Clinical experience with radio-, chemo- and hyperthermotherapy combined trimodality on locally advanced esophageal cancer
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Abstract. Esophageal cancer is a highly malignant and lethal disease with a low 5-year survival rate. Therefore, an effective treatment regimen is required. To investigate the treatment efficacy and toxicity of radio-, chemo- and hyperthermotherapy combined trimodality on locally advanced esophageal cancer, the medical records of 78 patients with pathologically confirmed esophageal cancer treated with chemoradiotherapy plus hyperthermia were retrospectively investigated and the 3-year outcome was carefully assessed. All 78 patients received intensity-modulated radiation therapy at a total dose of 60–66 Gy, in a conventional schedule of 1.8–2.1 Gy/fraction, 5 fractions/week. They also received 4–6 courses of chemotherapy, consisting of 450 mg/m² 5-fluorouracil for 1–5 days and 25 mg/m² cisplatin for 1–5 days, in addition to 6–12 sessions of hyperthermia, performed twice a week. Out of the 78 cases, complete remission of the primary tumor was observed in 31 (39.7%), partial remission in 44 (56.4%) and no change in 3 (3.9%) cases. The treatment response rate was 96.1%. The overall survival (OS) rate at 1, 2 and 3 years was 67.9, 41.0 and 33.3%, respectively. No significant difference in adverse effects was observed between this treatment regimen and other similar studies. Our preliminary results demonstrated that the chemo-, radio- and hyperthermotherapy combined trimodality exhibited excellent short-term clinical outcomes as regards tumor response rate and a sound long-term OS, with enduring adverse events. This trimodal treatment requires further investigation to establish its beneficial role in the treatment of patients with locally advanced esophageal cancer.

Introduction

Esophageal cancer is a highly malignant and lethal disease, with a particularly high incidence in China (1). To the best of our knowledge, a significant improvement of the 5-year survival rate was reported due to the advances in the therapeutic methods over the last 3 decades. However, its overall 5-year survival remains low, at ~19% (2,3). Therefore, an effective modality for the treatment of this malignancy is required.

Esophagectomy, with or without other adjuvant modalities, is preferred for patients with resectable esophageal cancer. For those patients who do not undergo surgical treatment for any reason, the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) trial 85-01 established concurrent chemoradiotherapy as the standard treatment (4). Hyperthermia is a modality that elevates tumor temperature to a supraphysiological level (40–44°C), is a well-established radio- and chemosensitizer and widely accepted as an important adjuvant therapy to chemo- and radiotherapy (5). Hyperthermia, as part of a combination regimen, has demonstrated improved clinical response, local control and survival in numerous phase II studies and randomized trials in patients with breast, cervical, head and neck cancers, melanoma and glioblastoma multiforme (6–8). However, studies focusing on the application of hyperthermia on esophageal cancer treatment, which may be of interest to radiation oncologists, are limited. In this study, data on the combination of hyperthermia with chemo- and radiotherapy as a trimodal treatment for patients with locally advanced esophageal cancer are reported.

Patients and methods

Patients. A total of 78 patients hospitalized in our department between May, 2008 and December, 2009 were enrolled in the present study. Inclusion criteria were: pathologically diagnosed esophageal cancer, first-treated at our institution, no evidence of distant metastasis other than supraclavicular locoregional lymph nodes, Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) ≥70, no evidence of tracheoesophageal fistula and normal hepatic and renal function tests. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Yancheng Third People’s Hospital. Signed informed consent was provided by all the patients.
Radiotherapy. All patients were immobilized within a thermoplastic mold and underwent CT stimulation. A total dose of 60-66 Gy was delivered to the 95% isodose line, which completely encompassed the planning target volume (PTV). A dose-volume histogram (DVH) was used to evaluate the dose received by PTV and adjacent critical tissue and organ. In the treatment plans, the dose variation in the PTV did not exceed ±7%, the dose received by the spinal cord was >40 Gy, the percentage of lung volume that received 20 Gy compared to the total lung volume (V20) was ≤25% and the mean dose to the heart was ≤30 Gy. The treatment plan was implemented following location and dose distribution verification, using 6-MV photons generated by a linear accelerator, in a normal delivery schedule of 1.8-2.1 Gy/fraction, 5 fractions/week. All treatment procedures were completed within 6-7 weeks.

