Abstract. Osteosarcoma (OS), also known as bone cancer, is a threat to the lives of millions of adolescents worldwide. Although dedicated efforts have been invested in reducing the mortality rate of this bone cancer, the research community is yet to find the exact causes of OS. Thus, the present research aimed to study the association between circular RNA circ_0032463 and OS progression. The impact of circ_0032463 on cells with OS was first evaluated using reverse transcription‑quantitative PCR. This evaluation was followed by the assessment of cell proliferation, viability, apoptosis, invasion and adhesion using BrdU, Cell Counting Kit‑8, flow cytometry, Transwell and cell adhesion assays, respectively. RNA pull‑down, RNA immunoprecipitation chip and dual‑luciferase reporter systems were utilized to investigate the relationship between circ_0032463, microRNA (miR)‑330‑3p and Pinin desmosome associated protein (PNN) in OS. The findings indicated that circ_0032463 and PNN were highly expressed in OS tissues and OS cell lines, and that they facilitated cell proliferation, viability, invasion and adhesion, but attenuated cell apoptosis in OS cells. The low expression of miR‑330‑3p suppressed OS development. It was also noted that circ_0032463 inhibited miR‑330‑3p to upregulate PNN expression. In conclusion, this study confirmed that by regulating the miR‑330‑3p/PNN axis, circular RNA circ_0032463 could function as a tumor enhancer in cells with OS.

Introduction

Osteosarcoma (OS) refers to a form of bone cancer that affects the knee or other ends of the long bones (1). This malignancy is rare among most demographics, but it is more common among children and adolescents (2). Although physicians have used surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy to ameliorate the debilitating symptoms of this bone cancer, the survival rate of OS is still not satisfactory (3). Recent progress achieved in molecular genetic research of OS has improved the understanding of OS development (4). Nonetheless, further research is needed to identify the underlying molecular mechanism of this tumor and reduce the survival rate of OS (5).

Circular RNAs (circRNAs) consist of closed‑loop polymeric molecules that are crucial to the expression and regulation of genes (6). Unlike RNAs, circRNAs have their 3' and 5' terminals joined, and they can inactivate microRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) by regulating the biological function of genes in eukaryotes (7). Emerging studies have demonstrated the crucial roles of circRNAs in OS (8‑10). In one previous study, it was reported that a high level of circ‑ITCH in OS cells facilitated the spread of OS by enhancing EGFR phosphorylation (11). Another previous report documented that the negative effect of circ_0008792 on OS samples was highly pronounced (12). However, to the best of our knowledge, the effects of circ_0032463 on OS cells has not yet been explored.

In contrast to circRNAs, miRNAs cannot code genes. However, miRNAs can degrade or inhibit the transcription of mRNAs by binding to specific regions of the target genes (13). In recent years, researchers have documented the ability of miRNAs to influence the progression of various cancers by targeting protein‑coding genes and regulating mRNA expression (14‑16). Some miRNAs function as tumor promoters in OS development, including miR‑299‑5p, miR‑765 and miR‑624‑5p (17‑19). Other miRNAs can act as tumor suppressors in OS progression, such as miR‑375, miR‑224‑3p and miR‑627‑3p (20‑22). As for miR‑330‑3p, some studies have reported on the inhibitory role of this miRNA in carcinogenesis (23,24). A recent study found that miR‑330‑3p inhibited tumor progression by suppressing polycomb complex protein Bmi‑1 (Bmi‑1) expression in OS (25). Nonetheless, researchers are yet to confirm whether miR‑330‑3p could be regulated by circ_0032463 in OS.

Pinin desmosome associated protein (PNN) is a protein‑coding gene, which is associated with a number of diseases, such as melanoma and Volkmann ischemic contracture (26). The high expression of PNN has been found to facilitate ovarian carcinoma (27), colorectal cancer (28) and hepatocellular carcinoma (29). However, its role in OS is yet to be confirmed.

The present research aimed to investigate whether novel circRNA (circ_0032463) could accelerate or inhibit OS...
progression. It was hypothesized that circ_0032463 promoted OS by inhibiting miR-330-3p and upregulating PNN expression. Regardless of the outcome of the hypothesis, the findings of this study could provide novel insights into OS treatments.

