Abstract. High mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), a crucial proinflammatory cytokine, was reported to activate the absent in melanoma 2 (AIM2) inflammasome, which are both essential in acute lung injury (ALI). However, their interaction mechanism has remained elusive. Macrophages are known to express the AIM2 inflammasome and the main receptors [receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE), Toll‑like receptor 2/4 (TLR‑2/TLR‑4)] of HMGB1 to transmit intracellular signals. The present study aimed to indicate whether HMGB1 participates in the process of lipopolysaccharides (LPS)‑induced ALI through activating the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages, as well as inducing polarization of M1 macrophages via TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF‑κB signaling pathways. In an in vivo mouse model of LPS‑induced ALI, anti‑HMGB1, recombinant (r) HMGB1, LPS from Rhodobacter sphaeroides (LPS‑RS, TLR2/4 antagonist) or FPS‑ZM1 (RAGE antagonist) were administrated. In in vitro studies, bone marrow‑derived macrophages from mice primed with LPS were stimulated with or without anti‑HMGB1, rHMGB1, LPS‑RS, or FPS‑ZM1. The findings revealed that anti‑HMGB1, LPS‑RS and FPS‑ZM1 significantly decreased infiltration of inflammatory cells, wet‑to‑dry ratio, myeloperoxidase activity in the lung, the levels of cytokines, as well as macrophages and neutrophil infiltration in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. However, rHMGB1 aggravated the inflammatory response in ALI. Mechanistically, anti‑HMGB1, LPS‑RS and FPS‑ZM1 attenuated activation of TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF‑κB signaling pathways and expression of the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages. However, rHMGB1 enhanced their expression levels and induced polarization of M1 macrophages. These results indicated that HMGB1 could participate in the pathogenesis of ALI by activating the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages, as well as inducing polarization of M1 macrophages through TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF‑κB signaling pathways.

Introduction

Acute lung injury (ALI) is characterized by dyspnea and hypoxemia as clinical features, and pulmonary interstitial edema, alveolar epithelial injury, and the acute excessive inflammatory response process are triggered by various pathologies, such as infection, trauma, and shock (1). ALI is accompanied by a high morbidity and mortality rate, and no specific treatment exists (2). As a result, how to target the biomarkers of ALI to inhibit the inflammatory response and the molecular and cellular events during inflammation, to activate the host immune response or produce a balanced relationship between immune and inflammatory responses have attracted scholars' attention (3,4).

High mobility group box 1 protein (HMGB1), a late inflammatory cytokine, is a highly conserved nuclear DNA‑binding protein that activates macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs) to produce inflammatory cytokines, mediating systemic inflammatory responses (5‑8). Several studies have reported that HMGB1 plays a substantial role in the pathogenesis of ALI and sepsis (5,6,9). The serum and tissue levels of HMGB1 are elevated during ALI and sepsis, and HMGB1 inhibitors can alleviate the inflammatory response, tissue injury, and organ dysfunction (9,10). However, to the best of our knowledge no
data has been reported about the exact mechanism of action of HMGB1 in the pathogenesis of ALI.

Macrophages, the main proinflammatory cells, can differentiate into classically activated macrophages (M1) and alternatively activated macrophages (M2) (11). Under physiological conditions, there is a balance between M1 and M2 macrophages. M1 macrophages produce interleukin-1β (IL-1β), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and IL-6, which stimulate and activate neutrophils, leading to the release of proteases and oxidant-induced lung damage (12). M2 macrophages can accelerate resolution and lung repair in the acute respiratory distress syndrome (13). In ALI, polarization of macrophages into the M1 phenotype by adapting to the modified microenvironment results in the production of a great number of inflammatory factors (14). Activated macrophages not only secrete HMGB1 into the extracellular environment, but also express receptors at their surface to which HMGB1 binds (8). Receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE), Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) and TLR4 have been reported as the main receptors of HMGB1 that transmit intracellular signals, leading to activation of the nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) pathway (5,7,8). Therefore, it is possible that HMGB1 activates macrophages via TLR2, TLR4, and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways, and induces polarization of macrophage M1 in ALI.

The absent in melanoma 2 (AIM2) inflammasome, comprising AIM2, the adaptor apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a CARD (ASC) and caspase-1, is a major intracellular polyprotein complex of the innate immune system expressed by macrophages, DCs, and other immune cells (15,16). In the development of infection and ALI, AIM2 inflammasomes are activated, leading to the maturation of caspase-1, pro-IL-1β, and pro-IL-18; in addition to their release in the serum and tissue, inducing lung inflammation (15-17). In the process of lung inflammation, the expression of AIM2 in macrophages would elevate and the polarization of macrophage M1 polarization would develop. A study also found that M1 polarized macrophages express elevated AIM2 gene expression (18). As a result, in the process of lung injury, macrophages could on one hand activate AIM2 and release inflammatory mediators and lead to inflammatory injury and on the other hand, could develop towards M1 polarization, resulting lung tissue injury. A study revealed that inflammasomes induce the secretion of HMGB1 in the nucleus (19). However, HMGB1 can activate AIM2 inflammasomes to attend to the process of proinflammatory response and innate immunity (20,21). Thus, it was inferred that HMGB1 participates in the process of lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced ALI in mice by activating AIM2 inflammasomes in macrophages, as well as inducing polarization of M1 macrophages via TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways.

