Abstract. Bilateral uterine artery ligation (BUAL) serves as an effective surgical devascularization procedure in obstetric emergencies. However, concerns regarding the impact of uterine devascularization have evoked dispute. Here, the fetal growth index and obstetrical outcomes during the subsequent pregnancy of women who had undergone BUAL during cesarean section are reported. The case series of women who underwent BUAL during cesarean section and had another delivery later at the Xiamen Women and Children's Hospital between 2011 and 2020 is described. Pregnancies that did not continue beyond 20 weeks of gestation were excluded. Cases were identified from neonatal and obstetric databases and the clinical data of all cases were extracted. A total of 12 cases were identified retrospectively. Fetal biometric parameters of subsequent pregnancies in all cases including biparietal diameter, head circumference, abdominal circumference, and femur length are presented graphically across the different gestational ages and were all within the range of the 3rd-97th percentile. No maternal or neonatal morbidity was observed. BUAL did not appear to compromise a woman's subsequent obstetric outcomes. As a safe and simple surgical technique, it is safe to recommend BUAL in clinical practice.

Introduction

Uterine devascularization methods, including bilateral ligation of hypogastric (internal iliac) arteries, uterine devascularization procedures, and radiological arterial embolization (1-4), have long been practiced as effective management in obstetric emergencies caused by excessive bleeding. As a quick and simple surgical devascularization procedure, bilateral uterine artery ligation (BUAL) can decrease the blood supply to the uterus and effectively reduce intraoperative blood loss, and reduce the incidence of postpartum hemorrhage (PPH) and the urgent hysterectomy rate (5). However, some obstetricians hold the view that the adverse effects of this invasive procedure on patients' subsequent fertility and obstetrical outcomes should be considered (6-8). It has been suggested that infertility, intrauterine synechia, placenta accreta, and certain adverse pregnancy outcomes are associated with uterine devascularization, and therefore this procedure should be performed taking these possibilities into consideration.

Concerns regarding the impact following uterine devascularization have led some investigators to conduct relevant studies. Reports indicate that uterine devascularization does not appear to adversely affect the menstrual and fertility outcomes of the majority of women, but their sample sizes are small (9-11). Conversely, there are also studies on uterine artery Doppler scans revealing that the recanalization rate and isolated uterine blood supply are low following BUAL (12,13), and assessment for intrauterine fetal growth restriction in the next pregnancy is recommended.

To date, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have reported the fetal growth index in the subsequent pregnancy and discussed whether intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) is related to BUAL. Therefore, the aim of the current case series was to describe the fetal growth index and obstetrical outcomes during the subsequent pregnancy of women who had undergone BUAL due to obstetric emergencies in a single center over a 9-year period.

Patients and methods

The present study was approved by the Institutional Board Review of Xiamen Women and Children's Hospital. A total of 12 cases were identified retrospectively from the clinical database of Xiamen Women and Children's Hospital. This database is an electronic record of all patients admitted to the Xiamen Women and Children's Hospital since 2011. This database was queried to obtain a list of all patients who had at least two hospital deliveries from October 2011 to December 2020. Women who underwent BUAL during cesarean section and had another delivery later were included. Patients whose pregnancies did not continue beyond 20 weeks of gestation were excluded, as delivery records were built in the database only when the gestational age at delivery was ≥20 weeks.
The clinical characteristics of the 12 patients who had undergone BUAL during cesarean delivery in a previous pregnancy are described in Table I. BUAL was performed in all patients for PPH. In all patients, the principal contributor to hemorrhage was durative intrauterine bleeding and uterine atony, which did not respond to oxytocic drugs. All the patients required blood transfusions. No maternal deaths were recorded, and no surgical complications arose during the BUAL.

Results

The delay before a subsequent pregnancy following BUAL was 2-7 years. As biparietal diameter (BPD), head circumference (HC), abdominal circumference (AC), and femur length (FL) are fetal biometric parameters for intrauterine growth restriction calculations, for the subsequent pregnancy, the data of fetal biometry parameters were fitted to the gestational age in weeks (gestational age) satisfactorily with a cubic polynomial model (Fig. 1). Parameters for all the patients were within the range of the 3rd-97th percentile (14) according to gestational age.

The outcomes of the 12 patients in the subsequent pregnancy after BUAL are described in Table II. For 7 of the 12 cases, the mode of delivery was cesarean section, and five had a vaginal delivery. There were no cases of PPH or maternal or neonatal death in the series. Follow-ups were performed by telephone annually, and all children in the study exhibited normal development.

Discussion

Ligation of the uterine artery is a simple procedure that can be adopted in emergency situations, even by obstetricians with limited training. For decades, ligation of the uterine artery was theoretically and erroneously assumed to shut off the uterine blood supply, which may thus result in hypoxemic injury of the uterus, leading to endometrial or myometrial damage. Furthermore, it may cause placental dysfunction and subsequent placental disorders, leading to IUGR in subsequent pregnancies (15,16).

Apart from occasional reported complications, overall data appear reassuring regarding the long-term fertility and obstetric outcomes after ligation of the uterine artery (9-11,17,18), according to data on the return of menses, the subsequent new pregnancies, and re-canalization of arteries and normal blood flow in the uterine artery as shown by color Doppler examination (19). To the best of our knowledge, no previous
Studies have reported complications in pregnancy, such as IUGR, and subsequent maternal and neonatal outcomes. In the present study, no IUGR or maternal or neonatal morbidity was observed. These results are consistent with the absence of adverse effects of BUAL on the subsequent gestational period. The underlying mechanism of unaffected pregnancy may be

Table II. Outcomes of the subsequent pregnancies after bilateral uterine artery ligation for obstetric emergencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Period since previous delivery, months</th>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>Term of delivery, weeks</th>
<th>BUAL</th>
<th>PPH</th>
<th>Fetal birth weight, g</th>
<th>Maternal and neonatal outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,840</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2,485</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,280</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,490</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,310</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,420</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4,030</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,540</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,120</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Vaginal instrumental delivery (forceps)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,965</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2,695</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Vaginal delivery</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,325</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BUAL, bilateral uterine artery ligation; PPH, postpartum hemorrhage.

Figure 1. Fitted 3rd and 97th percentile curves superimposed on the raw data for (A) biparietal diameter, (B) head circumference, (C) abdominal circumference, and (D) femur length.
that the blood flow shifts to the collateral circulation after the blockage of the uterine artery and ensures adequate blood flow to the uterus. Additionally, re-canalization of the arteries may proceed during the period of uterine involution (Fig. 2) (13,20).

The results of the present study are based on retrospective data from a single center, and clinical information, including patient characteristics, maternal and neonatal outcomes, and fetal biometry parameters (BPD, HC, AC, and FL) in each pregnancy, was reported in detail. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to focus on IUGR in subsequent pregnancy among women who had undergone BUAL in a previous pregnancy. The primary limitations of the present study are the small sample size, and that it was not possible to identify all patients who underwent BUAL in our hospital and who had subsequent pregnancies based on the database. Therefore, these results need to be interpreted with caution and may should only serve as a reference for future more comprehensive studies, and there is a need for good-quality evidence-based prospective studies with larger sample sizes to confirm these findings.

In conclusion, BUAL is a safe surgical technique, and it does not appear to compromise a woman's subsequent obstetric outcomes. Future pregnancies do not appear to pose an increased risk of intrauterine growth retardation. Therefore, BUAL may be more widely recommended in clinical practice.
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