# A novel system for predicting the toxicity of irinotecan based on statistical pattern recognition with *UGT1A* genotypes

RYOUICHI TSUNEDOMI<sup>1</sup>, SHOICHI HAZAMA<sup>1</sup>, YUSUKE FUJITA<sup>2</sup>, NAOKO OKAYAMA<sup>3</sup>, SHINSUKE KANEKIYO<sup>1</sup>, YUKA INOUE<sup>1</sup>, SHIGEFUMI YOSHINO<sup>1</sup>, TAKAHIRO YAMASAKI<sup>3</sup>, YUTAKA SUEHIRO<sup>3</sup>, KOJI OBA<sup>4</sup>, HIDEYUKI MISHIMA<sup>5</sup>, JUNICHI SAKAMOTO<sup>6</sup>, YOSHIHIKO HAMAMOTO<sup>2</sup> and MASAAKI OKA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Digestive Surgery and Surgical Oncology, Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, Yamaguchi 755-8505; <sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science and Systems Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Yamaguchi University, Yamaguchi 755-8611; <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, Yamaguchi University Hospital, Yamaguchi 755-8505; <sup>4</sup>Translational Research and Clinical Trial Center, Hokkaido University Hospital, Sapporo 060-8638; <sup>5</sup>Unit of Cancer Center, Aichi Medical University, Nagakute 480-1195; <sup>6</sup>Tokai Central Hospital, Aichi 504-8601, Japan

Received April 24, 2014; Accepted June 10, 2014

DOI: 10.3892/ijo.2014.2556

Abstract. To predict precisely severe toxicity of irinotecan, we evaluated the association of UGT1A variants, haplotypes and the combination of UGT1A genotypes to severe toxicity of irinotecan. UGT1A1\*6 (211G>A), UGT1A1\*28 (TA<sub>6</sub>>TA<sub>7</sub>), UGT1A1\*60 (-3279T>G), UGT1A7 (387T>G), UGT1A7 (622T>C), and UGT1A9\*1b (-118T<sub>9</sub>>T<sub>10</sub>, also named \*22) were genotyped in 123 patients with metastatic colorectal cancer who had received irinotecan-based chemotherapy. Among the 123 patients, 73 were enrolled in either of two phase II studies of the FOLFIRI (leucovorin, 5-fluorouracil and irinotecan) regimen; these patients constituted the training population, which was used to construct the predicting system. The other 50 patients constituted the validation population; these 50 patients either had participated in a phase II study of irinotecan/5'-deoxy-5-fluorouridine or were among consecutive patients who received FOLFIRI therapy. This prediction system used sequential forward floating selection based on statistical pattern recognition using UGT1A genotypes, gender and age. Several UGT1A genotypes [UGT1A1\*6, UGT1A7 (387T>G), UGT1A7 (622T>C) and UGT1A9\*1b] were associated with the irinotecan toxicity. Among the haplotypes, haplotype-I (UGT1A1: -3279T, TA<sub>6</sub>, 211G; UGT1A7: 387T, 622T; UGT1A9: T<sub>10</sub>) and haplotype-II (UGT1A1: -3279T, TA<sub>6</sub>, 211A; UGT1A7: 387G, 622C; UGT1A9: T<sub>9</sub>) were also associ-

E-mail: 2geka-1@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

ated with irinotecan toxicity. Furthermore, our new system for predicting the risk of irinotecan toxicity was 83.9% accurate with the training population and 72.1% accurate with the validation population. Our novel prediction system using statistical pattern recognition depend on genotypes in *UGT1A*, age and gender; moreover, it showed high predictive performance even though the treatment regimens differed among the training and validation patients.

## Introduction

Concurrent irinotecan and fluorinated-pyrimidine is a common first-line therapy for metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) (1-6). Although prolonged survival is associated with regimens involving irinotecan, severe neutropenia occurs in 20-35% of mCRC cases treated with irinotecan regimens. Carboxylesterases catabolized irinotecan to 7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin (SN-38), which is a potent topoisomerase I inhibitor (7,8). SN-38 is then further catabolized by hepatic uridin diphosphate-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 1A enzymes to an inactive SN-38 glucuronide (SN-38G) (9). Many mCRC patients with a genetic variant (UGT1A1\*28) experience severe irinotecan toxicity; UGT1A1\*28 is a variation in the number (seven vs. six) of TA repeats in the promoter region of UGT1A1 (10,11). Interestingly, the toxicity and tumor response of concurrent leucovorin, 5-fluorouracil, and irinotecan (FOLFIRI) reportedly also correlate with UGT1A variants (UGT1A1, UGT1A7 and UGT1A9) and haplotypes including these variants (12-18). There are differences between Caucasian and Asian populations in frequencies of UGT1A variants, and UGT1A1\*6 reportedly associates strongly with severe neutropenia especially among Asian patients (12,17).

To predict the risk of irinotecan toxicity for individual patients, it is important that determining the relative contributions of *UGT1A* variants other than *UGT1A1\*28* and *UGT1A1\*6* is important to the development of any system designed to predict irinotecan toxicity for individual patients

*Correspondence to:* Professor Masaaki Oka, Department of Digestive Surgery and Surgical Oncology, Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-1-1 Minami-Kogushi, Ube, Yamaguchi 755-8505, Japan

*Key words:* irinotecan, polymorphisms, prediction, toxicity, uridin diphosphate-glucuronosyltransferase 1A

because patients without UGT1A1\*28 or \*6 do experience severe irinotecan toxicity. Several studies have examined associations between irinotecan toxicity and UGT1A haplotypes in addition to each genotype of UGT1A (17-19). However, determining the haplotype or diplotype for each patient is difficult; moreover, most haplotypes and diplotypes are too rare to constitute a group large enough for meaningful statistical analysis. Moreover, gender and age of patients each reportedly have an impact on irinotecan toxicity (20-22). Hence, these factors should be also taken into consideration when developing a system designed to predict irinotecan toxicity.

The aim of this study was to evaluate whether the combinations of UGT1A genotypes, but not haplotypes, together with patient characteristics might be useful in predicting the risk to patients with mCRC treated of irinotecan-containing regimens. Here, we investigated the genotypes of 123 patients at six loci: UGT1A1\*6 (211G>A, rs4148323), UGT1A1\*28 (TA<sub>6</sub>>TA<sub>7</sub>, rs8175347), UGT1A1\*60 (-3279T>G, rs4124874), UGT1A7 (387T>G, rs17868323), UGT1A7 (622T>C, rs11692021), and UGT1A9\*1b (-118T<sub>9</sub>>T<sub>10</sub>, rs35426722, also called UGT1A9\*22) (23). Next, we evaluated the contribution of each UGT1A genotype, haplotype, and diplotype to the risk of irinotecan toxicity. Furthermore, we developed a new system for predicting the risk that a patient will experience irinotecan toxicity; this system uses sequential forward floating selection (SFFS) algorithm based on statistical pattern recognition to select the combinations of UGT1A genotypes, gender and age. SFFS is a sequential search method characterized by a dynamically changing number of features included or eliminated at each step of an individual analysis (24). This is the first study conducted to assess the role of the combination of genotypes at six polymorphic sites in UGT1A and clinical features constructed by SFFS on the risk of irinotecan toxicity.

