Silencing of the long noncoding RNA LINC01132 alleviates the oncogenicity of epithelial ovarian cancer by regulating the microRNA-431-5p/SOX9 axis
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Abstract. To date, the role of lncRNA long intergenic non-protein-coding RNA 1132 (LINC01132) expression in epithelial ovarian cancer (EOc) has not been explored. Thus, LINC01132 expression in EOc was assessed and the regulatory activity of LINC01132 on the malignant behaviours of EOc cells was investigated. Additionally, the molecular events that occurred downstream of LINC01132 in EOc cells were also revealed. In the present study, LINC01132 expression in EOc was verified by employing RT-qPCR. The effects of LINC01132 on the aggressive behaviours of EOc cells were revealed utilizing multiple functional experiments. The targeting interaction among LINC01132, microRNA-431-5p (miR-431-5p) and SRY-box 9 (SOX9) was demonstrated by RNA immunoprecipitation and luciferase reporter assay. Herein, LINC01132 was overexpressed in EOc and was significantly associated with poor patient prognosis. Functionally, cell experiments revealed that LINC01132 depletion produced cancer-suppressive effects in EOc cells and regulated cell proliferation, migration, invasion and apoptosis in vitro. Additionally, the loss of LINC01132 attenuated tumour growth in vivo. Mechanistically, LINC01132 acted as a competing endogenous RNA by sequestering miR-431-5p and consequently overexpressing SOX9 in EOc cells, forming a LINC01132/miR-431-5p/SOX9 axis. In rescue experiments, miR-431-5p inhibition or SOX9 reintroduction eliminated the anti-tumour effects of LINC01132 silencing on the pathological behaviours of EOc cells. Generally, LINC01132 exhibited oncogenic activities in EOc cells by regulating the outcome of the miR-431-5p/SOX9 axis, providing an effective target for EOc diagnosis, therapy and prognosis evaluation.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer, a lethal gynaecological tumour, is the fifth most common cause of tumour-associated mortalities among females (1). Ovarian cancer ranks first among gynaecological malignancies in terms of mortality, with a 5-year survival rate of <35% (2). Every year, approximately 150,000 patients succumb to ovarian cancer, and this number is increasing annually (3). Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOc) is the major type of ovarian cancer, accounting for approximately 85-90% of all ovarian cancer cases and is associated with poor clinical outcomes (4). Currently, EOc is primarily treated with surgical resection along with chemotherapy, radiotherapy and immunological therapy; however, although numerous patients with EOc experience favourable therapeutic effects, EOc patients diagnosed at an advanced stage have poor prognosis after treatment with first-line therapies (5,6). Furthermore, due to its subtle symptoms and signs, EOc is usually diagnosed in later stages, with pelvic dissemination or distant metastasis already present; thus, patients miss the optimal timing for surgical intervention and have reduced survival (7). Therefore, understanding the mechanisms of EOc pathogenesis is urgently required to identify promising targets for tumour diagnosis and management.

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a heterogeneous class of RNA transcripts consisting of over 200 nucleotides (8). Although lncRNAs lack the ability to code proteins, they participate in controlling gene expression (9). Functionally, lncRNAs have been confirmed to be crucial modulators of diverse physiological and pathological processes, especially during tumorigenesis and cancer progression (10). Increasing evidence has indicated that an imbalance in lncRNA expression is closely associated with EOc progression (11-13). The dysregulation of lncRNAs has been clearly demonstrated to exert cancer-inhibiting or cancer-facilitating effects and to contribute to the aggressive properties of EOc (14-16). MicroRNAs (miRNAs) belong to a group of small, non-protein-coding RNA transcripts that are known to negatively regulate gene expression by base pairing with target genes and consequently causing mRNA degradation or translation suppression (17). Convincing evidence has revealed the important regulatory activities of miRNAs in EOc oncogenesis.
through their pro- or anti-tumorigenic effects (18-20). Recently, the competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) theory was proposed to explain the mechanisms of lncRNA action; lncRNAs directly sequester miRNAs and thereby modulate gene expression by weakening the miRNA-mediated suppression of gene expression (21,22). Thus, exploring cancer-related lncRNAs and miRNAs, as well as the ceRNA theory, could be a feasible approach for understanding the mechanisms underlying EOC progression.