Materials and methods

Animals. All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Wuhan University (Wuhan, China), as well as being in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act. A total of 150 male C57BL/6 mice, aged 6-8 weeks old and weighing 20-22 g, were purchased from the Hubei Experimental Animal Research Center of Hubei province (Wuhan, China) and bred in the Animal Biosafety Level-III Laboratory of Wuhan University. In the laboratory, the mice were kept on a 12-h light and dark cycle at 25°C, with a humidity of 45-55% in a ventilated cage, and with free access to food and water. The animals were assigned to 10 groups (control, LPS, anti-HMGB1, LPS+anti-HMGB1, rhHMGB1, LPS+rhHMGB1, FPS-ZM1, LPS+FPS-ZM1, LPS+RS and LPS+LPS-; n=4-6 per group) and all experiments were repeated more than three times.

LPS-induced model of ALI and experimental treatment. Male mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of 1% pentobarbital sodium solution (80 mg/kg, Biyuntian Institute of Biotechnology) and randomly assigned to the following groups: Control, LPS, positive control, and treatment group (n=4-6 per each group). Mice in the LPS and treatment groups then received an i.p. injection of LPS (15 mg/kg; Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KgAa) diluted in 200 µl sterile PBS. The control and positive control groups were administered only PBS by the same way and volume. The positive control and treatment groups received an intranasal (i.n.) injection of anti-HMGB1 (2.5 µg/g in 40 µl PBS, 2 h after LPS challenge; BioLegend, Inc.) (22) or rhHMGB1 (0.5 µg/g in 40 µl PBS, 2 h after LPS challenge; R&D Systems, Inc.) (23-25), an i.p., injection of LPS from Rhodobacter sphaeroides (LPS-RS), a TLR2/4 antagonist (0.1 mg/mg in 200 µl endotoxin-free water, 1 h before LPS challenge; InvivoGen) (25-27) or FPS-ZM1, a RAGE antagonist (1.5 mg/kg in 200 µl PBS, 1 h before LPS challenge; EMD Millipore) (25,28). All mice were sacrificed (cervical dislocation) 24 h after the last treatment and the blood, lung, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), and spleen of mice were extracted for sample preparation.

Lung wet-to-dry (W/D) ratio. The left lung of the mice was collected and immediately weighed to obtain the ‘wet’ weight, and then placed in an incubator at 80°C for 48 h to obtain the ‘dry’ weight. The ratio of W/D was quantified by dividing the lung wet weight by the lung dry weight.

Myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity detection. MPO activity in lung tissue was measured using an MPO assay kit (A044; Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. Parts of the right lung tissues were homogenized and centrifuged (4°C, 1,006.2 x g; 30 min). The supernatant was incubated with a substrate buffer (1:10). The enzymatic activity was determined at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer.

Histological analysis of the lungs. The left lung of mice was removed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde buffer (room temperature; 24 h), dehydrated, embedded, and sectioned into 5-µm slices, which were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) (room temperature; staining with hematoxylin for 5 min, followed by HCl-alcohol differentiation for 20 sec, flushing with running water, incubation with 1% ammonia for 30 sec to return the blue color, further washing in water and staining with eosin for 3 min) to evaluate lung inflammation. A light microscope with magnification of x200 was used to observe the lung tissue. A total of five samples were chosen in each group and five images were acquired for every sample.
The pathological changes of lung tissues were assessed by the lung injury scores (29,30). Lung injury scores were categorized as follows: Neutrophil infiltration (0–4), interstitial edema (0–4), hemorrhage (0–4) and hyaline membrane formation, necrosis, congestion (0–4). The severity of microscopic injury was judged according to the following scoring system: 0, Normal; 1, Minimal (<25%); 2, Mild (25-50%); 3, Moderate (50-70%); and 4, Severe (>75%).

**BALF collection.** BALF was collected from mice sacrificed 24 h after LPS administration to analyze the cellular components and cytokine production in BALF. The lungs were lavaged three times in 0.5 ml PBS and BALF was centrifuged at 503.1 x g for 7 min at 4°C. The collected supernatants were stored at -80°C for the next step. Total and differential inflammatory cells in BALF were counted using Wright-Giemsa staining (3-5 drops of methanol fixing agent was added to the cells at room temperature for 1 min; Wright-Giemsa stain was then added at room temperature for 8 min, and the cells were finally flushed with running water for 30-60 sec; Wright-Giemsa Stain kit; WGK-1; ScyTek; Shenzhen Xinbosheng Bioengineering Institute) for cytospin preparations.

