Gelsolin impairs barrier function in pancreatic ductal epithelial cells by actin filament depolymerization in hypertriglyceridemia-induced pancreatitis in vitro
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Abstract. Gelsolin (GSN) is a calcium-regulated actin-binding protein that can sever actin filaments. Notably, actin dynamics affect the structure and function of epithelial barriers. The present study investigated the role of GSN in the barrier function of pancreatic ductal epithelial cells (PDECs) in hypertriglyceridemia-induced pancreatitis (HTGP). The human PDEC cell line HPDE6-C7 underwent GSN knockdown and was treated with caerulein (CAE) + triglycerides (TG). Intracellular calcium levels and the actin filament network were analyzed under a fluorescence microscope. The expression levels of GSN, E-cadherin, nectin-2, ZO-1 and occludin were evaluated by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction and western blotting. Ultrastructural changes in tight junctions were observed by transmission electron microscopy. Furthermore, the permeability of PDECs was analyzed by fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran fluorescence. The results revealed that CAE + TG increased intracellular calcium levels, actin filament depolymerization and GSN expression, and increased PDEC permeability by decreasing the expression levels of E-cadherin, nectin-2, ZO-1 and occludin compared with the control. Moreover, changes in these markers, with the exception of intracellular calcium levels, were reversed by silencing GSN. In conclusion, GSN may disrupt barrier function in PDECs by causing actin filament depolymerization in HTGP in vitro.

Introduction

Severe hypertriglyceridemia (HTG) is the third major cause of acute pancreatitis (AP) after alcohol abuse and cholelithiasis worldwide (1). The severity and complication rates of HTG-induced pancreatitis (HTGP) are higher than those of AP that originate from other causes (2). The mechanism by which high triglyceride (TG) levels trigger AP is unknown (3), but may be due to the toxicity of free fatty acids (FFAs) to the pancreas. High concentrations of FFAs are generated from TG hydrolysis by lipases in pancreatic tissues and may trigger the self-digestion of the pancreas by damaging pancreatic acinar cells (PACs) and vascular endothelial cells, activating trypsinogen and protein kinase C, and releasing intracellular calcium (3,4).

Pancreatic ductal epithelial cells (PDECs) are considered the most important component of the pancreatic duct mucosal barrier, and serve a critical role in preventing the reflux of bile and pancreatic enzymes (5). Previous studies have reported that barrier dysfunction in PDECs is a major contributor to the occurrence of pancreatitis (6-9). Our previous study revealed that the synthetic cholecystokinin analogue caerulein (CAE) induced AP in the human pancreatic ductal epithelial cell line HPDE6-C7 (10). In addition, high-fat diet-derived FFAs can impair intestinal epithelial cell permeability (11-13); nonetheless, the effect of HTG on PDECs in AP is incompletely understood.

The cytoskeleton is a complex and dynamic system composed primarily of actin filaments, which is essential for key cellular functions, including adhesion, spreading, migration and interaction with the environment (14). Previous studies have reported that the maintenance of the endothelial barrier function and cell-cell junctions is critically dependent on actin filament dynamics (15-18), which are regulated by actin-binding proteins (ABPs) (19). Moreover, FFAs can modulate actin reorganization and have toxic effects on PACs by increasing cytosolic calcium concentrations (20-22), potentially leading to HTGP. However, to the best of our knowledge, the underlying mechanism of actin dynamics in PDECs in HTGP has not been explored.
Gelsolin (GSN) is a calcium-regulated ABP that controls actin dynamics by nucleating, capping and severing actin filaments, and participates in cell morphology, motility, metabolism, apoptosis and phagocytosis (23). Moreover, GSN has been reported to be involved in cell-cell junctions and can regulate cell adhesion strength by remodelling actin (24,25). Our unpublished data revealed that GSN is expressed in PDECs (HPDE6-C7) (Yang et al, unpublished data); however, the role of GSN in PDECs in HTGP is not fully understood.

The present study hypothesized that GSN may impair barrier function in PDECs by regulating actin filaments in HTGP. To test this hypothesis, GSN gene expression was knocked down and HTGP was induced using CAE (13) and TG in HPDE6-C7 cells, in order to assess the effect of GSN-regulated actin filaments on barrier function in PDECs in HTGP in vitro.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. The human PDEC line HPDE6-C7 (Guangzhou Jenniobio Biotechnology) was cultured in DMEM (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Shanghai Shuangru Biotechnology Co., Ltd.), 1% L-glutamine (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin mixture (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2. Cells were grown on coverslips (24x24 mm) in 6-well plates or 25-cm2 flasks.