Long intergenic non-protein-coding RNA 1132 (LINC01132) expression in EOC and the underlying mechanisms have not yet been explored. Thus, the expression level of LINC01132 in EOC was detected and its correlation with patient prognosis was examined. Next, functional experiments were performed to assess the effects of LINC01132 on the aggressive behaviours of EOC cells. Furthermore, the mechanisms that occurred downstream of LINC01132 in EOC cells were elucidated.

Materials and methods

Tissue specimens and cell lines. The collection and use of human tissues was approved (approval no. EC-WFPH.20150106) by the Ethics Committee of Weifang People's Hospital (Weifang, China). After obtaining the written informed consent, 51 pairs of EOC tissues and adjacent normal tissues were obtained from patients (age range, 46-69 years) at Weifang People's Hospital from February 2015 to January 2016. The inclusion criteria included: i) Diagnosed with EOC and agreed to take part in this research; and ii) had not received chemotherapy or radiotherapy prior to surgery. Patients who had other types of human cancer or had been treated with chemotherapy or radiotherapy were excluded. ES-2, an EOC cell line, was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and was cultured in McCoy's 5A Medium that was supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The 3 EOC cell lines, namely, OVCA3, CAOV-3 and SK-OV-3 were obtained from the National Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures (Shanghai, China). The culture conditions for the SK-OV-3 cell line were the same as those for the ES-2 cell line. CAOV-3 and OVCA3 cells were maintained in 10% FBS-supplemented DMEM and 20% FBS-supplemented RPMI-1640 medium (both from Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), respectively. Bovine insulin (0.01 mg/ml; Gibco; Thermo Fisher, Inc.) was also used for OVCA3 cells. The human ovarian surface epithelial cell line (OSE) was grown on ovarian epithelial cell medium (both from ScienCell Research Laboratories, Inc.). All cell lines aforementioned were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

Transfection experiment. To overexpress miR-431-5p and SOX9, transfection of the miR-431-5p mimic (100 pmol; Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd.) and pcDNA3.1-SOX9 (4 µg; Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd.) was conducted with Lipofectamine® 2000 reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and the miRNA mimic control (100 pmol) and miR-NC (Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd.) and pcDNA3.1 (4 µg) were used as controls. All transfections were performed at room temperature. The transfection duration was 8 h, after which the culture medium was replaced with fresh culture medium.

Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting LINC01132 (si-LINC01132; 100 pmol) and the miR-431-5p inhibitor were prepared by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. and used to knock down LINC01132 and miR-431-5p. The negative control (NC) siRNA (si-NC; 100 pmol) and NC inhibitor functioned as the controls for si-LINC01132 and the miR-431-5p inhibitor, respectively. The miR-431-5p mimic sequence was 5'-ACGUACUGCCCGAGUUUCUGU-3' and the miR-NC sequence was 5'-UUUGUACUCAAAAGACUG-3'. The miR-431-5p inhibitor sequence was 5'-UGCAUGACCGCCUGCAAGACA-3' and the NC inhibitor sequence was 5'-ACUAUGAGUACAGUAGA-3'. The si-LINC01132#1 sequence was 5'-AGGAGATGAATAATTATATAC-3'; the si-LINC01132#2 sequence was 5'-TTCTGTTTTTTTTTTTTTATTTAAGA-3'; and the si-NC sequence was 5'-CACGAGAAAGACAAATGTTAT-3'.

RNA extraction and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was employed for total RNA isolation from tissues, cells or tumor xenographs. The RNA was quantified with a NanoDrop™ 2000 spectrophotometer (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at a 260-nm wavelength. For the determination of miRNA expression, first‑strand cDNA was synthesized by applying Mir‑X miRNA First‑Strand Synthesis kit (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Next, PCR quantification was implemented utilizing Mir‑X miRNA RT‑qPCR TB Green® kit (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. U6 small nuclear RNA acted as the internal reference for miRNAs.

