Abstract. Biochemical recurrence (BCR) is a cause of concern in advanced prostate cancer (PCa). Thus, novel diagnostic biomarkers are required to improve clinical care. However, research on PCa immunotherapy is also scarce. Hence, the present study aimed to explore promising BCR-related diagnostic biomarkers, and their expression pattern, prognostic value, immune response effects, biological functions, and possible molecular mechanisms were evaluated. GEO datasets (GSE46602, GSE70768, and GSE116918) were downloaded and merged as the training cohort, and differential expression analysis was performed. Lasso regression and SVM-RFE algorithm, as well as PPI analysis and MCODE algorithm, were then applied to filter BCR-related biomarker genes. The CIBERSORT and estimation of stromal and immune cells in malignant tumor tissues using expression data (ESTIMATE) methods were used to calculate the fractions of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. GO/DO enrichment analyses were used to identify the biological functions. The expression of latent transforming growth factor β-binding protein 2 (LTBP2) was determined by RT-qPCR and western blotting. The role of LTBP2 in PCa was determined by CCK-8, Transwell, and the potential mechanism was investigated by KEGG and GSEA and confirmed by western blotting. In total, 44 BCR-related differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the training cohort were screened. LTBP2 was found to be a diagnostic biomarker of BCR in PCa and was associated with CD4+ T-cell infiltration and response to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy. Subsequently, using the ESTIMATE algorithm, it was identified that LTBP2 was associated with the tumor microenvironment and could be a predictor of the clinical benefit of immune checkpoint blockade. Finally, the expression and biological function of LTBP2 were evaluated via cellular experiments. The results showed that LTBP2 was downregulated in PCa cells and inhibited PCa proliferation and metastasis via the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in vitro.

In conclusion, LTBP2 was a promising diagnostic biomarker of BCR of PCa and had an important role in CD4+ T-cell recruitment. Moreover, it was associated with immunotherapy in patients with PCa who developed BCR, and it inhibited PCa proliferation and metastasis via the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in vitro.
LTBP2 has become the basic treatment strategy for primary PCa (2). However, most primary PCa may locally relapse and develop into castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) (3) or even metastatic PCa (4). Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) re-elevation following ADT, commonly known as biochemical recurrence (BCR), was the most prevalent technique to detect this problem (5). Nevertheless, PSA assays frequently failed to discover BCR or distant metastases in the first place, given its low sensitivity and specificity (6). Similarly, the clinical and pathological markers used to diagnose BCR of PCa (e.g., Gleason score, clinical and pathological stage) were still insufficient. Several diagnostic biomarkers for BCR of PCa have been described in the literature. For instance, Kim et al (7) reported that PSCA, COX-2, Ki67 were independent predictive biomarkers for BCR of PCa. Some studies have found that non-coding RNAs, such as IncRNAs play an essential role in the malignant progression and BCR of PCa (8,9). However, the exact mechanism of primary PCa progression remains unclear. Therefore, it is important to identify a stable and reliable biomarker for diagnosing BCR of PCa and to provide a guide for detecting the etiology of malignant progression in PCa and the mechanism of BCR.

Immune cells are an essential component of the tumor microenvironment (TME) and play a crucial role in tumorigenesis and progression, which has been investigated in numerous studies (10,11). Tumor immunotherapy, which activates the natural defense system of the body that is responsible for recognizing and removing bacteria, viruses, and tumor cells, is considered a promising cancer treatment modality for recurrent or metastatic cancers (12). Notably, immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) and T-cell therapy have made significant breakthroughs in improving the clinical prognosis of several types of solid tumors (13,14), demonstrating an effective response to immunotherapy for tumors. Literature (15,16) has revealed several biomarkers associated with immunity and prognosis, but few have been confirmed. Therefore, new biomarkers need to be identified as well as their association with the TME, and immunity and prognosis.

Given the aforementioned reasons, the present study aimed to identify potential diagnostic biomarkers of BCR in PCa and validate their correlation with immunity and prognosis. In this present study, latent transforming growth factor β-binding protein 2 (LTBP2) was screened and determined as a diagnostic biomarker gene associated with BCR of PCa by different algorithms, which could be confirmed by other external datasets. Next, the association between LTBP2 and immunity and prognosis was evaluated. The results revealed that the LTBP2 expression was associated with CD4+ T-cell recruitment. Moreover, the present study emphasized the important role of LTBP2 in inhibiting PCa invasion and metastasis in vitro and confirmed its exact molecular mechanism. Therefore, LTBP2 could be a novel diagnostic biomarker and potential immunotherapeutic target for BCR in PCa.

