vehicles in cancer therapy (5). However, the role of exosomes derived from human umbilical serum in cancer development has not been investigated.

Since pregnancy involves extensive communication among cells and tissues, secreted molecules are thought to participate in cellular signaling, which is important to placentation (6). Extracellular vesicles mediate cell communication. In the process of human pregnancy, elevated levels of circulating maternal exosomes are observed (6). A previous study has reported that exosomes isolated from the human umbilical cord may enhance the angiogenic activities of endothelial cells and endothelial cell proliferation, mostly through microRNA (miRNA) (7). Due to their different cellular origins, exosomes may carry distinct RNAs and protein cargos (8).

A previous study has suggested that exosomes carry bioactive molecules, such as miRNAs, which may participate in the crosstalk between the placenta and maternal tissue (9). It has been demonstrated that differentially expressed maternal serum exosomal miRNA molecules can affect cell growth.
and organ development pathways, and that umbilical serum exosomal miRNA is involved in cellular and embryonic development (10). Thus, different proteins found in exosomes may also be involved in physiological or pathological states (11). Comparing umbilical serum exosomes (UEs) with maternal serum exosomes (MEs) may lead to improved understanding of the differences between the umbilical cord blood and the peripheral blood environments.

A previous study has revealed that human serum may induce hepatoma cell cycle arrest (12). Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, the protein profile of exosomes derived from umbilical cord serum (UCS) using mass spectrometry (MS)/MS has never been described. Thus, the aim of the present study was to explore the potential differences in the composition and function of the proteins found in UEs and MEs, and to investigate the biological effects of UEs on liver cancer cells.

Materials and methods

Umbilical cord blood extraction. Serum samples were collected at Xijing Hospital (Xi’an, China) from March 2019 to May 2019. The present study was approved by the ethics committee of Xijing Hospital (approval no. 20190105-1) and performed in accordance with their guidelines, with written informed consent obtained for the use of patient tissue and specimens. All experimental protocols were performed in accordance with the relevant Good Laboratory Practice guidelines and regulations of our laboratory. A total of eight healthy pregnant women from Xijing Hospital who were pregnant for the first time and had a natural vaginal delivery were enrolled. The average age of these patients was 29 years old. None of these patients had complications or a history of smoking or alcohol abuse. None of them had taken medication within three months of the study or experienced any infectious diseases. The average age of these patients was 29 years old. None of

Trypsin digestion. Dithiothreitol was added to the protein samples to make the final concentration 5 mM, and then reduced for 30 min at 56°C. Iodoacetamide was then added to the samples to adjust the concentration to 11 mM, and the samples were incubated for 15 min in the dark at room temperature. The protein sample was then diluted by adding 200 mM TEAB (tetraethyl ammonium bromide) to urea concentration <2 M. Finally, trypsin was added at a mass ratio (trypsin:protein) of 1:50 for digestion at 37°C overnight. The following day, trypsin was added at a mass ratio of 1:100 for further digestion for 4 h.

TMT (tandem mass tags) labeling. The trypsin-digested peptides were desalted using solid phase extraction cartridges (Strata X C18; Phenomenex) and freeze-dried in a vacuum. The samples were then dissolved with 0.5 M TEAB and the peptides were labeled according to the manufacturer’s instructions (TMTsixplex™ Isobaric Label Reagent; cat. no. 90068; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) fractionation. Agilent 1260 Infinity Liquid Chromatography System was used at 35°C. High-pH reverse-phase HPLC was performed to separate the peptides using an Agilent 300 Extend C18 column (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). The column size was ZORBAX Extend-C18 Agilent Analytical 4.6x250-mm 5-Micron 80A. The composition of mobile phase (two solvents) were: Buffer A, 98% water and 2% acetonitrile; buffer B, 98% acetonitrile and 2% water. The flow rate was used at 1 ml/min. Briefly, the peptides were fractionated into 60 fractions with acetonitrile (8-32%) at pH 9 for 60 min. The sample quantity was 1,000 µl. Pre-loading: Dried sample dissolved in buffer A, and then centrifuged at 18,000 x g for 5 min. Sample combination method: The samples were collected from the 11th min to the 64th min when they flowed out of the liquid phase, and then the first component were combined with the 11th, 29th, 47th and 64th min samples.

