FOXD1 is targeted by miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p and suppresses the proliferation of human ovarian carcinoma cells by promoting p21 expression in a p53-independent manner
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Abstract. High-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSOC) accounts for the highest number of deaths among patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying HGSOC tumorigenesis are currently unclear. In the present study, a lentiviral expression system was employed to manipulate forkhead box D1 (FOXD1) expression in ovarian cancer cells. Immunohistochemical staining was used to examine the expression of FOXD1 in tissue samples. Clonogenic and MTT assays were employed to evaluate cell proliferation, and flow cytometry was applied for cell cycle analysis. Dual-luciferase reporter and chromatin immunoprecipitation assays were used to determine the role of FOXD1 in regulating p21 expression. The results demonstrated that FOXD1 expression was downregulated in HGSOC, and high expression levels of FOXD1 were found to be a predictor of good prognosis. FOXD1 significantly inhibited the proliferation of human ovarian cancer cells and induced cell cycle arrest at G1 phase in vitro. In addition, exogenous FOXD1 expression inhibited ovarian cancer cell growth in vivo. Furthermore, microRNA (miR)-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p were observed to be upregulated in HGSOC, and function as direct negative regulators of FOXD1 by targeting its 3'-untranslated region. The present study also revealed that FOXD1 promotes p21 expression in a p53-independent manner. In conclusion, the results of the present study indicate a direct association between FOXD1 and p21 that may be mediated by miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p. The authors hypothesize that FOXD1 may serve as a biomarker or therapeutic target in HGSOC.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer is considered to be the most lethal gynecologic tumor. In China, ~52,100 new cases of ovarian cancer were diagnosed and ~22,500 mortalities occurred due to ovarian cancer in 2015 (1). Compared with China, the incidence and mortality rates in Europe and North America are higher (2). Epithelial ovarian cancer is divided into the following five major pathological subtypes: High-grade serous (70%), low-grade serous (<5%), endometrioid (10%), clear cell (10%) and mucinous (3%) (3,4). High-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSOC) accounts for 70-80% of ovarian cancer-associated mortalities (5). Therefore, gaining an improved understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying HGSOC is critical.

Forkhead box D1 (FOXD1), also known as FREAC-4, belongs to the forkhead box family and functions as a transcription factor (6). According to previous reports (7,8), it serves an important role in the formation of the retina and nephrons during embryogenesis. In addition, downregulation of FOXD1 decreases the reprogramming efficiency and inhibits the expression of reprogramming-associated genes in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (9). FOXD1 also serves a role in the development of different cancers. In lung, breast and brain cancers, FOXD1 functions as an oncogene and promotes cell proliferation (10-12). In hepatocellular carcinoma, microarray analysis has demonstrated that FOXD1 is one of ten transcription factors involved in tumorigenesis (13). By contrast, FOXD1 decreases the reprogramming efficiency and inhibits the expression of reprogramming-associated genes in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (9). FOXD1 also serves a role in the development of different cancers. In lung, breast and brain cancers, FOXD1 functions as an oncogene and promotes cell proliferation (10-12). In hepatocellular carcinoma, microarray analysis has demonstrated that FOXD1 is one of ten transcription factors involved in tumorigenesis (13). By contrast, FOXD1 may function as a tumor suppressor in ovarian cancer. Jiang et al (14) analyzed three GSE cohorts (GSE14001, GSE15578 and GSE12172) and demonstrated that FOXD1 is downregulated in ovarian cancer. The same study identified FOXD1 as one of the top 20 differentially expressed genes between abnormal and normal ovarian epithelial tissues (14).

p21 (also known as WAF1/CIP1 and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A) is a general G1 phase cell cycle inhibitor,
which is regulated by p53-dependent or p53-independent signaling pathways (15). The function of p21 as an inhibitor of the cell cycle via p53 was a landmark discovery in molecular biology in the early 1990s (16,17). Although p21 is widely known to be a crucial effector of p53 and an inhibitor of the cell cycle, it is now evident that p21 is regulated by a number of additional signaling pathways (18,19). p21 mutations are rare in human cancer (20,21). Increased expression of p21 has been associated with a favorable outcome in many cancer types (22-25).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) are endogenous short (~22 nucleotide) single-stranded RNAs that regulate the translation of target genes by promoting mRNA decay. miRNAs recognize target mRNAs by base-pairing with their complementary seed sequences (typically nucleotides 2-7) in the 3'-untranslated region (UTR) (26). miRNAs function as tumor suppressors or oncogenes during carcinogenesis and tumor development. Specifically, the miR-30 and miR-200 families are known to function as tumor suppressors in a number of cancer types by inhibiting tumor cell proliferation and metastasis (27-29); however, several studies have demonstrated that these miR families may serve an oncogenic role in ovarian cancer (30-36).