**Materials and methods**

Raw data sources, preparation, merging, and differential expression analysis. Available public transcriptome data for PCa from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) were screened and downloaded based on the inclusion criteria, which were as follows: i) Sample size >30 days; ii) complete expression information of transcriptome data; and iii) sample information including the description of BCR. Relevant information is presented in Table S1. PCa samples from three datasets [GSE46602 (17), GSE70768 (18) and GSE116918 (19)] were merged as the training cohort. The batch effect of non-biotechnical bias was eliminated using the ComBat algorithm (version 3.44.0) of the SVA package (20). Differential expression analysis was performed using the Bayesian algorithm (version 3.52.1) of the ‘limma’ package if the criteria adjusted P<0.05 was met (21). GSE70769 (22) and TCGA-prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD) dataset and corresponding clinical information were downloaded and used as validation cohorts.

Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (Lasso) Cox regression analysis and support vector machine with recursive feature elimination (SVM-RFE) algorithm to obtain BCR-associated differential expression genes (DEGs). Lasso regression analysis is a valuable method for identifying interpretable prediction rules in high-dimensional data, featuring a simultaneous selection of variables and elimination of high correlations among them to prevent overfitting (23). BCR-related differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified based on the best lambda values selected by 1,000 cross-validations using the glmnet package (version 4.1-4) in the R language (24). The SVM-RFE algorithm is essentially a backward elimination method for determining a subset of characteristics to optimize the performance of the classifier (25), which was initially designed to solve binary gene selection problems (26). The e1071 and kernlab packages in R software were used to implement SVM-RFE analysis to obtain BCR-related DEGs (27).

Gene Ontology (GO) biological function, The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway annotation, Disease Ontology (DO) enrichment analysis, and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). GO (24) enrichment analysis of the 44 BCR-related DEGs was utilized to identify large-scale disease enrichment research by clusterProfiler, GSEABase (version 1.58.0) (32), DOSE (version 3.22.0) (33), and enrichplot package in R language (adjusted P<0.05). KEGG was widely employed to screen biological pathways (31). DO enrichment analysis was commonly used to identify potential differences in biological processes and signaling pathways in GEO merged dataset and TCGA-PRAD cohort by the ‘clusterProfiler and enrichplot package’ in R software. The gene set ‘c2.cp.kegg.v7.4.symbols.gmt’ was retrieved from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) (34), and the adjusted P-values <0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

TME cell infiltration level and tumor-infiltrating immune cell profile, as well as correlation between immune infiltration and LTBP2 expression in PCa. Tumor cell TME infiltration
level was estimated by immune score, stromal score and tumor purity for each sample using the estimation of stromal and immune cells in malignant tumor tissues using expression data (ESTIMATE) algorithm (35). The CIBERSORT algorithm was implemented to generate an estimate of the abundance distribution of each tumor cell in the tumor sample using the R package ‘e1071’ (36). Spearman correlation analysis was undertaken to determine the association between immune cell infiltration levels and the expression of LTBP2.

Gene expression and clinical benefits for ICB and TCGA pan-cancer analyses. To elucidate the interaction of LTBP2 on the ICB, the association between LTBP2 expression and three well-known immune checkpoint genes was explored using the Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER) database (37) and Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA; http://geopia.cancer.pku.cn/) database. Transcriptomic data and corresponding clinicopathological features of TCGA pan-cancer were obtained from the UCSC Xena browser (https://xena.ucsc.edu/) and preprocessed as described above.

Establishment of protein-protein interaction (PPI) network and molecular complex detection (MCODE) analysis to identify hub genes. The Search Tool for Retrieving Interacting Genes (STRING) database (https://cn.string-db.org/) (38,39) was applied to predict the PPI network for the 44 BCR-related DEGs, with a threshold of combined score >0.4. Moreover, the MCODE algorithm was used to identify hub genes (40).

Construction of lncRNA-miRNA-LTBP2 mRNA competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) regulatory networks. The ceRNA regulatory network is a common upstream regulatory mechanism of target genes (41). LTBP2 was considered as the target gene, and the sponging miRNA was screened via the starBase database (https://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/) (42). Spearman correlation analysis was then used to identify miRNAs that were negatively correlated with LTBP2 expression. In addition, the sponging lncRNAs were identified by the starBase database and a negative correlation with miRNAs was confirmed by Spearman correlation analysis (cor >0.3; P-value <0.01). Finally, Cytoscape software (version 3.9.1) was utilized to construct the lncRNA-miRNA-LTBP2 ceRNA regulatory network (43).