LC-MS/MS analysis. The samples were dissolved with 0.1% aqueous formic acid as the mobile phase using liquid chromatography. The peptides were separated with EASY-nLC 1000 UPLC (Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Mobile phase A was an aqueous solution containing 0.1% formic acid and 2% acetonitrile, and mobile phase B was an aqueous solution containing 0.1% formic acid and 90% acetonitrile. The peptides separated by UPLC were then subjected to an Nanospray Ion source. The ion source voltage was set at 2.0 kV. An Orbitrap (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used to detect and analyze the peptide parent ions and their secondary fragments. The data acquisition mode used a dependent scanning Data Dependent Acquisition program and the primary scanning range is 350-1,800 m/z. Finally, the peptides were analyzed by tandem MS/MS in a Q Exactive™ Plus instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

Database search. The MaxQuant (v1.5.2.8, http://www.maxquant.org/) search engine was used to process the mass spectrometry data. The cleavage enzyme was set as trypsin/P, and up to two missing cleavages were allowed. The minimum
length of the peptide segment was set to seven amino acids, and the maximum modification number of the peptide segment was set to five.

**Bioinformatics.** The quantitative value of the peptide in each sample was calculated using the ratio of the labeling reporter ion intensities in MS/MS spectra from raw data sets. The median of unique peptides for a given protein was considered as the protein quantitation in each sample. Ratio of protein quantitative value between two samples was considered as the protein expression ratio. In order to calculate the significant P-value of differentially expressed proteins, firstly, perform log2 transform on the unique peptide quantitative values of the protein in the two samples (making the data conform to the normal distribution), and then the two-sample two-tailed T test method was used to calculate P-value. Proteins with P<0.05 and expression ratio >1.5 were regarded as upregulated, while proteins with P<0.05 and expression ratio <1.5 were regarded as downregulated.

**Gene Ontology (GO) annotation.** GO analysis is a kind of bioinformatics tool for characterizing molecular function (MF), cellular component (CC) and biological processes (BP) of genes (13). The protein IDs were determined by MaxQuant (v1.5.2.8; http://www.maxquant.org/) database. Then the protein ID was matched to the UniProt ID based on the UniProt-GOA database and the UniProt ID was used to match the GO ID. Finally, the corresponding information from the UniProt-GOA database was retrieved based on the GO ID. The UniProt-GOA database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/GOA/) and InterProScan v5.14 software (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/) were used in this section. The enriched proteins based on GO analysis can be divided into three categories: Biological process, cellular component and molecular function. Two-tailed Fisher's exact test was used to determine the GO terms associated with the differentially expressed proteins and P<0.05 was considered significant.

**Domain annotation.** The InterProScan v5.14 software (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/) and the InterPro domain database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/) were used to analyze the enrichment of the functional domains of the differentially expressed proteins. Two-tailed Fisher's exact test was used to test the enrichment of the differentially expressed proteins and P<0.05 was considered significant.

**Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway annotation.** The identified proteins were annotated using KAAS (https://www.genome.jp/tools/kaas/) and the annotated proteins were matched to the corresponding pathways using KEGG mapper. Pathway enrichment analysis was based on the KEGG database (https://www.kegg.jp/). Two-tailed Fisher's exact test was used to determine the enriched KEGG pathways associated with the differentially expressed proteins and P<0.05 was considered significant.

**Enrichment-based hierarchical clustering.** To identify the functional correlation of the differentially expressed proteins in the comparison groups, hierarchical clustering based on the functional enrichment of the differentially expressed proteins in different groups was used to investigate the potential associations and differences in specific functions (GO terms, KEGG pathways and protein domains) of the proteins. Differentially expressed proteins were categorized into four groups according to their fold change: Q1 (0-0.5), Q2 (0.5-0.67), Q3 (1.5-2) and Q4 (>2), with P<0.05 in all cases. The functional annotation following enrichment, together with the corresponding enrichment P-value, were first collected. The functional classifications that were enriched in at least one of the clusters (with P<0.05) were then screened. The filtered P-value data matrix was $-\log_{10}$ transformed. The transformed data matrix was classified by Z transformation for each functional category. Lastly, the dataset obtained following Z transformation was analyzed using one-way hierarchical clustering.

