Hesperetin reverses P-glycoprotein-mediated cisplatin resistance in DDP-resistant human lung cancer cells via modulation of the nuclear factor-κB signaling pathway
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Abstract. Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide. Cisplatin (DDP) is a first-line chemotherapeutic drug for the treatment of lung cancer; however, the majority of patients develop resistance to DDP. P-glycoprotein (P-gp), also referred to as multidrug resistance (MDR) protein 1, is associated with an MDR phenotype, which results in failure of cancer chemotherapy; thus, identifying effective MDR pump inhibitors may improve the outcomes of patients who develop resistance to treatment. Hesperetin is a derivative of hesperidin, which is extracted from tangerine peel and exhibits multiple antitumor properties. In the present study, human lung adenocarcinoma A549 and A549/DDP cells were treated with different concentrations of hesperetin and DDP, respectively. Furthermore, rhodamine 123 efflux assays, Cell Counting Kit-8 assays, immunofluorescence, reverse transcription-quantitative PCR and western blot analysis were used to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the effects of hesperetin on A549/DDP cells. Additionally, a xenograft model of lung cancer in nude mice was established to explore the effects of hesperetin on A549/DDP cell growth in vivo. The results demonstrated that hesperetin sensitized A549/DDP cells to DDP. In vivo, hesperetin pretreatment significantly inhibited tumor growth. Mechanistically, hesperetin markedly decreased the expression of P-gp and increased the intracellular accumulation of the P-gp substrate, rhodamine 123, in A549/DDP cells. In addition, pretreatment of A549/DDP cells with hesperetin significantly inhibited nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) activity and its nuclear translocation. Taken together, the results of the present study suggest that hesperetin reversed P-gp-mediated MDR by decreasing P-gp expression in A549/DDP cells, which was associated with inhibition of the NF-κB signaling pathway. These findings may provide the basis for the use of hesperetin clinically to reverse MDR.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common type of malignant tumor, with the highest morbidity and mortality rates globally, resulting in >100,000 deaths annually (1). Pathologically, lung cancer is classified into two broad subgroups, namely small-cell lung cancer and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), with NSCLC accounting for 85% of all cases (2,3). Patients with early-stage lung cancer are often asymptomatic and, thus, are often first diagnosed with advanced-stage lung cancer, at which point resection of the tumor may not be possible; therefore, patients with advanced-stage lung cancer are most frequently treated with chemotherapy or radiotherapy (4-6). Cisplatin (DDP) is a first-line chemotherapy for lung cancer (7). DDP-DNA cross-linking prevents DNA replication, resulting in apoptosis of lung cancer cells (8,9). However, patients frequently develop resistance to chemotherapy (10-12). Therefore, identifying therapeutics that can reverse drug resistance by enhancing...
the sensitivity of tumor cells to drugs, thereby reducing the concentration of drugs used, may improve the outcomes of patients.

Herbal/botanical-based medicines have been intensively studied for several decades, as some exert beneficial effects when used to treat several different diseases (13,14), including various types of cancer (15,16). Hesperidin and the hesperetin derivative hesperetin possess various beneficial biological properties (17). Hesperidin inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in lung cancer cells, without notable toxic effects on normal lung epithelial cells (18). Furthermore, hesperidin inhibits the migration and invasion of lung cancer cells by regulating the SDF1/CXCR4 axis (19). In vivo, hesperidin pretreatment protects against the development of carcinogen-induced lung cancer from multiple carcinogens (20-23).