Materials and methods

Bioinformatics analysis. The GSE96964 dataset (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE96964) from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database is a circRNA expression profile that was selected to screen the upregulated circRNAs in OS samples with P<0.05 and log fold-change (FC) >1. GSE16088 (30) and GSE12865 (31) datasets, also from the GEO database, are mRNA expression profiles that were selected to screen the upregulated genes in OS samples with P<0.05 and logFC >1. The Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING; https://string-db.org/) was used to analyze the key biological process for the upregulated genes. CircInteractome (https://circinteractome.nia.nih.gov/index.html) was utilized to predict the miRNAs sponged by key circRNAs, whereas starBase (http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/panCancer.php) was utilized to predict the mRNA targets that the key genes.

Tissue collection and cell culture. Tissue samples with and without OS were obtained from 13 patients who underwent surgery between January 2018 and December 2019 at The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University (Zhengzhou, China). The inclusion criteria included patients who were diagnosed with OS and had not received preoperative radiotherapy, chemotherapy or other adjuvant treatments, whereas the exclusion criteria included patients who were diagnosed with other diseases and did not sign the informed consent. The experimental procedure was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University (approval no. ChiECRCT20200309), and informed consent was obtained from the patients before they could participate in the experiment. The characteristics of the participants with OS are presented in Table I. All cell lines used in this study were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, including the human fetal osteoblast cell line (hFOB1.19) and human OS cell lines (HOS, U2OS, Saos-2 and SOSP-9607). The cells were maintained in an RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and they were incubated in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Cell transfection. To explore the function of circ_0032463, miR-330-3p and PNN in OS, the OS cells were divided into eight groups: i) short hairpin RNA (sh-) negative control (NC) group; ii) sh-circ_0032463 group; iii) miR-mimics group; iv) NC mimics group; v) miR-inhibitor group; vi) NC inhibitor group; vii) sh-circ_0032463 + miR-inhibitor group; and viii) sh-PNN + miR-inhibitor group. The sh-circ_0032463, miR-330-3p inhibitor, miR-330-3p mimic, sh-PNN and negative control (NC) plasmids were purchased from Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. The sequences of all plasmids used in this study are listed in Table SI. The transfections with the shRNAs, miRNA mimic, inhibitor or corresponding NCs into Saos-2 and U2OS cells (1x10⁵) were performed using Lipofectamine® 3000 reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37°C for 48 h. Next, 100, 1,000 or 2,500 ng shRNAs were transfected into the cells seeded into a 96-, 12- or 6-well plate, respectively. Then, 3, 30 or 75 pmol miR-330-3p mimic or inhibitor were seeded into a 96-, 12- or 6-well plate, respectively.

Reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-q)PCR. Total RNA was extracted from the OS tissues and cells (HFOB1.19, HOS, U2OS, Saos-2 and SOSP-9607) with TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). According to the manufacturer’s protocols, the HiScript II Q RT SuperMix (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd.) was employed for circRNA and PNN mRNA reverse-transcription, the miRNA 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd.) was used to prepare miR-330-3p. Then, RT-qPCR analysis was performed with the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The thermocycling conditions were as follows: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 sec, annealing and extension at 60°C for 1 min. The 2-ΔΔCq method (32) was then used to analyze the changes in gene expression. The reference control for miRNA and circRNA was GAPDH, while that for miRNA was U6. The primer sequences are presented in Table II. After incubating 1 mg RNA for 30 min at 37°C, RNase R (Epigence; Illumina, Inc.) was utilized to validate the stability of circRNA. The RNA was then utilized to synthesize cDNA for RT-qPCR.

Isolation of cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions. The separation of the cytoplasmic and nuclear RNA in the UOS and Saos-2 cells was performed with the PARIS™ kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The cells were subsequently gathered and lysed with the cell fractionation buffer. After centrifugation at 4°C and 10,000 x g for 2 min, the supernatant with cytoplasmic fraction and the sediment with nuclear fraction were added to the lysis/binding solution and an equal volume of ethanol. After filtering the mixture, the elution buffer was used to elute the RNA from the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions. U6 snRNA and GAPDH rRNA were used as the reference controls for nuclear fraction and cytoplasmic fraction, respectively. The extracted RNA expression of circ_0032463 and linear gene signal-induced proliferation-associated 1-like protein 1 (SIPA1L1) was estimated with RT-qPCR.