In the present study, the expression of FOXD1 was analyzed in patients with HGSC, and its role in the proliferation of ovarian cancer cells was investigated using in vitro and in vivo studies. The results indicated that FOXD1 was downregulated in HGSC, and it suppressed ovarian cancer cell proliferation via targeting p21. In addition, the expression of miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p was elevated in patients with HGSC, and FOXD1 was demonstrated to be a direct target of these miRNA sequences.

Materials and methods

Patients and tissue samples. A total of 140 HGSC tissue specimens were collected for the purposes of this study. The tissue microarray (TMA) included 120 HGSC samples and these tissues were collected from female patients admitted to Qilu Hospital (Jinan, China) from May 2006 to July 2013. The HGSC samples (n=20) used for western blot and reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analyses were collected from patients admitted to Qilu hospital from September 2014 to July 2015. All HGSC specimens were collected from patients with primary ovarian cancer that had not received chemotherapy prior to surgery. The fresh-frozen normal fallopian tube (FT, n=11) tissues were obtained from female patients admitted to Qilu hospital from September 2014 to July 2015. The tumor diagnoses were verified by two gynecological pathologists. Ethical approval was obtained from Ethics Committee of Shandong University Qilu Hospital (Jinan, China). All patients provided written informed consent.

Cell lines and culture conditions. 293T cells, and the human ovarian cancer cell lines, A2780 and HO8910, were purchased from the China Center for Type Culture Collection (Wuhan, China). Human ovarian cancer cell lines, SKOV3, OVCAR3, CAOV3 and the p53-null H1299 human lung cancer cell line, were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). A2780, HO8910 and H1299 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; both purchased from Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA); OVCAR3 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 20% FBS and 0.01 mg/ml bovine insulin (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany); SKOV3 cells were cultured in McCoy's 5A medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% FBS; CAOV3 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% FBS. In addition, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) were added to the complete culture medium for all cell lines. All cells were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR analysis. Total RNA was extracted from fresh-frozen HGSOC and FT tissue samples, as well as OVCAR3 cells transfected with miR-30a-5p/miR-200a-5p mimics or inhibitors, using TRIzol reagent according to manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Total RNA (1,000 ng) was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the PrimeScript RT reagent kit or the Mir-X miRNA First-Strand Synthesis kit (both purchased from Takara Biotecnology, Co., Ltd., Dalian, China) according to manufacturer's instructions. qPCR analysis was performed using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara Biotecnology, Co., Ltd.). The qPCR reaction was performed using the StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The thermal cycling parameters were as follows: 30 sec at 95°C followed by 43 cycles at 95°C for 5 sec and 60°C for 30 sec. The primer sequences employed were as follows: FOXD1, forward, 5'-GATCTGTGAGTTCATCAGC GGC-3' and reverse, 5'-TGACGAAGCAGTCGTTGAGCGA-3'; β-actin, forward, 5'-CATGTACGTTGCTATCCAGGC-3' and reverse, 5'-CTCCTTAATGTCAGCAGCAGT-3'; miR-30a-5p, forward, 5'-TGAAAACATCCTCAGGTGAGG-3' and reverse, mRQ 3' Primer (provided in Mir-X miRNA First-Strand Synthesis kit; Takara Biotecnology, Co., Ltd.); miR-200a-5p, forward, 5'-CATCCTTACCGGACAGTGGAAG-3'; miR-200a-5p, forward, 5'-CATTAGAGCCCCAGTGG-3' and reverse, mRQ 3' Primer; U6, forward, 5'-GCTTCGGCAGCACATATACT-3' and reverse, mRQ 3' Primer. The expression of β-actin and U6 was used as the internal control. Data analysis was performed using the 2ΔΔCq method (37).