Collection of gene expression data with immunotherapy response prediction. To evaluate the predictive value of LTBP2 in immunotherapeutic response, two immunotherapy cohorts, including clinical and transcriptomic data, were downloaded. The GSE78220 cohort (44), downloaded from the GEO database, is an anti-programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) immunotherapy cohort containing 27 samples with complete clinical information. The IMvigor210 cohort, obtained from http://research-pub.gene.com/IMvigor210CoreBiology, is an anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy cohort containing 298 samples with complete clinical data.

Cell culture and cell transfection. The human prostate cell (RWPE-1; cat. no. SC5P-5025) and human PCa cell lines (LNcap; cat. no. TCHu173), PC3 (cat. no. TCHu158) and DU145 cells (cat. no. TCHu222) were originally purchased from the Cell Bank of Shanghai Institute of Life Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, RPMI-1640 medium (Procell Life Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), penicillin (25 U/ml) and streptomycin (25 mg/ml; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), were used to culture prostate cells and PCa cells at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ environment. The sequence of LTBP2 was cloned in to a pcDNA3.1-vector to generate over-expression plasmid constructs by Shanghai GeneChem Co., Ltd. Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd.) was used for cell transfection according to the manufacturer's protocol (45). In short, the transfection reagent was added and samples were placed in a humidified 5% CO₂ environment at 37°C for 6 h, then changed to fresh medium and performed the subsequent experiments the next day.

RNA extraction and reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Total RNA was isolated and extracted from cells and clinical tissues using E.Z.N.A.™ Total RNA Kit I (50 preps) (Omega Bio-Tek, Inc.). Reverse transcription was then achieved with the HiScript II Q RT SuperMix reagent kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (cat. no. R223-01; Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd.). PCR was implemented to measure Cq values using the SYBR Green PCR kit (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd.) according to the manufacturer's protocol (46). In short, qPCR was performed under the conditions: Holding at 50°C for 2 min, 95.0°C for 30 sec and 40 circles of 95.0°C for 10 sec and 60°C for 30 sec in an applied biosystems 7300 Realtime PCR instrument. The 2−ΔΔCq calculation method was employed to calculate the relative expression levels of LTBP2 (47-49). The primers for LTBP2 used in the present study were as follows: LTBP2 forward, 5'-AGCAGCAACACACTGTCAAC-3' and reverse, 5'-CTC ATCGGGAATGCACCTCCTC-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-AC TCTTTCCAGGGCGGAT-3' and reverse, 5'-GGGCG AGATGATGACCCTTT-3'.

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) cell proliferation assays. CCK-8 assay Kit (BioBIO EXCELLENCE) was used to perform the cell proliferation. In brief, the transfected LNcap and DU145 cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 1,500 cells/well. Following seeding for 24, 48, 72 and 96 h, 10 µl CCK-8 reagent was added to each well and then incubated for another 3 h before detecting the optical density (OD) at 450 nm.

Transwell migration and Matrigel invasion assays. Cell migration and invasion assays were implemented in 8-µm pore size Transwell chambers, distinguishing that invasion assays required 0.5 mg/ml Matrigel pretreatment (37°C for 1 h). Specifically, the transfected PCa cells (10x10⁴) were resuspended in a serum-free medium and inoculated into the upper chamber, and 600 µl of medium containing 10% FBS was placed in the lower chamber and incubated at 37°C for 8-20 h. Subsequently, migrating and invading cells were fixed in methanol (20 min at room temperature), stained with 0.1% crystal violet (20 min at room temperature), and photographed and counted using a light microscope at x10 magnification.
The outcomes were uploaded to Cytoscape software and to construct a PPI network using STRING software (Fig. 2D). Consequently, the protein concentration was then quantified utilizing a BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Subsequently, the protein (10 µg/well) was separated by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and electroblotted to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (PVDF) (MilliporeSigma). Following blocking with 5% skim milk for 1 h at room temperature, the membrane was incubated with various specific primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Next, washing with TBST (Twen, 1:1,000) followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Table SII) for 1 h at room temperature. Protein bands were treated with BeyoEcl Plus reagent (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) after washing with TBST and observed using an ECL system.

