# Radiotherapy and chemoradiotherapy as a novel option for the treatment of locally advanced inoperable gastric adenocarcinoma: A phase II study

# JERZY WYDMANSKI, KINGA GRABINSKA, PAWEL POLANOWSKI, AGNIESZKA NAMYSL-KALETKA, RAFAL KAWCZYNSKI, MALGORZATA KRASZKIEWICZ and WOJCIECH MAJEWSKI

Department of Radiotherapy, Maria Sklodowska-Curie Memorial Cancer Center and Institute of Oncology, Gliwice Branch, 44-101 Gliwice, Poland

Received May 8, 2014; Accepted June 23, 2014

DOI: 10.3892/mco.2014.348

Abstract. This phase II trial aimed to evaluate the tolerance and efficacy of radical radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy in patients with primarily inoperable gastric cancer. The analysis was based on 13 patients with primarily inoperable gastric cancer. A total of 6 (46.2%) patients refused surgery and 7 (53.8%) had contraindications to anesthesia due to cardiological or respiratory reasons (4 and 3 patients, respectively). The treatment regimen consisted of radiotherapy and chemotherapy based on 5-fluorouracil. Half of the patients were not qualified to receive chemotherapy due to the presence of comorbidities. A total dose of 45 Gy was administered in 25 fractions. Of the 13 patients who started treatment, 12 (92.3%) completed radiotherapy. Local treatment response was observed in 6/12 patients (50%), with 5/12 (41.7%) displaying clinical complete response and 1/12 (8.3%) partial response. The 1- and 3-year overall survival rates and the median survival were 59 and 48% and 17.1 months, respectively. In conclusion, radical radiotherapy, either alone or in combination with chemotherapy, is safe for patients with inoperable locally advanced gastric cancer and may prolong survival.

# Introduction

In patients with gastric cancer, both the stage of the cancer and the patient's performance status (PS) are taken into consideration when selecting the treatment regimen. In elderly patients, the number of coexisting diseases, the history of the disease and the extent of the planned surgery may limit the possibility of general anesthesia and are associated with a high risk of life-threatening perioperative complications. The proportion of patients with

Key words: gastric cancer, radiotherapy, chemotherapy

gastric cancer who did not undergo surgery increased from 8% prior to 1970 to 29% in 1990, due to improved pretreatment selection (1). It was estimated that  $\sim 10\%$  of patients with locally advanced gastric cancer are not eligible for surgery due to their poor general condition or contraindications to general anesthesia. In such patients, chemotherapy is also usually contraindicated. A proportion of elderly patients do not agree to surgery due to their concerns regarding postoperative complications. For this group of patients, best supportive care (BSC) is the treatment of choice. BSC may improve the quality of life, but offers no survival benefits. There is a lack of alternative treatment regimens for this group of patients. Palliative radiotherapy provides relief of symptoms in the majority of patients and marginally prolongs survival (2-7). In our institution, over the last 10 years neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy has been used in patients with operable gastric cancer (8). This type of therapy appears to be well tolerated, even by older patients. A high rate of pathological response and R0 resection, low rate of local recurrence and high percentage of 2-year survival were observed and these results prompted us to attempt the use of radical radiotherapy/chemoradiotherapy in patients with inoperable gastric cancer. Such treatment may increase the chance of a cure. The aim of this study was to present our experience with treatment tolerance and patient outcomes with this regimen.

# Materials and methods

*Patient population*. Patients with biopsy-proven locally advanced inoperable gastric adenocarcinoma, with no evidence of distant metastases, were treated with radiotherapy or chemo-radiotherapy. All the patients were required to have a Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) PS of 0-2, to be aged 20-85 years, have serum creatinine levels <1.5 mg/dl, serum bilirubin levels <2.0 mg/dl, a granulocyte count >1,500 cells/ $\mu$ l and a platelet count >100,000 cells/ $\mu$ l.