## Materials and methods

*Patients*. In this study, 123 mCRC patients were examined for association between *UGT1A* genotypes and irinotecan toxicity (Table I). This study was performed as an ancillary investigation; data collected from three prospective studies [FLIGHT1 (5), FLIGHT2 (5) and FRUTIRI (6)] and from consecutive patients who received FOLFIRI at the Department of Digestive Surgery and Surgical Oncology, Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, Japan. Each participant received irinotecan at the dose of 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, which has been approved in Japan.

FLIGHT1 (UMIN000002388) and FLIGHT2 (UMIN000002476) were phase II studies of first line and second line chemotherapy, respectively, for mCRC. Study designs and key eligibility and exclusion criteria have been described in detail (5,25,26). Briefly, each regimen consisted of irinotecan on day 1 +400 mg/m<sup>2</sup> fluorouracil bolus followed by 2,400 mg/m<sup>2</sup> fluorouracil continuous infusion during 46 h + 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> leucovorin on day 1 every 2 weeks. Of all patients from the FLIGHT1 and FLIGHT2 studies, 38 and 35, respectively, participated in this ancillary investigation and use; these 73 patients constituted the training population. FLIGHT1 or FLIGHT2 patients homozygous for *UGT1A1\*28* were excluded from the training population because these patients received a lower starting dose of irinotecan (100 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) (5).

The validation population comprised 50 patients from two different study groups: 22 patients who participated in FRUTIRI (UMIN000005011), a phase II study of a combination therapy comprised irinotecan and 5'-deoxy-5-fluorouridine (5'-DFUR) (6) and 28 consecutive patients who underwent second-line FOLFILI treatment between October, 2008 and July, 2012 in the Department of Digestive Surgery and Surgical Oncology, Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, Japan. Detail treatment regimen tested in FRUTIRI was described previously (6). Briefly, irinotecan was administered every two weeks, and 400 mg 5'-DFUR was administered every week orally twice a day on five consecutive days that were followed by a weekly 2-day washout. The 28 consecutive patients undergoing FOLFIRI treatment were following the protocol used in FLIGHT2 (26). In a validation population, patients with UGT1A1\*28 homozygous were not found in the FRUTIRI study (n=28). Additionally, patients heterozygous for UGT1A1\*28 (n=6) were excluded from the FRUTIRI study because these patients received lower starting dose of irinotecan 70 mg/m<sup>2</sup>. Among the 28 consecutive patients who received second-line FOLFILI therapy, homozygous for UGT1A1\*6 or \*28 and those compound heterozygous for UGT1A1\*6 and UGT1A1\*28 been excluded from this ancillary study. The training (n=73) and validation (n=50)populations did not differ significantly with regard to the distribution of any clinical feature or genotype that is listed in Table I except for the distributions of the UGT1A7 (387T>G)and UGT1A9\*1b alleles (data not shown).

In this study, we defined patients who exhibited hematologic toxicity greater than grade 3 during the entire course of therapy as experiencing irinotecan toxicity. The study protocols were approved by the Institutional Review Board at Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, and were carried out in accordance with the Helsinki declaration on experimentation on human subjects. Each patient gave written, informed consent for their participation in this study.

Genotyping of UGT1A and haplotype construction. A conventional sodium iodide (NaI) method was used to extract genomic DNA from peripheral blood samples (27). The number of TA repeats in the UGT1A1 promoter region was determined by the fragment size analysis followed by direct sequencing as described previously (4). The TaqMan technique with a hydrolysis probe was used to determine the UGT1A1\*6 genotype as described previously (28); similarly, hydrolysis probes were used to determine the genotypes at UGT1A1\*60; a direct sequencing method was also used to determine the genotypes at UGT1A7 (387T>G and 622T>C) and UGT1A9\*1b.

Each nucleotide variant was evaluated to determine whether it was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; Haploview 4.2 software was used to perform the linkage disequilibrium (LD) and case-control haplotype analyses (29). Lewontin's coefficient D' and correlation coefficient  $r^2$  were calculated as measures of LD.

Construction of toxicity prediction system by genotype combinations. To predict severe toxicities of irinotecan, the age, the gender and a comprehensive 6-site UGT1A genotype were determined for each of the 73 patients in the training population. SFFS, a method of statistical pattern recognition, was

			Sub-populatio	n (treatment regin	nen)	
Clinical features and genotypes	Total (n=123)	FLIGHT1 <sup>a</sup> (n=38)	FLIGHT2 <sup>a</sup> (n=35)	FRUTIRI <sup>b</sup> (n=22)	2nd-line FOLFILI <sup>c</sup> (n=28)	
Toxicity of irinotecan						
No	72	20	19	16	17	$\mathbf{NS}^{d}$
Yes	51	18	16	6	11	
Gender						
Male	78	24	24	17	13	$\mathbf{NS}^{d}$
Female	45	14	11	5	15	
Age						
≤60	50	14	14	9	13	$\mathbf{NS}^{d}$
>60	73	24	21	13	15	
UGT1A1*6						
-/-	84	25	23	15	21	$\mathbf{NS}^{d}$
-/*6	36	12	11	6	7	- 12
*6/*6	3	1	1	1	$0^{c}$	
UGT1A1*28						
-/-	103	32	27	22	22	$NS^d$
-/*28	20	6	8	$0^{\mathrm{b}}$	6	
*28/*28	0	$0^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0^{\mathrm{a}}$	0 <sup>b</sup>	$0^{c}$	
UGT1A1*60						
-/-	71	19	21	15	16	$\mathbf{NS}^{d}$
-/*60	46	17	12	6	11	
*60/*60	6	2	2	1	1	
UGT1A7						
387T/T	41	13	12	8	8	$NS^d$
387T/G	69	18	18	13	20	
387G/G	13	7	5	1	0	
UGT1A7						
387T/T	70	21	19	14	16	$\mathbf{NS}^{d}$
387T/G	48	15	13	8	12	
387G/G	5	2	3	0	0	
UGT1A9*1b						
*1b/*1b	43	14	12	9	8	$\mathbf{NS}^{d}$
-/*1b	67	17	18	12	20	
-/-	13	7	5	1	0	

Table I. Characteristics of the patients.