Western blotting. Protein from fresh-frozen HGSC and FT tissue samples was isolated using TRIzol reagent according to manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The HGSC and FT tissue samples were divided into smaller sections (~0.1 g) and lysed with TRIzol for 10 min at room temperature. The lysate was centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C and the phenol-ethanol supernatant was incubated with 100% isopropanol for 10 min at room temperature. The mixture was then centrifuged again at 12,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C to pellet the protein. The protein pellet was washed twice with 0.3 M guanidine hydrochloride and once with 100% ethanol. Then the protein pellet was solubilized in 1% SDS. For isolating protein from cells, the cells were first washed three times with cold PBS and lysed using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer on ice for 30 min. The
protein concentration was determined using a BCA assay (Merck KGAa). Protein samples (40 μg) were separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and electro-transported onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes using the semi-dry transfer method. The membranes were blocked for 1 h with 5% non-fat milk at room temperature, and then were incubated at 4°C overnight with the following primary antibodies: Anti-FOXD1 (dilution, 1:500; cat. no. ab179940; Abcam, Cambridge, USA), anti-p21 (dilution, 1:1,000; cat. no. 29478; CST Biological Reagents Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China), anti-β-actin (dilution, 1:5,000; cat. no. ab8226; Abcam). The membranes were subsequently washed with Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20, and then incubated with the secondary horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody (dilution, 1:8,000; cat. no. 074-1806) or anti-rabbit IgG antibody (dilution, 1:5,000; cat. no. ab2826; Abcam). The membranes were subsequently washed with Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20, and then incubated with the secondary horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody (dilution, 1:8,000; cat. no. 074-1806) or anti-rabbit IgG antibody (dilution, 1:6,000; cat no. 5220-0336) (both from Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, USA) for 2 h at room temperature. The signals were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence system (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK). β-actin was used as an endogenous control.

Plasmid construction, lentivirus production and infection. For plasmid construction, the coding DNA sequence of FOXD1 was cloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector (Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA). A scrambled shRNA control (5'-CCTAAGTATAGTCGCCCTGCCGTC GAGCAGGGCGCAGTCTAGC-3') was cloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector and used as a negative control. The lentiviral packaging and envelope plasmids used were pMD2.G and psPAX2 (Addgene, Inc.). For lentivirus production, 293T cells were seeded at a density of 4x10^6 in 10 cm culture dishes and cultured for 16 h. The PCMV vector and lentiviral packaging and envelope plasmids were co-transfected into 293T cells using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). For stable transfection, cells (A2780, H08910, SKOV3, CAOV3, OVCAR3 and H1299) were infected with lentivirus at a multiplicity of infection of 50 for 24 h, and the cells were selected in medium containing puromycin (2 μg/ml) for 2 weeks.

Transfection of miRNA mimics and inhibitors. OVCAR3 cells were seeded in 6 cm dishes at a density of 5x10^4/dish at 24-36 h prior to transfection. Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was employed to transfect the cells according to manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of miRNA mimics and inhibitors used were as follows: 80 nM miR-30a-5p/miR-200a-5p mimics; 80 nM miR-200-5p; 100 nM miR-30a-5p/miR-200a-5p inhibitors; and 100 nM inhibitors control. The medium was refreshed at 6 h following transfection. The sequences of miRNA mimics and inhibitors was as follows: miR-30a-5p mimics, 5'-UGUAACAAUCCCU GACUGGAAG-3'; miR-30a-5p inhibitors, 5'-CUUCAGUCGC AGGAUGUUAAC-3'; miR-200a-5p mimics, 5'-CAUUCUACC GGACAGUGCGGA-3'; miR-200a-5p inhibitors, 5'-UCCAGC AGUUCGGUAAGAUG-3'; miRNA mimics negative control, 5'-UUCUCCGAACGUUGACUGTGT-3'; miRNA inhibitors negative control, 5'-CAGUCUUCUGUAGUAGUCAAA-3'. All the miRNA mimics and inhibitors were purchased from Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China).

Small interfering (si)RNA transfection. A2780 cells (2.5x10^4) were plated in 6 cm dishes and transfected with p21 siRNA sequences using Lipofectamine 3000 according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). At 48 h following transfection, the cells were harvested for MTT and clonogenic assay analysis. The sequences of the p21 siRNAs were the same as described previously (38,39). The sequences of the p21 siRNA sequences were as follows: sip21-1, 5'-AGGCAG GAACAGCUCACUU-3'; sip21-2, 5'-AUCUUGCGCCUG CAUCCAGGATT-3'; sipCtrl, 5'-UUCUCCGAACGUGACUGC AGUAC-3' (all purchased from Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China).