BrdU assay. The UOS and Saos-2 cells were seeded into the 96-well plates at a density of 1x10⁵ cells/well. The BrdU Cell Proliferation Assay kit (Frdbio Bioscience & Technology) was then used to evaluate the proliferative ability of the cells transfected for 48 h. This was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols. After the cell culture medium was eliminated, the cell fixation solution (100 µl) was transferred to each well to fix the cells at room temperature for 30 min. Next, the solution was dried, and the cell denaturation solution (100 µl) was added to each well for denaturation at 20-22°C for 10 min. Following which, the washing solution (300 µl) was used to wash the cells three times. The BrdU antibody (100 µl) was then added to each well to incubate the cells for...
1 h at 37°C. Subsequently, 100 µl diluted HRP-labeled goat anti-mouse antibody from the BrdU Cell Proliferation Assay kit was added to each well, and the mixture was incubated for another 1 h at 37°C. The TMB substrate solution was added, and the mixture was incubated at 20-22°C for 10 min. Finally, 100 µl substrate termination solution was added to each well, and the optical density at 450 nm was read with a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc.).

**Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay.** A CCK-8 Kit (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc.) was employed to identify the viability of cells. The OS cells (1x10⁵) were plated in a 96-well plate. For each period (0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h), the CCK-8 solution (10 µl/well) was added to the OS cells and cultured in the dark for another 2 h. The optical absorbance at 450 nm was measured with a microplate reader.

**Cell apoptosis detection.** A flow cytometry system and Annexin V-FITC/PI apoptosis detection kit (Shanghai Yeasen Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) were employed to assess cell apoptosis. The transfected cells (1x10⁵) were gathered and then cleaned twice with precooled PBS. This was followed by the addition of binding buffer (100 µl) to the resuspended cells. The PI staining solution (10 µl) and Annexin V-FITC (5 µl) were added, and the cells were incubated in the dark for 10 min. Following which, the cell apoptosis rate was detected for 1 h using a BD FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences), and was analyzed using FlowJo 7.6.1 (FlowJo LLC). The percentage of late apoptotic cells is presented in Q1-UR, whereas the percentage of early apoptotic cells is presented in Q1-LR.

**Transwell assay.** The Corning® BioCoat™ Matrigel® Invasion Chambers (Corning, Inc.) were used to measure cell invasion. The transfected cells (1x10⁵ cells/well) were inoculated in the upper Transwell chambers in serum-free RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS, was included in the lower Transwell chambers to establish a chemical attractant. After 48 h of incubation at 37°C, cells in the upper chambers that had not invaded were swabbed away. Invaded cells were then fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at 22°C. After the fixation, the cells were treated with 1% crystal violet staining for 15 min at 22°C. An optical microscope (Olympus Corporation) at x200 magnification was used to analyze the invasive abilities of the cells.

**Cell adhesion assay.** The Cell Adhesion Detection kit (BestBio Science) was employed to analyze the adhesion ability of U2OS and Saos-2 cells. Briefly, the coating buffer (100 µl) was transferred to each well in a 96-well plate and was left overnight at 4°C. The following day, the coating buffer was removed, and the plate was cleansed three times with a detergent solution.

### Table I. Clinical characteristics of 13 patients with osteosarcoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number of patients, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 (53.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6 (46.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>1 (7.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-29</td>
<td>7 (53.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-49</td>
<td>3 (23.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>2 (15.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size, cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤12</td>
<td>8 (61.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12</td>
<td>5 (38.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage (49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-II</td>
<td>10 (76.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td>3 (23.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremities</td>
<td>9 (69.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4 (30.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>9 (69.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>4 (30.77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TNM, tumor node metastasis.**