The following patients were not enrolled in this study as described in Materials and methods. <sup>a</sup>Patients bearing *UGT1A1\*28* homozygous were excluded from the FLIGHT1 and FLIGHT2 studies. <sup>b</sup>Homozygous and heterozygous of *UGT1A1\*28* were not enrolled in the FRUTIRI study. <sup>c</sup>Homozygous of *UGT1A1\*6* and \*28 and compound heterozygous of *UGT1A1\*6* and \*28 were not included in the consecutive patients received second-line FOLFILI therapy. <sup>d</sup>NS, not significant among 4 groups by Fisher's exact test.

then used to determine the optimal genotype combinations for predicting the risk of irinotecan toxicity. The statistical pattern recognition, SFFS, identified the genotype combinations with the 'maximum number of cases' and 'maximum prediction rate' to maximize overall diagnostic accuracy (24). Briefly, the algorithm of the SFFS used in this study was as follows: i) Suppose that at stage k we have a set of  $X_1, ..., X_k$  of sizes 1 to k, respectively. ii) Let the corresponding values of the feature selection criteria be  $J_1$  to  $J_k$ , where  $J_i = J(X_i)$ , for the feature selection criterion J(.). iii) Let the total set of features be X. Then at the *k*th stage of the SFFS procedure follow these steps: Step 1, select the feature  $x_j$  from X- $X_k$  that increases the value of J to the greatest degree and add it to the current set:  $X_{(k+1)} = X_k$ +  $x_j$ . Step 2, find the feature  $x_r$  in the current set  $X_{(k+1)}$  that reduces the value of J the least; if this feature is the same as  $x_j$ then set  $J_{(k+1)} = J(X_{(k+1)})$ ; increment k; go to step 1; otherwise

	103 pa	tients <sup>a</sup>	123 pa	tients <sup>b</sup>
	MAF	HWp	MAF	HWp
UGT1A1*6 [211 (G>A)]	0.18	1.00	0.17	1.00
UGT1A1*28 [(TA) <sub>6</sub> >(TA) <sub>7</sub> ]	0.12	0.80	0.08	0.86
<i>UGT1A1*60</i> [-3279 (T>G)]	0.27	0.99	0.24	0.92
<i>UGT1A7</i> [387 (T>G)]	0.42	1.00	0.39	0.07
<i>UGT1A7</i> [622 (T>C)]	0.27	0.61	0.24	0.54
<i>UGT1A9*1b</i> [-118 (T <sub>9</sub> >T <sub>10</sub> )]	0.41	0.84	0.38	0.13

Table II. Minor allele frequency and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in 123 patients.

<sup>a</sup>Patients enrolled in the FLIGHT1, FLIGHT2 and FRUTIRI studies (patients received lower starting dose of irinotecan were not excluded). <sup>b</sup>Patients subjected to case-control study (patients received lower starting dose of irinotecan were excluded). MAF, minor allele frequency. HWp, p-value of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

remove it from the set to from  $X'_k = X_{(k+1)} - x_r$ . Step 3, continue removing features from the set  $X'_k$  to form reduced sets  $X'_{(k-1)}$  while  $J(X'_{(k-1)}) > J_{(k-1)}$ ; k = k - 1; until k = 2; then continue with step 1. The algorithm is initialized by setting k = 0 and  $X_0 = \emptyset$ .

*Statistical analysis.* Fisher's exact test was used to assess the relationship between toxicity and each *UGT1A* variant. The Cochran-Armitage trend test was used to examine the linearity of the relationship between *UGT1A* genotypes and irinotecan toxicity. SPSS Statics 17.0 software (IBM, Tokyo, Japan) and R version 2.13.0 software were used to perform the calculations (30). p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# Results

UGT1A allele and haplotype frequencies. The minor allele frequencies (MAF) of each UGT1A allele among the 103 patients without genetic bias; all patients regardless of the starting dose of irinotecan enrolled in FLIGHT1, FLIGHT2, and FRUTIRI studies, and 123 patients received a starting dose of 150 mg/m<sup>2</sup> for case-control study participating in this study are listed in Table II. In this study, the MAFs of UGT1A1\*28 and UGT1A1\*6 were approximately 0.117 and 0.184, respectively. The MAF for each other UGT1A SNP examined in this study was greater than 0.20. Among all patients, the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium p-value for each locus examined in this study was higher than 0.05. LD analysis with 103 patients showed that high LD ( $r^2 > 0.9$ ) was evident between UGT1A7 (387T>G) and UGT1A9\*1b (Fig. 1). We found 12 UGT1A haplotypes (Hp-I to Hp-XII) using 6 loci in 103 patients: UGT1A1\*6, \*28, \*60, UGT1A7 (387T>G), UGT1A7 (622T>C), and UGT1A9\*1b (Table III). Three common haplotypes (Hp-I, Hp-II and Hp-III) accounted for 82.5% of all haplotypes identified in this study.

Associations between UGT1A genotypes/haplotypes and irinotecan toxicity. We examined associations between individual



Figure 1. Pairwise linkage disequilibrium relationships between the UGT1A variants. (A) The Lewontin's coefficient D' and (B) the correlation coefficient  $r^2$  are represented as values and colors [in panel A, log of the odds (LOD)  $\geq 2$  shades of pink/red, LOD <2 and D'=1 is blue, and LOD <2 and D' <1 is white. In panel B,  $r^2<0.01$  is white,  $0.01 \le r^2 < 0.95$  is shades of grey, and  $r^2 \ge 0.95$  is black] in each box.

UGT1A genotypes or haplotypes and severe irinotecan toxicity among 123 patients with mCRC who receive chemotherapy that included irinotecan (Table IV). Each of four UGT1A genotypes [UGT1A1\*6, UGT1A7 (387T>G), UGT1A7 (622T>C) and UGT1A9\*1b] showed a significant association to irinotecan toxicity and linear trend (p<0.05). Similarly, two haplotypes (Hp-I and Hp-II) each showed a significant association to and linear trend with irinotecan toxicity (p<0.05). Among two patients received a starting dose of 100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> irinotecan, diplotype of Hp-IV/V did not show toxicity and diplotype of Hp-V/V showed toxicity. Six patients excluded from FRUTIRI study did not show toxicity of irinotecan (a starting dose of 70 mg/m<sup>2</sup>; UGT1A diplotypes of Hp-I/V, II/IV and III/IV were found in 2, 3 and 1 patients). Regarding non-hematological toxicities, only 5 patients developed grade 3 diarrhea (UGT1A diplotype of these 5 patients consists of 4 Hp-I/II and 1 Hp-II/XII).