**Cell culture and Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay.** The Huh-7 and MHCC97H hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cells lines were purchased from iCell Bioscience, Inc. The liver cancer cell line HepG2 and the HCC cell line Hep3B were purchased from Shanghai GeneChem Co., Ltd. The HepG2 cell line was authenticated by STR profiling. HCC cells and HepG2 liver cancer cell line were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (HyClone; Cytiva) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone; Cytiva) at 37°C in 5% CO₂.

Cell viability was measured using the CCK-8 kit (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). Cells were seeded in 96-well plates (3x10^3 cells per well) and treated with UEs or MEs at different dilution ratios (1:5, 1:10, 1:20, 1:40, 1:80) for 24 h at 37°C. A microplate reader was used to measure the optical density at 450 nm.

**Western blot analysis.** Cells and exosomes were lysed using RIPA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) with proteinase and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics). Total protein was quantified using a Pierce BCA Protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The mass of protein loaded per lane was 50 µg. Total protein was separated by SDS-PAGE on 10% gels, then transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (EMD Millipore). The membranes
were blocked with 5% non-fat milk at room temperature for 1 h and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4˚C. The following antibodies were used: i) Anti-CD63 (cat. no. ab134045; Abcam); ii) anti-CD9 (cat. no. ab236630; Abcam); iii) anti-caspase-3 (cat. no. #9662; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.); iv) anti-cleaved-caspase-3 (cat. no. #9661; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.); and v) anti-GAPDH antibody (cat. no. #5174; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). All primary antibodies were monoclonal and used at 1:1,000 dilution. The membranes were incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 h on the second day at room temperature. The peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (cat. no. A6154; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) was used in 1:5,000 dilution. Membranes were finally treated with enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and exposed to chemiluminescence imaging systems (version 5.11 Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Flow cytometry. After treatment with UEs (dilution ratio, 1:5) or MEs (dilution ratios, 1:5) for 24 h at 37˚C, cells were digested and resuspended in PBS at 1x10⁶/ml. The samples were then incubated with 10 µl Annexin V-FITC (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) and 10 µl propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) for 10-15 min at room temperature in the dark. Finally, flow cytometry (BD FACSCalibur; BD Biosciences) was used to analyze the cells. And the data was analyzed by DIVA version 11.0 software (BD Biosciences).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp.). A paired Student’s t-test was used to compare the differences between two groups. Comparisons among three groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni correction. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Exosome isolation from serum samples. Exosomes were isolated from serum samples and identified using transmission electron microscopy and western blot analysis (15). The isolated extracellular vesicles were found to have a diameter of 50-100 nm (Fig. 1A and B). CD9 and CD63 were detected in 1:5,000 dilution. Membranes were finally treated with enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and exposed to chemiluminescence imaging systems (version 5.11 Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Exosome isolation from serum samples. Exosomes were isolated from serum samples and identified using transmission electron microscopy and western blot analysis (15). The isolated extracellular vesicles were found to have a diameter of 50-100 nm (Fig. 1A and B). CD9 and CD63 were detected in the protein samples extracted from the exosomes (Fig. 1C). The protein concentration in the exosome samples was 1.5±0.13 µg/µl in the UE group and 1.1±0.10 µg/µl in the ME group.