The pretreatment staging included a complete physical examination, oesophagogastroscopy with biopsies, chest X-ray or computed tomography (CT) and CT of the abdomen. Endoscopic ultrasonography is not yet available in our institution. The patients were not staged with laparoscopy. This staging was focused on identifying patients with distant

*Correspondence to:* Dr Jerzy Wydmanski, Department of Radiotherapy, Maria Skłodowska-Curie Memorial Cancer Center and Institute of Oncology, Gliwice Branch, 15 Wybrzeze Armii Krajowej Road, 44-101 Gliwice, Poland E-mail: jerzywydmanski@gmail.com

metastases, who were excluded from this study. The study was performed in accordance with the Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki.

A total of 13 patients were investigated, 3 women (23.1%) and 10 men (76.9%), with a median age of 74 years (range, 52-83 years). Patients were enrolled in the study from February, 2008 to June, 2013. A total of 6 patients (46.2%) refused surgery and 7 (53.8%) had contraindications to anesthesia due to cardiological or respiratory reasons (4 and 3 patients, respectively). A total of 6 patients (46.1%) had an ECOG PS of 0, 5 (38.5%) had an ECOG PS of 1 and 2 (15.4%) had an ECOG PS of 2. The tumors were located predominantly in the cardiac region in 9 patients (69.2%), in the body of the stomach in 3 (23.1%) and in the antral region in 1 patient (7.7%). The pretreatment tumor stages were as follows: T1-2, 5 patients (38.5%) and T3, 8 patients (61.5%). There was no nodal involvement (N0) in 8 patients (61.5%) and N1-3 disease was found in 5 patients (38.5%). The median tumor volume was 89 cm<sup>3</sup> (range, 25-211 cm<sup>3</sup>).

A total of 4 patients lost >10% of their weight. A reduced serum albumin level to <3.5 g/dl caused by malnutrition was observed in 2 patients (15.4%). A total of 38% of the patients were found to be anemic (hemoglobin concentration <12 g/dl). The incidence of thrombocytosis, defined as platelet count >400,000 cells/ $\mu$ l, was 23.1%. Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9) levels were elevated above the cutoff level in 30.8 and 46.1% of patients, respectively. The patient characteristics are listed in Table I.

Radiotherapy. The treatment regimen consisted of radiotherapy and chemotherapy administered for 35 days. The total dose of 45 Gy was administered in 25 fractions, with 5 fractions per week for 5 weeks. The biologically effective dose (BED), calculated using the linear-quadratic formalism (9) and an  $\alpha/\beta$  ratio of 10 for early responding-tissues (tumor), was 53.1 Gy. The tumor volume and location were defined on the basis of CT scans of the abdomen and upper gastrointestinal endoscopy reports. The treatment fields encompassed the stomach and regional lymph nodes (gastric, celiac, gastroduodenal, porta hepatis, splenic, suprapanceratic, retropanceraticoduodenal and lower oesophageal). The longitudinal margins of the esophagus or duodenum (5 cm) were included when the tumor involved the cardia or the gastroduodenal junction (10). Radiation therapy was delivered with a high-energy linear accelerator (Clinac 23EX; Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA, USA) using 6-20 MV photons. Three-dimentional conformal treatment planning was used for all the cases in this study. Radiotherapy was performed using intensity-modulated radiation therapy (8 patients), four-field isocentric technique (3 patients) and tomotherapy (1 patient).

*Concurrent chemotherapy*. The concurrent chemotherapy regimen was based on 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). Chemotherapy was administered at least 1 h prior to starting irradiation. 5-FU was administered intravenously as a 10-min bolus injection. Patients routinely received prophylactic antiemetic support. Bolus infusions of 5-FU (325 mg/m<sup>2</sup> of body surface area) were administered intravenously on days 1-5 and 29-33. Complete blood cell (CBC) count, liver and renal tests were monitored prior to each course. CBC counts were evaluated at least once

per week. Over half of the patients were not qualified to receive chemotherapy due to comorbidities. Five patients (38.5%) received 2 cycles of concurrent 5-FU during radiotherapy and 1 patient (7.7%) received 2 cycles of epirubicin, oxaliplatin and capecitabine prior to qualification for radiotherapy.