For the determination of LINC01132 and SOX9 levels, PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit with gDNA Eraser and TB Green® Premix Ex Taq™ II (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) were, respectively, adopted for reverse transcription and PCR amplification. Both kits were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. The thermocycling conditions for PCR amplification were as follows: Initial hold at 95°C for 30 sec; 40 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 3 sec, and annealing for 30 sec at 60°C and extension at 72°C for 30 sec. GAPDH acted as the internal reference for LINC01132 and SOX9 in this assay. The 2−ΔΔcq (23) quantification method was used to calculate the relative mRNA expression levels. The primers were designed as follows: LINC01132 forward, 5'-CGGAAAGCAGGACTGCTATT-3' and reverse, 5'-TCTCTGGTGTCCTGTCTCTC-3'; SOX9 forward, 5'-GACGGTATCTCCACATCTCAGACCT-3' and reverse, 5'-CTCTGGCGTTGAGTGCTGCT-3'; and GAPDH forward, 5'-GGAGACGTACAAGGATTGTTTGTCGTAT-3' and reverse, 5'-AGGGCTTCCTCACGTTGAGACATC-3'; U6 forward, 5'-CTCGGTTCCGGCAACAGCACA-3' and reverse, 5'-AACGTCTCAGAATTGCGCT-3; miR-125b-5p forward, 5'-TCGGCAGGUCCUCUAGAGC-3' and reverse, 5'-CATTCAACTGTGGTCTGTCAAGCG-3'; and miR-134-3p forward, 5'-TGGCGAGGAACACUGAUCAC-3' and reverse, 5'-CACGTTAAGGTCTGTTGGA-3'; miR-203a-3p forward, 5'-TGGCGAGGAUUGUACACG-3' and reverse, 5'-CATCTCAACTGGTGTGCTGGCA-3'; and miR-199a-3p forward, 5'-TGGCGAGGAUUGUACACG-3' and reverse, 5'-CACTCAACTGGTGTGCTGGCA-3';
ACTGGTGTCGTGGA-3'; miR-431-5p forward, 5'-TCGGCA
GGUGUCUUGCAGG-3' and reverse, 5'-CCTCAACTGCT
GTGTGGGA-3'.

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay. EOC cell proliferation
was assessed using a CCK-8 assay (Dojindo Molecular
Technologies, Inc.). In detail, every well of 96-well plates
was seeded with 2,000 transfected cells that were resuspended
in 100 µl of complete culture medium. At 0, 1, 2, and 3 days
after adherence to the wells, 10 µl of CCK-8 solution was added,
and the cells were cultured for an additional 2 h under the
conditions aforementioned. The absorbance was monitored at
a wavelength of 450 nm via a microplate reader.

Flow cytometric analysis. Transfected cells (1x10⁶)
were digested with trypsin (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific,
Inc.), rinsed with ice-cooled phosphate-buffered saline and
centrifuged at room temperature at 1,000 x g for 5 min.
The apoptosis of these cells was analysed by employing an
Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) apoptosis detec-
tion kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) in accordance
with the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the cells were
resuspended in 195 µl of Annexin V-FITC binding buffer and
cultured with 5 µl of Annexin V-FITC and 10 µl of PI at
25°C. The incubation was performed in the dark and continued
for 20 min. All samples were analysed using a flow cytometer
(FACSCalibur; BD Biosciences). CellQuest software
(version 2.9; BD Biosciences) was applied for data analysis.