Comparison of the protein profiles between UEs and MEs. The protein profiles of the exosomes from the serum samples were then obtained, and 471 proteins were identified. Of these, 193 proteins were differentially expressed between the two groups, including 128 upregulated proteins and 65 downregulated proteins in UEs relative to MEs (Fig. 2A). Representative mass spectra data of some of the differentially expressed proteins are provided in Table SI. Representative mass spectra images of the identified proteins are provided in Fig. S1. Wolfpsort was then used to predict subcellular localization. The upregulated proteins were predicted to be from the extracellular region (48%), followed by the cytoplasm (26%), plasma membrane (7%), nucleus (5%), mitochondria (5%), endoplasmic reticulum (4%), peroxisome (2%), cytoplasm and nucleus (1%), and cytoskeleton (2%) (Fig. 2B and C). The downregulated proteins were from the extracellular region (77%), followed by the...
endoplasmic reticulum (6%), nucleus (5%), mitochondria (5%), plasma membrane (4%) and cytoplasm (3%) (Fig. 2D and E).

**Functional enrichment analysis of differentially expressed proteins.** The differentially expressed proteins identified in the present study were examined by GO analysis and categorized as cellular component, molecular function and biological process terms. The upregulated proteins derived from UEs were mostly enriched in the ‘extracellular matrix’ cellular component, ‘cell adhesion molecule binding’ molecular function, and ‘cell adhesion’ and ‘biological adhesion’ biological processes (Fig. 3A). The downregulated proteins were mainly enriched in ‘plasma lipoprotein particles’, ‘protein-lipid complex’ and ‘lipoprotein particle’ in the cellular component category, as well as the ‘enzyme inhibitor activity’ molecular function and the ‘acute inflammatory response’ biological process (Fig. 3B). Thus, the differentially expressed proteins in the UCS may participate in cell adhesion and cell junctions.

**KEGG pathway enrichment of differentially expressed proteins.** KEGG pathway analysis predicted that the enriched proteins derived from UCS were associated with
the ‘ECM-receptor interaction’ pathways (Fig. 4A), including the ‘ECM-integrin’ and the ‘ECM-proteoglycan’ cascades. According to the functional enrichment analysis based on the KEGG pathway, ‘focal adhesion’ and ‘ECM-receptor interaction’ were the most enriched pathways (Fig. 4B).

Protein domain enrichment of differentially expressed proteins. Protein domains are elementary units of protein structure and evolution, which mediate most (~75%) protein interactions (16,17). The length of the domain is usually between 25 amino acids and 500 amino acids (18-20). The enriched domain of the upregulated proteins was mainly ‘galactose-binding domain-like’, whereas the downregulated proteins were enriched in the ‘serine proteases, trypsin domain’ and ‘peptidase S1, PA clan’ protein domains (Fig. 4C and D).

Hierarchical clustering of the functional annotation of differentially expressed proteins. Hierarchical clustering based on GO analysis in the cellular component category showed that the differentially expressed proteins of Q1 were mainly involved in, for example, ‘very-low-density lipoprotein particle’, ‘chylomicron’ and ‘high-density lipoprotein particle’ (Fig. S2). Q2 were mainly involved in ‘membrane attack complex’ and ‘pore complex’ in the cellular component category. Q3 were mainly involved in ‘blood microparticle’ in the cellular component category. While Q4 were mainly involved in ‘proteinaceous extracellular matrix’, ‘extracellular matrix’ and ‘cell junction’ in the cellular component category (Fig. S2). Hierarchical clustering based on GO analysis in the biological process category showed that the differentially expressed proteins of Q1 were mainly involved in ‘acute inflammatory response’ and ‘acute-phase response’ (Fig. S3). Q2 were mainly involved in ‘negative regulation of response to external stimulus’ and ‘regulation of proteolysis’ in the biological process category. Q3 were mainly involved in ‘positive regulation of immune response’ and ‘protein activation cascade’ in the biological process category. Q4 were mainly involved in ‘positive regulation of response to external stimulus’ in the biological process category (Fig. S3). Hierarchical clustering based on GO analysis in the molecular function category showed that the differentially expressed proteins of Q1 were mainly involved in ‘steroid binding’ (Fig. S4). Q2 were mainly involved in ‘peptidase inhibitor activity’ and ‘endopeptidase regulator activity’ in the molecular function category. Q3 were mainly involved in ‘endopeptidase activity’ and ‘hydrolyase activity’ in the molecular function category. Q4 were mainly involved in ‘protein complex binding’ and ‘integrin binding’ in the molecular function category (Fig. S4).