### Table II. Primer sequences for reverse transcription-quantitative PCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Primer sequences (5'→3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>circ_0032463</td>
<td>F: GCCCAGCCCTTGGGAAGATTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: TTCTGTTGTTGCCATAATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circ_0097271</td>
<td>F: CTGTGGAACCCCCCTGTTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: TCACCTGTAAGATGACTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circ_0113706</td>
<td>F: ACACCCCTTCTCATGCAATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: CAAATGGCCCAAATCATGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circ_0111388</td>
<td>F: TCCCTATCAACCCCACAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: TGGCAAGGTCTTTTCCAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circ_0012726</td>
<td>F: ACTTCTCACGGTTCTCAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: CTGCAATCCAGAGTGAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circ_0136823</td>
<td>F: AACCCAGAGCCCCGAAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: GCAGTGTGCAGCAAGTCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circ_0113185</td>
<td>F: CATGGGGAAGGCTATGTGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: CTTCTAAAGAGCCAGAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-330-3p</td>
<td>F: CAACTGCGCTCTTCTGCGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: CTGCGAGAGGCGGCGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNN</td>
<td>F: GGCTTGTTGATGGGTACCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: GCTGGCGCAAGCTTACATTTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>F: CCACATCGGTACAGACCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: CCAGGGCGCCAATAACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U6</td>
<td>F: CGCTTCGGCAGCAGACATAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: TTCAAGAATTTGGCGTCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, forward; R, reverse; circ, circular RNA; miR, microRNA; PNN, Pinin desmosome associated protein.
Cells were then digested with trypsin. Following which, they were washed with PBS and resuspended with the RPMI-1640 medium. This procedure was followed by the preparation of the cell suspension. Subsequently, 1x10^7 cells were inoculated into the 96-well plate in each well. Five duplicate wells were established as the experimental group, while five untreated wells served as the control group. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The culture medium in the control group was not discarded, and the culture medium in the experimental group was replaced by a fresh culture medium. After the cell staining solution B (100 µl) was transferred to each well, the cells were incubated for 1 h at 37°C. A microplate reader was used to record the optical density value at 450 nm. Finally, the cell adhesion rate was analyzed based on that OD value.

**Dual-luciferase reporter assay.** All the wild-type (WT) or mutant (MUT) vectors were purchased from Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. Briefly, circ_0032463 segment with either WT or MUT biding sites was transformed and subcloned into the psiCHECK-2 vector (Promega Corporation). The OS cells (1x10^5 cells/well in 96-well-plates) were then co-transfected with MUT or WT circ_0032463 and the miR-330-3p mimic or mimic NC. After a transfection period of 48 h, the Dual-Luciferase Reporter kit (Promega Corporation) was employed to measure the luciferase activity. Similarly, the MUT or WT 3'-UTR fragment of PNN with or without the predicted miR-330-3p binding sites was amplified using QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's protocol, and subcloned into the pmiRGO vector (Promega Corporation). This step was followed by co-transfection with miR-330-3p mimic or mimic NC to identify luciferase activities. The relative luciferase activity was normalized by Renilla luciferase activity.

**RNA Immunoprecipitation Chip (RIP) assay.** This assay was performed with the Magna RIP™ RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation kit (EMD Millipore), according to the manufacturer's protocols. 1x10^5 cells were then treated with RIP lysis buffer (100 µl) containing RNase inhibitors and protease inhibitor cocktail. The cell lysates (200 µl) were then incubated with 5 µg magnetic beads conjugated to anti-Ago2 (cat. no. 03-110; EMD Millipore) or anti-IgG (negative control; cat. no. 03-110; EMD Millipore) after rinsing with washing buffer, and rotated overnight at 4°C. After treatment with proteinase K, the immunoprecipitated RNAs were extracted by 500 µl TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and centrifuged at 4°C and 10,000 x g for 10 min. Finally, RT-qPCR was performed to measure circ_0032463 and miR-330-3p enrichment.

**RNA pull-down.** The OS cells (1x10^5) were treated with 500 µl cell lysis buffer containing 2.5 mM EDTA, 25 mM Tris-HCl, 70 mM KCl, 0.05% NP-40, RNase inhibitors and protease inhibitor cocktail. After centrifugation at 4°C and 10,000 x g for 15 min, the OS cell lysates in the supernatant were collected. Next, biotinylated RNA probes (Bio-miR-330-3p and Bio-NC), along with Streptavidin magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), were incubated overnight at 4°C with the OS cell lysates. This was performed based on the manufacturer's protocols of the Pierce™ Magnetic RNA Pull-Down kit (Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Subsequently, the RNA attached to the beads was separated with washing buffer twice, and the bio-miR/mRNA complex was collected with centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 30 sec to identify PNN mRNA enrichment using RT-qPCR. The biotinylated RNA probes used above were designed and synthesized by Guangzhou Ribon Bio., Ltd.