Hesperetin, the glycoside ligand derivative of hesperetin, exhibits good bioavailability (24). It has been demonstrated that hesperetin prevented 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-induced colorectal cancer (25,26) and induced apoptosis of colorectal cancer cells in a dose-dependent manner (27). The aim of the present study was to investigate the effects of hesperetin treatment on the sensitivity of A549/DDP cells to certain drugs. Understanding the molecular mechanism of action of hesperetin in the drug resistance of tumor cells may provide the basis for the use of hesperetin as an adjuvant to prevent multidrug resistance (MDR) in the clinical setting.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. Human lung cancer A549 and A549/DDP cells were obtained from The Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and cultured with RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FBS (both from HyClone; GE Healthcare) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). A549/DDP cells were seeded into 6-well plates at a density of 8x10^4 cells/well and treated with hesperetin or DDP for 72 h, as described above. Cells were harvested and total RNA was extracted using TRIzol® reagent, according to the manufacturer's protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The purity and concentration of the extracted total RNA were measured using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer, and an A260/A280 value of 1.8-2 was considered acceptable. A total of 1 µg RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA according to the manufacturer's protocol. The reverse transcription conditions were 37°C for 15 min; 85°C for 5 sec; and held at 4°C. Reverse transcription and SYBR-Green qPCR kits were obtained from Beijing Transgen Biotech Co., Ltd. qPCR primers were purchased from Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. Subsequently, using cDNA as a template and β-actin as an internal reference, the relative expression was determined using an ABI7500 Real Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The thermocycling conditions were as follows: Pre-denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 sec and annealing at 60°C for 15 sec. qPCR was performed in triplicates, and the relative expression levels of the target genes were calculated using the 2^(-ΔΔCq) method (28). All reactions were performed in triplicate. The sequences of the primers used were as follows: P-glycoprotein (P-gp) forward, TTGCTGCTTACATCTGAGTTTA and reverse, AGCTATATCTCCGTGCAATT; epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (c-erbB-2) forward, TGTCATGCTGCTGCTCTTCA and reverse, CCAGACATACGACACTC; glutathione s-transferase (GST) forward, TTGGGCTCTATGGGAAGGAC and reverse, GGGAGATGTATTGGCAGC; GGA; and β-actin forward, CCTCGCCTTGGCCGATCC and reverse, GGATTCATGAGTGTGTCGTC.

Western blotting. After A549/DDP cells were treated with hesperetin for 72 h, and total protein was extracted using ice-cold RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). The protein concentration of each group was determined using a bicinchoninic acid assay. Proteins (30 µg per lane) were loaded on a 10% SDS-gel, resolved using SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes (EMD Millipore) and subsequently blocked with 5% skimmed milk for 2 h at room temperature. Membranes were probed with one of the following primary antibodies: Rabbit anti-P-gp (1:1,000; cat. no. ab129450; Abcam), mouse anti-IkB (1:1,000; cat. no. sc-1643; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), mouse anti-phosphorylated (p-)IkB (1:1,000; cat. no. sc-8404; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), rabbit anti-NF-κB p65 (1:1,000; cat. no. ab16502; Abcam), rabbit anti-NF-κB p65 (p-S536) (1:1,000; cat. no. ab28856; Abcam), rabbit anti-histone H3 anti-
body (1:2,000; cat. no. ab201456; Abcam) or rabbit anti-human β-actin primary antibody (1:4,000; cat. no. ab179467; Abcam), overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, the membranes were incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (cat. no. ab6721; Abcam) or horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (cat. no. ab6789; Abcam) both at 1:5,000 at room temperature for 3 h. Signals were visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence solution (EMD Millipore) and developed using chemiluminescence apparatus (GE Healthcare). Densitometry analysis was performed using Quantity One, version 4.6.7 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Experiments were repeated three times.

Preparation of nuclear and cytosolic extracts. A nucleo-protein separation kit (NE-PER™ Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. (cat. no. 78833). Nuclear and cytosolic extracts were prepared according to manufacturer's protocol. All steps were performed on ice or at 4°C. Briefly, A549/DDP cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 8x10⁴ cells per well and treated with hesperetin for 72 h as described above. After digesting, re-suspending and centrifuging at 500 x g for 4 min at 4°C, the cells were incubated in CER I on ice for 10 min and pre-cooled CER II was added for 1 min. The supernatant (cytosolic extract) was collected by centrifugation at 15,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. Subsequently, the insoluble compounds were immersed in NER on ice for 40 min, and centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was the nuclear extract and was analyzed by western blotting. Experiments were repeated three times independently.

Immunofluorescence. A549/DDP cells were seeded at a density of 1x10⁵ cells/well in a 6-well plate preloaded with sterile glass coverslips. After treatment with hesperetin for 72 h, cell slides were removed and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature, and permeabilized with 0.25% Triton for 10 min and blocked with 5% BSA for 1 h. Subsequently, cells were incubated with 5% BSA-diluted rabbit anti-NF-kB p65 antibody (1:300; cat. no. ab16502; Abcam) or mouse anti-P-gp antibody (1:300; cat. no. ab80594; Abcam) overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with 5% BSA-diluted goat anti-mouse IgG H&L (Alexa Fluor® 555; 1:300; cat. no. ab150078; Abcam) or goat anti-rabbit IgG H&L (Alexa Fluor® 488; 1:300; cat. no. ab150077; Abcam) for 2 h at room temperature. Finally, nuclei were stained with DAPI (1:10,000, Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Inc.) for 5 min at room temperature and imaged immediately using a fluorescence microscope (magnification, x200; Olympus Corporation). Experiments were repeated three times.