Performances of the toxicity prediction system by genotype combination. To construct a system for predicting the risk of severe irinotecan toxicity, genetic data from 73 patients that constituted the training population were analyzed exhaustively; specifically, SFFS was used to assess gender, age and the individual genotypes at six polymorphic UGT1A sites (Fig. 2). In addition to the three possible genotypes (wild-type homozygous, heterozygous, variant homozygous), a fourth option for each site (designated 'unspecified genotype') was included into the algorithm. Similarly, patient gender (male, female, regardless of gender) and age ( $\leq 60$ , >60 years old, regardless of age) were assessed. The cutoff value for age (60 years) was determined by Youden index obtained by the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis with the training population. Among possible combinations ( $4^6 \times 3^2 - 1 = 36,863$ ), the following cases were excluded: cases not found, single cases, and cases that represented positive or negative predictive values <80%. In order to optimize the combinations,

			UGT1A allele	s				
		UG	Γ1Δ7		UGT1A1		Allele fro	equencies
Haplotypes	*1b	387T>G	622T>C	*60	*28	*6	(n=103) <sup>b</sup>	(n=123)°
Hp-I	T <sub>10</sub>	Т	Т	Т	$TA_6$	G	0.524	0.573
Hp-II	$T_9^a$	$G^{a}$	C <sup>a</sup>	Т	$TA_6$	A <sup>a</sup>	0.170	0.159
Hp-III	$T_9^a$	$\mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{a}}$	Т	$G^{a}$	$TA_6$	G	0.131	0.134
Hp-IV	$T_9^a$	$\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{a}}$	C <sup>a</sup>	$G^{a}$	$TA_7^a$	G	0.063	0.041
Hp-V	$T_{10}$	Т	Т	$G^{a}$	TA <sub>7</sub> <sup>a</sup>	G	0.044	0.028
Hp-VI	$T_9^a$	$\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{a}}$	C <sup>a</sup>	Т	$TA_6$	G	0.015	0.016
Hp-VII	$T_9^a$	$G^{a}$	$C^{a}$	$G^{a}$	$TA_6$	G	0.015	0.012
Hp-VIII	$T_9^a$	$\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{a}}$	Т	$G^{a}$	TA <sub>7</sub> <sup>a</sup>	G	0.010	0.012
Hp-IX	$T_{10}$	Т	Т	$G^{a}$	$TA_6$	G	0.010	0.008
Hp-X	$T_{10}$	$G^{a}$	$C^{a}$	Т	$TA_6$	A <sup>a</sup>	0.010	0.008
Hp-XI	$T_9^a$	$\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{a}}$	Т	Т	$TA_6$	G	0.005	0.004
Hp-XII	T <sub>10</sub>	Т	Т	Т	TA <sub>6</sub>	Aª	0.005	0.004

Table III. Haplotype frequency.

<sup>a</sup>Association of the alleles with toxicity of irinotecan. <sup>b</sup>Patients enrolled in the FLIGHT1, FLIGHT2 and FRUTIRI studies (patients received lower starting dose of irinotecan were not excluded). <sup>c</sup>Patients subjected to the case-control study (patients received lower starting dose of irinotecan were excluded).

Α	Combinations	for ca	ses with	toxicity	(Positive)	
---	--------------	--------	----------	----------	------------	--

			UGT ge	notypes			Clinical	features		
	1A1*6	1A1*28	1A1*60	1A7	1A7	1A9*1b	Sex	Age	G3, G	64/Total
Name	211(G>A)	(TA) <sub>6</sub> >(TA) <sub>7</sub>	-3279(T>G)	387(T>G)	622(T>C)	-118(T) <sub>9&gt;10</sub>			п	(%)
P-I	**	TA <sub>6</sub> /TA <sub>7</sub>	**	**	**	**	Female	>60	4/4	(100.0)
P-II	G/A	**	**	**	**	T <sub>9</sub> /T <sub>10</sub>	Male	>60	4/4	(100.0)
P-III	A/A	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	2/2	(100.0)
P-IV	**	TA <sub>6</sub> /TA <sub>7</sub>	**	G/G	T/C	**	**	**	2/2	(100.0)
P-V	**	TA <sub>6</sub> /TA <sub>6</sub>	T/G	**	T/C	**	**	>60	2/2	(100.0)
P-VI	G/A	**	**	**	**	T <sub>9</sub> /T <sub>10</sub>	**	>60	9/10	(90.0)
P-VII	**	**	**	**	T/C	**	**	>60	14/17	(82.4)
P-VIII	**	**	**	G/G	T/C	T <sub>9</sub> /T <sub>9</sub>	**	**	4/5	(80.0)
									19/22	(86.4)

B Combinations for cases without toxicity (Negative)

			UGT ge	notypes			Clinical	features	_	
	1A1*6	1A1*28	1A1*60	1A7	1A7	1A9*1b	Sex	Age	G0-G	2/Total
Name	211(G>A)	(TA) <sub>6</sub> >(TA) <sub>7</sub>	-3279(T>G)	387(T>G)	622(T>C)	-118(T) <sub>9&gt;10</sub>			п	(%)
N-I	**	**	**	T/T	**	**	Male	≦60	6/6	(100.0)
N-II	G/G	TA <sub>6</sub> /TA <sub>7</sub>	**	**	**	**	**	≦60	5/5	(100.0)
N-III	G/G	TA <sub>6</sub> /TA <sub>6</sub>	T/G	**	**	**	Female	**	3/3	(100.0)
N-IV	G/G	TA <sub>6</sub> /TA <sub>7</sub>	T/G	**	**	**	Male	**	3/3	(100.0)
N-V	G/G	**	T/T	T/G	**	**	**	**	2/2	(100.0)
N-VI	G/A	**	**	**	C/C	**	**	**	2/2	(100.0)
N-VII	G/G	**	T/T	**	**	**	Male	**	12/15	(80.0)
N-VIII	**	**	T/T	**	**	T <sub>10</sub> /T <sub>10</sub>	Male	**	12/15	(80.0)
N-IX	**	**	T/T	**	**	**	**	≦60	12/15	(80.0)
N-X	G/G	TA <sub>6</sub> /TA <sub>6</sub>	**	**	**	**	Female	**	8/10	(80.0)
									33/40	(82.5)

Figure 2. The *UGT1A* genotype combinations that predict the presence or absence of severe irinotecan toxicity based on statistical pattern recognition. (A) A total of 8 combinations (P-I to P-VIII) for positive prediction of the toxicity and (B) 10 combinations (N-I to N-X) for negative prediction are presented. (A) The 8 combinations (P-I to P-VIII) that predict the presence of irinotecan toxicity are shown. (B) The 10 combinations (N-I to N-X) that predict the absence of irinotecan toxicity are shown. Eight factors-patient age, patient gender and genotypes at six *UGT1A* sites [*UGT1A1\*6*, \*28, \*60, *1A7* (3877>G), *1A7* (6227>C) and *1A9\*1b*] were used with sequential floating forward selection (SFFS) for statistical pattern recognition as described in Materials and methods. Homozygosity for alleles associated with irinotecan toxicity, heterozygosity and homozygosity for alleles not associated with irinotecan toxicity are indicated by red, blue and green cells, respectively. \*\*The un-specified categories (regardless of genotypes, gender or age).