**Western blotting.** Protein samples were extracted from the cells using RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology), and the protein concentration was measured with a BCA Protein Assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Protein samples (20 µg) were separated via 10% SDS-PAGE gel, and subsequently transferred to PVDF membranes (EMD Millipore) and blocked with 5% non-fat milk for 1 h at room temperature. After blocking, 5% non-fat milk was removed, and the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies, including anti-PNN (1:1,000; cat. no. ab244250; Abcam) and anti-GAPDH (1:1,000; cat. no. ab9485; Abcam), overnight at 4°C. The following day, the secondary antibody (1:5,000; cat. no. ab6728; Abcam) was incubated with the membranes at 20-22°C for 1 h. The protein band was visualized with a chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and analyzed using ImageJ version 1.46 (National Institutes of Health).

**Data analysis.** SPSS 22.0 software (IBM Corp.) was employed for statistical analyses. Three independent experiments were performed, and the data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. While the Student's t-test was used to compare two groups, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's or Tukey's post hoc tests was utilized to compare multiple groups. Pearson's correlation analysis was performed to determine the correlations between miR-330-3p expression and circ_0032463 or PNN expression. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Identification of circ_0032463/miR-330-3p/PNN axis in OS.** To screen for the key circRNA, the circRNA expression profile GSE96964 was downloaded from GEO database. With P<0.05 and logFC >1, seven circRNAs were found to be upregulated in OS, including hsa_circ_0032463, hsa_circ_0097271, hsa_circ_0113706, hsa_circ_01113888, hsa_circ_0012726, hsa_circ_01368332 and hsa_circ_0113185 (Table III). Then, RT-qPCR analyses were performed to validate circRNA expression in the 13 tumor tissues and corresponding normal tissues obtained from participants. The results showed that hsa_circ_0032463 had the highest expression in the OS tumor tissues compared with the normal tissue (Fig. 1A-G). Thus, hsa_circ_0032463 was chosen as the circRNA of interest. The structure of circ_0032463 is shown in Fig. 1H. To identify the key gene, two mRNA expression profiles (GSE16088 and GSE12865) were downloaded from the GEO database. With P<0.05 and logFC >1, 162 upregulated genes were screened out (Fig. II). After uploading 162 genes to STRING, secreted phosphoprotein, PNN and collagen α-1(XV) chain were confirmed to be associated with cell adhesion (Fig. 1J). Due to the limited studies on PNN in OS, PNN was identified...
Circ_0032463 is upregulated in OS. The expression of circ_0032463 in the human fetal osteoblast cell line (hFOB1.19) and OS cell lines (U2OS, HOS, Saos-2 and SOSP-9607) was measured using RT-qPCR. The enrichment of circ_0032463 in OS cells was more pronounced than that of hFOB1.19 cells (Fig. 2A). As the expression of circ_0032463 was higher in Saos-2 and U2OS cells than that in other OS cells, U2OS and Saos-2 were used in subsequent experiments. As illustrated in Fig. 2B, the level of the linear form of SIPA1L1 declined significantly with the exposure to RNase R treatment. However, circ_0032463 could not be removed with RNase R. After isolating cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions, circ_0032463 was found to be enriched in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2C). Overall, the results indicated that circ_0032463 stability enriched in the cytoplasm was upregulated in OS tissues and cells.

Silencing circ_0032463 suppresses the progression of OS cells. To explore the function of circ_0032463 in OS cells, sh-circ_0032463 #1, sh-circ_0032463 #2 and sh-circ_0032463 #3 were synthesized and transfected into U2OS and Saos-2 cell lines. The transfection efficiency is shown in Fig. 3A, the suppressive effect of sh-circ_0032463 #1 and sh-circ_0032463 #2 on circ_0032463 expression was most significant. Hence, they were used in subsequent experiments. BrdU assay was performed to detect the proliferative ability of OS cells transfected with sh-circ_0032463 #1 and sh-circ_0032463 #2. As depicted in Fig. 3B, circ_0032463 knockdown inhibited cell proliferation by 50%. Similar to the outcome of the BrdU assay, the results of the CCK-8 assay showed that silencing circ_0032463 suppressed cell viability (Fig. 3C). According to the flow cytometry results, circ_0032463 knockdown could promote the apoptosis rate of OS cells (Fig. 3D). The Transwell assay outcomes indicated that silencing circ_0032463 reduced the number of invaded cells (Fig. 3E). Besides, silencing circ_0032463 also reduced cell adhesion by 40% compared with the NC group (Fig. 3F).