*Toxicity criteria and tumor response*. Treatment-related toxicity was classified according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 3.0 (11). Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, leukopenia, granulocytopenia, lymphocytopenia and thrombocytopenia were assessed weekly. The quality of life was assessed using the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire-Core 30. Tumor response was assessed based on CT scans.

Statistical analysis. The survival function was computed using the Kaplan-Meier method. The overall survival (OS) was calculated from the start of the radiation therapy. Comparisons of survival curves were performed using the Cox's F-test and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. The Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests were used to assess the association between clinical factors and clinical response rates after therapy. All the statistical computations were performed using Statistica software, version 10 (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

*Follow-up*. Each patient was assessed every 3 months after treatment for 5 years or until death from any cause. The follow-up evaluations included physical examination, oesophagogastroscopy, chest X-ray, transabdominal ultrasonography or CT scans of the abdomen, CBC count, CEA and CA 19-9 levels, liver and renal function tests.

#### Results

Tolerance and response to treatment. All 13 patients were assessed for tolerance to treatment and survival and 12 patients were assessed for clinical complete response. Of the 13 patients who started treatment, 12 (92.3%) completed radiotherapy. In 1 case (7.7%), radiotherapy was discontinued after 27 Gy due to worsening of a coexisting condition and the patient soon succumbed to the disease despite intensive hospital treatment. In 2 patients (15.4%), treatment was interrupted for a few days, due to hematological adverse events in one and due to worsening of a coexisting condition in the other patient. All 5 patients who were qualified for concurrent chemotherapy received 2 cycles of 5-FU as planned.

The incidence of the treatment-related adverse effects is shown in Table II. A total of 12 patients (92.3%) experienced a maximum of grade 3 or 4 lymphocytopenia. The median of decrease in the concentration of hemoglobin was -0.7 g/dl (range, -3.9-1.1 g/dl). Other hematological toxicities were infrequent. Only 1 patient developed grade 3 nausea/vomiting. There were no cases of grade 4 gastrointestinal toxicities. Tumor regression was assessed in the 12 patients (92.3%) who completed the radiotherapy. Of these 12 patients, 6 (50%) exhibited local response to treatment, with 5/12 (41.7%) displaying clinical complete response and 1/12 (8.3%) displaying partial response. Local progression and stable disease were observed in 4 (33.3%) and 2 (16.7%) patients, respectively (data not shown).

Table II. Treatment-related adverse events.

Characteristics	Patient no. (%) (n=13)
Age, years	
Median (range)	74 (52-83)
Gender	
Male	10 (76.9)
Female	3 (23.1)
Reasons for no surgery	
Personal choice	6 (46.2)
Comorbidities	7 (53.8)
ECOG performance status	
0	6 (46.1)
1	5 (38.5)
2	2 (15.4)
Tumor location	
Proximal	9 (69.2)
Middle/distal	4 (30.8)
Histology	
Adenocarcinoma	10 (76.9)
Signet ring cell/mucinous adenocarcinoma	3 (23.1)
Tumor stage	
T1-2	5 (38.5)
T3	8 (61.5)
Nodal status	
NO	8 (61.5)
N1-3	5 (38.5)
Maximum tumor diameter, cm	
0.0-5.9	2 (15.4)
6.0-10.0	5 (38.5)
>10.0	6 (46.1)
Tumor volume $cm^3$	
0.0-49.9	3 (23.1)
50.0-99.9	4 (30.8)
>100.0	6 (46.1)
Body weight loss %	
No loss	5 (38.4)
≤10	4 (30.8)
>10	4 (30.8)
Albumin level prior to RT/CRT o/dl	<pre> /</pre>
Median (range)	4.0 (3.0-4.8)
Hh level prior to RT/CPT g/dl	
Median (range)	12 3 (8 7-17 5)
mouraii (raiigo)	12.3 (0.7-17.3)

ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; RT, radiotherapy; CRT, chemoradiotherapy; Hb, hemoglobin.