Rhodamine 123 efflux assay to assess P-gp function. A549/DDP cells were plated at a density of 8x10⁵ cells/well in 6-well plates and treated with hesperetin for 72 h. Untreated cells were used as the control. Subsequently, the cells were stained with 5 µg/ml rhodamine 123 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), followed by incubation at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 1 h. The cells were centrifuged at 400 x g for 5 min at room temperature, washed twice with medium and re-suspended. The fluorescence value was analyzed by flow cytometry at an excitation/emission wavelength of 488/530 nm. Experiments were performed in triplicates.

Results

Toxic effects of different concentrations of hesperetin on parental A549 cells and DDP-resistant (A549/DDP) cells. As shown in Fig. 1, compared with the matched control group, low concentrations of hesperetin (<5 µM) exerted no effect on A549 and A549/DDP cells (P>0.05). In addition, low concentrations of DDP (<5 µM) had no effect on A549/DDP cells (P>0.05). Higher concentrations (>20 µM) of hesperetin and DDP significantly reduced the proliferation of both types of cells in a dose-dependent manner (P<0.05). Furthermore, there was no significant difference in the IC₅₀ values between these two types of cells treated with hesperetin (P>0.05), whereas the IC₅₀ values differed significantly between DDP-treated A549 and A549/DDP cells (6.28±1.39 vs. 78.3±4.31 µg/ml, respectively; P<0.05).

Hesperetin pretreatment exerts a synergistic effect on A549/DDP cells. To determine whether hesperetin improved the sensitivity of A549/DDP cells to DDP, cells were treated with hesperetin either alone or combined with DDP. Cells were treated with different concentrations of hesperetin (0.6, 1.25, 2.5, 5 or 10 µM) for 72 h, and subsequently incubated with different concentrations of DDP (10, 20, 40 or 80 µg/ml)
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for 48 h, or treated with hesperetin and DDP together. Cells treated with DDP alone were used as the control group. Cell viability was measured using CCK-8 assays. When the cells were treated with 0.6 or 1.25 µM hesperetin followed by treatment with various concentrations of DDP, no significant difference was observed among the different groups (Fig. 2). The IC₅₀ values of DDP in A549/DDP cells did not differ significantly (P>0.05). However, when the concentration of hesperetin was increased to 2.5, 5 or 10 µM, the effect of DDP on cells was significantly increased. Additionally, the IC₅₀ value was significantly decreased compared with the control cells (P<0.05). In the xenograft mouse model, all nude mice received subcutaneous injection of A549/DDP cells, followed by administration of hesperetin. Treatment of mice with hesperetin had no effect on tumor growth; however, hesperetin treatment followed by administration of DDP resulted in a significant reduction in tumor growth in the nude mice compared with DDP treatment alone. The tumor volume was measured 52 days after inoculation, and it was demonstrated that the DDP-treated group exhibited significantly reduced cell proliferation compared with the control group. Furthermore, compared with the DDP-treated group, the tumor volume in the hesperetin and DDP co-treatment group was significantly reduced.

Hesperetin pretreatment increases the proportion of apoptotic cells in DDP-treated A549/DDP cells. To validate the mechanism by which hesperetin treatment enhances the sensitivity of A549/DDP cells to DDP, cells were treated with different concentrations of hesperetin and subsequently treated with DDP. Cell apoptosis was measured by flow cytometry. Compared with the positive control group, the proportion of apoptotic A549/DDP cells following hesperetin pretreatment was significantly increased (Fig. 3; P<0.05).

Hesperetin decreases the expression of P-gp. To determine the mechanism by which hesperetin enhances the sensitivity of A549/DDP cells to DDP, the expression of P-gp and the drug resistance-associated genes, c-erbB-2 and GST-π, was assessed using RT-qPCR, western blotting and immunofluorescence assays. Hesperetin downregulated the mRNA levels of P-gp (P<0.05), whereas it exerted no effect on the mRNA levels of c-erbB-2 and GST-π (P>0.05; Fig. 4). Western blotting and immunofluorescence analysis also demonstrated that hesperetin significantly decreased the protein expression levels of P-gp (P<0.05).