**Immunohistochemical analysis.** For immunohistochemistry of AIM2, left lung sections (5-μm thickness) were deparaffinized with xylene, rehydrated in a series of graded concentrations of ethanol and submersed in an antigen repair solution (pH 6.0), containing EDTA. All lung tissue sections were treated with 3% H2O2 for 20 min to clear away endogenous peroxidase, incubated at polyclonal anti-AIM2 antibody (1:100; cat. no. ab93015; Abcam) at 4°C overnight and then, incubated in a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-linked anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L)-HRP; 1:50; cat. no. BS13278; BioWorld Technology, St.) for 30 min. Diaminobenzidine (VECTASTAIN Elite ABC HRP kit; PK-6200; Vector Laboratories) was used to stain the sections (diaminobenzidine staining for 30 min, followed by flushing with running water, staining with hematoxylin for 5 min, HCl-alcohol differentiation for 20 sec, flushing with running water, incubation with 1% ammonia for 30 sec to return the blue color, dehydration in alcohol and sealing with neutral gum). The process of staining and the following steps were all at room temperature. The IPP 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Inc.) was applied for image analysis.

**ELISA.** The expression levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-18, IL-6, IL-10 and MCP-1 in BALF and cell culture supernatant were measured using ELISA kits (Mouse TNF-α ELISA kit; BOSTER Biological Technology Co., Ltd.; Mouse IL-1β ELISA kit; cat. no. EK2822/2-96T; Mouse IL-18 ELISA kit; cat. no. EK2822/2-96T; Mouse IL-18 ELISA kit; cat. no. EK2182-96T; Mouse IL-6 ELISA kit; EK2062/2-96T; Mouse IL-10 ELISA kit; cat. no. EK2012/2-96T; Mouse MCP-1 ELISA kit; cat. no. EK2872/2-96T; MultiSciences), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The concentrations of cytokines were expressed as pg/ml.

**RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and RT-qPCR.** Total RNA was extracted from the lower right lung tissues using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 1 μg RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA with ReverTra Ace qPCR RT kit (Toyobo Life Science) (reaction conditions: 37°C for 15 min; 98°C for 5 min; holding at 4°C). Additionally, RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ kit (Takara Bio Inc.). All the primers were obtained from Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. The PCR primer sequences are listed in Table 1. The amplification was optimized by QuantStudio™ 6 Flex (Applied Biosystems) using the following PCR procedure: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, with 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 5 sec, as well as annealing at 60°C for 20-30 sec. The conditions of melt curve analysis were at 95°C for 15 sec, 59-61°C for 60 sec and at 95°C for 15 sec. Differences in expression levels between the groups were calculated by the 2−ΔΔCt method (31) using GAPDH as an internal reference gene.

**Western blot analysis.** Nuclear and cytoplasmic proteins were extracted from the upper right lung tissue using radioimmunoprecipitation assay lysis buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). The method of protein determination was a BCA assay (cat. no. P0010; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology), and involved calculating the absorbance value at optical density (OD)568 with a DG-3022A enzyme labeling instrument (Nanjing East China Electronics Group Co., Ltd.). The linear regression equation was calculated according to the standard protein concentration and its corresponding OD. According to the OD value of the protein sample, the protein concentration of the sample was calculated via the regression equation. The proteins (40 μg/lane) were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels by electrophoresis and then transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (EMD Millipore) using a Mini Trans-Blot system (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.). The membranes were blocked (for 2 h at room temperature with agitation) with Tris-buffered saline and 20% Tween-20 containing 5% non-fat dried milk, and incubated overnight at 4°C with antibodies (1:1,000) against TLR2 (anti-TLR2 monoclonal; cat. no. 122765; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), RAGE (anti-RAGE; cat. no. 6996S; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), phosphorylated (p)-NF-κB p65 (anti-p-NF-κB p65; cat. no. 4025S; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), ASC (ASC monoclonal antibody; cat. no. 13833S; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), AIM2 (anti-AIM2 monoclonal; cat. no. 12948S), TLR4 (anti-TLR4; cat. no. ab83444; Abcam), NF-κB p65 (anti-NF-κB p65; cat. no. ab207927; Abcam) and caspase-1 (anti-caspase-1; cat. no. IMG-5028; Novus Biologicals Ltd.). HRP-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit antibody (1:50,000; cat. no. BM2006; BOSTER Biological Technology Co., Ltd.) was added at 37°C for 2 h. Chemiluminescence images were revealed with Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) using BandScan 5.0 gel image software (Glyko, Inc.; Novato) for quantification of the bands.

**Generation of bone marrow-derived macrophages.** Primary macrophages were prepared from bone marrow progenitors. Bone marrow mononuclear cells were prepared from femur bone marrow suspensions of male C57BL/6 mice (age, 5-8 weeks old) and then cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone; GE Healthcare Life Science), 0.1% 100X penicillin-streptomycin solution (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator. After 4 h, the non-adherent cells were collected.
The adherent cells were suspended (1-2x10^6 cells/ml) in a medium containing 10 ng/ml macrophage-colony stimulating factor (PeproTech, Inc.) and 10 ng/ml recombinant mouse IL-4 (PeproTech, Inc.). The medium was replaced on 3rd and 5th days. On 7th day, the obtained primary macrophages were incubated with or without LPS (100 ng/ml), anti-HMGB1 (10 µg/ml) (22,32), rHMGB1 (50 µg/ml) (25,32,33), LPS-RS (1,000 ng/ml) (25,27), or FPS-ZM1 (1 µM) (25,28) for 24 h. Macrophages and supernatants were collected for subsequent RT-qPCR, western blotting and ELISA assays.