Cell migration and invasion assays. The EOC cell migration
and invasion abilities were evaluated using the Transwell
method (8-µm pores; BD Biosciences). In the migration assay,
the upper chambers were filled with 200 µl of serum-free
RPMI-1640 medium (for OVCAR3) or DMEM medium (for
CAOV-3) containing 5x10⁴ cells. By serving as a chemotact-
tant, a volume of 20% FBS-supplemented 600 µl of culture
medium was added to the lower compartments. After 1 day of
culture, the non-migrated cells were wiped off by applying a
cotton swab, and the migrated cells that crossed the pores were
fixed using 100% methanol at room temperature for 30 min
and stained using 0.1% crystal violet. In the invasion assay,
Matrigel-coated Transwell chambers (BD Biosciences) were
utilized, and the experimental procedures were the same as
those of the migration assay. The precoating with Matrigel
was conducted at 37°C for 2 h. The number of stained cells in
5 random fields of view was counted with a light microscope
(magnification, x200).

Tumor xenograft model. A second-generation lentiviral system
was applied for the production of lentiviruses. LINC01132
short hairpin RNA (shRNA; sh-LINC01132) and NC shRNA
(sh-NC) were prepared by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd.
After these molecules were inserted into a pLKO.1 lentiviral
vector (Addgene Inc.), the vectors were transfected into 293T
cells (National Collection of Authenticated Cell Cultures) with
psPAX2 and pMD2.G. A total of 30 µg of plasmids were used
for lentivirus packaging, and the ratio of lentiviral plasmid:
psLKO.1: psPAX2: pMD2.G was 2:1:1. Approximately 48 h
after transfection, the lentiviruses were collected and used to
infect CAOV-3 cells (MOI=5). After 3 days, fresh complete
culture medium containing puromycin was used to further
incubate the CAOV-3 cells, yielding cells stably overexpressing
sh-LINC01132 or sh-NC. The concentrations of puromycin
used for selection and maintenance were 2 and 0.3 µg/ml,
respectively.

All experimental steps involving animals were conducted
with the approval from the Institutional Animal Care and Use
Committee of Weifang People's Hospital (Weifang, China).
Four-week-old female BALB/c nude mice (n=6; 20 g) were
acquired from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd.
(Shanghai, China). The mice were housed under specific
pathogen-free conditions at 25°C and 50% humidity, with a
10:14 light/dark cycle and ad libitum access to food and water.
Stably transfected cells (2x10⁶) were harvested and subcutane-
ously injected into the flanks of nude mice. One week later, the
sizes of the subcutaneous tumours were recorded every 4 days,
and their volumes were calculated with the formula: Volume
(mm³)=1/2 x length x width². The mice were euthanized by
cervical dislocation on day 31, and the subcutaneous tumours
were harvested for subsequent use.

Bioinformatics prediction. The online software miRDB
(http://mirdb.org/) was adopted to identify the downstream
target of LINC01132. The direct target of miR-431-5p was
predicted utilizing TargetScan (version 7.2; http://www.
targetscan.org) and miRDB.

TCGA and GTEx databases. TCGA (https://portal.
gdc.cancer.gov/) and GTEx (https://www.genome.
gov/Funded-Programs-Projects/Genotype-Tissue-Expression-
Project) were utilized to analyse LINC01132 expression in
ovarian cancer.

Subcellular fractionation assay. The IncLocator (http://www.
csbio.sjtu.edu.cn/bioinf/IncLocator/) was utilized to predict subcellular
distribution of LINC01132 in human cells. The prediction was then verified by a Cytoplasmic and Nuclear
RNA Purification kit (Norgen Biotek Corp.), which was used
according to the manufacturer's instructions. EOC cells were
processed with 200 µl ice-cold cell fractionation buffer for
5 min to separate the cytoplasm and nucleus. The relative
expression of LINC01132 in both fractions was measured by
RT-qPCR.