Hesperetin treatment promotes the accumulation of rhodamine 123 in A549/DDP cells. To elucidate the mechanism by which hesperetin sensitizes A549/DDP cells to DDP, cells were treated with 10 µM hesperetin and stained with rhodamine 123. The fluorescence values of cells incubated with rhodamine alone were significantly higher compared with those of untreated cells (P<0.05; Fig. 5). The fluorescence
Figure 2. Hesperetin treatment enhances the effects of DDP on A549/DDP cells. Viability of A549/DDP cells incubated with (A) 0.6, (B) 1.25, (C) 2.5, (D) 5 or (E) 10 µM hesperetin for 72 h followed by treatment with different concentrations of DDP for 48 h. (F) IC$_{50}$ values of cells treated with different concentrations of hesperetin. (G) Tumor diameter in xenograft mice. (H) Representative images of tumors at day 52 and (I) tumor volumes. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 vs. control. Error bars represent the standard deviations. n=3. DDP, cisplatin; IC$_{50}$, half-maximal inhibitory concentration; OD, optical density; H, hesperetin.
values of A549/DDP cells treated with hesperetin were significantly higher compared with those of cells incubated with rhodamine alone (P<0.05), suggesting that hesperetin treatment resulted in an accumulation of rhodamine 123 in A549/DDP cells.

**Hesperetin treatment inhibits the activation of the NF-κB signaling pathway.** To verify the mechanism by which hesperetin increases the sensitivity of A549/DDP cells to DDP through downregulation of P-gp expression, A549/DDP cells were treated with various concentrations of hesperetin (1.25,
2.5, 5 or 10 µM), total protein was extracted, and the expression and activation of NF-κB signaling pathway-associated proteins were assessed. The intracellular localization of p65 was determined by immunofluorescence. Hesperetin downregulated the phosphorylation of IκB in a dose-dependent manner (P<0.05), and attenuated the expression of p-p65 (P<0.05); however, it had no significant effect on the expression of total IκB and total p65 (P>0.05) compared with the control group (Fig. 6). Immunofluorescence revealed that 10 µM hesperetin could inhibit p65 entry into the nucleus. Extracted cytoplasmic and nuclear proteins were assessed by western blotting and, compared with the control group, the levels of p65 in the cytoplasm were significantly increased (P<0.05), whereas the levels in the nucleus were significantly decreased (P<0.05) when A549/DDP cells were treated with 10 µM hesperetin.

Combination treatment with hesperetin and the NF-κB signaling pathway inhibitor JSH-23 significantly enhances the sensitivity of A549/DDP cells to DDP. The results mentioned above demonstrated that hesperetin attenuated the expression of P-gp by inhibiting the activation of the NF-κB signaling pathway, thereby increasing the sensitivity.
of A549/DDP cells to DDP. To investigate the therapeutic value of the combination of hesperetin with other therapeutic drugs for the treatment of lung cancer, A549/DDP cells were treated with hesperetin alone or in combination with JSH-23. DDP-treated cells were used as the positive control and untreated cells were used as the negative control. Compared with the negative control group, hesperetin or JSH-23 treatment alone significantly enhanced the effect of DDP on A549/DDP cells (P<0.05; Fig. 7). Furthermore, compared with cells treated with hesperetin or JSH-23 alone, the combination of hesperetin and JSH-23 synergistically improved the effect of DDP on A549/DDP cells (P<0.05), and the IC\textsubscript{50} values were also notably decreased (P<0.05; Fig. 7). The results of flow cytometry were consistent with those of the CCK-8 assay. Western blotting demonstrated that the combination of hesperetin and JSH-23 significantly attenuated the expression of P-gp (P<0.05; Fig. 7).

Discussion

Despite the rapid development of novel strategies for cancer treatment, DDP remains the most frequently used first-line treatment for patients with lung cancer (7,29). Patients treated with DDP frequently develop resistance, which represents a major clinical challenge. Therefore, compounds that can sensitize patients to chemotherapy or reverse drug resistance may improve patient outcomes. Chinese herbs and their natural extracts have exhibited beneficial anticancer properties by mediating the expression of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition-associated markers and the expression of genes associated with drug resistance, apoptosis and cell cycle progression (30,31). Tangerine peel is a common Chinese herbal medicine containing a variety of natural compounds, of which hesperidin and its derivative, hesperetin, have exhibited antitumor properties in vitro and in vivo (19,24,32,33). Hesperetin derived from the catabolism of hesperidin in the intestine has been widely used and investigated (34-36). Previous studies suggested that hesperetin exhibits numerous beneficial biological functions, including anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties, and induces apoptosis of tumor cells (37,38). In the present study, hesperetin pretreatment affected the sensitivity of A549/DDP lung cancer cells to DDP; thus, it was hypothesized that hesperetin may sensitize cells to chemotherapy and may be used to reverse drug resistance in patients with lung cancer.