*Survival and pattern of failure*. The survival analysis was based on all 13 patients in the group studied. The median follow-up for surviving patients was 30.1 months (range, 7.3-65.4 months). No patients were lost to follow-up. At the time

Grades of adverse events	Patient no. (%) (n=13)
Leukopenia	
0	8 (61.5)
1,2	4 (30.8)
3,4	1 (7.7)
Granulocytopenia	
0	11 (84.6)
1,2	2 (15.4)
3,4	0
Lymphocytopenia	
0	0
1,2	1 (7.7)
3,4	12 (92.3)
Thrombocytopenia	
0	10 (76.9)
1,2	3 (23.1)
3,4	0
Nausea	
0	8 (61.5)
1,2	4 (30.8)
3,4	1 (7.7)
Vomiting	
0	10 (76.9)
1,2	2 (15.4)
3,4	1 (7.7)
Diarrhoea	
0	11 (84.6)
1,2	2 (15.4)
3,4	0

of the analysis, 7 (53.9%) of 13 patients were alive, 4 (30.8%) without signs of disease and 3 (23.1%) with local progression of the tumor. Among the 6 (46.1%) deceased patients, 2 (15.4%) succumbed to tumor progression, 2 (15.4%) succumbed to distant metastases, 1 (7.7%) succumbed to tumor progression and distant metastases and 1 (7.7%) died during radiotherapy due to worsening of a coexisting disease. The 1- and 3-year OS rates and median survival were 59 and 48% and 17.1 months, respectively. The survival curve is depicted in Fig. 1.

*Prognostic factors*. Among the different clinical factors affecting the OS rate, lower tumor stage (T1-2 vs. T3, P<0.031), lymph node metastasis (present vs. absent, P<0.021) and complete or partial tumor regression following therapy (yes vs. no, P<0.019) were statistically significant (data not shown). The following clinical characteristics were not found to be prognostic: gender, age, PS, histology, tumor location, tumor volume, type of treatment (radiotherapy vs. chemora-diotherapy), pretreatment hemoglobin concentration, CEA and CA 19-9 levels.



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier survival curve of 13 patients with inoperable gastric cancer.

## Discussion

The definition of 'inoperable' gastric cancer should be discussed. A significant proportion of the patients may not be suitable for radical treatment due to their poor general condition, presence of distant metastases or extensive infiltration of the surrounding organs. Our study focused on patients who were not surgical candidates due to comorbidities, or who did not agree to surgery and we only evaluated the outcomes of 13 patients. We intend to close the present trial due to the low recruitment rate, which has been <3 patients per year. We are not able to recruit 40 patients as initially planned, the main reason being what in our opinion is a lack of faith in the medical community as to the likelihood of effective treatment of patients with medically inoperable gastric cancer and the subsequent resignation of directing such patients to cancer centers. Although a proportion of these patients do not qualify for any treatment due to their poor general condition, some of these patients should receive palliative treatment, whereas others may benefit from radical radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy. Despite our full awareness of the limited value of our research due to our small patient sample, we came to the decision to publish our results due to the unexpected outcomes that may pave the way for further multicenter studies.