**Statistical analysis.** The statistical analysis was undertaken with R software (version 4.0.3) and GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.). The Perl programming language (version 5.30.2) was used for data processing. The Kaplan-Meier (K-M) survival analysis and log-rank tests were utilized to analyze the overall survival (OS), progression-free interval (PFI) and disease-specific survival (DSS). The associations between LTBP2 expression and various clinicopathological covariates were examined using a chi-square test. Data were obtained from at least three independent experiments in vitro and were expressed as mean ± SD. A P-value <0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Identification of 44 differentially expressed mRNAs between primary PCa and BCR of PCa based on the GEO merged dataset.** The flow chart of the present study is presented in Fig. 1. First, three GSE datasets (GSE46602, GSE70768, and GSE116918) were merged using the SVA algorithm to obtain 312 primary PCa and 97 BCR in PCa cases. The differential expression analysis of primary PCa and BCR in PCa was then performed using the R software Limma package based on the GEO merged datasets. A total of 44 BCR-related DEGs are presented in Fig. S1. Among them, 18 DEGs were in the downregulated subset and 26 in the upregulated subset.

**Genes are screened as BCR-associated key DEGs based on two different algorithms.** To obtain key BCR-associated DEGs, two distinct algorithms for screening were implemented. First, the Lasso Cox regression algorithm was applied, and 20 key genes were filtered out from 44 BCR-related DEGs (Fig. 2A). Similarly, the SVM-RFE algorithm was applied, and 34 key genes were screened out (Fig. 2B). Finally, 19 BCR-related DEGs were selected as candidates via overlapping (Fig. 2C).

**Construction of a PPI network and MCODE analysis to obtain 4 hub genes.** These 44 BCR-related DEGs were utilized to construct a PPI network using STRING software (Fig. 2D). The outcomes were uploaded to Cytoscape software and 4 hub genes were identified by MCODE algorithm (Fig. 2E). Ultimately, by overlapping the hub genes and key BCR-related DEGs, LTBP2 was found to be the only candidate diagnostic gene of BCR in PCa that should be investigated further (Fig. 2F).

Subsequently, the ROC curve and its AUC value of GEO merged datasets (the training set) were calculated to assess the accuracy and sensitivity of LTBP2 as a BCR diagnostic gene for PCa. As indicated in Fig. 3A, LTBP2 had moderate accuracy and sensitivity, which was also consistent with the ROC curve results of the GEO validation cohort (GSE70769) (Fig. 3C). Additionally, the expression of LTBP2 in the primary PCa subgroup and the BCR of PCa subgroup was evaluated. The results demonstrated that the expression level of LTBP2 was statistically significantly higher in the BCR of PCa subgroup compared to the primary PCa subgroup in TCGA-PRAD (Fig. 3B) and GEO validation dataset (Fig. 3D).

**Association of LTBP2 expression with clinicopathological features and overview of LTBP2 in human tumors in TCGA database.** To assess the clinical value and application of LTBP2, the association between LTBP2 expression and clinicopathological traits and the impact on prognosis in TCGA-PRAD dataset were examined. The results revealed that LTBP2 was under-expressed in tumor tissues in the TCGA and Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) database (Fig. 4A and B). Moreover, the ROC curve also clarified that the efficiency of LTBP2 expression levels was moderate in distinguishing PCa tissue from normal prostate tissue (AUC value=0.616) (Fig. 4F). Concurrently, a stratified analysis along with clinicopathological features was performed. The results highlighted in Fig. 4C-E and Table I indicated that LTBP2 expression was primarily upregulated with increased Gleason score and American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) T stage (P<0.05). However, it was independent of increasing PSA value.

Furthermore, given the scarcity of LTBP2-associated cancer studies in solid tumors, as shown in Fig. S2, its expression was evaluated in 33 solid tumors. LTBP2 was differentially expressed in distinct cancer types and was under-expressed in numerous solid tumors compared to corresponding normal tissues (Fig. 4G and H). In addition, to describe the association between LTBP2 expression and clinicopathological features, LTBP2 expression was analyzed according to the different clinicopathological features in different solid tumors, such as the AJCC stage. Significant differences between LTBP2 expression and some clinical characteristics of different strata of certain tumors were identified (Fig. 4I and J). Moreover, a univariate Cox regression analysis was performed to assess the effect of LTBP2 on prognosis in different cancer types. The LTBP2 expression did not significantly affect OS (Fig. S3A), DSS (Fig. S3B), PFI (Fig. S3C) in PCa, paralleling the results obtained from the Kaplan-Meier survival analysis (Fig. S4).