Chemotherapy. All enrolled patients received 4-6 courses of monthly cycled concurrent cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy (PF therapy). Cisplatin (25 mg/m²) with hydration therapy was administered on days 1-5 (at which time the radiotherapy was also initiated) and 450 mg/m² 5-fluorouracil was infused intravenously on days 1-5. A total of 4-6 cycles of chemotherapy were administered, depending on the individual physical conditions of the patients.

Hyperthermia. Hyperthermia was applied by the BSD-2000 hyperthermia system (BSD Medical Corporation, Salt Lake City, UT, USA), which is able to heat locoregional lesions located deep in the body. Precise treatment planning was based on the lesion location as shown on the thoracic CT images, ensuring that the tumor was entirely located within the therapeutic thermal field. The objective of the hyperthermia treatment was to achieve an intratumoral temperature of ≥42.5°C for 60 min. Hyperthermia was performed twice a week, within 2 h of the irradiation session during the period of radiotherapy. A total of 6-12 sessions of hyperthermia were performed, depending on the individual physical conditions of the patients.

Primary tumor response assessment. To evaluate the primary tumor response, thoracic CT scans, barium meal and ultrasound imaging were performed following the delivery of a dose of 40 Gy during the course of radiotherapy and at 3 and 6 months following the initiation of treatment. The treatment response was evaluated according to the revised RECIST guidelines (9).

Toxicity evaluation. Patients were carefully examined weekly throughout the duration of the treatment, or more often if clinically indicated. Patient physical profiles such as symptoms, physical signs, KPS and body weight were recorded in detail. Routine blood examination was performed weekly. A serum chemistry profile was performed prior to and following each chemotherapy cycle, to monitor hepatic and renal function. The toxicities were defined and graded according to the CTCAE, version 3.0 (10).

Statistical analysis. Descriptive results such as means, medians and proportions were calculated to characterize patient, disease and treatment characteristics, in addition to toxicities following treatment. The survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier product-limit method (11). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Statistical software SPSS 19.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for performing statistical analyses, manipulating data and generating data-summarizing graphs.

Results

Patient characteristics. As shown in Table I, the majority of the patients were male and the mean age was 65.1±5.2 years. The predominant histological type was squamous cell carcinoma, with only one case of adenocarcinoma. The majority of cases were T3 or T4, N1 and M0.

Clinical stag characterization. As shown in Table I, the majority of the patients were male and the mean age was 65.1±5.2 years. The predominant histological type was squamous cell carcinoma, with only one case of adenocarcinoma. The majority of cases were T3 or T4, N1 and M0.

Disease control and survival. The mean follow-up duration was 20.1 months (range, 1.8-51.5 months). The clinical outcomes of locoregional control (LRC), distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS) and overall survival (OS) are shown in Table II. The 1-, 2- and 3-year LRC was 76.9, 55.1 and 47.4%, respectively; the DMFS was 67.9, 38.5 and 30.8% respectively;
Table II. OS, LRC and DMFS rates during the 3-year follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>1-year (%)</th>
<th>2-year (%)</th>
<th>3-year (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRC</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMFS</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OS, overall survival; LRC, locoregional control; DMFS, distant metastasis-free survival.

Table III. Adverse effects of the trimodality therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse effect</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leucopenia</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagitis</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea, vomiting</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonitis</td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with regards to OS, the median survival time was 24 months and the 1-, 2- and 3-year survival rate was 67.9, 41.0 and 33.3%, respectively (Fig. 1). Compared to previous studies on concurrent chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer (4,12,13), the OS outcome in our study was slightly improved. However, additional investigations are required to establish its statistical accuracy.