Clonogenic assay. Cells (n=800-1,000/well) stably expressing FOXD1 or transiently transfected with p21 siRNA and FOXD1 were plated in 6-well plates and cultured for 2-3 weeks. The colonies were fixed with 100% methanol for 15 min at room temperature and then stained with 1% crystal violet for 10 min at room temperature. The number of colonies was assessed using ImageQuant TL software (version 8.1; GE Healthcare Life Sciences). The data are presented as the mean ± standard error of three independent experiments.

Cell proliferation assay. Cell proliferation was assessed using an MTT assay. A2780 cells (800 cells/well) were first seeded in triplicate wells of a 96-well plate. MTT reagent (5 mg/ml; cat no. M2128; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) was subsequently added to the wells and incubated for 4 h. DMSO was subsequently added to dissolve the formazan crystals and the absorbance at 490 nm was then read.

Dual-luciferase reporter assay. In order to investigate whether FOXD1 may be a direct target of miR-30a-5p and miR-200-5p, the 3'-UTR of the FOXD1 mRNA sequence containing the putative miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p binding sites were cloned into the pmirGLO Dual-Luciferase miRNA Target Expression Vector (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA). The FOXD1 mutant sequences were constructed by Sangon Biotech, Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) and cloned into pmirGLO vector. 293T cells were co-transfected with miR-30a-5p or miR-200a-5p mimics and the pmirGLO vector containing either the wild-type or mutant FOXD1 sequence using Lipofectamine 3000 according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). At 48 h following transfection, luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega Corporation).

To investigate FOXD1 binding sites in the p21 promoter, three predicted wild-type binding sites of FOXD1 were separately cloned into the pGL4.26[luc2/minP/Hygro] vector (Promega Corporation), and the mutant binding sites were constructed by Sangon Biotech, Co., Ltd. and cloned into the pGL4.26 vector. The pGL4.74[hRluc/TK] vector (Promega Corporation) was used as an endogenous control. 293T cells (4x10^4/well) were seeded in a 96-well plate and transfected with vectors using Lipofectamine 3000 according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Cells were transfected with vectors at the following
concentration ratio: PCMV (75 ng); pGL4.26 (25 ng); pGL4.74 (2.5 ng). At 48 h following transfection, luciferase activity was measured.

Immunohistochemical staining. The TMA of HGSOC samples were incubated at 65°C for 30 min and deparaffinized in xylene immediately. The TMA was subsequently rehydrated in a graded ethanol series. Antigen retrieval was performed in citric acid buffer (pH 6.0) by heat treatment (98°C) for 15 min. This assay was performed using the SP-9000 IHC reagent kit (cat. no. SP-9000; OriGene Technologies, Inc.). The samples were blocked in 1.5% goat serum from the SP-9000 IHC reagent kit at 37°C for 20 min, and the TMA was then incubated with primary antibodies against FOXD1 (dilution, 1:1,000; cat. no. ab179940; Abcam) at 4°C for 14 h. The TMA was subsequently washed and incubated with a HRP-conjugated secondary antibody from the SP-9000 IHC secondary antibody kit (cat. no. SP-9000 IHC reagent kit; OriGene Technologies, Inc.) at 37°C for 20 min. The tissue sections were immersed in the for antigen retrieval, the tissue sections were immersed in the

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay. A ChIP assay was performed to enrich the specific regions of chromatin immunoprecipitated by a specific antibody via using the ChIP-IT Express Chromatin Immunoprecipitation kit (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. A2780 cells (1x10⁶) stably overexpressing FOXD1 were first cross-linked and sheared into ~500-bp DNA fragments by sonication. The DNA fragments were then selectively immunoprecipitated from the cell debris by incubating with a DYKDDDDK Tag antibody (dilution, 1:50; cat. no. 14793S), or a rabbit IgG antibody (dilution, 1:50; cat. no. 2729) (both from CST Biological Reagents Co., Ltd.), which was used as negative control, for 16 h at 4°C. The purified DNA was amplified by PCR using the Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix with HF Buffer (cat. no. M0531; New England Biolabs, Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA) and the following primers: Site B, forward, 5'-GTCGTTGTTGTTGTTGTA-3' and reverse, 5'-CTGCCTTTCAGGCAAGTTC-3'; site C, forward, 5'-AGTGTCATCTCTCTGTA-3' and reverse, 5'-AGTCCCTCGTCGTTGTTGTA-3'. The thermal cycling parameters were as follows: 30 sec at 98°C followed by 40 cycles at 98°C for 10 sec, 60°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 15 sec, and then a final step at 72°C for 6 min. The PCR products were separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide.