**Expression of LTBP2 is associated with CD4+ T-cell recruitment and linked to immunotherapeutic response.** As reported in the literature, immunity plays an essential role in the development and treatment of tumors (51). Hence, the association between LTBP2 and immunity was investigated.
Figure 1. Flow chart of the work on screening and identifying a key gene associated with biochemical recurrence of prostate cancer and validating some potential biological functions. PCa, prostate cancer; GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; DO, Disease Ontology; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; Lasso, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; SVM-RFE, support vector machine with recursive feature elimination; PPI, protein-protein interaction; MCODE, molecular complex detection; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; PRAD, prostate adenocarcinoma; LTBP2, latent transforming growth factor β-binding protein 2; ceRNA, competitive endogenous RNA; TME, tumor microenvironment.
The CIBERSORT algorithm was used to calculate the score of the tumor immune cells in pan-cancer. Spearman correlation analysis was performed and revealed that LTBP2 was associated with T-cell follicular helper and CD4+ T-cell...
memory resting recruitment as well as macrophage M2 polarization in TCGA-PRAD dataset in 33 solid tumors (Fig. S5). Subsequently, the correlation of LTBP2 with tumor immune infiltration cells in GEO merged datasets was validated. As revealed in Figs. S6A and 5A, there were significant differences in the proportion of immune cells between primary PCa and BCR of PCa, especially CD4 memory-activated T cells. Moreover, as revealed in Fig. 5B-D, LTBP2 expression was correlated with T-cell follicular helper and CD4+ T-cell memory resting in the GEO merged datasets, paralleling the results obtained from TCGA-PRAD dataset. Furthermore, the effect of LTBP2 expression on the correlation between different tumor-infiltrating immune cells in the GEO merged datasets was identified (Fig. S6B). Therefore, based on the aforementioned results, it was hypothesized that LTBP2 expression was associated with CD4+ T-cell recruitment, which was also verified in TCGA-PRAD dataset. As confirmed in Fig. 5E, LTBP2 expression revealed a significant positive correlation with CD4+ T-cell levels. However, whether LTBP2 had an effect on macrophage M2 polarization was not verified in the GEO merged cohort.

Additionally, the predictive role of LTBP2 was investigated in the immunotherapeutic response against PD-1/PD-L1 based on two immunotherapy cohorts. As shown in Fig. 5F and G, patients with low LTBP2 expression had a significantly more robust immune response than those with high expression in the
anti-PD-1 immunotherapy cohort (GSE78220) and anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy cohort (IMvigor210 cohort). Hence, these results confirmed the predictive role of LTBP2 on the immunotherapeutic benefit in PCa patients with BCR.
Expression of LTBP2 is associated with TME and can predict clinical benefit of ICB. Given the aforementioned results, it was hypothesized that LTBP2 was also correlated with the TME. The ESTIMATE algorithm was used to calculate the tumor cell stromal score, immune score and tumor purity for each patient in TCGA-PRAD dataset. Spearman correlation analysis was performed and revealed that LTBP2 expression was positively correlated with immune score and stromal score in TCGA-PRAD dataset (Fig. 6A and B). In addition, LTBP2 expression was significantly positively correlated with T-cell CD4 memory resting and significantly negatively correlated with T-cell follicular helper in the TCGA-PRAD dataset, which validated the recruitment of LTBP2 to CD4+ T cells (Fig. 6C and D). LTBP2 expression was significantly associated with immune cell marker genes, except for CEACAM8 (Fig. S7; Table SIII). In addition, to further clarify the role of LTBP2 on ICB, the association between LTBP2 and several well-known immune checkpoint genes was explored. The results showed that the mRNA expression of LTBP2 was significantly positively correlated with the relative expression levels of PD-L1, CTLA4, and PD-1 (Fig. 6E), but negatively correlated with tumor purity in the TIMER database, as verified in the GEPIA database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total samples, n</th>
<th>Low (%)</th>
<th>High (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total samples, n</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤60</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>123 (24.6)</td>
<td>101 (20.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>126 (25.3)</td>
<td>149 (29.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T stage, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>117 (23.8)</td>
<td>72 (14.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>128 (26)</td>
<td>164 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2 (0.4)</td>
<td>9 (1.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N stage, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>168 (39.4)</td>
<td>179 (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>30 (7)</td>
<td>49 (11.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M stage, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>228 (49.8)</td>
<td>227 (49.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (0.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA (ng/ml), n (%)</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>207 (46.8)</td>
<td>208 (47.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA ≥4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14 (3.2)</td>
<td>13 (2.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA &lt;4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason score, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33 (6.6)</td>
<td>13 (2.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>142 (28.5)</td>
<td>105 (21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>28 (5.6)</td>
<td>36 (7.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>45 (9)</td>
<td>93 (18.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td>3 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TCGA, The Cancer Genome ATLAS; PRAD, prostate adenocarcinoma; LTBP2, latent transforming growth factor β-binding protein 2; T, tumor; N, node; M, metastasis; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