Flow cytometry. Lung mononuclear cells (MNCs) were re-suspended in FACS buffer (cat. no. 00-4222; eBioscience, Inc.) (2x10^6 cells/ml) and stained with a PE-cluster of differentiation (CD) 11c antibody (cat. no. 561044; eBioscience; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and APC-Cy7-F4/80 antibody (cat. no. 123117; BioLegend, Inc.) to characterize the alveolar macrophages (AMs) as CD11c and F4/80 double-positive cells. The antibodies fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-major histocompatibility complex (MHC) II (for colo um 1356643), FITC-CD80 (cat. no. 553768), FITC-CD40 (cat. no. 561845), FITC-CD206 (cat. no. 551135), FITC-IL-10 (cat. no. 554466; eBioscience Inc.) and APC-CD86 (cat. no. 102513; BioLegend, Inc.) were used to perform the second cell surface staining. All cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (FACSAria III; BD Bioscences). The results were analyzed using FlowJo (version 10.0.7r2; FlowJo LLC).

Statistical analysis. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. The differences were compared using the one-way analysis of variance. The post hoc test used was the Bonferroni test. Data were analyzed using the GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.) and SPSS 22.0 software (IBM, Corps.). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. The experiments were performed more than three times.

Results

HMGB1 aggravates the inflammatory response in LPS-induced ALI in a mouse model. To investigate the role of HMGB1 in LPS-induced ALI in a mouse model, anti-HMGB1 and rHMGB1 were injected i.n., 2 h after LPS, and all mice were sacrificed 24 h after the last treatment. As shown in Fig. 1A and B, no evident histological alteration of the left lung tissue was observed in the control group and anti-HMGB1
alone group. However, lung sections of the LPS group showed significant pathological changes, including infiltration of inflammatory cells, edema, hemorrhage and alveolar collapse (P<0.001). Slight pathological changes were observed in the rHMGB1 alone group. Treatment with anti-HMGB1 markedly ameliorated LPS-induced lung injury and administration of
rHMGB1 significantly aggravated the pathological injury of lung tissue (P<0.001). The lung W/D ratio in lung tissues was notably decreased in the LPS challenge group compared with that of the control group. There were no significant differences
Figure 2. Expression level of AIM2 inflammasome is upregulated by HMGB1. Effects of (A) anti-HMGB1 and (B) rHMGB1 on the expression level of AIM2 in mouse lung tissue was detected by immunohistochemistry (magnification, x200), and AOD was analyzed in different groups. In the in vivo experiment, the expression levels of AIM2 inflammasome and GAPDH were detected by (C and E) western blotting with (C) anti-HMGB1 and (E) rHMGB1 and RT-qPCR with (D) anti-HMGB1 and (F) rHMGB1. All experiments were repeated more than three times (n=4-6 mice per each group). Data presented is from a representative experiment. All data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 vs. LPS group.
of W/D ratio in lung tissues between anti-HMGB1, rHMGB1 alone and control groups. Treatment with anti-HMGB1 significantly decreased the W/D ratio in lung tissues and rHMGB1 significantly increased that ratio (P<0.001; Fig. 1C and D). As neutrophils are the main cells involved in inflammatory reactions in injured lungs, MPO activity was measured to reflect neutrophil accumulation in lung tissues. In the present study, LPS and single rHMGB1 administration significantly increased MPO activity compared with the control group (P<0.001; Fig. 1E and F). Treatment with anti-HMGB1 significantly decreased MPO activity in lung tissues of LPS-induced ALI mice, while rHMGB1 had the opposite effect (P<0.001; Fig. 1E and F). The numbers of inflammatory cells to evaluate pulmonary inflammation were also examined. After LPS challenge, the numbers of total cells, macrophages, neutrophils and lymphocytes significantly increased compared with those of the control group (P<0.001). This increase in total cells, macrophages and neutrophils was significantly attenuated by treatment with anti-HMGB1 and aggravated by rHMGB1 (P<0.01; Fig. 1G and H). The levels of TNF-α, IL-6, MCP-1, IL-1β and IL-18 production in BALF were detected by ELISA. The results showed that their concentrations were significantly increased in the LPS-challenged mice compared with mice of the control group (P<0.05). Treatment with anti-HMGB1 after LPS challenge significantly inhibited the proinflammatory cytokine production (P<0.05) and treatment with rHMGB1 increased their levels (Fig. 1I and J). These results suggest that HMGB1 may aggravate the inflammatory response in LPS-induced ALI.

