Prognostic significance of osteopontin expression in non-small-cell lung cancer: A meta-analysis
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Abstract. Osteopontin (OPN) plays an important role in the progression and metastasis of cancer. However, the role of OPN as a prognostic factor in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) remains controversial. The aim of this study was to investigate the association between OPN expression and prognosis in patients with NSCLC using a meta-analysis. Based on PubMed, Ovid Medline, Embase, ISI, ScienceDirect and SpringerLink databases, related articles published prior to January, 2013 were collected. A meta-analysis was conducted to investigate the association of OPN expression with overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in patients with NSCLC. Hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was used to assess the strength of this association. A total of 6 studies, including 776 patients, were found to be eligible for the meta-analysis. No heterogeneity was observed in OS or PFS, whereas low OPN expression was found to be correlated with better OS (HR=0.57, 95% CI: 0.46-0.70) and PFS (HR=0.62, 95% CI: 0.49-0.77). This meta-analysis demonstrated an association of OPN with poor prognosis in NSCLC patients. However, prospective studies are required to confirm these findings.

Introduction

Lung cancer ranks first in incidence and mortality worldwide, accounting for 26 and 28% of cancer-related mortality cases in women and men, respectively (1). The principles of treatment have not changed significantly over several decades and mainly include surgery, radiotherapy or chemotherapy. Recently, targeted therapy was applied to a subset of patients with epithelial growth factor receptor gene mutation or amplification. However, <20% of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) survive for 5 years (2). The identification of prognostic biomarkers is crucial for improving patient outcome. Several prognostic biomarkers have been proposed for NSCLC, such as high excision repair cross-complementation group 1 protein (3), but the results in the literature have been inconsistent.

Osteopontin (OPN) is a multifunctional glycoprophoprotein secreted by different types of cells (4). OPN is an important adhesive bone matrix protein and plays a key role in immune cell recruitment, wound healing and tissue remodeling (5). OPN contains 314 amino acids with extensive post-translational modifications, including phosphorylation and N-linked glycosylation, which result in electrophoretic mobility between 44 and 75 kDa. OPN expression is induced during malignant transformation and may contribute to tumorigenesis or, more likely, to the development of a metastatic phenotype (6). OPN expression in NSCLC patients has the potential to provide clinically important prognostic information (7). In the present study, we performed a quantitative meta-analysis of the related published studies to derive a more precise estimation of the significance of OPN.

Materials and methods

Literature search strategy for identification of related studies. A search was performed, covering all the articles published up to January, 2013, using a combination of the key terms ‘osteopontin’, ‘lung neoplasms’ and ‘survival analysis’ in PubMed and Ovid Medline and a combination of the keywords ‘osteopontin’ and ‘(lung neoplasm) or ‘lung cancer’ or ‘lung carcinoma’ or ‘lung tumor’ or ‘lung tumour’) and (‘survival analysis or ‘survival data’) in PubMed, Ovid Medline, ISI, Embase, ScienceDirect and SpringerLink. We evaluated potentially relevant publications by checking the titles and abstracts and procured the most relevant publications for a closer examination. Moreover, the reference lists of the selected articles were also screened for additional articles that were potentially overlooked during the initial search.
The following criteria were used for the study selection: i) The association of OPN levels and the prognosis in patients with NSCLC was considered; ii) the OPN levels were divided on a dichotomy with the median level as the cut-off value in each study; iii) sufficient survival data were provided to calculate hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI); iv) the study had long-term follow-up to assess survival; v) OPN expression was measured by immunohistochemistry, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, or reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

Two reviewers (X.-L. Zou and K. Liu) independently screened and retrieved the titles and abstracts of the identified studies and subsequently excluded irrelevant articles. In the case of potentially relevant references, the articles were obtained in full-text format and the following data were extracted from each study: First author's surname, year of publication, disease type, treatment, number of different clinical and pathological parameters and assessment methods of survival expression. Disagreements were resolved through discussion and, when necessary, a third investigator was consulted (W. Nie).