Luciferase reporter assay. LINC01132 and the SOX9 3'-UTR
fragments harbouring wild-type (wt) miR-431-5p-binding
sequences were amplified and cloned into the downstream
region of the psiCHECK™-2 vector (Promega Corporation).
The luciferase reporter vectors were labelled LINC01132-wt
and SOX9-wt. The corresponding mutant (mut) luciferase
reporter vectors, namely, psiCHECK™-2-LINC01132-mut
and psiCHECK™-2-SOX9-mut, were generated in the same
manner. For the reporter assay, EOC cells were inoculated
into 24-well plates with a density of 1.5x10⁵ cells/well, and
incubated at 37°C overnight. Cells were transfected with
miR-431-5p mimic or miR-NC alongside wt or mut reporter
vectors utilizing Lipofectamine® 2000 reagent (Invitrogen;
Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The transfected cells were
lysed at 48 h post-transfection with a Dual-Luciferase
Reporter Assay System (Promega Corporation) for luciferase
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RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP). The EZ-Magna RIP™ RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation kit (cat. no. 17-701; EMD Millipore) was used in the assay, according to the manufacturer's instructions. After lysing the cells in complete RIP lysis buffer (EMD Millipore), the whole-cell lysates were collected and treated with magnetic beads coupled to an anti-Ago2 antibody or normal mouse IgG (cat. no. 17-701; dilution, 1:5,000; both from EMD Millipore) at 4°C overnight. In addition, 10 µl of whole-cell lysates was aliquoted for use as the input and served as the positive control. IgG acted as the negative control. Proteinase K (EMD Millipore) treatment (30 min at 55°C) was applied to detach the proteins, and the immunoprecipitated RNAs were extracted. Finally, the relative enrichment of LIN01132, miR-431-5p and SOX9 in the immunoprecipitated RNAs was examined via RT-qPCR.

Protein extraction and western blotting. Total protein was extracted using RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). After total protein quantification using a bicinchoninic acid kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology), equal amounts of proteins (30 µg) were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and transferred onto PVDF membranes. The membranes were blocked at room temperature in 5% skimmed milk for 2 h and then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies against SOX9 (product code ab185966; 1:1,000 dilution; Abcam) or GAPDH (product code ab181602; 1:1,000 dilution; Abcam), followed by 1 h incubation
at room temperature with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (product code ab205718; 1:5,000 dilution; Abcam). Ultimately, a BeyoECL plus detection kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) was used to visualize the protein bands. Quantity One software (version 4.62; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) was employed for densitometric analysis.

Statistical analysis. All experiments were repeated thrice and conducted in triplicate, and the experimental data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Comparison of the significance between two groups was conducted with both paired and unpaired Student’s t-tests. The statistical significance among multiple groups was examined utilizing one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test.
Results

Silencing of LINC01132 suppresses EOC progression. The present study firstly analysed LINC01132 expression in ovarian cancer tissues through The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEX) databases. LINC01132 was significantly overexpressed in ovarian cancer tissues (Fig. 1A). Next, as compared with adjacent normal tissues, a higher LINC01132 expression level in EOC tissues was confirmed (Fig. 1B). Similarly, LINC01132 was highly expressed in the EOC cell lines (Fig. 1C) compared with OSE cells. Next, all patients in these datasets were classified into either the LINC01132-high expression group or the LINC01132-low expression group on the basis of the median value of LINC01132 in EOC tissues. Patients with EOC
characterized by a high LINc01132 expression level exhibited poorer overall survival than those with EOC characterized by a low LINc01132 expression level (Fig. 1d).

Given the upregulation of LINc01132 in EOC, it was questioned whether the dysregulation of LINc01132 was related to the aggressiveness of EOC cells. To this end, the OVcAR3 and CAOV-3 cell lines, which exhibited higher LINc01132 expression than the other EOC cell lines, were selected for subsequent experiments. To avoid off-target effects, si-LINC01132#1 and si-LINC01132#2 were separately transfected into OVcAR3 and CAOV-3 cells to effectively induce LINc01132 silencing. RT-qPCR revealed that the 2 siRNAs both exerted satisfactory silencing effects (Fig. 1E). Silencing of LINC01132 significantly inhibited the proliferative ability of EOC cells (Fig. 1F). Additionally, the transfection of si-LINC01132 led to a significant increase in the apoptosis of EOC cells (Fig. 1G). Conversely, cell migratory (Fig. 1H) and invasive (Fig. 1I) capacities were significantly suppressed due to LINC01132 downregulation. Hence, LINc01132 was considered to exert a tumorigenic role in EOC.