In vivo studies. All experiments involving animals were approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Experiments of Shandong University. A total of 20 BALB/c nu/nu female mice (age, 6-7 weeks; weight, 20.3±1.5 g) were maintained in a pathogen-free facility (temperature, 25°C; humidity, 50-60%; 12 h light/day cycles) and had free access to water and food. The mice were equally divided into A2780 and OVCAR3 groups, and each group was further subdivided into a control and FOXD1 overexpression group. For the in vivo xenograft experiments, A2780 and OVCAR3 cells transfected with PCMV or PCMV-FOXD1 vectors (6x10⁶ cells) were injected subcutaneously into the right flank region of the mice. Following 4 weeks (A2780 cells) or 5 weeks (OVCAR3 cells), the mice were sacrificed to determine tumor weight and volume. Tumor volume was calculated using the following formula: Volume = [(long diameter) x (short diameter)^2] x 1/2. Immunohistochemical staining was employed for the detection of FOXD1 and p21 using the aforementioned methods.

Bioinformatics analyses. The GSE9891 dataset (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE9891) contains gene expression data for 285 ovarian carcinoma samples (44). Following the removal of 7 samples without corresponding clinical survival information, and two samples derived from patients that succumbed due to unrelated causes, the remaining 276 samples were included in the current study.
The inclusion criteria for HGSOC were as follows: Serous histological subtype and a pathological grade of 3. A total of 152 patients were identified and divided into high and low FOXD1 expression groups. The significance cut-off value was calculated using the X-Tile (version 3.6.1) bioinformatics tool (45). To identify predicted miRNA sequences that may regulate FOXD1, TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/) and miRanda (http://www.microrna.org) were employed (46,47).

A total of 142 candidate miRNAs binding sites were identified by TargetScan (context, ++; score >90; version 7.1) and 146 candidate miRNA binding sites were identified by miRanda (version: August 2010 Release; mirSVR score ≤-0.1). To identify target genes that may be regulated by FOXD1, MatInspector (version 8.4; http://www.genomatix.de) and JASPAR (version 2016; http://jaspar.genereg.net) were employed (48,49). A region 2 kb upstream of target gene promoters was screened for FOXD1-binding sites.

Statistical analysis. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments. Overall survival and progression-free survival analysis was performed using Kaplan-Meier plots and a log-rank test. A Student's t-test was selected to analyze significant differences between two groups. Analysis of variance and the Dunnett's post hoc test was used to compare the means among >2 groups. The χ² test was used to compare clinical characteristics. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

FOXD1 is downregulated in HGSOC and elevated FOXD1 predicts good prognosis. To determine the protein and mRNA expression levels of FOXD1 in HGSOC tissue samples and normal FT samples, western blot and RT-qPCR analyses were performed, respectively. At the protein level, the expression of FOXD1 was higher in FT (n=7) when compared with HGSOC (n=8) samples (Fig. 1A). At the mRNA level, the expression of FOXD1 was significantly higher in FT (n=11) compared with HGSOC (n=20) samples (Fig. 1B). To further confirm the role of FOXD1 in HGSOC, immunohistochemical staining was performed to measure its expression in the HGSOC TMA (n=120). As expected, the FOXD1 transcription factor was primarily located in the nucleus (Fig. 1C). The stained HGSOC tissue samples were scored and divided into high (n=58) and low (n=62) FOXD1 expression groups. The overall survival rate of the high expression group was significantly higher when compared with the low expression group (P=0.018; Fig. 1D). The association between FOXD1 expression and clinicopathological parameters was also analyzed; however, no statistically significant associations were identified (Table I). To investigate these observations further, the GSE9891 dataset was used to evaluate the prognostic role of FOXD1 at the mRNA level (44). The expression of FOXD1 in HGSOC samples alone was first determined. As demonstrated in Fig. 1E, the overall survival (P=0.030) and progression-free survival (P=0.003) of the low FOXD1 expression group was significantly reduced when compared with the high FOXD1 expression group. Samples of 276 in the GSE9891 cohort were then divided into high and low FOXD1 expression groups. As shown in Fig. 1F, the overall survival (P=0.010) and PFS (P=0.011) of the low FOXD1 expression group was significantly reduced when compared with the high FOXD1 expression group. These results provide evidence to suggest that reduced FOXD1 expression may predict poor prognosis in HGSOC patients.