Qualitative assessment. Quality assessment was conducted for each of the available studies by using a generally accepted assessment for cohort studies with moderate modifications (Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale, http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp. Accessed January 1, 2001) (Table I). This scale allows for assessment of patient population and selection, study comparability, follow-up and outcome of interest. The interpretation of the scale is performed by awarding points, or ‘stars’, for...
high-quality elements. Stars are then added up and used to compare study quality in a quantitative manner.

Data extraction. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the interval between the treatment initiation and patient death or last observation. Progression-free survival (PFS) was measured from the date of treatment initiation until the detection of disease recurrence or the last follow-up assessment. From each study, the information summarized in Table II was extracted and tabulated.

Statistical analysis. The included studies were divided into two groups for analysis, those with data regarding OS and those with data on PFS. Data on the predictive ability of OPN (the cut-off value for low vs. high OPN expression was determined by the investigators of each study) for OS and PFS were combined across studies. For the quantitative aggregation of the survival results, HR and 95% CI were combined to provide the effective value. When these statistical variables were not provided explicitly in an article, they were calculated from available numerical data using the methods reported by Tierney et al (8). The point estimate of the HR was considered statistically significant at P<0.05, provided the 95% CIs did not include the value 1. Between-study heterogeneity was determined by performing the χ²-based Q statistics test and was considered significant for P<0.10 (9). The I² statistic was used as a confirmatory test for heterogeneity, with I² <25, 25-50 and >50% representing low, moderate and high-degree heterogeneity, respectively (10-11). The random-effects model was applied (DerSimonian-Laird method), assuming that studies were sampled from populations with varying effect sizes, calculating the study weights from in-study as well as between-study variances and considering the extent of variation or heterogeneity (12). The fixed-effects model was applied (Mantel-Haenszel method), assuming that the studies were sampled from populations with the same effect size, making an adjustment to the study weights according to the in-study variance (13). An asymmetric Begg's funnel plot suggested possible publication bias and the funnel plot asymmetry was assessed using Egger's linear regression test method (14-15). All the statistical tests were performed using Stata/SE v.12 software, (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Literature search and meta-analysis database. The search algorithm retrieved 100 references. A total of 58 articles were
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excluded as they were did not investigate the association of OPN and NSCLC; and 10 articles were excluded as the OPN levels were not divided based on dichotomy. One case report and 3 reviews were excluded. A further 18 articles were excluded, as they provided no survival data. Another article was excluded, as it was a meta-analysis comprising only 3 publications on NSCLC not investigating the association between HR and 95% CI (16).

The remaining 9 studies focused on OPN expression in patients with NSCLC. A further 2 studies were excluded [one focused on the association between OPN and bone metastases (17), whereas the other provided limited data on HR and 95% CI (18) and the authors were unavailable for more detailed information]. In addition, after performing an in-depth check of the literature, we found that the same cohort was enrolled in two reports (19,20) and only considered the study by Donati et al (19), which had a larger sample size and included more detailed information. Finally, 6 studies were considered eligible for the meta-analysis, comprising a total of 776 patients (Fig. 1). Three of those studies were conducted in America (21-23), two in Japan (24,25) and one in Italy (19). All the studies had a minimum follow-up of 2 years. The study quality determined by the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale ranged between 5 and 7 (mean, 6.17). Survival was correlated with the OPN expression level, which was assayed with the indicated methods in each study. The characteristics of the eligible studies are summarized in Table II.

**Quantitative data synthesis.** Among the 6 studies, 5 provided information on OPN expression and OS and 4 on OPN expression and PFS. Low OPN expression was associated with better OS and PFS in the studies, with statistically significant differences. Combined data from the 5 studies demonstrated that low OPN expression was significantly correlated with OS, with a pooled HR estimate of 0.57 (95% CI: 0.46-0.70, P<0.001), without heterogeneity in the data (χ²=5.22, I²=23.3%, P=0.266; Fig. 2A). Also, combined data from the 4 studies demonstrated that low OPN expression was significantly correlated with PFS, with a pooled HR estimate of 0.68 (95% CI: 0.59-0.78, P<0.001), without heterogeneity in the data (χ²=3.77, I²=9.5%, P=0.217; Fig. 2B).
correlated with better PFS, with a pooled HR estimate of 0.62 (95% CI: 0.49-0.77, P<0.001), without heterogeneity in the data ($\chi^2=5.95, I^2=49.6\%$, $P=0.114$; Fig. 2B).