LINC01132 sequesters miR-431-5p in EOC. To reveal the mechanisms exerted by LINc01132, the location of LINc01132 was predicted by applying IncLocator. The outcomes revealed that most LINc01132 was distributed in cytoplasm (Fig. 2A), which was subsequently confirmed by applying subcellular fractionation (Fig. 2B). These results indicated that LINc01132 functioned via a ceRNA mechanism. The miRDB tool was utilized to identify the potential target miRNAs of LINc01132. In total, 75 miRNAs contained binding sites for LINc01132. Through TCGA database, a total of 5 miRNAs, including miR-125b-5p, miR-134-3p (Fig. 2C), miR-199a-3p, miR-199b-3p, and miR-431-5p (Fig. 2D), were found to be downregulated in ovarian cancer tissues and thus were selected for experimental verification. Furthermore, the expression of these candidates in EOC cells upon LINc01132 silencing was assessed by RT-qPCR. Only miR-431-5p was overexpressed by si-LINC01132 transfection (Fig. 2E). Conversely, miR-431-5p was significantly weakly expressed in EOC tissues (Fig. 2F), and its expression was negatively correlated with LINc01132 (Fig. 2G).

Luciferase reporter assays served to certify the direct binding between miR-431-5p and LINc01132 (Fig. 2H). The upregulation of miR-431-5p significantly decreased the luciferase activity of psicHECK™-2-LINC01132-wt (1 and 2), but these regulatory effects on luciferase activity were offset when the binding sites were mutated (1 and 2; Fig. 2I). Furthermore, as demonstrated by the RIP assay, LINc01132 and miR-431-5p were significantly enriched in the Ago2 group (Fig. 2J), indicating the coexistence of two RNAs in the RNA-induced silencing complexes. In summary, LINc01132 sponged miR-431-5p in EOC.

Figure 4. LINc01132 sequesters miR-431-5p and subsequently positively regulates SOX9 expression in EOC. (A and B) SOX9 expression was quantified in EOC cells when LINc01132 was depleted. (C and D) SOX9 levels were detected in EOC cells after co-transfection utilizing si-LINC01132 and miR-431-5p inhibitor or NC inhibitor. (E) The coexistence of LINc01132, miR-431-5p and SOX9 in RNA-induced silencing complexes was verified by RNA immunoprecipitation. (F) The positive expression correlation between LINc01132 and SOX9 in EOC tissues. *P<0.01. LINc01132, long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 1132; miR, microRNA; SOX9, SRY-box 9; EOC, epithelial ovarian cancer; si-, small interfering; NC, negative control.
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LINC01132 regulates SOX9 expression via sequestering miR‑431‑5p. The detailed roles of miR‑431‑5p in EOC were further examined. The overexpression of miR‑431‑5p in EOC cells by transfection with the miR‑431‑5p mimic was verified (Fig. 3A). The proliferation of EOC cells was reduced following miR‑431‑5p overexpression (Fig. 3B). Flow cytometric analysis confirmed that apoptosis was significantly promoted in miR‑431‑5p‑overexpressed EOC cells (Fig. 3C). Additionally, exogenous miR‑431‑5p expression caused a notable decrease in EOC cell migration and invasion (Fig. 3D).

Bioinformatics analysis was implemented to identify the potential downstream target of miR‑431‑5p. It was demonstrated that SOX9 contained two binding sites for miR‑431‑5p (Fig. 3E) and was further studied due to its critical tumour‑promoting activities during EOC oncogenesis (24‑26). Transfection with miR‑431‑5p mimic significantly reduced the luciferase activity of psicHEcK™‑2‑SOX9‑wt (1 and 2) but produced no regulatory effect on the activity of psicHECK™‑2‑SOX9‑mut (1 and 2; Fig. 3F). Subsequently, it was revealed that the SOX9 expression (Fig. 3G and H) was downregulated after miR‑431‑5p mimic transfection. Furthermore, high SOX9 expression in EOC tissues (Fig. 3I) exhibited an inverse relationship with miR‑431‑5p levels (Fig. 3J). Collectively, these results validated SOX9 as a direct target of miR‑431‑5p.