		Toxicity	7	p-valu	ie
	Yes	No	(% of yes)	Fisher's exact	CA trend
_/_	27	57	(32.1)	0.002	0.001
-/*6	21	15	(58.3)		
*6/*6	3	0	(100.0)		
_/_	40	63	(38.8)	0.218	-
-/1*28	11	9	(55.0)		
1*28/1*28	-	-	-		
-/-	27	44	(38.0)	0.349	0.219
-/1*60	20	26	(43.5)		
1*60/1*60	4	2	(66.7)		
387T/T	9	32	(22.0)	0.005	0.002
387T/G	34	35	(49.3)		
387G/G	8	5	(61.5)		
622T/T	18	52	(25.7)	< 0.001	< 0.001
622T/C	31	17	(64.6)		
622C/C	2	3	(40.0)		
9*1b/9*1b	9	34	(20.9)	0.003	0.001
-/9*1b	34	33	(50.7)		
_/_	8	5	(61.5)		
$O^{a}$	12	6	(667)	0.002	<0.001
1ª	32	37	(46.4)	0.002	0.001
$2^{a}$	7	29	(19.4)		
O <sup>a</sup>	27	59	(31.4)	0.001	<0.001
1ª	22	13	(62.9)	01001	101001
$2^{a}$	2	0	(100.0)		
$\overline{0}^{\mathrm{a}}$	38	53	(41.8)	0.517	0.900
1 <sup>a</sup>	12	19	(38.7)	0.517	0.900
2ª	1	0	(100.0)		
Male	31	17	(39.7)	0.705	
Female	20	25	(39.7)	0.705	-
~60	15	25	(30.0)	0.027	
≤00 >60	36	35	(49.3)	0.027	-
	$-/-$ $-/*6$ $*6/*6$ $-/-$ $-/1*28$ $1*28/1*28$ $-/-$ $-/1*60$ $1*60/1*60$ $387T/T$ $387T/G$ $387G/G$ $622T/T$ $622T/C$ $622C/C$ $9*1b/9*1b$ $-/9*1b$ $-/9*1b$ $-/-$ $0^{a}$ $1^{a}$ $2^{a}$ $0^{a}$ $1^{a}$ $0^{a}$ $1^{a}$ $0^{a}$	-/-27-/*621*6/*63-/-40-/1*2811 $1*28/1*28$ /-27-/1*6020 $1*60/1*60$ 4 $387T/G$ 34 $387G/G$ 8 $622T/T$ 18 $622T/C$ 31 $622C/C$ 2 $9*1b/9*1b$ 9-/9*1b34-/-80°121°322°70°271°222°2°0°381°122°1Male31Female20 $\leq 60$ 15>6036	Intervention       Intervention         -/-       27       57         -/*6       21       15         *6/*6       3       0         -/-       40       63         -/-       40       63         -/1*28       11       9         1*28/1*28       -       -         -/-       27       44         -/1*60       20       26         1*60/1*60       4       2         387T/G       34       35         387G/G       8       5         622T/C       31       17         622C/C       2       3         9*1b/9*1b       9       34         -/9*1b       34       33         -/-       8       5         0°       12       6         1*       32       37         2*       7       29         0*       22       13         2*       7       29         0*       22       13         2*       7       59         1*       12       19         2*       1       0         Ma	Intervent         Ves         No         (% of yes)           -/-         27         57         (32.1)           -/*6         21         15         (58.3)           *6/*6         3         0         (100.0)           -/-         40         63         (38.8)           -/1*28         11         9         (55.0) $l^*28l/l*28$ -         -         -           -/-         27         44         (38.0)           -/1*60         20         26         (43.5) $l^*60/l*60$ 4         2         (66.7)           387T/G         34         35         (49.3)           387G/G         8         5         (61.5)           622T/T         18         52         (25.7)           622T/C         3         (40.0)           9*1b/9*1b         9         34         (20.9)           -/9*1b         34         33         (50.7)           -/-         8         5         (61.5)           0°         12         6         (66.7)           1*         32         37         (46.4)           2*         0	Investig         Investig

#### Table IV. Associations between UGT1A genotypes/haplotypes and irinotecan toxicity.

<sup>a</sup>Number of alleles carried by the patient. CA, Cochran-Armitage trend test.

categorization according to predictive value and exclusion of redundant combinations in each category were performed. As a result, 8 combinations (P-I to P-VIII, Fig. 1A) appeared to predict an increased risk of toxicity, and 10 combinations (N-I to N-X, Fig. 1B) appeared to predict a lack of toxicity.

The system for predicting irinotecan toxicity based on combinations of 8 factors (6 genotypes, gender and age) was generated using data from of all 73 patients in the training population. The system was then applied to data from 84.9 and 86.0% of the patients in the training and validation populations, respectively (Table V). This prediction system showed 83.9% accuracy (positive predictive value, 86.4%; negative predictive value, 82.5%) for the training population (n=62) and 72.1% accuracy (positive predictive value, 70.0%; negative

predictive value, 72.7%) for the validation population (n=43). When patients who were not applied to the combinations were included, the performance of the system was 71.2% accuracy (sensitivity, 55.9%; specificity, 84.6%) in training population (n=73) and 62.0% accuracy (sensitivity, 41.2%; specificity, 72.7%) in validation population (n=50). Odds ratios of positive prediction for irinotecan toxicity for this prediction system were 8.0 (95% CI, 1.5-42.5) and 16.3 (95% CI, 2.2-121.4) in training and validation populations, respectively (p<0.05, Table VI).

Patients with either of three UGT1A alleles [UGT1A1\*6, UGT1A7 (622T>C) or UGT1A9\*1b], UGT1A haplotype-I or haplotype-II showed significant association to severe irinotecan toxicity (p<0.05) in both the training and validation populations (data not shown).

-	17 D 19 9.9	c c · ·		1 .1	
I oblo	V Uradiativa par	tormonoo tor irir	otoon towioity	ht tho	construng combinations
Tante	v Fledicilive Del	юннансетог пп		DV HIE	
ruore	v. I fourentive per	tormunee for min	lotocun tomony	o, uic	
	1		J	2	

	Trainin	g (n=73)	Validatio	on (n=50)
	n	(%)	n	(%)
Matched with the combination <sup>a</sup>	62/73	(84.9)	43/50	(86.0)
Accuracy in applied patients	52/62	(83.9)	31/43	(72.1)
Positive predictive value <sup>b</sup>	19/22	(86.4)	7/10	(70.0)
Negative predictive value <sup>b</sup>	33/40	(82.5)	24/33	(72.7)
Accuracy	52/73	(71.2)	31/50	(62.0)
Sensitivity	19/34	(55.9)	7/17	(41.2)
Specificity	33/39	(84.6)	24/33	(72.7)

<sup>a</sup>The combination consists of 8 factors; 6 genotypes [*UGT1A1\*6*, *UGT1A1\*28*, *UGT1A1\*60*, *UGT1A7* (387T>G), *UGT1A7* (622T>C) and *UGT1A9\*1b*], gender and age. <sup>b</sup>Prediction of severe toxicity is positive and prediction of no severe toxicity is negative.