RNA interference-mediated GSN gene silencing. Three pairs of short hairpin RNA (shRNA) sequences were designed by BLOCK-IT™ RNAi Designer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) according to GSN coding DNA sequences and were synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Table I). The third generation lentiviral packaging system was used to inhibit GSN expression analysis. The shRNAs were cloned into the pcDNA6.2-GW/EmGFP-miR plasmid vector (Shanghai R&S Biotechnology Co., Ltd.). The cloned DNA fragments were amplified by PCR and subcloned into the pLenti6.3/V5-DEST vector (Shanghai R&S Biotechnology Co., Ltd.). A total of 60 µg lentiviral plasmids and ViraPower™ lentiviral packaging mix (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), mixed with POLOdeliverer™ 3000 Transfection Reagent (Shanghai R&S Biotechnology Co., Ltd.), were co-transfected into 293T cells (Shanghai R&S Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) in a 1:1 ratio. The transfected cells were incubated at 37°C for 48 and 72 h, respectively, and the lentivirus supernatant was collected and concentrated. HPDE6-C7 cells were infected with lentivirus knockdown (KD) group, cells with GSN KD; CAE-treated KD group (KD + CAE group); TG-treated KD group (KD + TG group); and CAE + TG-treated KD group (KD + CAE + TG group).

Inverted biological microscopy analysis of cell morphology. Cells at a density of 4x10^5 cells/well were seeded into a six-well plate and cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 until they reached 75-80% confluence. Then the cells were treated with purified trilaurin (TG; cat. no. T9420; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) at concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 10 mM for 24 h at 37°C. Cell morphology was analyzed by an inverted biological microscopy (CKX53; Olympus Corporation) at x100 magnification.

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay. Cell viability was determined using CCK-8 (cat. no. CA1210; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) according to the manufacturer's protocols. HPDE6-C7 cells at a density of 1x10^4 cells/well were seeded into a 96-well plate with 100 µl growth medium/well and cultured for 12 h. Subsequently, the cells were treated with TG (10 µl/well) at concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 10 mM for 24 h at 37°C and 10 µl CCK-8 reagent was added to each well for 1 h at 37°C. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Varioskan LUX; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.).

DAPI staining. The cells were seeded into a 6-well plate at a density of 1x10^5 cells/well and cultured for 24 h, then treated with 100 nM CAE for 6, 12, 24 and 48 h or TG at concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 10 mM for 24 h at 37°C. Subsequently, the cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (cat. no. P1110; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) in the dark for 5 min (all steps at room temperature). The cell nuclei were imaged at 460-495 nm under a fluorescence microscope. The cells stained light blue represented the DAPI positively stained cells.

Measurement of intracellular calcium. HPDE6-C7 cells were grown on 60-mm culture dishes until they reached 60-65% confluence. The cells were then washed three times with Hanks' balanced salt solution without calcium, magnesium and phenol red (cat. no. H1046; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) and were stained with Calcium Crimson (5 µM;
1.0 ml/dish; cat. no. C3018; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37˚C for 30 min in the dark. Subsequently, cells were washed with D‑HBSS three times and stained with Hoechst 33258 (30 µg/ml; 1.0 ml/dish; cat. no. H3569; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37˚C for 20 min in the dark. Fluorescence in the cytosol and nucleus were measured at 530‑550 and 460‑495 nm, respectively, under an inverted fluorescence microscope (IX71; Olympus Corporation). Images were analyzed using ImageJ software (version 1.8.0; National Institutes of Health).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Control and GSN‑silenced HPDE6‑C7 cells were cultured in 25‑cm² flasks until they reached 70‑80% confluence. Cells were harvested using a cell scraper, centrifuged at 1,500 x g for 10 min at room temperature, fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde for 2.5 h at 4˚C and washed three times with PBS (10 mM; 10 min/wash). Subsequently, the samples were fixed in 1% osmium for 2 h at 4˚C, washed three times with PBS (10 min/wash), dehydrated through a graded ethanol series, embedded in epoxy resin at 37˚C overnight. Epoxy resin samples were heated at 35˚C for 12 h, 45˚C for 15 h and 60˚C for 24 h, successively, cut into 70‑nm sections and stained with 3% uranium acetate‑lead citrate. Ultrastructural changes in tight junctions (TJs) were analyzed using TEM (Hitachi, Ltd.).