In the past, due to the lack of curative treatment modalities, palliative radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy and BSC were widely employed and the median survival of untreated patients was <2 months (4). BSC improves median survival by 1-2 months, whereas radiotherapy and chemoradiotherapy were mainly used as palliative treatment. An analysis of the previously published studies demonstrated that the median OS rate ranged between 3.4 and 5.3 months (2-7) and the 1-year OS varied between 0 and 15% (3,4). The main goal of palliative radiotherapy is the reduction of symptoms such as bleeding, stenosis and pain, with satisfactory results in the majority of the patients. There is currently no data in the literature comparing the feasibility and efficacy of radical radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy for inoperable gastric cancer. In our study, the median actuarial OS was 17.1 months. The survival rate at 6, 12 and 36 months was 69, 59 and 48%, respectively. In a retrospective analysis of 66 patients who received definitive chemoradiotherapy, the median OS was 14.5 months (12). A third of those patients did not undergo surgery, due to the presence of comorbidities or through personal choice. Unfortunately, the authors did not provide an analysis of the survival rate amongst that group of patients. The results presented indicate that the median OS and 3-year survival rate are similar to those reported by Saikawa et al (13), who evaluated preoperative chemoradiotherapy for unresectable or incurable gastric cancer. Better results were observed in trials assessing neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery for operable gastric cancer (8,14-18). High-dose chemotherapy may be a suitable palliative treatment only for patients exhibiting a good PS. Multidrug chemotherapy used in patients with unresectable or metastatic gastric cancer appears to be intolerably toxic for patients with medically inoperable gastric cancer. In our group, over half of the patients were disqualified from 5-FU alone administered at low doses as a radiosensitiser. However, our results demonstrated that even radiotherapy alone exerted a beneficial effect on treatment outcome.

Radiation therapy may be considered an effective treatment for gastric cancer. In our study, a complete or partial tumor regression following radiotherapy was observed in half of the patients. Suzuki *et al* (12) reported that 35% of the patients achieved a clinical complete response. Unfortunately, in our study, no parameters were identified which could be used to predict the degree of clinical tumor response. In the other study, the patients who achieved clinical complete response exhibited a longer OS (12). None of our patients underwent surgery and, therefore, the percentage of pathological response cannot be determined. Neoadjuvant treatment was found to induce a high rate of pathological response, ranging between 6 and 36% (14-18). As expected, in our study, tumor stage and lymph node status affected the OS.

The tolerance to treatment was satisfactory, with a toxic death rate of 7.7% (1/13). Despite intensive hospital treatment, the PS of the patient deteriorated and he succumbed to the disease 2 weeks after the discontinuation of radiotherapy (after 27 Gy). We cannot unambiguously determine the cause of death. The patient was the oldest among the group and had a pretreatment PS of 2. The severity of the side effects was the highest among all the patients: grade 3 nausea/vomiting, grade 2 diarrhoea and grade 3 lymphocytopenia. This case highlights the need for careful qualification of patients aged >80 years with a PS of 2. In previous palliative studies there was no reported treatment-related mortality (2,3). However, Saikawa et al (12) reported 1 case (3%) of lethal events following radical chemoradiotherapy. Myelosuppresion was the most commonly observed toxicity. Almost all the patients developed grade 3 or 4 lymphocytopenia. Co-trimoxazole was used as a prophylaxis against Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia when lymphocytopenia was  $<500 \text{ cells}/\mu$ l. There were no observed grade 3 or 4 granulocytopenia or thrombocytopenia. In palliative studies, the incidence of grade 3 or 4 hematological toxicities was low (2,3). In our study, other observed treatment toxicities included mild nausea/vomiting and diarrhoea. Other authors reported grade 3 gastrointestinal toxicity ranging between 3 and 14% (2,3). The toxicity in our study was comparable to that reported regarding neoadjuvant

chemoradiotherapy (8,13). Modern individual treatment planning based on CT scans and multi-field conformal techniques allow the reduction of the risk of localization errors and enable sparing of normal tissues (kidneys, spinal cord, liver and bowel), while maintaining a relatively high local control rate. The present study demonstrated that the prescribed schedule of radiotherapy and chemoradiotherapy was relatively well tolerated and the complication rate was considered acceptable; however, it is our opinion it may be better administered under hospitalization in elderly patients. In conclusion, inoperable gastric cancer is currently considered to be an incurable disease. Our results demonstrated that such an approach may be inaccurate and requires revision. Radical radiotherapy, alone or in combination with chemotherapy, is a safe and well-tolerated treatment modality for patients with primarily inoperable gastric cancer and may prolong survival.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by The National Science Centre of Poland (grant no. NN 403 238 140).