**HMGB1 activates the AIM2 inflammasome complex in macrophages.** To further explore the mechanism of HMGB1 in ALI, the present study attempted to study the relationship between HMGB1 and the AIM2 inflammasome. AIM2 was immunostained with anti-AIM2 to further determine AIM2 expression in lung tissue sections. It was observed that AIM2 was mainly expressed in inflammatory cells, such as macrophages and neutrophils. There were more positively stained cells in lung tissues of the LPS+rHMGB1 group compared with those of the LPS group, whereas there were fewer positively stained cells in the LPS+anti-HMGB1 group (Fig. 2A and B). In the *in vivo* experiment, LPS significantly upregulated the expression levels of AIM2, ASC and caspase-1, except for pro-caspase-1, which is an inactive precursor of caspase-1, as determined by western blot analysis (P<0.001). This increase was aggravated by rHMGB1 administration; however, anti-HMGB1 inhibited expression of LPS-induced the AIM2 inflammasome (Fig. 2C and E). Similar results were obtained by RT‑qPCR detection of AIM2, ASC and caspase-1 in lung tissues (Fig. 2D and F). To further study their relationships at the macrophage level, bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMMs) primed with LPS and treated with anti-HMGB1 or rHMGB1 were cultured. The expression level of the inflammasome in BMMs was detected by western blotting and RT‑qPCR. As illustrated in Fig. 2G and H, the expression levels of AIM2, ASC and caspase-1 proteins significantly increased in the LPS group, and the significant increase was greater in the LPS+rHMGB1 group (P<0.05). In the LPS+anti-HMGB1 group, ASC showed a significant decrease compared with the LPS group (Fig. 2H), although a significant decrease in expression levels of AIM2, ASC and caspase-1 was observed in Fig. 2G. The activated AIM2 inflammasome induces pro-IL-1β and pro-IL-18 cleavage into active IL-1β and IL-18. That is to say, IL-1β and IL-18 in the culture supernatant are...
downstream of the AIM2 inflammasome in BMMs. They could indirectly reflect activation of the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages. As illustrated in Fig. 2I, the concentrations of IL-1β and IL-18 in culture supernatants were significantly
increased in LPS-primed groups (P<0.01), with a maximum increase in the rHMGB1 group and minimum elevation in the anti-HMGB1 group. These results suggest that HMGB1 may activate the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages, accelerating infiltration of inflammatory cells and increasing the level of its downstream inflammatory cytokines in LPS-induced ALI.

**HMGB1 induces polarization of M1 macrophages.** AMs form the first line of defense in the inflammatory response and phagocytosis of pathogens. A previous study demonstrated that M1 AMs have been implicated in the pathogenesis of ALI and M2 AMs were mainly described as having anti-inflammatory or reparative functions (34). To explore whether HMGB1 had a relationship with AMs in an LPS-induced ALI mouse model, the present study attempted to investigate whether HMGB1 regulated polarization of M1 or M2 macrophages and the function of AMs. Lung interstitial macrophages (IMs) express the macrophage marker F4/80, rather than the marker CD11c, whereas lung AMs are F4/80 and CD11c double-positive MNCs (35,36). Lung MNCs were subsequently stained with F4/80-APC-Cy7 and CD11c-PE, and analyzed by flow cytometry. It was found that the lung F4/80⁺ macrophages were divided into two subgroups (IMs and AMs; Fig. 3A). M1-related surface markers (MHC II, CD80, CD86 and CD40) and M2-related markers (CD206 and IL-10) from the gating region of lung AMs (F4/80⁺ CD11c⁺) were then observed. The percentage of MHC II, CD80, CD86 and CD40-expressing AMs was significantly increased in ALI mice compared with in control mice. It was also noted that their percentages further increased in the ALI group that was administered rHMGB1 and decreased when treated with anti-HMGB1. However, the percentage of CD206, IL-10-expressing AMs showed an antipodal tendency (Fig. 3B and C). As described...
Figure 4. Enhanced expression levels of TLR2, TLR4, RAGE and NF-κB in macrophages by extracellular HMGB1. Expression levels of TLR2, TLR4, RAGE, NF-κB p65, p-NF-κB p65, and GAPDH were detected by western blotting with (A) anti-HMGB1 and (C) rHMGB1. The expression levels of TLR2, TLR4, RAGE, NF-κB, and GAPDH were detected by RT-qPCR with (B) anti-HMGB1 and (D) rHMGB1 in the right lungs of LPS-induced ALI mice and control mice. All experiments were repeated more than three times (n=4–6 mice per each group). Data presented is from a representative experiment. All data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 vs. LPS group. #P<0.05 vs. control group.
above, HMGB1 may mediate polarization of M1 macrophages in the ALI mouse model. *In vitro*, it was also observed that HMGB1 regulated polarization of M1 macrophages by detecting the M1-related cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6 and MCP-1) and M2-related cytokine (IL-10) in the culture supernatant of BMMs stimulated with anti-HMGB1 or rHMGB1 by ELISA. The results showed that the expression levels of TNF-α, IL-6 and MCP-1 increased, while the expression level of IL-10 decreased in LPS-stimulated group compared with those in the control group. Moreover, the changes were significantly enhanced by rHMGB1 stimulation (P<0.05), however they were weakened by anti-HMGB1 stimulation (Fig. 3D). Therefore, HMGB1 can induce polarization of M1 macrophages in *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