Western blotting. Proteins were lysed from HPDE6‑C7 cells using RIPA buffer (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) containing 1% phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) on ice for 30 min, and were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 20 min at 4˚C. Protein concentration was determined using a bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (cat. no. P0012; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Proteins samples (50 µg/well) were separated by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate‑polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and electrotransferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. Membranes were then blocked with 5% non‑fat milk for 1 h at room temperature and incubated with primary antibodies against GSN (1:1,000; cat. no. ab74420; Abcam), ZO‑1 (1:1,000; cat. no. 21173‑1‑AP; Wuhan Sanying Biotechnology), nectin‑2 (1:1,000; cat. no. ab135246; Abcam), E‑cadherin (1:1,000; cat. no. 20874‑1‑AP; Wuhan Sanying Biotechnology), occludin (1:1,000; cat. no. 27260‑1‑AP; Wuhan Sanying Biotechnology) and GAPDH (1:10,000; cat. no. ab181602; Abcam) overnight at 4˚C. Subsequently, membranes were incubated with DyLight 680‑conjugated secondary anti‑rabbit antibody (1:10,000; cat. no. 5366; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Immunoreactive bands were imaged using the Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI‑COR Biosciences) and fluorescence intensity was semi‑quantified using ImageJ software (version 1.8.0; National Institutes of Health).

RT‑qPCR. Total RNA was isolated from HPDE6‑C7 cells using RNAiso Plus (cat. no. 9108; Takara Bio, Inc.) and converted to cDNA by RT using PrimeScript RT reagent kit with gDNA Eraser (cat. no. RR047B; Takara Bio, Inc.) according to manufacturer's protocol. qPCR was performed using TB Green Premix Ex Taq II (cat. no. RR820B; Takara Bio, Inc.) and corresponding primers (Table II). The thermocycling
conditions were as follows: Pre-denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 5 sec, annealing and extension at 60°C for 34 sec. GAPDH was used as internal reference gene and the relative expression levels of target genes were measured using the 2^ΔΔCt method (26).

**Immunofluorescence staining of actin filaments.** Cells were plated onto coverslips (24x24 mm) in 6-well plates until they reached 55-60% confluence. The cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min at room temperature, blocked in PBS containing 2% bovine serum albumin for 20 min at room temperature and stained with tetramethyl rhodamine isothiocyanate-phalloidin (100 nM; 50 µl/well; Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Actin filaments were observed under an upright fluorescence microscope (BX53; Olympus Corporation).

**Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-dextran fluorescence.** HPDE6-C7 cell suspensions (2x10^5 cells/well) were cultured on microporous polycarbonate membranes (pore size, 0.4 µm) until they reached 100% confluence in the upper compartment of 12-well Transwell plates (cat. no. 3401; Corning, Inc.). The culture medium in the upper compartment was removed and adhered cells were washed with PBS twice. Subsequently, FITC-dextran (4 kDa; 0.5 mg/ml; 500 µl/well; MilliporeSigma) was added to the upper compartment, and PBS (1 ml/well) was added to the lower compartment. The plates were incubated in the dark for 60 min at 37°C. Fluorescence in the lower compartment was measured using a microplate reader (excitation, 495 nm; emission, 520 nm; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and quantified using a calibration curve of FITC-dextran.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation and the experiments were repeated at least three times. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way analysis of variance and Tukey’s post hoc test using SPSS Statistics version 22.0 (IBM Corp.), GraphPad Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) and ImageJ (version 1.8.0; National Institutes of Health). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Effect of TG on cell viability and cell-cell junctions of PDECs.** Cell viability and cell apoptosis of PDECs treated with TG (0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 10 mM) for 24 h were assessed by light microscopy, CCK-8 assay and DAPI staining. The optimal TG intervention concentration was selected and its effects on cell-cell junctions was analyzed by TEM. The results showed that high levels of TG (≥2.5 mM) significantly inhibited cell viability (Fig. S1A and B) and increased cell apoptosis of PDECs (Fig. S1C). Therefore, 2.5 mM of TG was selected for subsequent experiments. Moreover, the analysis of cell-cell junctions showed that CAE and CAE + TG disrupted cell-cell junctions, particularly TJs, compared with the control. In addition, the disruptions were more pronounced with CAE + TG, but TG had no effect on cell-cell junctions compared with the control (Fig. S2).