Circ_0032463 is the upstream target of miR-330-3p. Since miR-330-3p was predicted to be the downstream target of circ_0032463 by bioinformatics analysis, miR-330-3p expression in OS was first detected. The results showed that miR-330-3p expression was lower in OS tissues (Fig. 4A). The result also showed that miR-330-3p expression was negatively correlated with circ_0032463 expression using Pearson's correlation analysis (Fig. 4B). It was discovered that miR-330-3p expression in OS cells decreased compared with hFOB1.19 cells (Fig. 4C). CircInteractome was later employed to predict the two biding sites between circ_0032463 and miR-330-3p (Fig. 4D). To examine the relationship between miR-330-3p and circ_0032463, miR-330-3p mimic was constructed and transfected into U2OS and Saos-2 cells (Fig. 4E). After performing the dual-luciferase reporter assay, it was found that the luciferase activity was repressed by ~70% with the combination of circ_0032463 WT and miR-330-3p mimic, whereas the luciferase activity in the co-MUT circ_0032463 and miR-330-3p mimic group remained unchanged compared with the co-MUT circ_0032463 and NC group (Fig. 4F). Regardless of whether the binding site (MUT1) or another binding site (MUT2) was mutated, the luciferase activity decreased slightly (Fig. 4F). The RIP assay data also indicated that miR-330-3p could bind to circ_0032463 (Fig. 4G). Taken together, the results confirmed that circ_0032463 could sponge miR-330-3p.

Oncogenic effects of circ_0032463 in OS cells are relieved by miR-330-3p. To ascertain the interaction between miR-330-3p and circ_0032463 in OS cells, circ_0032463 shRNA and/or miR-330-3p inhibitor was introduced into the OS cells. As indicated in Fig. 5A, sh-circ_0032463 increased miR-330-3p expression by 50%, while the miR-330-3p inhibitor decreased it by 60%. The expression of miR-330-3p in the sh-circ_0032463 plus miR-330-3p inhibitor group remained unchanged compared with the NC group. However, the miR-330-3p inhibitor could not regulate circ_0032463 expression or reverse the reduction of circ_0032463 expression that was induced by sh-circ_0032463 (Fig. 5A). BrdU assay data showed that the miR-330-3p inhibitor promoted cell proliferation, and cell proliferation in the sh-circ_0032463 plus miR-330-3p inhibitor group was similar to that in the NC group (Fig. 5B). The CCK-8 assay results demonstrated the miR-330-3p inhibitor promoted the viability of U2OS and Saos-2 cells (Fig. 5C). Nonetheless, the co-transfection of sh-circ_0032463 plus miR-330-3p inhibitor could reverse the promotive effects caused by miR-330-3p inhibitor (Fig. 5C). The flow cytometry results indicated that the miR-330-3p inhibitor repressed cell apoptosis by ~50%; however, these changes could be reversed by co-transfection with sh-circ_0032463 (Fig. 5D). The miR-330-3p inhibitor increased the invasive ability of U2OS and Saos-2 cells by 30%, but this change could be restored by silencing circ_0032463 (Fig. 5E). In addition, the
cell adhesion assay outcomes indicated that circ_0032463 knockdown restricted the promotion of cell adhesion caused by the miR-330-3p inhibitor (Fig. 5F). Overall, these results demonstrated that the oncogenic role of circ_0032463 in OS cells was exerted via miR-330-3p.