**HMGB1 acts on macrophages through TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways.** HMGB1 stimulates the inflammatory response through several pattern-recognition receptors, including RAGE, TLR2 and TLR4 (5,25,37,38). HMGB1 interacts with those receptors by activating the NF-κB signaling pathway and downstream inflammatory mediators (5,39,40). However, whether HMGB1 acts on macrophages through TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways in ALI needs to be further verified. Treatment with anti-HMGB1 was found to inhibit upregulation of expression levels of LPS-induced TLR2, TLR4, RAGE, NF-κB p65 and p-NF-κB p65 in the animal experiments by western blot analysis (Fig. 4A). Whether anti-HMGB1 affected mRNA expression of TLR2, TLR4, RAGE and NF-κB was also examined. As displayed in Fig. 4B, the same inhibiting effect was observed. In addition, administration of rHMGB1 enhanced expression levels of LPS-induced TLR2, TLR4, RAGE and NF-κB proteins (Fig. 4C), as well as expression levels of TLR2, TLR4, RAGE, and NF-κB mRNA (Fig. 4D). Western blotting and RT-qPCR were also used to analyze LPS-primed BMMs stimulated with anti-HMGB1 or rHMGB1. As shown in Fig. 4E, administration of LPS significantly increased the expression levels of TLR2, TLR4, RAGE, NF-κB p65 and p-NF-κB p65 compared with the control group (P<0.01). However, stimulation of anti-HMGB1 significantly decreased their expression levels induced by LPS (P<0.05) and the increases were weakened upon rHMGB1 stimulation. Similar to the present findings by western blot analysis, the expression levels of TLR2, TLR4, RAGE and NF-κB mRNA were notably increased in BMMs stimulated with LPS. However, these increases were markedly inhibited by treatment with anti-HMGB1 and significantly promoted by treatment with rHMGB1 (P<0.05; Fig. 4F). Thus, HMGB1 might act on macrophages through TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways.

---

**Figure 4. Continued.** Enhanced expression levels of TLR2, TLR4, RAGE and NF-κB in macrophages by extracellular HMGB1. The expression levels of TLR2, TLR4, RAGE, NF-κB, and GAPDH were detected by RT-qPCR with anti-HMGB1 and rHMGB1 in the right lungs of LPS-induced ALI mice and control mice, and in LPS-primed BMMs stimulated with (E) anti-HMGB1 or (F) rHMGB1. All experiments were repeated more than three times (n=4-6 mice per each group). Data presented is from a representative experiment. All data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 vs. LPS group. †P<0.05 vs. control group. LPS, lipopolysaccharide; IL, interleukin; MCP, myeloperoxidase; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; ALI, acute lung injury; rHMGB1, recombinant High mobility group box 1; TLR, toll-like receptor; RAGE, receptor for advanced glycation end products; RT-q, reverse transcription-quantitative; p-NF, phosphorylated-nuclear factor; BMMs, bone-marrow derived macrophages.
Figure 5. Reduced inflammatory response in lung tissue in ALI by administration of TLR2/4 and RAGE antagonists. Histopathological changes and injury scores in left lung are illustrated for different groups: (A) Control group, LPS group, LPS-RS group, and LPS + LPS-RS group (H&E staining, x200). (B) Control group, LPS group, FPS-ZM1 group and D: LPS+FPS-ZM1 group (H&E staining, x200). Effects of (C) LPS-RS and (D) FPS-ZM1 on the lung W/D ratio of LPS-induced ALI mice. Effects of LPS-RS and on (E) MPO activity in lung tissues and (F) infiltration of inflammatory cells in BALF of LPS-induced ALI mice. All experiments were repeated more than three times (n=4-6 mice per each group). Data presented is from a representative experiment. All data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 vs. LPS group.
Figure 5. Continued. Reduced inflammatory response in lung tissue in ALI by administration of TLR2/4 and RAGE antagonists. Effects of FPS-ZM1 on (G) MPO activity in lung tissues and (H) infiltration of inflammatory cells in BALF of LPS-induced ALI mice. ELISA results of secretion of inflammatory cytokines (TNF-$\alpha$, IL-6, MCP-1, IL-1$\beta$ and IL-18) treated with (I) LPS-RS and (J) FPS-ZM1 in BALF. All experiments were repeated more than three times (n=4-6 mice per each group). Data presented is from a representative experiment. All data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. $^*P<0.05$, $^{**}P<0.01$ and $^{***}P<0.001$ vs. LPS group. LPS, lipopolysaccharide; IL, interleukin; MCP, myeloperoxidase; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; ALI, acute lung injury; rHMGB1, recombinant High mobility group box 1; RT-q, reverse transcription-quantitative; NF, nuclear factor; BMMs, bone-marrow derived macrophages; TLR, toll-like receptor; RAGE, receptor for advanced glycation end products; BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; RS, Rhodobacter sphaeroides; Mac, macrophage; Neu, neutrophil; Lym, lymphocyte.
Inhibition of TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE reduces the inflammatory response in LPS-induced ALI mouse model. The effects of TLR2/4 antagonist (LPS-RS) and RAGE antagonist (FPS-ZM1) on histopathological changes in LPS-induced ALI were measured by H&E staining. As depicted in Fig. 5A and B, no histological alteration was observed in lung sections of LPS-RS, FPS-ZM1, and control groups. Treatment with LPS-RS and FPS-ZM1 significantly ameliorated LPS-induced lung injury (P<0.001). In accordance with these results, treatment with LPS-RS or FPS-ZM1 also inhibited the LPS-induced lung W/D ratio and MPO activity (Fig. 5C-E and G). In BALF, a reduced number of inflammatory cells (total cells, macrophages and neutrophils; Fig. 5F and H) and attenuated levels of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6, MCP-1, IL-1β, and IL-18; Fig. 5I and J) were noted in ALI mice that received LPS-RS or FPS-ZM1. These data demonstrated that inhibi-
Inhibition of TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE weakens the activation of the AIM2 inflammasome. It was demonstrated that HMGB1 mediates the activation of the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages and that HMGB1 acts on macrophages through TLR2, TLR4, and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways. Next, whether the AIM2 inflammasome was a downstream mediator of TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE receptors was investigated. LPS-induced increases of AIM2, ASC and caspase-1 protein levels, as well as their mRNA expression levels, were significantly abolished after treatment with LPS-RS or FPS-ZM1 (P<0.05; Fig. 6A-D). In vitro, compared with those from the LPS-stimulated group, BMMs treated with LPS-RS and FPS-ZM1 significantly decreased mRNA expression levels of AIM2, ASC, and caspase-1 (P<0.05; Fig. 6E and F). These results suggest that inhibiting TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE weakens the activation of AIM2 inflammasome.