**Effect of intracellular calcium levels on GSN expression in HPDE6-C7 cells treated with CAE and TG.** Intracellular calcium was semi-quantified by fluorescence microscopy, and changes in GSN gene and protein expression levels in HPDE6-C7 cells treated with CAE and TG were analyzed by RT-qPCR and western blotting. The results revealed that CAE and CAE + TG, as treatments of the BC and NC groups, increased intracellular calcium levels (Fig. 1A and B) and the mRNA and protein expression levels of GSN relative to baseline (Fig. 1C-E), and the increase was more pronounced with CAE + TG. Notably, as treatment of the BC and NC groups, TG had no detectable effect on intracellular calcium levels (Fig. 1A and B) and GSN expression (Fig. 1C-E). Moreover, GSN silencing in the KD + CAE and KD + CAE + TG groups did not affect intracellular calcium levels compared with the NC + CAE and NC + CAE + TG groups (Fig. 1A and B), and lentiviral-mediated RNA interference in the KD group significantly reduced the mRNA and protein expression of GSN in PDECs compared with the NC group (Fig. 1C-E).

**Effect of GSN on actin filament dynamics in HPDE6-C7 cells treated with CAE and TG.** Changes in actin filament dynamics by GSN silencing were analyzed by immunofluorescence. The results demonstrated that CAE and CAE + TG, as treatments of the BC and NC groups, disrupted the actin filament network, and the effect was stronger with CAE + TG, whereas GSN silencing reduced this effect in the comparisons of the NC + CAE and KD + CAE groups or the NC + CAE + TG and KD + CAE + TG groups. Notably, TG, as treatment of the BC and NC groups, did not cause actin depolymerization (Fig. 2).

**Effect of GSN on the major components of cell-cell junctions in HPDE6-C7 cells treated with CAE and TG.** The expression levels of major components of cell-cell junctions, including E-cadherin, nectin-2, ZO-1 and occludin, were analyzed by RT-qPCR and western blotting. The results revealed that CAE and CAE + TG, as treatments of the BC and NC groups, disrupted the cell-cell junction structure of TJs in HPDE6-C7 cells and the effect was stronger with CAE + TG, whereas GSN silencing reduced the effects of CAE and CAE + TG treatment in the comparisons of the NC + CAE and KD + CAE groups or the NC + CAE + TG and KD + CAE + TG groups. Notably, GSN silencing reduced the effects of CAE and CAE + TG treatment in the comparisons of the NC + CAE and KD + CAE groups or the NC + CAE + TG and KD + CAE + TG groups (Fig. 3).

**Effect of GSN on TJ ultrastructure and permeability of HPDE6-C7 cells treated with CAE and TG.** The ultrastructure of TJs in HPDE6-C7 cells was evaluated by TEM. The present study revealed that treatment with CAE and CAE + TG, as treatments of the BC and NC groups, decreased the number of TJ strands and disrupted the organization of cell-cell junctions; some cells in the CAE + TG and NC + CAE + TG groups had no TJs. GSN silencing mitigated the effects of CAE and CAE + TG treatment in the comparisons of the NC + CAE and KD + CAE groups.
or the NC + CAE + TG and KD + CAE + TG groups (Fig. 4A). In addition, CAE + TG, as treatment of the BC and NC groups, increased cell permeability more strongly than CAE, and GSN silencing attenuated this effect in the comparisons of the NC + CAE and KD + CAE groups or the NC + CAE + TG and KD + CAE + TG groups, whereas TG, as treatment of the BC and NC groups, alone did not affect cell permeability (Fig. 4B).

**Figure 1.** Effect of intracellular calcium levels on GSN expression in HPDE6-C7 cells treated with CAE and TG. (A) Changes in intracellular calcium levels based on fluorescence imaging (x200 magnification) and (B) semi-quantitative analysis. (C) Protein expression levels of GSN, as determined by western blotting and (D) semi-quantitative analysis. (E) Relative mRNA expression levels of GSN, as determined by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction. The experiments were repeated at least three times. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. **P<0.01. BC, blank control; CAE, caerulein; GSN, gelsolin; KD, knockdown; NC, negative control; TG, triglycerides.