In the present study, A549 and A549/DDP lung cancer cells were treated with various concentrations of hesperetin to determine its toxicity using a proliferation assay, and it was demonstrated that it did not exert any toxic effects on cells when used at <10 µM; therefore, <10 µM hesperetin was used for all subsequent experiments to avoid its effects on cell proliferation and apoptosis. When hesperetin was used at 0.6 and 1.25 µM, it did not result in increased cell death when combined with DDP in A549/DDP cells. When increasing the concentration of hesperetin to 2.5, 5 or 10 µM, the effects were significantly improved. In vivo, tumor growth in xenograft mouse models treated with hesperetin resulted in significantly smaller tumors. Thus, it was preliminarily suggested that hesperetin pretreatment increased the sensitivity of A549/DDP cells to DDP.

The mechanism of drug resistance is a complex adaptive process (39,40), and one of the methods by which it manifests is by reducing the accumulation and toxicity of chemotherapeutic drugs in cells by upregulating the expression levels of the proteins that pump these drugs out of the cell or detoxify the drugs, such as P-gp and GST-\pi (41,42). Mechanistically, hesperetin treatment resulted in the downregulation of the MDR-associated protein P-gp, whereas the expression levels of c-erbB-2 and GST-\pi did not differ significantly. Additionally, previous studies demonstrated that, when the NF-\kappaB signaling pathway was activated, p65 was phosphorylated and translocated into the nucleus, initiating the transcription of P-gp. Conversely, inhibition of p65 expression or its phosphorylation reduces the transcription levels of P-gp (43,44). In the present study, the downregulation of P-gp expression induced by hesperetin resulted in inhibition of the phosphorylation of p65.
thus preventing its translocation to the nucleus to exert its transcription factor effects. The effect of hesperetin on rhodamine accumulation in A549/DDP cells was determined using a rhodamine efflux assay, a suitable research model for studying intracellular drug accumulation (45,46). Rhodamine 123 accumulation was found to be lower in A549/DDP cells (lower fluorescence values) in the absence of hesperetin, whereas hesperetin pretreatment significantly increased the accumulation of rhodamine 123, suggesting that hesperetin enhanced the sensitivity of A549/DDP cells to DDP.

The results of the present study demonstrated that hesperetin downregulated the expression of P-gp by inhibiting the activation of the NF-κB signaling pathway, thereby increasing the accumulation of chemotherapeutic drugs in tumor cells and enhancing the toxic effects on cancer cells. Therefore, cells were treated with the NF-κB signaling pathway inhibitor JSH-23, which specifically inhibits translocation of p65 into the nucleus (47,48). The results demonstrated that JSH-23 treatment significantly enhanced the toxic effects of DDP on A549/DDP cells by decreasing its IC₅₀ concentration. When the cells were pretreated with JSH-23 and hesperetin in combination, the toxic effects of DDP on A549/DDP cells were significantly increased compared with those in cells treated with JSH-23 or hesperetin alone. Furthermore, compared with the group pretreated with JSH-23 or hesperetin alone, co-treatment of cells with JSH-23 and hesperetin significantly

---

**Figure 6. Hesperetin treatment reduces the activation of the nuclear factor-κB signaling pathway.** (A) Treatment with different concentrations of hesperetin resulted in downregulation of the expression of p-p65 and p-IκB, but exerted no significant effect on the expression of t-p65 and t-IκB. (B) Densitometry analysis of the western blots. (C) Representative images from immunofluorescence analysis. Hesperetin treatment significantly reduced the nuclear translocation of p65. Magnification, x200. (D) Representative blots of nuclear and cytoplasmic expression of P65. (E) Densitometry analysis of the p65 expression. When A549/DDP cells were treated with 10 μM hesperetin, the levels of p65 were significantly increased in the cytoplasm and significantly decreased in the nucleus. *P<0.05 vs. control. Error bars indicate standard deviations. n=3. p-, phospho; t-, total.
decreased the expression of P-gp and significantly increased apoptosis, suggesting that hesperetin enhanced the chemosensitivity of drug-resistant cells when used in combination with other drugs.

Taken together, the results suggested that hesperetin increases the sensitivity of lung cancer A549/DDP cells to DDP through downregulation of the phosphorylation of IκB, thus inhibiting the phosphorylation of p65 and its translocation to the nucleus and reducing the transcription and translation of P-gp. Hesperetin sensitized tumor cells to chemotherapeutic drugs, providing a theoretical basis for its application as an adjuvant treatment in the clinical setting.
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