Discussion

In the present study, using in vivo and in vitro experiments, whether extracellular HMGB1 could activate the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages, in addition to mediating the polarization of M1 macrophages, to participate in mediating an inflammatory response in ALI through TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways was investigated. An inflam-
HMGBl can activate NF-κB, mediating the inflammatory response after binding to TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE on the surface of inflammatory cells. This classical pathway has been widely recognized and its application in ALI has been confirmed by numerous studies (5-10). However, whether this pathway might be appropriate for the regulation of HMGBl on macrophages has been confirmed for the first time in the present study to the best of our knowledge. The present results showed that HMGBl can activate the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages and promote the polarization of M1 macrophages during the inflammatory reaction of LPS-ALI. The current study confirms that both processes may act through the above-mentioned pathways.

The authors' previous study demonstrated that treatment with anti-HMGBl had strong anti-inflammatory effects, as shown by decreased infiltration of inflammatory cells, W/D ratio, MPO activity in lung and cytokine production, macrophage and neutrophil infiltration in BALF, as well as strong proinflammatory responses induced by rHMGBl administration. HMGBl, participating in DNA replication and repair and transcriptional regulation of gene expression, can be passively released by necrotic cells or actively released by macrophages, DCS, and immune cells. HMGBl is also recognized as a cytokine, owing to its mediation of systemic inflammatory responses through transducing cellular signals (8). HMGBl has been investigated as a proinflammatory mediator in several acute and chronic immune diseases (44-46). Previous studies demonstrated that HMGBl plays a pivotal role in monocyte/macrophage and neutrophil activation in the early stage of ALI. HMGBl not only is an important late mediator of inflammation, but also positively correlates with severity of disease (47). The increase of HMGBl level in the alveolar spaces might induce neutrophil influx. HMGBl is secreted by macrophages and DCS into the alveoli, leading to a severe systemic inflammatory response in ALI (48,49). Administration of rHMGBl causes pulmonary neutrophil accumulation, in addition to the release of IL-1β, TNF-α and MIP-2, enhancing inflammatory responses (47,50). Inhibiting the expression level of HMGBl may represent an underlying approach for preventing or minimizing ALI (51,52). All those above-mentioned studies are in line with results of the present study.

Additionally, AIM2 is a member of the IFI20X/IFI16 (PYHIN) protein family (53). The AIM2 inflammasome is expressed in macrophages and DCS, and its components are AIM2, ASC, and caspase-1 (15,16). When the AIM2 inflammasomes are activated, ASC, AIM2 and pro-caspase-1 interact with each other, leading to their activation. The activated caspase-1 cleaves pro-IL-1β and pro-IL-18 into active proinflammatory cytokines. The activation of the AIM2 inflammasome is responsible for processing and secretion of IL-1β and IL-18 (54,55). AIM2 is a major intracellular polyprotein inflammatory pathway for the innate immune system in infection through overproduction of IL-1β and IL-18 (56). Inhibition of the activation of the AIM2 inflammasome is associated with improved ALI and innate immune responses (16,56-58). To further investigate the proinflammatory mechanism of HMGBl in ALI, in the present research, an attempt was made to study the relationship between HMGBl and AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages. Research conducted by Liu et al (20) showed that the HMGBl-DNA complex initially induced the activation of the AIM2 inflammasome and also promoted swift release of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1β) via RAGE. The effects of HMGBl on activation of the LPS-induced AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages in in vivo and in vitro experiments were observed. The findings of the current study showed that HMGBl enhanced the activation of the LPS-induced AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages and promoted the secretion of downstream inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β and IL-18) induced by the AIM2 inflammasome. Therefore, these results suggested that HMGBl participates in the pathogenesis of ALI through activation of the AIM2 inflammasome.