Expression of LTBP2 is associated with TME and can predict clinical benefit of ICB. Given the aforementioned results, it was hypothesized that LTBP2 was also correlated with the TME. The ESTIMATE algorithm was used to calculate the tumor cell stromal score, immune score and tumor purity for each patient in TCGA-PRAD dataset. Spearman correlation analysis was performed and revealed that LTBP2 expression was positively correlated with immune score and stromal score in TCGA-PRAD dataset (Fig. 6A and B). In addition, LTBP2 expression was significantly positively correlated with T-cell CD4 memory resting and significantly negatively correlated with T-cell follicular helper in the TCGA-PRAD dataset, which validated the recruitment of LTBP2 to CD4+ T cells (Fig. 6C and D). LTBP2 expression was significantly associated with immune cell marker genes, except for CEACAM8 (Fig. S7; Table SIII). In addition, to further clarify the role of LTBP2 on ICB, the association between LTBP2 and several well-known immune checkpoint genes was explored. The results showed that the mRNA expression of LTBP2 was significantly positively correlated with the relative expression levels of PD-L1, CTLA4, and PD-1 (Fig. 6E), but negatively correlated with tumor purity in the TIMER database, as verified in the GEPIA database.

GO/KEGG/DO functional enrichment analysis and GSEA analysis of the DEGs. Subsequently, GO, KEGG, DO enrichment analyses and GSEA analysis was conducted to identify the biological functions and signaling pathways of LTBP2. These enrichment analyses were performed for the BCR-associated DEGs. The GO profiles revealed that these DEGs were integrally correlated with transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) receptor and cellular metabolic processes. The top ten GO terms of MF, CC, and BP associated with 44 BCR-related are presented in Fig. 7A. Similarly, the DO enrichment analysis revealed that the top five DO terms had a close association with cardiovascular disease and connective tissue cancer (Fig. 7B). It was also observed that the top 5 signaling pathways based on KEGG analysis mainly participated in PI3K-AKT/ECM-receptor interaction/Focal adhesion/TGF-β signaling pathways (Fig. 7C). Finally, to systematically assess the potential biological functions and signaling pathways of these BCR-associated DEGs involved in molecular heterogeneity, the GSEA method was employed to identify and validate them in a GEO-merged BCR-related dataset (Fig. 7D) and TCGA-PRAD BCR-related dataset (Fig. 7E). The findings also demonstrated that the top 5 pathways were closely associated to cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) and focal adhesion.
Figure 5. mRNA expression of LTBP2 is correlated with the level of CD4+ T cells. (A) Violin plot displayed the fraction of tumor-infiltrating immune cells in primary PCa and BCR PCa in the GEO-merged dataset. (B) Lollipop chart revealed the correlation coefficient between LTBP2 expression and immune-infiltrating cells in the GEO-merged dataset. (C and D) The correlation scatter plot revealed that LTBP2 expression was (C) significantly positively correlated with T-cell CD4 memory resting, but (D) significantly negatively correlated with T-cell follicular helper in the GEO-merged dataset. (E) Correlation scatter plots showed a significant positive correlation between LTBP2 and CD4 T-cell expression validated in TCGA-PRAD dataset. (F and G) Boxplots revealed significant differences in LTBP2 expression between (F) different anti-PD-1 clinical response subgroups in the GSE78220 cohort and (G) other anti-PD-L1 clinical response groups in the IMvigor210 cohort. LTBP2, latent transforming growth factor $\beta$-binding protein 2; PCa, prostate cancer; BCR, biochemical recurrence; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; TCGA, The Cancer Genome ATLAS; PRAD, prostate adenocarcinoma; PD-1, programmed cell death protein 1.
Validation of LTBP2 expression and biological function in vitro and confirmation of the involvement of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in BCR of PCa. To better evaluate the expression and biological function of LTBP2, cellular experiments in vitro were carried out. RT-qPCR assays were performed to validate whether the LTBP2 expression was
downregulated in TCGA-PRAD database. As revealed in Fig. 8A, LTBP2 was under-expressed in tumor cell lines, and similar results could be observed at the protein level via western blot analysis (Fig. 8B). To investigate the biological function of LTBP2 in PCa cells, an overexpression plasmid (OE-LTBP2) was constructed and transfected into LNCap and DU145 cells. RT-qPCR and western blot analysis revealed that OE-LTBP2 could upregulate the mRNA and protein expression levels
of LTBP2 (Fig. 8C and D). Subsequently, Transwell assays revealed that overexpression of LTBP2 reduced cell migration and invasion abilities (Fig. 8E). Moreover, CCK-8 assays also showed that overexpression of LTBP2 significantly inhibited the proliferation of LNcap and DU145 cells (Fig. 8F).