#### Discussion

The novel system for predicting severe irinotecan toxicity described here was based on genotypes at 6 polymorphic sites in UGT1A and 2 basic clinical features; notably, it showed high predictive performance even though the treatment regimens differed among the training and validation patients (Tables V and VI). The odds ratio of positive prediction for severe irinotecan toxicity was higher for this prediction system than for that of any other haplotype or for that of any genotype (Table VI). The performance of this prediction system was reduced from the 83.9% accuracy seen with applied patients to this system in the training population to 72.1% accuracy in the validation. With regard to positive prediction, the inconsistency in accuracy between training and validation populations was seen when the combinations included the UGT1A9\*1b site and patient age (P-II, VI and VII in Fig. 2). The frequencies of UGT1A9\*1b genotype differed between the training and validation populations; moreover, the UGT1A9\*1b alleles were not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in the validation population (data not shown). The cutoff value for patient age (60 years old) was determined by a ROC curve generated with data from the training population; however, previous studies used a cutoff age of 65 years (20,21). Indeed, one patient without toxicity, but predicted as presence of toxicity in this system, was aged 63 years.

Some genotypic combinations decreased the performance of negative prediction for sever irinotecan toxicity in the validation population relative to the training population (N-II, IV, and V in Fig. 2). Specifically, 36.4% (n=4/11) of patients in training population with a combined genotype that included heterozygous for *UGT1A1\*28* alleles and *UGT1A1\*6* (-/-) experienced severe irinotecan toxicity, but 66.7% (n=4/6) of the patients in validation population with the same genotype combinations (*UGT1A1\*6*, -/- and *UGT1A1\*28*, -/+) showed severe toxicity. Of the 73 patients in the training population and the 50 in the validation population, 11 (15.1%) and 7 (14.0%), respectively, were matched with neither of the combination in our prediction system. Interestingly, the incidence of severe toxicity among patients who were not matched with either combination identified by this prediction system was 72.7% (training population) and 14.3% (validation population) (Table VI). Therefore, the frequency of the irinotecan toxicity among patients who do not have any combination of *UGT1A* variants identified by this novel prediction system might be due to factors other than *UGT1A* polymorphisms.

Many published studies have focused on associations between irinotecan toxicity, irinotecan efficacy, or both and any one or more of each UGT1A variants examined here (10-19,31,32). Patients, especially Asian patients, homozygous for UGT1A1\*6 or \*28 or compound heterozygous for these variants are at high risk for hematologic toxicity (13,33,34). In this study, each patient homozygous for UGT1A1\*6 (n=3) and those compound heterozygous for UGT1A1\*6 and \*28 (n=3) showed severe hematologic toxicity; however, 45 patients of the remaining 117 patients still exhibited severe irinotecan toxicity. UGT1A1\*6 and \*28 each have strong effects on UGT1A1 activity and expression, but frequency of each allele is low; moreover, the frequencies of each allele differ between races (11,14,35-37). Among the patients that lacked these rare, highly effective variants, this novel prediction system could accurately predict whether there is severe irinotecan toxicity.

Here, as in previous studies, each identified UGT1A haplotypes was useful for precisely predicting the presence or absence of severe irinotecan toxicity (14,18,38-40). Consistent with our study, Cecchin *et al* reported that a haplotype comprising UGT1A1\*28 (-), UGT1A1\*60 (-), UGT1A7 (387T and 622T), and UGT1A9\*1b (+) was a predictor of severe hematologic toxicity during the entire course of therapy (18). However, determining the haplotypes for any one patient is a difficult clinical measurement. Therefore, the genotypes at each of the 6 sites (rather than the haplotype or diplotype) could be used for clinical assessments.

Our prediction system depend not only on *UGT1A* genotypes but also on patient gender and age. Previous studies showed that patient gender and age were related to the risk of irinotecan toxicity (20-22). In the training population, patient age was associated with severe irinotecan toxicity, but patient gender was not (Table IV). Interestingly, when patient age, patient gender or both the patient age and gender were excluded from the factors used by the prediction system, the

			Tr	aining (n=7	(3)				Va	ulidation (n=	:50)	
		Toxic	ity	)	Fisher's exact tes	t		Toxic	ity		Fisher's exact test	
	Yes	No	(% of yes)	OR	(95% CI)	p-value	Yes	No	(% of yes)	OR	(95% CI)	p-value
Haplotypes												
(+/+) <i>I-dH</i>	5	15	(25.0)	1°			2	14	(12.5)	1c		
Hp-I (-/-, -/+)	29	24	(54.7)	3.63	(1.15-11.42)	0.035	15	19	(44.1)	5.53	(1.08-28.18)	0.053
Hp-II (+/+, -/+)	16	8	(66.7)	0.64	(1.60-22.48)	0.008	8	S	(61.5)	11.20	(1.75-71.64)	0.016
The predicition system <sup>a</sup>												
Negative for toxicity	7	33	(17.5)	0.64	(0.17 - 2.34)	0.511	6	24	(27.3)	2.63	(0.50-13.92)	0.300
Positive for toxicity	19	3	(86.4)	8.00	(1.51-42.45)	0.021	7	б	(0.0)	16.33	(2.20-121.43)	0.00
Not matched <sup>b</sup>	8	Э	(72.7)				-	9	(14.3)			
Genotypes												
UGTIA1*6 (+/+, -/+)	16	6	(64.0)	5.33	(1.45-19.58)	0.016	8	9	(57.1)	9.33	(1.51-57.65)	0.019
UGTIA1*28 (-/+)	7	7	(50.0)	3.00	(0.70-12.88)	0.163	4	0	(66.7)	14.00	(1.47 - 133.23)	0.025
UGTIA1*60 (+/+, -/+)	18	15	(54.5)	3.60	(1.06-12.22)	0.048	9	13	(31.6)	3.23	(0.55 - 18.96)	0.244
<i>UGT1A7</i> (387G/G, T/G)	27	21	(56.3)	3.86	(1.21 - 12.33)	0.032	15	19	(44.1)	5.53	(1.08-28.18)	0.053
<i>UGT1A7</i> (622C/C, T/C)	20	13	(9.09)	4.62	(1.35-15.78)	0.022	13	Г	(65.0)	13.00	(2.27 - 74.32)	0.002
UGT1A9*1b (-/-, -/+)	27	20	(57.4)	4.05	(1.26-12.99)	0.018	15	18	(45.5)	5.83	(1.14-29.84)	0.028

TSUNEDOMI et al: NEW PREDICTION SYSTEM FOR THE TOXICITY OF IRINOTECAN

1388

number of patients that matched with the prediction system decreased, although the system maintained the high positive and negative predictive values (data not shown).

The SFFS algorithm could be modified to include other factors (e.g., mutations in the tumor, patients' clinical characteristics, additional genetic variants, etc.) to improve the prediction performance. Such modifications may result in a system that could meaningfully predict clinical outcomes, including tumor response. Recent advances in technology for sequencing whole genomes of individuals may lead to substantial increases in information that might be useful for personalized therapy. However, such complicated information could not be efficiently or fully utilized in the currently available formats. SFFS could easily construct a system that can utilize huge data sets such as whole-genome sequences. Our strategy for developing SFFSbased systems for clinical use could serve as a powerful tool for advancing personalized therapy, although additional prospective study of this prediction system is needed.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported in part by a non-profit organization Epidemiological and Clinical Research Information Network (ECRIN) and by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (JSPS KAKENHI grant nos. 21591725 and 19591545). We thank Ms. Mai Hatta for clinical research coordination.