FOXD1 influences the proliferation of ovarian cancer and H1299 cells. To investigate the effect of FOXD1 expression on ovarian cancer cells, five ovarian cancer cell lines, including A2780, HO8910, SKOV3, OVCAR3, CAOV3 and one lung cancer cell line, H1299, were employed and transfected with a FOXD1 overexpression vector or an shRNA targeting FOXD1. As demonstrated in Fig. 2A, overexpression of FOXD1 significantly inhibited the colony-forming efficiency of all six cell lines when compared with empty vector controls. By contrast, downregulation of FOXD1 significantly increased their clonogenicity compared with an empty vector control (Fig. 2A). The cell cycle distribution among these transfected cell lines was then measured. For cell cycle analysis, the cells were synchronized in G1 phase using the double thymidine block method. Then the cells were released by replacing the medium with thymidine-free complete culture medium. As shown in Fig. 2B, overexpression of FOXD1 significantly inhibited the colony-forming efficiency of all six cell lines when compared with empty vector controls. By contrast, downregulation of FOXD1 significantly increased their clonogenicity compared with an empty vector control (Fig. 2A). The cell cycle distribution among these transfected cell lines was then measured. For cell cycle analysis, the cells were synchronized in G1 phase using the double thymidine block method. Then the cells were released by replacing the medium with thymidine-free complete culture medium. As shown in Fig. 2B, upregulated FOXD1 expression induced cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 phase and downregulation of FOXD1 reversed this effect. The results indicate that FOXD1 may suppress the proliferation of ovarian cancer cells by inducing cell cycle arrest in G1 phase in vitro.

FOXD1 inhibits tumor growth in vivo. The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network reported that HGSOC is

Table I. Association between FOXD1 expression and the clinicopathological features of patients with high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathological feature</th>
<th>FOXD1 expression</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥55</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIGO stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-II</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA125 (U/ml)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;600</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥600</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual lesions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOX1, forkhead box D1; FIGO, Fédération Internationale de Gynécologie et d'Obstétrique; CA125, cancer antigen 125.
characterized by TP53 mutations in ~96% of tumors (50). To further validate the role of FOXD1 in vivo, OVCAR3 (p53 mutant) and A2780 (p53 wild-type) cells with or without FOXD1 overexpression, were subcutaneously inoculated into nude mice (n=5 per group). Following 4-5 weeks, the mice were sacrificed, and the tumor weight and volume were measured. As demonstrated in Fig. 3A and B, the weight and volume of tumors from mice in the FOXD1 overexpression group was significantly lower when compared with the control group for both cell lines. Immunohistochemical staining of the xenograft tumor tissues was then performed to examine the expression of FOXD1 and p21. As shown in Fig. 3C, the expression of FOXD1 in nucleus was positively associated with p21 expression in the nucleus. The results indicate that
FOXD1 may inhibit the proliferation of ovarian cancer cells in vivo.

miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p are direct regulators of FOXD1 expression. From the results presented thus far, the authors hypothesized that FOXD1 may function as a tumor suppressor in ovarian cancer. To investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying the downregulation of FOXD1 expression in ovarian cancer, miRNA target prediction tools (miRanda and TargetScan) were used to identify putative miRNA sequences that may regulate FOXD1. Among all candidate miRNAs identified, miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p were selected for further investigation. According to previous studies, miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p have been observed to be upregulated in ovarian cancer (30-36). As demonstrated in Fig. 4A and B, the expression levels of miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p were determined in HGSOC and normal FT tissue samples. HGSOC samples were observed to exhibit significantly higher levels of miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p expression when compared with normal FT samples (Fig. 4A and B). A dual-luciferase reporter assay was performed to validate the targeting efficacy of miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p on FOXD1