miR-330-3p targets PNN in OS cells. PNN was highly expressed more in OS tissues than in normal tissues (Fig. 6A). Besides, PNN expression was negatively correlated to miR-330-3p expression in OS tissues (Fig. 6B). Similarly, PNN mRNA expression in hFOB1.19 and OS cell lines measured by RT-qPCR indicated that PNN expression in OS cells was higher than that in hFOB1.19 cells (Fig. 6C). The binding sites predicted between miR-330-3p and PNN by TargetScan are illustrated in Fig. 6D. The results of the dual-luciferase reporter assay confirmed that the co-transfection of the miR-330-3p mimic and PNN WT vectors inhibited the luciferase activity; however, no difference was observed in the PNN MUT group in both U2OS and Saos-2 cells (Fig. 6E). This relationship was further determined using the RNA pull-down assay,
and experimental analysis showed that PNN could be pulled down by miR-330-3p (Fig. 6F). Moreover, PNN expression was decreased in U2OS and Saos-2 cells transfected with sh-circ_0032463 compared with the sh-NC group, and this could be reversed by the miR-330-3p inhibitor (Fig. 6G). Overall, results indicated that miR-330-3p could target PNN in OS cells.

*miR-330-3p inhibits OS cell activities by suppressing PNN.* Rescue experiments were carried out to verify whether miR-330-3p could regulate PNN in SaoS2 and U2OS cells. PNN knockdown reduced the mRNA expression of PNN by 70% compared with the sh-NC group, while the miR-330-3p inhibitor increased it by almost 50% compared with the NC inhibitor group; nonetheless, the effect could be restored by the co-transfection group (Fig. 7A). Furthermore, sh-PNN did not affect the expression of miR-330-3p or reverse its downregulation induced by miR-330-3p inhibitor. Besides, the protein levels of PNN were similar to the mRNA levels (Fig. 7B). The BrdU assay investigations revealed that silencing PNN decreased cell proliferation by 50% compared with the NC group; however, this change could be restored by co-transfecting the miR-330-3p inhibitor with sh-PNN (Fig. 7C). Similarly, the CCK-8 assay findings revealed that silencing PNN inhibited cell viability; however, this effect could be abrogated by co-transfecting the miR-330-3p inhibitor with sh-PNN (Fig. 7D). The flow cytometry data showed that sh-PNN could increase the apoptosis of OS cells compared with the NC group; nonetheless, this facilitation could be reversed by co-transfection with the miR-330-3p inhibitor (Fig. 7E). Furthermore, >40% reduction of cell invasion and 40% reduction of cell adhesion induced by silencing PNN could be abolished by co-transfecting the miR-330-3p inhibitor with sh-PNN (Fig. 7F and G). Overall, these outcomes indicated that miR-330-3p could inhibit the activity of OS cells by suppressing PNN.

**Discussion**

Adolescents are the most vulnerable groups to OS (34). CircRNAs have been reported to be aberrantly expressed in OS and have various roles in either promoting (35‑37) or inhibiting (12,38) the progression of OS tumorigenesis. The present study discovered a novel circRNA (circ_0032463) that was upregulated in OS, indicating its potential role in promoting OS progression. After performing cytological experiments, circ_0032463 was observed to exert positive effects on cell proliferation, viability, invasion and adhesion. However, it inhibited cell apoptosis by acting as a sponge for miR-330-3p, thereby upregulating PNN in Saos-2 and U2OS cells.
Previous research has indicated the significant role of circRNAs in various cancer types (39,40). The present study focused on the role of circRNAs in OS and examined the regulatory mechanism underlying the development of OS from an epigenetic perspective. Evidence in the literature has suggested that circRNAs can play crucial roles in OS development (35,41,42). However, to the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to demonstrate the role...
of circ_0032463 in OS cells. After bioinformatics analysis in the present study, it was found that circ_0032463 was upregulated in OS samples, suggesting that circ_0032463 had a promotive effect in OS. Further experimental investigations showed that while the knockdown of circ_0032463 could repress cell proliferation, viability, invasion and adhesion, it could induce cell apoptosis of OS cells. This result suggested that silencing circ_0032463 could suppress OS progression.