#### References

- Douillard JY, Cunningham D, Roth AD, Navarro M, James RD, Karasek P, Jandik P, Iveson T, Carmichael J, Alakl M, Gruia G, Awad L and Rougier P: Irinotecan combined with fluorouracil compared with fluorouracil alone as first-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer: a multicentre randomised trial. Lancet 355: 1041-1047, 2000.
- Saltz LB, Cox JV, Blanke C, Rosen LS, Fehrenbacher L, Moore MJ, Maroun JA, Ackland SP, Locker PK, Pirotta N, Elfring GL and Miller LL: Irinotecan plus fluorouracil and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. Irinotecan Study Group. N Engl J Med 343: 905-914, 2000.
- 3. Tournigand C, André T, Achille E, Lledo G, Flesh M, Mery-Mignard D, Quinaux E, Couteau C, Buyse M, Ganem G, Landi B, Colin P, Louvet C and de Gramont A: FOLFIRI followed by FOLFOX6 or the reverse sequence in advanced colorectal cancer: a randomized GERCOR study. J Clin Oncol 22: 229-237, 2004.
- 4. Hazama S, Nagashima A, Kondo H, Yoshida S, Shimizu R, Araki A, Yoshino S, Okayama N, Hinoda Y and Oka M: Phase I study of irinotecan and doxifluridine for metastatic colorectal cancer focusing on the UGT1A1\*28 polymorphism. Cancer Sci 101: 722-727, 2010.
- 5. Hazama S, Mishima H, Tsunedomi R, Okuyama Y, Kato T, Takahashi K, Nozawa H, Ando H, Kobayashi M, Takemoto H, Nagata N, Kanekiyo S, Inoue Y, Hamamoto Y, Fujita Y, Hinoda Y, Okayama N, Oba K, Sakamoto J and Oka M: UGT1A1\*6, 1A7\*3, and 1A9\*22 genotypes predict severe neutropenia in FOLFIRI-treated mCRC in two prospective studies in Japan. Cancer Sci 104: 1662-1669, 2013.
- Kanekiyo S, Hazama S, Kondo H, Nagashima A, Eto R, Yoshida S, Shimizu R, Araki A, Yamamoto T, Uchiyama T, Yoshino S, Okayama N, Hinoda Y and Oka M: UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 1A1\*28 polymorphism-directed phase II study of irinotecan with 5'-deoxy-5-fluorouridine (5'-DFUR) for metastatic colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res 33: 3423-3430, 2013.
   Kawato Y, Aonuma M, Hirota Y, Kuga H and Sato K:
- Kawato Y, Aonuma M, Hirota Y, Kuga H and Sato K: Intracellular roles of SN-38, a metabolite of the camptothecin derivative CPT-11, in the antitumor effect of CPT-11. Cancer Res 51: 4187-4191, 1991.

- Rivory LP, Bowles MR, Robert J and Pond SM: Conversion of irinotecan (CPT-11) to its active metabolite, 7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin (SN-38), by human liver carboxylesterase. Biochem Pharmacol 52: 1103-1111, 1996.
- 9. Mathijssen RH, van Alphen RJ, Verweij J, Loos WJ, Nooter K, Stoter G and Sparreboom A: Clinical pharmacokinetics and metabolism of irinotecan (CPT-11). Clin Cancer Res 7: 2182-2194, 2001.
- 10. Iyer L, Das S, Janisch L, Wen M, Ramírez J, Karrison T, Fleming GF, Vokes EE, Schilsky RL and Ratain MJ: UGT1A1\*28 polymorphism as a determinant of irinotecan disposition and toxicity. Pharmacogenomics J 2: 43-47, 2002.
- 11. Toffoli G, Cecchin E, Corona G, Russo A, Buonadonna A, D'Andrea M, Pasetto LM, Pessa S, Errante D, De Pangher V, Giusto M, Medici M, Gaion F, Sandri P, Galligioni E, Bonura S, Boccalon M, Biason P and Frustaci S: The role of UGT1A1\*28 polymorphism in the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of irinotecan in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 24: 3061-3068, 2006.
- 12. Guillemette C, Ritter JK, Auyeung DJ, Kessler FK and Housman DE: Structural heterogeneity at the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1 locus: functional consequences of three novel missense mutations in the human UGT1A7 gene. Pharmacogenetics 10: 629-644, 2000.
- 13. Ando Y, Saka H, Ando M, Sawa T, Muro K, Ueoka H, Yokoyama A, Saitoh S, Shimokata K and Hasegawa Y: Polymorphisms of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase gene and irinotecan toxicity: a pharmacogenetic analysis. Cancer Res 60: 6921-6926, 2000.
- 14. Ando M, Ando Y, Sekido Y, Ando M, Shimokata K and Hasegawa Y: Genetic polymorphisms of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A7 gene and irinotecan toxicity in Japanese cancer patients. Jpn J Cancer Res 93: 591-597, 2002.
- 15. Yamanaka H, Nakajima M, Katoh M, Hara Y, Tachibana O, Yamashita J, McLeod HL and Yokoi T: A novel polymorphism in the promoter region of human UGT1A9 gene (UGT1A9\*22) and its effects on the transcriptional activity. Pharmacogenetics 14: 329-332, 2004.
- Sai K, Saeki M, Saito Y, Ozawa S, Katori N, Jinno H, Hasegawa R, Kaniwa N, Sawada J, Komamura K, Ueno K, Kamakura S, Kitakaze M, Kitamura Y, Kamatani N, Minami H, Ohtsu A, Shirao K, Yoshida T and Saijo N: UGT1A1 haplotypes associated with reduced glucuronidation and increased serum bilirubin in irinotecan-administered Japanese patients with cancer. Clin Pharmacol Ther 75: 501-515, 2004.
   Han JY, Lim HS, Shin ES, Yoo YK, Park YH, Lee JE, Jang IJ,
- Han JY, Lim HS, Shin ES, Yoo YK, Park YH, Lee JE, Jang IJ, Lee DH and Lee JS: Comprehensive analysis of UGT1A polymorphisms predictive for pharmacokinetics and treatment outcome in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer treated with irinotecan and cisplatin. J Clin Oncol 24: 2237-2244, 2006.
- Cecchin E, Innocenti F, D'Andrea M, Corona G, De Mattia E, Biason P, Buonadonna A and Toffoli G: Predictive role of the UGT1A1, UGT1A7, and UGT1A9 genetic variants and their haplotypes on the outcome of metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan. J Clin Oncol 27: 2457-2465, 2009.
- 19. Fujita K, Ando Y, Nagashima F, Yamamoto W, Eodo H, Araki K, Kodama K, Miya T, Narabayashi M and Sasaki Y: Genetic linkage of UGT1A7 and UGT1A9 polymorphisms to UGT1A1\*6 is associated with reduced activity for SN-38 in Japanese patients with cancer. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 60: 515-522, 2007.
- Roth AD, Yan P, Dietrich D, Fiocca R, Bodoky G, Labianca R, Cunningham D, Van Cutsem E, Bosman F and Tejpar S: Is UGT1A1\*28 homozygosity the strongest predictor for severe hematotoxicity in patients treated with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)-irinotecan (IRI)? Results of the PETACC 3 - EORTC 40993 - SAKK 60/00 trial comparing IRI/5-FU/folinic acid (FA) to 5-FU/FA in stage II-III colon cancer (COC) patients. J Clin Oncol 26 (Suppl): abs 4036, 2008.
- 21. Kweekel D, Guchelaar HJ and Gelderblom H: Clinical and pharmacogenetic factors associated with irinotecan toxicity. Cancer Treat Rev 34: 656-669, 2008.
- 22. Innocenti F, Kroetz DL, Schuetz E, Dolan ME, Ramírez J, Relling M, Chen P, Das S, Rosner GL and Ratain MJ: Comprehensive pharmacogenetic analysis of irinotecan neutropenia and pharmacokinetics. J Clin Oncol 27: 2604-2614, 2009.