Then, it was evaluated whether LINC01132 functioned as a miR‑431‑5p molecular sponge to regulate SOX9 expression. The absence of LINC01132 significantly reduced SOX9 expression (Fig. 4A and B), whereas this regulation was largely counteracted after miR‑431‑5p inhibitor treatment (Fig. 4C and D). More importantly, the RIP assay confirmed that LINC01132, miR‑431‑5p and SOX9 coexisted in RNA‑induced silencing complexes (Fig. 4E). In addition, a positive expression relationship between LINC01132 and SOX9 was verified in EOC tissues (Fig. 4F). Collectively, LINC01132 acted as a ceRNA by sequestering miR‑431‑5p and consequently increasing SOX9 expression.

miR‑431‑5p/SOX9 axis is required for the carcinogenic actions of LINC01132 in EOC cells. A series of rescue
experiments were designed to determine whether modulatory actions of LINc01132 are attributed to the miR‑431‑5p/SOX9 axis. Transfection of the miR‑431‑5p inhibitor decreased the expression level of miR‑431‑5p, suggesting that miR‑431‑5p
was successfully silenced in EOC cells (Fig. 5A). The loss of LINC01132 reduced cell proliferation, which was largely restored after miR-431-5p inhibitor treatment (Fig. 5B). Co-transfection with miR-431-5p inhibitor abolished the increase in apoptosis in LINC01132-silenced EOC cells (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, treatment with the miR-431-5p inhibitor recovered the migratory and invasive (Fig. 5D) capacities that were impaired by LINC01132 knockdown.

In addition, the SOX9 overexpression plasmid pcDNA3.1-SOX9 (Fig. 6A) was introduced into LINC01132-deficient EOC cells. Re-expression of SOX9 antagonized the cell proliferation suppression and cell apoptosis promotion induced by si-LINC01132 (Fig. 6B and C). Moreover, reduced cell migration and invasion caused by si-LINC01132 was neutralized by overexpressing SOX9 (Fig. 6D). Collectively, the aforementioned results indicated that LINC01132 played tumour-promoting roles in EOC cells via controlling the miR-431-5p/SOX9 axis.

LINC01132 downregulation inhibits xenograft tumour growth in vivo. A tumour xenograft model was implemented to confirm the function of LINC01132 in EOC tumour growth in vivo. Downregulation of LINC01132 significantly slowed tumour growth (Fig. 7A) and reduced tumour size (Fig. 7B). The subcutaneous tumours were harvested at day 31 after injection. It was revealed that the cells transfected with sh-LINC01132 formed tumours with significantly decreased weights (Fig. 7C). Further detection of LINC01132 and miR-431-5p demonstrated that the subcutaneous tumours in the sh-LINC01132 group exhibited a low expression of LINC01132 and a high expression of miR-431-5p (Fig. 7D and E). Furthermore, the LINC01132-downregulated cell-derived tumours exhibited decreased SOX9 expression compared with sh-NC cell-derived tumours (Fig. 7F). Collectively, these results indicated that the depletion of LINC01132 impaired the tumour growth of EOC cells in vivo.

Discussion

At present, the clinical relationship between IncRNAs and human cancer is a popular research topic in tumour molecular biology given their importance in tumorigenesis and tumour development (27-29). Therefore, IncRNAs are attractive potential therapeutic targets for intervention. As high-throughput sequencing technologies progress, the number of IncRNAs known to be dysregulated in EOC is rapidly increasing (30); however, the detailed contributions of the majority of these IncRNAs remain poorly understood. In the present study, the cellular function and underlying mechanisms of LINC01132 in EOC were revealed in order to provide novel directions and insights for managing EOC.