Treatment side-effects. The main toxicity of this trimodal treatment presented as hematological toxicity due to bone marrow suppression. Of the 78 follow-up patients, grade 3 or higher hematological side-effects included 32 cases of leucopenia (41.0%), 6 of thrombocytopenia (7.7%) and 2 of anemia (2.6%). Non-hematological toxicity mainly included radiation esophagitis, nausea or vomiting, pneumonitis and liver dysfunction (Table III). All patients succeeded in completing the treatment and no treatment-related mortality occurred within 90 days after the end of treatment. In general, the side-effects of the trimodal regimen were well-tolerated and no significant difference was observed between our therapy regimen and those of previous studies (4,12).

Discussion

For patients with esophageal cancer, the efficacy of radiotherapy alone is not satisfactory, as demonstrated by the high incidence of treatment failure, presenting as locoregional tumor persistence or recurrence and distant metastasis. Over the past decades the medical community has endeavored to optimize treatment strategies, with the aim of reducing local and distant treatment failure. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy was established as the standard treatment for esophageal cancer, as recommended by the RTOG trial 85-01 (4) in addition to other studies (2), with a notable improvement in treatment efficacy. However, disease persistence remains the most common cause of treatment failure and a significant predictor of worse OS (13). Therefore, improving LRC is critical in the treatment of esophageal cancer.

A trimodal treatment combining intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT), chemotherapy and hyperthermia was applied at our institution to improve the LRC and ultimately the OS rates of esophageal cancer. IMRT is a product of the ongoing advances in radiotherapeutic technology. Compared to the conventional radiation dose-delivering technologies, IMRT has the ability to deliver higher doses to the tumor target, while limiting the irradiation of the surrounding normal tissues (14). Therefore, higher radiation doses may be delivered and an improved LRC may be achieved. With the use of this technology at our institution, the prescribed dose delivered to the PTV was 10-15 Gy higher than the dose used in the RTOG trial 85-01, with the aim of achieving optimal LRC benefits for the patients. With regards to the chemotherapy for esophageal cancer, concurrent administration of fluorouracil and cisplatin (PF therapy) was established as the standard adjuvant therapy and was applied at our institution. Locoregional hyperthermia is an additional modality for treating clinical malignancies, with no reported severe side-effects. The effect of hyperthermia on improving the local tumor control rate was significant and well-tolerated (6-8). Although hyperthermia alone may exert an antitumor effect, its synergistic effect with radiation and chemotherapy was the rationale for combining hyperthermia with chemoradiation therapy. Therefore, hyperthermia was included in the treatment regimen at our institution with the aim to improve the LCR of malignancies.

Our 3-year observation of the outcome of the trimodal treatment indicated a sound clinical efficacy. The primary tumor response rate was significant, reaching 96.1%. This finding demonstrates the radiosensitizing effect of hyperthermia and chemoradiotherapy and establishes the superiority of trimodal therapy. The clinical outcome of LRC, DMFS and
OS in our study was satisfactory. The 1-, 2- and 3-year OS was 67.9, 41.0 and 33.3%, respectively. Notably, compared with previous studies (4,12,13), our preliminary results demonstrated that trimodal therapy exhibited a slightly improved long-term clinical outcome regarding the 3-year OS (33% in our study vs. ~25% in chemoradiation therapy for locally advanced esophageal cancer), although additional investigations are required to verify this finding and establish its statistical accuracy. However, our results are similar to those reported by another study on the synergistic effect of hyperthermia and chemoradiation (15).

In our study, despite the higher radiation dose delivered and the application of hyperthermia, the toxicity was not significantly elevated. The most significant adverse effect of this regimen was hematological toxicity: ≥grade 3 leucopenia was observed in ~40% of the patients. However, there were no hematological toxicity-related mortalities, due to timely medical intervention and supportive care. There were no significant differences in the adverse effects between our trimodal treatment study and other similar studies (4,12,16). Therefore, we concluded that the toxicity of the trimodal treatment was well-tolerated.

In conclusion, this study presents the single-institutional 3-year outcome of the radio-, chemo- and hyperthermia combined trimodality on locally advanced esophageal cancer. The preliminary results have demonstrated that this regimen provides a good clinical outcome, presented by the high primary tumor response rate, as well as a slightly improved 3-year OS.
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