- 1390
- UGT Alleles Nomenclature Home Page. UGT Nomenclature Committee. June 2005. http://www.pharmacogenomics.pha. ulaval.ca/cms/site/pharmacogenomics/ugt\_alleles. Accessed 04.01.2013.
- 24. Pudil P, Novovicova J and Kittler J: Floating search methods in feature selection. Pattern Recognition Lett 15: 1119-1125, 1994.
- 25. Okuyama Y, Hazama S, Nozawa H, Kobayashi M, Takahashi K, Fujikawa K, Kato T, Nagata N, Kimura H, Oba K, Sakamoto J and Mishima H: Prospective phase II study of FOLFIRI for mCRC in Japan, including the analysis of UGT1A1 28/6 polymorphisms. Jpn J Clin Oncol 41: 477-482, 2011.
- 26. Hirata K, Nagata N, Kato T, Okuyama Y, Andoh H, Takahashi K, Oba K, Sakamoto J, Hazama S and Mishima H: Prospective phase II trial of second-line FOLFIRI in patients with advanced colorectal cancer including analysis of UGT1A1 polymorphisms: FLIGHT 2 study. Anticancer Res 34: 195-201, 2014.
- Wang L, Hirayasu K, Ishizawa M and Kobayashi Y: Purification of genomic DNA from human whole blood by isopropanol-fractionation with concentrated NaI and SDS. Nucleic Acids Res 22: 1774-1775, 1994.
- Okayama N, Hamanaka Y, Suehiro Y, Hasui Y, Nakamura J and Hinoda Y: Association of interleukin-10 promoter single nucleotide polymorphisms-819 T/C and -592 A/C with aging. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 60: 1525-1529, 2005.
- 29. Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J and Daly MJ: Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. Bioinformatics 21: 263-265, 2005.
- 30. The R project website, http://www.r-project.org/.
- Smith NF, Figg WD and Sparreboom A: Pharmacogenetics of irinotecan metabolism and transport: an update. Toxicol In Vitro 20: 163-175, 2006.
- 32. Lamas MJ, Duran G, Balboa E, Bernardez B, Candamio S, Vidal Y, Mosquera A, Giraldez JM, Lopez R, Carracedo A and Barros F: The value of genetic polymorphisms to predict toxicity in metastatic colorectal patients with irinotecanbased regimens. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 69: 1591-1599, 2012.
- 33. Araki K, Fujita K, Ando Y, Nagashima F, Yamamoto W, Endo H, Miya T, Kodama K, Narabayashi M and Sasaki Y: Pharmacogenetic impact of polymorphisms in the coding region of the UGT1A1 gene on SN-38 glucuronidation in Japanese patients with cancer. Cancer Sci 97: 1255-1259, 2006.

- 34. Satoh T, Ura T, Yamada Y, Yamazaki K, Tsujinaka T, Munakata M, Nishina T, Okamura S, Esaki T, Sasaki Y, Koizumi W, Kakeji Y, Ishizuka N, Hyodo I and Sakata Y: Genotype-directed, dose-finding study of irinotecan in cancer patients with UGT1A1\*28 and/or UGT1A1\*6 polymorphisms. Cancer Sci 102: 1868-1873, 2011.
- 35. Innocenti F, Undevia SD, Iyer L, Chen PX, Das S, Kocherginsky M, Karrison T, Janisch L, Ramírez J, Rudin CM, Vokes EE and Ratain MJ: Genetic variants in the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 gene predict the risk of severe neutropenia of irinotecan. J Clin Oncol 22: 1382-1388, 2004.
- 36. Innocenti F, Liu W, Chen P, Desai AA, Das S and Ratain MJ: Haplotypes of variants in the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase1A9 and 1A1 genes. Pharmacogenet Genomics 15: 295-301, 2005.
- 37. Massacesi C, Terrazzino S, Marcucci F, Rocchi MB, Lippe P, Bisonni R, Lombardo M, Pilone A, Mattioli R and Leon A: Uridine diphosphate glucuronosyl transferase 1A1 promoter polymorphism predicts the risk of gastrointestinal toxicity and fatigue induced by irinotecan-based chemotherapy. Cancer 106: 1007-1016, 2006.
- 38. Minami H, Sai K, Saeki M, Saito Y, Ozawa S, Suzuki K, Kaniwa N, Sawada J, Hamaguchi T, Yamamoto N, Shirao K, Yamada Y, Ohmatsu H, Kubota K, Yoshida T, Ohtsu A and Saijo N: Irinotecan pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics and UGT1A genetic polymorphisms in Japanese: roles of UGT1A1\*6 and \*28. Pharmacogenet Genomics 17: 497-504, 2007.
- 39. Saito Y, Sai K, Maekawa K, Kaniwa N, Shirao K, Hamaguchi T, Yamamoto N, Kunitoh H, Ohe Y, Yamada Y, Tamura T, Yoshida T, Minami H, Ohtsu A, Matsumura Y, Saijo N and Sawada J: Close association of UGT1A9 IVS1+399C>T with UGT1A1\*28, \*6, or \*60 haplotype and its apparent influence on 7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin (SN-38) glucuronidation in Japanese. Drug Metab Dispos 37: 272-276, 2009.
- Japanese. Drug Metab Dispos 37: 272-276, 2009.
  40. Martinez-Balibrea E, Abad A, Martínez-Cardús A, Ginés A, Valladares M, Navarro M, Aranda E, Marcuello E, Benavides M, Massutí B, Carrato A, Layos L, Manzano JL and Moreno V: UGT1A and TYMS genetic variants predict toxicity and response of colorectal cancer patients treated with first-line irinotecan and fluorouracil combination therapy. Br J Cancer 103: 581-589, 2010.