Figure 2. FOXD1 inhibits ovarian cancer cell proliferation in vitro. (A) A clonogenic assay was employed to measure the effect of FOXD1 on the proliferation of five ovarian cancer cell lines (A2780, HO8910, SKOV3, CAOV3 and OVCAR3) and the p53-null H1299 lung cancer cell line. (B) The six cancer cell lines were first synchronized and then released for cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry. The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 as indicated. FOXD1, forkhead box D1; PCMV, pLenti-C-Myc-DDK-IRES-Puro tagged vector; shFOXD1, short hairpin RNA targeting FOXD1.
assay was subsequently employed to verify the binding sites for miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p in the FOXD1 3' UTR region. Wild-type or mutant FOXD1 3' UTR sequences were cloned into the luciferase vector, and then co-transfected with miR-30a-5p or miR-200a-5p mimics into 293T cells. Upregulation of miR-30a-5p or miR-200a-5p significantly decreased the luciferase activity of cells transfected with wild-type but not the mutant FOXD1 vector (Fig. 4C and D). The role of miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p in ovarian cancer cells was then investigated. OVCAR3 cells were transfected with miR-30a-5p or miR-200a-5p mimics or inhibitors. As shown in Fig. 4E and F, the expression of FOXD1 at mRNA and protein levels demonstrated a positive association with p21 expression. These results indicated that miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p may directly downregulate FOXD1 expression.

**Figure 3.** FOXD1 inhibits tumor growth in vivo. (A) A2780 and OVCAR3 cells with or without FOXD1 overexpression were subcutaneously inoculated into nude mice (n=5 mice/group). (B) Following 4-5 weeks, the mice were sacrificed for assessment of tumor weight and volume. (C) Representative immunohistochemistry staining images showing FOXD1 and p21 expression in xenograft tumor tissues obtained from the mice (magnification, ×100). Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. ***P<0.001, as indicated. FOXD1, forkhead box D1; PCMV, pLenti-C-Myc-DDK-IRES-Puro tagged vector.

**FOXD1 directly promotes p21 expression in vitro and in vivo.** A region 2 kb upstream of the p21 promoter was screened for FOXD1-binding sites using online promoter prediction tools such as MatInspector and JASPAR. FOXD1 was identified as a putative regulator of p21 by JASPAR. A total of three candidate transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) were also identified (Fig. 5A). A dual-luciferase reporter assay was employed to verify these TFBSs. As shown in Fig. 5B, two out of the three TFBSs in the FOXD1 overexpression group (sites B and C) demonstrated a significant increase in luciferase activity compared with the empty vector controls. When sites B and C were mutated, no significant difference in luciferase activity was observed between the FOXD1 overexpression group and control group (Fig. 5C). A ChIP assay and PCR were subsequently performed to further verify the
TFBSs. As shown in Fig. 5D, enrichment of the p21 promoter fragments were confirmed by PCR using primers flanking FOXD1 binding sites (sites B and C). The expression of p21 in five ovarian cancer cell lines and one lung carcinoma cell
line following overexpression or silencing of FOXD1 expression was then evaluated. As demonstrated in Fig. 5E, FOXD1 overexpression was associated with an increase in p21 protein expression, while a reduction in FOXD1 was associated with a decrease in p21 expression. These results, together with those obtained from the immunohistochemical analysis of p21 expression in ovarian tumor xenografts, suggest that FOXD1 may bind to the promoter of p21 directly to regulate its expression in vitro and in vivo.

Knockdown of p21 in FOXD1 overexpressing cells restores cell viability. To further investigate the role of FOXD1 in ovarian cancer cell proliferation via increasing p21 transcription, a rescue assay was performed by co-transfecting FOXD1 and p21-specific siRNA sequences in A2780 cells. The knockdown efficiency of the two p21-siRNAs is demonstrated in Fig. 6A. As indicated in Fig. 6B and C, the viability and clonogenicity of cells transfected with p21 siRNA and FOXD1 overexpression vectors was restored when compared with FOXD1 overexpressing cells transfected with siRNA controls. The results suggest that FOXD1 inhibits the proliferation of ovarian cancer cells via the direct targeting of p21.