Hierarchical clustering based on protein domain analysis revealed that UCS proteins of Q1 were mostly enriched with ‘serine proteases, trypsin domain’ and ‘peptidase S1, PA clan’, while Q2-Q4 showed a lower degree of enrichment with ‘serine proteases, trypsin domain’ and ‘peptidase S1, PA clan’ (Fig. 5B).

Hierarchical clustering based on KEGG pathway analysis showed that UCS proteins of Q4 were mainly involved in ‘ECM-receptor interaction’, ‘focal adhesion’, ‘platelet activation’, ‘toxoplasmosis’, ‘regulation of actin cytoskeleton’ and ‘PI3K-Akt signaling pathway’, while Q1-Q3 showed a lower degree of enrichment in these terms (Fig. 5C).

Effect of UEs on liver cancer cells. To investigate the effects of exosomes derived from human UCS on liver cancer cells, a CCK-8 assay was conducted to detect cell viability following treatment with UE. As shown in Fig. 6A, Hep3B cells were cultured with exosomes at different dilution ratios. Cell viability was significantly reduced in Hep3B cells treated
Figure 4. KEGG pathway and protein domain enrichment of differentially expressed proteins. (A) The illustration from the KEGG database showing that the enriched proteins derived from umbilical cord serum were involved in ECM-receptor interactions. (B) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showing that 'focal adhesion' and 'ECM-receptor interaction' were the most enriched pathways. (C) Protein domain enrichment analysis of high-abundance proteins mainly involved the 'galactose-binding domain-like' domain. (D) Protein domain enrichment analysis of low-abundance proteins mainly involved the ‘serine proteases, trypsin domain’ and ‘peptidase S1, PA clan’ domains. KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; ECM, extracellular matrix.
with UEs compared with cells treated with MEs or with the negative control group. The inhibitory effect of UEs started at a dilution ratio of 1:20. Subsequently, cell viability was detected in three liver cancer cell lines following treatment with UEs at different dilution ratios. The results showed that exosomes from human UCS could reduce the viability of liver cancer cells compared with the normal human liver cell line (QSG-7701) (Fig. 6B). To further validate this result, cell viability was detected in Hep3B cells treated with MEs and UEs (n=8 in each group). In 6 out 8 cases, UEs significantly suppressed cell viability compared with MEs (Fig. 6C). These results indicated that exosomes derived from human UCS could suppress liver cancer cell viability.

**UEs may induce apoptosis of HCC cells.** To investigate the effect of UEs on cell apoptosis, flow cytometry was used to determine apoptotic cell death in HCC cells. UE treatment significantly increased the percentage of early apoptosis (lower-right quadrant) and late apoptosis (upper-right quadrant) (Figs. 6D and S5). To further demonstrate that UEs can induce apoptosis of HCC cells, the protein expression levels of caspase-3 and cleaved-caspase-3 were determined. The results suggested that the expression of cleaved caspase-3 was significantly higher in the UE group than in the ME and the negative control groups (Fig. 6E and F). This indicated that exosomes isolated from UCS could induce apoptosis of HCC cells.

**Discussion**

Exosomes are subcellular vesicles consisting mainly of phospholipids, proteins, cholesterol, ceramide and sphingolipids. The morphological and biochemical properties of exosomes vary according to their cellular origin (21,22). Exosomes are extracted and isolated mainly by ultrafast centrifugation,
immunomagnetic bead separation, precipitation or filtration, among which ultrafast centrifugation is considered the most common method to separate exosomes at present, and is also the gold standard for exosome extraction and identification (23,24).