**Sensitivity analysis.** In order to compare the differences and evaluate the sensitivity of the meta-analysis, one-way sensitivity analysis was conducted to evaluate the stability of the meta-analysis (Fig. 3). The $I^2$ value was not significantly affected when any single study was omitted, with the statistical significance of the overall effect size remaining unchanged. Therefore, the results of the sensitivity analysis suggested that the data in this meta-analysis were stable and reliable.

**Publication bias.** Funnel plots were created for the assessment of possible publication bias. Egger's linear regression test was used for the quantitative evaluation of the symmetry of the meta-analysis funnel plot (Table III). The 95% CI of intercept included zero in Egger's publication bias plot, suggesting that the results of this meta-analysis are relatively stable and not significantly affected by publication bias.

**Discussion**

The identification of biomarkers is crucial for guiding clinical decision-making. Biomarkers provide valuable information on potential therapeutic benefits. For example, the 21-gene signature helps to prescribe adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer patients who would be difficult to assess based on clinical characteristics alone (26). The presence of K-ras mutations strongly argues against administering cetuximab to colon cancer patients (27). Prognostic biomarkers are also being extensively investigated for NSCLC. A 14-gene signature was proposed as a prognostic factor for early-phase NSCLC (28). However, no prognostic biomarkers are currently available for NSCLC in daily practice and biomarker research is urgently required.

OPN has been suggested as a candidate prognostic marker for multiple malignancies. Chambers et al (7) suggested its prognostic value and other groups also supported this idea (22,25). Although the association between OPN and prognosis has been investigated over several years, no definitive conclusion has been drawn. Considering that a meta-analysis is a valuable tool in biomarker validation, we conducted the present meta-analysis to investigate the association between OPN expression and the prognosis of NSCLC.

In the present study, we identified 6 articles, including a total of 776 NSCLC patients, analyzing the association between OPN and prognosis. The study quality was determined using the Newcastle-Ottawa method. The pooled results demonstrated that low OPN expression was significantly associated with better OS (0.57, 95% CI: 0.46-0.70) and PFS (0.62, 95% CI: 0.49-0.77). The sensitivity analysis suggested that the data in this meta-analysis were stable. The quantitative evaluation of the symmetry of the meta-analysis funnel plot suggested the publication bias did not significantly affect the results of the meta-analysis.

An association between OPN and prognosis of NSCLC was previously suggested (16). However, there remained controversies regarding its prognostic value. For example, one study argued the OPN expression was not associated with OS or PFS (19). As the P-values in that study exhibited a strong tendency toward statistical significance (P=0.14 and P=0.074, respectively), it may be reasoned that the conclusion was due to an insufficient sample size. Compared to previous reports, our meta-analysis enrolled more patients (n=776) compared to any individual study. Meta-analyses such as the present may help solve the problem of inconsistency and consolidate the results. In addition, our study quantitated the prognostic value of OPN by providing the HR and 95% CI. Therefore, our study strongly supports that OPN expression is negatively correlated with prognosis in NSCLC.

The mechanisms underlying the aggressive phenotype associated with OPN expression in tumors have been extensively investigated. OPN on the surface of a wide spectrum of malignant cells was reported to recognize and ligate integrins or CD44 and activate intracellular signaling, such as the phosphoinositide 3-kinase or mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways (29). As a consequence, various transcription factors, including nuclear factor-κB or activator protein-1 are activated and the expression level of factors inhibiting apoptosis and promoting matrix degradation is increased. Consistent with clinical data, an *in vitro* study demonstrated that the overexpression of OPN promoted the malignant phenotype, whereas its knockdown yielded a population with a reduced malignant potential (30). These studies may help interpret the observations in our study.

It should be noted that our study had certain limitations. Although the method used to estimate HR and 95% CI is a method universally adopted (31), it was a univariate analysis and publication bias was inevitable, as the unpublished data was not included. Finally, the detection methods were different across studies. However, the data in our meta-analysis strongly suggest that OPN expression is negatively associated with prognosis in patients with NSCLC, although its prognostic value merits further validation.
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