Multiple studies performed by different scientists have revealed the critical roles of IncRNAs in the oncogenicity of EOC. For instance, NORAD (31), LINC00673 (32) and TC0101441 (33) were upregulated in EOC and have been identified as tumour promoters. Conversely, the low expression of WDFY3-AS2 (34), MAGI2-AS3 (35) and AOC4P (36) in EOC resulted in anti-tumourigenic effects. However, it is unknown whether LINC01132 is involved in EOC malignancy. In the present study, high levels of LINC01132 in EOC were observed in the TCGA database and our own cohort. The overall survival of EOC patients with high LINC01132 levels was significantly lower than patients with low LINC01132 levels. Cell experiments revealed that the knockdown of LINC01132 was capable of inhibiting the proliferation, migration and invasion of EOC cells and promoting apoptosis. The results of the tumour-forming experiment in nude mice were consistent with the in vitro results.

Next, an attempt was made to understand the detailed mechanism by which LINC01132 participates in EOC, which remains mostly uncharacterized. The important roles of IncRNAs in regulating physiological and pathological behaviours are achieved through various mechanisms. The IncRNA-mediated ceRNA theory is a classic mechanism that explains the mechanism of IncRNA action in EOC (12). Cytoplasmic IncRNAs function as endogenous sponges to sequester certain miRNAs and indirectly modulate gene expression by protecting mRNAs from miRNA-induced mRNA dysregulation or translation inhibition (37). Accordingly, IncLocator and subcellular fractionation assays were used to demonstrate that LINC01132 is primary located in the EOC cell cytoplasm. Then, bioinformatics analysis indicated that miR-431-5p may be a target of LINC01132. A luciferase reporter assay verified that LINC01132 possessed a miR-431-5p-binding site.

To explore the ceRNA network mediated by LINC01132 in EOC, the downstream target of miR-431-5p was explored, and the mechanistic analysis identified SOX9 as a target of miR-431-5p. Notably, the data of the present study further revealed that SOX9 was positively controlled by LINC01132 in EOC cells. In rescue experiments, the effects of LINC01132 knockdown on SOX9 expression were abrogated after the inhibition of miR-431-5p. More importantly, LINC01132, miR-431-5p and SOX9 were confirmed to coexist in RNA-induced silencing complexes. Collectively, a new ceRNA network comprising LINC01132, miR-431-5p and SOX9 was identified in EOC.

Differentially expressed miR-431-5p has been validated in several types of human cancer, including EOC (38). Consistent with these studies, the present research confirmed the downregulation of miR-431-5p in EOC and the cancer-inhibiting roles of miR-431-5p in EOC progression. SOX9 was identified as a downstream effector of miR-431-5p in EOC cells. Although the effects of SOX9 in driving EOC initiation and progression have been well elucidated (24-26), the upstream regulatory mechanisms that cause the overexpression of SOX9 in EOC remain largely unknown. Herein, the experimental results revealed a novel LINC01132/miR-431-5p axis that controlled SOX9 expression in EOC cells. Furthermore, miR-431-5p inhibition or SOX9 re-expression eliminated the tumour-suppressive effects of LINC01132 downregulation on the pathological behaviours of EOC cells, demonstrating that SOX9 played critical roles in mediating the LINC01132/miR-431-5p axis-triggered biological functions in EOC.

In the present study, the cell lines CAOV3 and OVCAR3 were used, both of which are highly sensitive to platinum therapy, such as cisplatin or carboplatin (26). The regulation of SOX9 has also been demonstrated to be crucial to cisplatin resistance (26). Additionally, OVCAR3 is a cisplatin-resistant EOC cell line. Thus, the LINC01132/microRNA-431-5p/SOX9
pathway may perform crucial roles in the regulation of cisplatin sensitivity in EOC cells. The present study did not evaluate this effect, which is a limitation that will be addressed in the near future.

In summary, the present study was the first to report, to the best of our knowledge, that LINC01132 was upregulated in EOC and exhibited a significant relationship with poor clinical prognosis. LINC01132 was revealed to exert onco-genic effects in EOC cells by controlling the outcome of the miR‑431‑5p/SOX9 axis. LINC01132/miR‑431‑5p/SOX9 is anticipated to be an attractive target for the treatment and prognostic evaluation of EOC.
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