Exosomes were extracted from UCS and maternal serum samples. Transmission electron microscopy and western blotting were then used to identify the exosomes. Nearly all of the isolated extracellular vesicles had a diameter of

Figure 6. Effects of exosomes derived from human umbilical serum on liver cancer cells. (A) Viability of Hep3B cells following culture with exosomes from different groups (negative control, ME and UE groups) and at different dilution ratios. (B) Viability in HCC cells and HepG2 cell line and normal human liver cell line following treatment with UEs at different dilution ratios. (HCC cells vs. QSG-7701 or HepG2 vs. QSG-7701) (C) Viability in Hep3B cells treated with exosomes in different groups (negative control, ME and UE groups). (E) Western blot analysis was conducted to detect the protein expression levels of caspase-3 and cleaved-caspase-3 in different groups (negative control, ME and UE groups). (F) Semi-quantification of the western blot experiments. The data are presented as the mean ± SEM. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. ME, maternal serum exosome; UE, umbilical serum exosome; PI, propidium iodide.
50-100 nm according to the transmission electron microscopy results (25). In addition, CD9 and CD63 were detected in the protein samples extracted from the exosomes. CD9 and CD63 are molecular markers of exosomes (26). These results confirmed that exosomes were successfully isolated from UCS and maternal serum samples.

Exosomes can be found in several types of body fluids, such as blood, saliva, urine, cerebrospinal fluid and milk (27,28). Compared with the relatively simple embryonic environment of umbilical cord blood, the physiological or pathological environment of peripheral blood is more complex (29). Therefore, comparing UEs with MEs may help us better understand the differences between umbilical cord blood and the peripheral blood environment. A recent study revealed that UEs and MEs may enhance endothelial cell proliferation and migration (30); however, the role of exosomes isolated from the human umbilical cord in cancer development has not been investigated. To explore the potential differences in the composition and function of proteins from UEs and MEs, a proteomic analysis of exosomes was conducted by mass spectrometry and bioinformatics analysis. To study the biological effects of UEs on liver cancer cells and to explore their potential value as a new approach for liver cancer biotherapy, MEs were used as a control.

According to the results of the proteomic analysis of exosomes by mass spectrometry and bioinformatics analysis, UEs were enriched with proteins that were involved in ‘ECM-receptor interaction’. According to the functional enrichment analysis based on KEGG pathways, these differentially expressed proteins were associated with the integrin family. Integrin expression levels have been revealed to be closely associated with tumor development. The integrin family may be closely related to cell metastasis and proliferation (31,32). Integrin β1 has been reported to be mainly involved in adhesion between cells and the extracellular matrix (33), and its activity may affect the distribution and differentiation of stem cells (34). Moreover, integrin β1 has been shown to be significantly upregulated in liver cancer and may serve as bidirectional transducers of extracellular and intracellular signals in the processes of cell adhesion (35). Therefore, a large number of differentially expressed proteins in exosomes derived from UCS may be related to the differentiation of a variety of stem cells, and may also be involved in cell adhesion, migration, apoptosis and other biological processes.

To investigate the effects of UEs on liver cancer cells, CCK-8 assays were conducted to detect cell viability in different groups. Exosomes derived from human UCS could suppress liver cancer cell viability, especially Hep3B cells. However, exosomes from UCS had no significant effect on the migration of these cells (data not shown). Flow cytometry was used to determine apoptotic cell death of HCC cells to investigate the effect of UEs on cell apoptosis. The results indicated that UEs could induce apoptosis of HCC cells. Exosomes often contain signaling molecules. In the present bioinformatics analysis, KEGG pathways associated with signaling were identified, such as ‘PI3K-AKT signaling’ pathway. However, whether they are related to apoptosis induced by exosomes is unknown.

In conclusion, exosomes were isolated from UCS and maternal serum samples, and to the best of our knowledge, the present study was the first to conduct a proteomic analysis of these samples using mass spectrometry. The present study demonstrated that UCS was enriched with proteins involved in ECM-receptor interactions. These differentially expressed proteins may act on the integrin family, which could be related to cell metastasis and proliferation. These findings indicated that exosomes derived from human UCS can suppress the viability of liver cancer cells and induce apoptosis of HCC cells. Further studies are needed to elucidate the mechanism through which UEs suppress liver cancer cell viability and induce apoptosis of HCC cells.
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