#### References

- Kelsen DP, Van de Velde CJH and Minsky BD: Gastric cancer: clinical management. In: Principles and Practice of Gastrointestinal Oncology. Kelsen DP, Daly JM, Kern SE, Levin B, Tepper JE and Van Cutsem E (eds). 2nd edition. Wolters Kluwer Health, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp285-316, 2008.
- 2. Kim MM, Rana V, Janjan NA, *et al*: Clinical benefit of palliative radiation therapy in advanced gastric cancer. Acta Oncol 47: 421-427, 2008.
- 3. Tey J, Back MF, Shakespeare TP, *et al*: The role of palliative radiation therapy in symptomatic locally advanced gastric cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 67: 385-388, 2007.
- Chaw CL, Niblock PG, Chaw CŠ, et al: The role of palliative radiotherapy for haemostasis in unresectable gastric cancer: a single-institution experience. Ecancermedicalscience 8: 384, 2014.
- Hashimoto K, Mayahara H, Takashima A, *et al*: Palliative radiation therapy for hemorrhage of unresectable gastric cancer: a single institute experience. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 135: 1117-1123, 2009.

- 6. Lee JA, Lim do H, Park W, *et al*: Radiation therapy for gastric cancer bleeding. Tumori 95: 726-730, 2009.
- Asakura H, Hashimoto T, Harada H, *et al*: Palliative radiotherapy for bleeding from advanced gastric cancer: is a schedule of 30 Gy in 10 fractions adequate? J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 137: 125-130, 2011.
- 8. Wydmanski J, Suwinski R, Poltorak S, *et al*: The tolerance and efficacy of preoperative chemoradiotherapy followed by gastrectomy in operable gastric cancer, a phase II study. Radiother Oncol 82: 132-136, 2007.
- 9. Fowler JF: The linear-quadratic formula and progress in fractionated radiotherapy. Br J Radiol 62: 679-694, 1989.
- Wydmanski J and Mohanti BK: An appraisal of radiation therapy techniques for adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy in gastric cancer. J Radiother Pract 7: 67-75, 2008.
- National Cancer Institute: Common terminology criteria for adverse events v3.0 (CTCAE). http://ctep.cancer.gov/ protocolDevelopment/electronic\_applications/docs/ctcaev3. pdf#search="ctcae version 3.0". Accessed August 9, 20016.
- Suzuki A, Xiao L, Taketa T, *et al*: Localized gastric cancer treated with chemoradation without surgery: UTMD Anderson Cancer Center experience. Oncology 82: 347-351, 2012.
- Saikawa Y, Kubota T, Kumagai K, et al: Phase II study of chemoradiotherapy with S-1 and low-dose cisplatin for inoperable advanced gastric cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 71: 173-179, 2008.
- Ajani JA, Mansfield PF, Janjan N, *et al*: Multi-institutional trial of preoperative chemoradiotherapy in patients with potentially resectable gastric carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 22: 2774-2780, 2004.
- Ajani JA, Mansfield PF, Crane CH, *et al*: Paclitaxel-based chemoradiotherapy in localized gastric carcinoma: degree of pathologic response and not clinical parameters dictated patient outcome. J Clin Oncol 23: 1237-1244, 2005.
- Allal AS, Zwahlen D, Brundler MA, et al: Neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy for locally advanced gastric cancer: long-term results of a phase I trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 63: 1286-1289, 2005.
- Balandraud P, Moutardier V, Giovannini M, et al: Locally advanced adenocarcinomas of the gastric cardia: results of pre-operative chemoradiotherapy. Gastroenterol Clin Biol 28: 651-657, 2004.
- Lowy AM, Feig BW, Janjan N, *et al*: A pilot study of preoperative chemoradiotherapy for resectable gastric cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 8: 519-524, 2001.