Furthermore, the potential mechanisms by which LTBP2 inhibited PCa progression were further explored. Based on previous literature (52‑54) and KEGG signaling pathway analysis as well as GSEA analysis, it was hypothesized that the downstream signaling pathway of LTBP2 may involve the PI3K/AKT pathway. Hence, the expression changes of proteins related to the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway were examined. As revealed in Fig. 8G, western blot results demonstrated that transfection with OE-LTBP2 resulted in a significant increase in LTBP2 expression levels, and a decrease in the protein levels of phosphorylated (p)-AKT and p-PI3K in LNcap and DU145 cells. Collectively, it was confirmed that LTBP2 may be involved in BCR of PCa progression via the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway.

**Discussion**

Identification and characterization of the specific biomarkers for BCR of PCa may be important for the diagnosis and prognosis of prostate tumors. In the present study, it was demonstrated that LTBP2 could be a diagnostic biomarker for BCR of PCa, which is correlated with immune response. To identify diagnostic biomarkers associated with BCR of PCa, the screening algorithms, Lasso and SVM-RFE were used to screen 44 BCR-related DEGs and 19 differential genes associated with BCR were obtained via overlapping. PPI analysis and MCODE algorithm were also used to screen the 44 DEGs and 4 hub genes were identified. Finally, by overlapping the hub genes and key BCR-related DEGs, LTBP2 was revealed to be the only candidate diagnostic gene for BCR of PCa and was found to be associated with CD4+ T-cell recruitment and anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy response. Subsequently, using the ESTIMATE algorithm, it was determined that LTBP2 was associated with the TME state and could predict...
the clinical benefit of ICB. Finally, the expression and biological function of LTBP2 were evaluated by cellular experiments. The results showed that LTBP2 was downregulated in PCa cells and inhibited PCa proliferation and metastasis via the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. Collectively, the present study demonstrated that LTBP2 could be employed as a novel biomarker for diagnosing BCR in PCa and a potential immunotherapeutic tool, which could inhibit PCa proliferation and metastasis via the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway.

Several studies (7,15) have assessed the diagnostic biomarkers for BCR of PCa. However, the specific molecular mechanisms and their correlation with immunity and prognosis are still not well clarified. LTBP2, a member of the fibronectin or LTBP extracellular matrix (ECM) glycoprotein superfamily, which is characterized by repetitive domain structures, has an important influence on tumorigenesis development by regulating TGF-β activity, elastogenesis and maintenance of ECM structure (54,55). In the present study, LTBP2 was identified and validated as a novel diagnostic biomarker for BCR of PCa by public databases. Moreover, it was determined that LTBP2 was associated with immune response and TME. In addition, through cellular experiments, it was revealed that LTBP2 was under-expressed in PCa, which was consistent with the results obtained from the public databases. However, through pan-cancer analysis and several previous studies, it was observed that LTBP2 was upregulated in a variety of diseases, such as cervical adenocarcinoma (53), oral squamous cell carcinoma (54), lung myofibroblast (56), gastric cancer (52), colorectal cancer (57), glaucoma (58), which indicates that LTBP2 has diverse biological functions. For the first time, to the best of our knowledge, the present study reported the role of LTBP2 in PCa progression, especially in the diagnosis of BCR. In addition, the results of the present study indicated that LTBP2 was significantly positively correlated with CD4+ T-cell infiltration and TME score as well as ICB, suggesting that high expression of LTBP2 along with increased ICB and CD4+ T-cell recruitment could increase the clinical benefit of immunotherapy for PCa patients. Naturally, more studies are required to further confirm the aforementioned findings.