Discussion

FOXD1 is known to function as an important transcription factor, which is involved in a number of biological processes (51). It has been demonstrated to function as an oncogene in lung, breast, brain and liver cancers (10-12). By contrast, microarray analyses have indicated that FOXD1 is downregulated in ovarian cancer patients (14). Consistently, the results of the current study demonstrated that FOXD1...
expression is decreased at the mRNA and protein levels in HGSOC patients. In addition, a previous study observed downregulation of FOXD1 in chemo-resistant ovarian cancer patients (52). Previous reports have also demonstrated that overexpression of p21 in SKOV3 and OVCAR3 cells lead to increased cisplatin sensitivity (53). The results of the present study indicated that FOXD1 directly promotes p21 expression, which may explain the association between FOXD1 and chemosensitivity in ovarian cancer. However further validation is required to establish this relationship.

miR-30a-5p serves an important role in cancer development and primarily influences the proliferation, metastasis, and autophagy of cancer cells (29). In the majority of cancer types, it functions as a tumor suppressor (29); however, in ovarian serous adenocarcinoma patients, miR-30a-5p was observed to be upregulated in urine samples, tissue samples and cell lines (30). Consistent with these observations, the results of the current study indicated that miR-30a-5p is elevated in HGSOC patient tissue samples. A previous report demonstrated that breast cancer cells co-transfected with miR-30a-5p and a luciferase reporter plasmid containing the 3'-UTR of FOXD1 mRNA, exhibited decreased luciferase activity (54). The current study identified the miR-30a-5p binding site in the 3'-UTR of FOXD1 mRNA. Previous studies have indicated that miR-30a-5p is upregulated in drug-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines (31,32). As FOXD1 has been observed to be downregulated in chemo-resistant ovarian cancer patients (52), the association between miR-30a-5p, FOXD1 and p21 may explain the drug resistance in ovarian cancer and provide a basis for further investigation. According to previous reports, miR-200a-5p is upregulated in HGSO tissues (35). In addition, the expression of serum miR-200a-5p levels was significantly higher in patients with the serous subtype when compared with those presenting with clear cell, endometrioid or undifferentiated subtypes ovarian cancer subtypes (34). The results of the current study verified that miR-200a-5p is upregulated in HGSO tissues. The elevated expression of these two miRNAs may explain why FOXD1 is downregulated in HGSO.

p21 was the first p53-effector gene discovered; however, it is also known to be induced by p53-independent signaling pathways (16-19). The results of the present study demonstrated that FOXD1 inhibited the proliferation of five ovarian cancer cell lines and binds to the promoter of p21 in 293T cells. The p53 status of these five ovarian cancer cell lines are as follows: A2780 and HO8910, wild-type; OVCAR3 and CAOV3, mutant; SKOV3, controversial (null or mutant) (55-57). Therefore, FOXD1 induces p21 expression in ovarian cancer cells irrespective of the p53 status. To confirm that the inhibitory effects of FOXD1 overexpression on cancer cell proliferation is p53-independent and involves p21, the p53-null H1299 human lung cancer cell line was used. The results indicated that the inhibitory effect of FOXD1 on the growth of H1299 cells was similar to that of ovarian cancer cells, and that the regulation of p21 by FOXD1 does not involve p53. p21 is a multi-functional genome guardian depending on its subcellular localization (19). The nuclear accumulation of p21 induces cell cycle arrest and growth inhibition, while cytoplasmic accumulation promotes cell growth and survival (58,59). Nuclear p21 therefore functions as a tumor suppressor. The results of the current study demonstrated that p21 expression was increased with forced FOXD1 expression, and that this interaction likely occurred in the nucleus. Therefore, these results suggest that the nuclear accumulation of p21 may function as a tumor suppressor in ovarian cancer.
In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrate that FOXD1 is downregulated in HGSOC, and the decreased level of FOXD1 predicts poor prognosis. In addition, FOXD1 was observed to inhibit the proliferation of ovarian cancer cells in vivo and in vitro, and was demonstrated to bind to the promoter of p21 directly. Furthermore, the expression of miR-30a-5p and miR-200a-5p was observed to be upregulated in HGSOC. These miRNA sequences were demonstrated to bind to the 3'-UTR of FOXD1 mRNA. Therefore, these results suggest that FOXD1 functions as a tumor suppressor in HGSOC and may serve as a biomarker or therapeutic target for HGSOC.
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