**Time-dependent effects of CAE on apoptosis, GSN protein expression and actin filament dynamics in HPDE6-C7 cells.** HPDE6-C7 cells were treated with CAE for 6, 12, 24 and 48 h. Apoptosis was evaluated using DAPI staining under a fluorescence microscope, the protein expression of GSN was semi-quantified using western blotting, and actin filaments were analyzed by immunofluorescence. The results showed that CAE increased apoptosis (Fig. S3A), GSN protein
expression (Fig. S3B and C) and actin filament depolymerization (Fig. S3D) in a time-dependent manner in HPDE6-C7 cells compared with the control.

Discussion

Previous studies have shown that the disruption of TJs and adherens junctions, which maintain the integrity and function of the endothelial barrier in PDECs, serves an important role in the pathogenesis of AP (27,28). Our data showed that CAE + TG disrupted cell-cell junctions of PDECs more compared with CAE, suggesting that the impairment of barrier structure of PDECs was more pronounced in HTGP compared with in AP. In addition, actin filament dynamics are associated with the maintenance of barrier integrity in PDECs (29). Furthermore, GSN has a pivotal role in controlling the actin filament network (30). The results of the present study showed that the increase in GSN expression levels and the disruption of actin dynamics were more pronounced in HTGP than in AP, whereas this disruption was prevented by knocking down GSN, indicating that GSN may depolymerize actin filaments in HTGP.

Calcium overload in PACs is a significant contributor to AP and also occurs in HTGP (31). GSN is a calcium-sensitive modulator of actin filament length (23); however, to the best of our knowledge, the occurrence of calcium overload and its potential association with GSN in PDECs in HTGP has not been determined. In the present study, CAE + TG increased intracellular calcium levels and GSN protein expression more strongly than CAE alone, indicating that calcium overload and GSN expression in PDECs were more pronounced in HTGP than in AP. Conversely, GSN gene silencing did not affect calcium levels, suggesting that GSN as a calcium-activated protein did not regulate calcium, which is consistent with the literature (23,32).

The rearrangement of the actin network can affect the interaction between actin and ABPs (33). The ABP GSN modulates actin filament structure (30). The present study revealed that the increase in GSN expression levels and the disruption of actin dynamics were more pronounced in HTGP than in AP, whereas this disruption was prevented by knocking down GSN, indicating that GSN may depolymerize actin filaments in HTGP.

The role of GSN in cell-cell junctions in PDECs is still unclear. The present data demonstrated that the protein expression levels of GSN were increased and the cell-cell junction protein expression was decreased in the PDEC model of HTGP, whereas GSN silencing increased the expression levels of cell-cell junction proteins, indicating that GSN regulated the expression of these proteins. In addition, it has been reported that actin interacts with multiple tight junction proteins, including ZO-1 and occludin (34), and adherens junction proteins, such as nectin and E-cadherin (35). Therefore, given the regulatory effect of GSN on actin in HTGP, it was hypothesized that GSN regulated the expression of cell-cell junction proteins via actin depolymerization. However, additional studies are required to elucidate the underlying mechanisms.

Endothelial barrier function depends on the interactions of cell-cell junction proteins with the actin filament...
network (36,37). However, to the best of our knowledge, the role of GSN in epithelial barrier function has only been studied in ischemic lung injury (38). The present results showed that the impairment of TJ s in PDECs was more severe in HTGP than in AP, and was reduced by knocking down GSN, suggesting that GSN significantly contributed to the maintenance of TJ s in these cells. Moreover, the increase in the permeability of PDECs was higher in HTGP
than in AP, and this effect was reduced by GSN silencing. Therefore, considering the association between actin filaments and barrier function (18,39), it was hypothesized that GSN may increase cell permeability in HTGP by depolymerizing actin.

Several limitations exist in the current study and additional work is required. Firstly, it would be beneficial to also assess the effects of GSN overexpression to further verify the regulatory mechanism. Secondly, a preliminary time-dependent study of CAE was performed and it was revealed that CAE increased apoptosis, GSN protein expression and actin filament depolymerization in a time-dependent manner in HPDE6-C7 cells (Fig. S3). Our future work will assess the time-dependent effects of TG + CAE on barrier function and actin filaments in PDECs, and the role of GSN in this mechanism.

In conclusion, the present study indicated that GSN may impair barrier function in PDECs in HTGP, potentially by causing actin depolymerization. These findings provided evidence for GSN as a novel therapeutic target for barrier disruption in PDECs in HTGP.
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