Undoubtedly, immunotherapy is a powerful treatment strategy for solid tumors, yet PCa appears to be excluded from the ongoing immunotherapy revolution. However, several studies have confirmed the value of immunotherapy in advanced PCa. Bilusic et al (59) reported that turning a ‘cold’ PCa TME into a ‘hot’ one by driving T cells into the tumor and combining it with ADT could be a new approach to PCa treatment. Gamat et al (60) found that treatment of CD4+ T cells with testosterone or DHT increased the level of the immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10, suggesting that androgens could have a direct negative effect on T-cell function. It was hypothesized that combining ADT with immunotherapy is a reasonable direction to improve the efficacy of PCa. Several studies have also reported that ICB plays a key role in the treatment of PCa. For example, Zhou et al and Zhang et al (61,62) found that WDR5 could combine with PD-L1 expression to influence the progression and chemosensitivity of PCa. The present study determined that LTBP2 was associated with CD4+ T cells and could predict clinical benefit from immunotherapy, particularly from ICB. This may provide new insights into the treatment for BCR of PCa.

Recently, LTBP2 was recently identified as an ECM glycoprotein, and its expression was associated with poor prognosis in several tumors. For example, Wang et al (52) found that LTBP2 promoted metastasis of gastric cancer cells and was associated with a poor prognosis. Turtoi et al (63) identified significant high expression of LTBP2 in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma tissue by 2D-nano-HPLC_MS/MS method and western blotting. By contrast, Chen et al (64) reported that LTBP2 was downregulated in nasopharyngeal carcinoma and it conferred a propensity to inhibit proliferation and metastasis in a favorable (growth factor-permitting) TME, which suggested that it had significant heterogeneity. However, the specific molecular mechanism that affected tumor progression has not been fully elucidated. In the present study, insight into the exact mechanism by which LTBP2 regulates PCa progression was provided. Based on KEGG pathway enrichment analysis and GSEA analysis and previous literature (50), it was confirmed that LTBP2 inhibited PCa proliferation and metastasis in vitro via the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway.

lncRNAs play an important regulatory role in the malignant progression and BCR of PCa (8,9). Therefore, the possible upstream molecular mechanisms were investigated. In fact, lncRNA-miRNA-LTBP2 ceRNA regulatory networks were constructed using the starBase database and Spearman correlation analysis, which contained 15 IncRNAs and 8 miRNAs (Fig. S8). Furthermore, it was confirmed that these IncRNAs were downregulated while the miRNAs were upregulated in PCa. Therefore, in the future LTBP2 will be further explored and its biological function will be further characterized from in vivo and in vitro experiments. Regrettfully, it was determined that LTBP2 expression did not affect prognosis, including OS, DSS and PFI. In fact, clinical data related to BCR is being presently collected, to explore the association between LTBP2 expression and BCR of PCa. If LTBP2 is determined to be associated with prognosis related to BCR, this could be an important finding. Hence, the aforementioned results demonstrated that LTBP2 holds promise as a diagnostic biomarker for BCR of PCa and offered new perspectives for immunotherapy. In addition, a contradiction was found with regard to LTBP2 being lowly expressed in tumors, but its expression increased with TNM stage. This may require further validation in large clinical samples.

In conclusion, 44 BCR-related DEGs were screened using the GEO-merged datasets, and LTBP2 was then identified as a diagnostic biomarker for BCR of PCa based on Lasso, SVM-RFE algorithms, PPI analysis and MCODE algorithm. The stability and reliability of candidate genes were validated with the GEO validation dataset and TCGA-PRAD datasets. It was then determined that LTBP2 exerted a crucial role in CD4+ T-cell recruitment and TME state. Notably, LTBP2 expression enhanced the clinical benefit of immunotherapy for PCa patients with BCR. In addition, the upstream lncRNA-miRNA-LTBP2 ceRNA regulatory network was constructed by bioinformatics and the downstream signaling pathway and biological functions were validated by in vitro experiments based on KEGG enrichment analysis. It was determined that LTBP2 inhibited PCa progression and metastasis via the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. In short, the present study provided novel insights into the role of LTBP2 in diagnosing BCR of PCa and facilitating personalized immunotherapy in patients with PCa.
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