Macrophages are essential for innate immunity and inflammatory responses, playing a substantial role in lung alveoli and the alveolar space. Inflammation is related to macrophage phenotype and function, and this process has been described during the inflammatory stage of ALI (59). During lung inflammation, macrophages exhibit two main differentiation states, M1 and M2. M1 macrophages produce the proinflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β, IL-12 and MCP-1), and inducible nitric oxide synthase in response to interferon-γ (IFN-γ). M2 macrophages produce anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10 and IL-1RA) in response to IL-4 and IL-13 (34,60,61). M1-polarized macrophages express specific surface markers, including MHC II, CD80, CD86 and CD40 and CCR2, whereas M2-polarized macrophages express CD206, dectin-1, transferrin receptor, and CD200R (34). Increasing evidence suggests that polarization of M1 macrophages induces tissue injury, which is involved in the pathogenesis of ALI. In contrast, polarization of M2 macrophages is characterized by reducing the proinflammatory response (34,62,63). The present study observed that the percentage of MHC II, CD80, CD86 and CD40-expressing AMs increased, while the percentage of CD206, IL-10-expressing AMs decreased with administration of rHMGBl. Moreover, opposite changes were found in the anti-HMGBl group. All the results reflected the HMGBl induction of polarization of M1 macrophages in LPS-induced ALI. It was also observed that stimulation of rHMGBl increased the expression levels of M1-related cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6 and MCP-1), while decreased the expression levels of M2-related cytokine (IL-10) in culture supernatant of BMMs; however, stimulation of anti-HMGBl induced the opposite effect, which indirectly proved the above-mentioned conclusion. Taken together, HMGBl could induce polarization of M1 macrophages in vivo and in vitro.
HMGB1 has been reported to interact with at least three receptors, RAGE, TLR2 and TLR4, to transmit cellular signals. The RAGE pathway leads to the activation of NF-κB, as well as promoting secretion of cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6 and IFN-γ). Activation of TLR2 and TLR4 results in activation of NF-κB through MyD88 (8). TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE were expressed at high levels in the lungs by a variety of cells, including macrophages, DCs and activated endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells (8,64,65). To explore the signaling pathway between HMGB1 and the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages, it was evaluated whether TLR2, TLR4, and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways participated in mediating these two inflammatory mediator effects (5,25,39,40). The results of the present study showed that extracellular HMGB1 could enhance the expression levels of components of TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways in macrophages. Consistent with the present results, several studies showed that HMGB1 stimulated the inflammatory response through RAGE, TLR2 and TLR4, and was associated with the upregulation of RAGE, TLR2, TLR4, and NF-κB expression (23,25,38). In the current research, it was found that HMGB1 upregulated the expression levels of components of TLR2 TLR4 and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways. To further assess whether HMGB1 could activate the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages through TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways, TLR2/4 (LPS-RS) and RAGE antagonists (FPS-ZM1) were used to intervene on expression of AIM2 inflammasome in vivo and in vitro. The present results showed that LPS-RS and FPS-ZM1 reduced LPS-induced inflammatory response in ALI mouse model, weakened activation and expression of LPS-induced AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages, and inhibited AIM2 inflammasome-induced secretion of downstream inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β and IL-18). Therefore, it can be concluded that extracellular HMGB1 activated and upregulated TLR2, TLR4, and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways to further activate the AIM2 inflammasome in macrophages, participating in the pathogenesis of ALI.

The current study illustrates the importance of the mechanism of HMGB1 regulation on macrophages. In the treatment of ALI induced by LPS, to downregulate polarization of M1 macrophages or to promote polarization of M2 macrophages, or to inhibit the expression of AIM2 inflammasome, or to apply anti-HMGB1 to decrease HMGB1, or to apply TLR inhibitor, or RAGE inhibitor to downregulate inflammatory response were used as control targets to successfully treat ALI. As a result, to test the levels of inflammatory factors and decrease their high level through these strategies in ALI patients are the therapeutic goals of this study. Some anti-inflammatory drugs are widely applied in clinical practice though some inhibitors are still being applied in experimental studies and are a certain distance away from clinical application.

However, further studies are required to thoroughly study the complex signaling pathways between extracellular HMGB1 and AIM2 inflammasomes in macrophages in ALI, in addition to via TLR2, TLR4, and RAGE/NF-κB.

In conclusion, HMGB1 participated in the pathological mechanism of LPS-induced ALI by activating the AIM2 inflammasomes in macrophages, as well as inducing polarization of M1 macrophages through TLR2, TLR4 and RAGE/NF-κB signaling pathways.
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