Furthermore, circRNAs are often investigated as the key member of competing endogenous (ce)RNA networks, known as the ‘circRNA-miRNA-mRNA axis’ (12). The ‘circRNA-miRNA-mRNA axis’ theory speculates that circRNAs mainly sponge miRNAs to regulate the downstream target genes of miRNAs (43). With this in mind, the present study examined how circ_0032463 could influence the development of OS through a miRNA-mediated mechanism. After performing bioinformatics analysis and cytological experi-
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Figure 4. Circ_0032463 may be a sponge for miR-330-3p in OS progression. (A) miR-330-3p expression in OS tissues and normal tissues, determined by RT-qPCR. **P<0.001 vs. normal tissues. (B) The expression of miR-330-3p had a negative correlation with circ_0032463 expression in U2OS and Saos-2 cells. (C) miR-330-3p expression in human fetal osteoblast cell line (hFOB1.19) and OS cell lines (U2OS and Saos-2), determined by RT-qPCR. **P<0.001 vs. hFOB1.19 cells. (D) The predicted binding sites between miR-330-3p and circ_0032463. (E) Transfection efficiency of miR-330-3p mimic determined by RT-qPCR in U2OS and Saos-2 cells. **P<0.001 vs. NC mimics. (F) The target relationship between miR-330-3p and circ_0032463 was verified by dual-luciferase reporter assay. **P<0.001 vs. NC. (G) Relative enrichment of circ_0032463 in anti-IgG and anti-Ago2 was detected by RIP assay. Data are presented as the mean ± SD. **P<0.001 vs. anti-IgG group.
It was found that miR-330-3p was the downstream miRNA sponged by circ_0032463 in OS cells. Several studies have documented the suppressive abilities of miR-330-3p in several malignancies, including gastric cancer, liver cancer and melanoma (23,24,44). At present, only one previous study has reported on the role of miR-330-3p in OS, which demonstrated that this miRNA was downregulated and played an antitumor role by targeting Bmi-1 (25). The present findings were consistent with the results of this previous study, as we showed that miR-330-3p suppressed OS progression. However, the inhibitory effect of miR-330-3p on OS could be restored by circ_0032463. The present study also revealed...
that PNN was the target of miR-330-3p in OS, which was different from the outcomes of previous studies.

PNN, a desmosome-associated molecule, has been demonstrated to be overexpressed and associated with the poor prognosis of numerous types of cancer (27-29). For example, Tang et al. (45) revealed that PNN contributed to the epithelial-mesenchymal transition in nasopharyngeal carcinoma by regulating the activity of E-cadherin. The present study speculated that PNN might act as a tumor suppressor in OS based on the information obtained in the literature (45,46). After bioinformatics analyses and in vitro experiments were performed, the present study discovered that PNN was upregulated in OS. It was also found to induce the proliferation, invasion and adhesion of OS cells. Besides, these results indicated that the enhancing effect of PNN in OS could be restored by miR-330-3p. Although the role of the circ_0032463/miR-330-3p/PNN axis in OS was illustrated, the present study has a few limitations. First, only in vitro experiments were performed. For this reason, in vivo experiments should be conducted in the future to confirm the validity of these results. Moreover, PNN has been found to be associated with cell adhesion (47). Also, a previous study demonstrated that the knockdown of PNN repressed E-cadherin and...
Figure 7. miR-330-3p inhibits osteosarcoma cell activity by suppressing PNN. U2OS and Saos-2 cells were transfected with sh-PNN, miR-330-3p inhibitor, sh-PNN + miR-330-3p inhibitor or their corresponding NC. (A) Expression of miR-330-3p and PNN in U2OS and Saos-2 cells, determined by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR. (B) Protein expression of PNN in U2OS and Saos-2 cells, determined via western blotting. (C) Cell proliferation was detected by a BrdU assay. (D) Cell viability was detected by a Cell Counting Kit-8 assay. (E) Cell apoptosis was detected by flow cytometry for apoptosis detection. (F) Cell invasion was detected by a Transwell assay. (G) Cell adhesion was detected by a cell adhesion assay. Data are presented as the mean ± SD. *P<0.05, **P<0.001 vs. NC. miR, microRNA; sh-, short hairpin RNA; NC, negative control; PNN, Pinin desmosome associated protein.
DSG2, which in turn reduced epithelial adhesion (48). This could indicate that the interaction of PNN with other relevant factors, such as E-cadherin and DSG2, could affect OS cell adhesion. This area of research should be explored in the future.

In conclusion, the present research demonstrated that by sponging miR-330-3p to upregulate PNN, circ_0032463 could act as a tumor promoter in OS cells. This study also confirmed the role of the circ_0032463/miR-330-3p/PNN axis in OS development. This knowledge could be useful in the diagnosis, prognosis and treatment of OS.
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