

Meta-analyses of treatment standards for pancreatic cancer (Review)

JUN GONG¹, RICHARD TULI², ARVIND SHINDE³ and ANDREW E. HENDIFAR⁴

Departments of ¹Internal Medicine, ²Radiation Oncology and ³Hematology and Oncology; ⁴Gastrointestinal and Neuroendocrine Malignancies, Samuel Oschin Cancer Center, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA 90048, USA

Received April 3, 2015; Accepted November 23, 2015

DOI: 10.3892/mco.2015.716

Abstract. Pancreatic cancer is the most lethal common cancer with an estimated 5-year survival rate of 6-7% (across all stages). The only potential curative therapy is surgical resection in those with localized disease. Adjuvant (postoperative) therapy confers a survival advantage over postoperative observation alone. Neoadjuvant (preoperative) therapy offers the potential to downstage initially unresectable tumors for resection, sterilize resection margins and decrease locoregional recurrence, and identify a subset of patients with aggressive disease for whom surgery will not be beneficial. Induction chemotherapy followed by consolidation chemoradiation is another recommended approach in those with locally advanced disease. For those who cannot be downstaged, cannot tolerate surgery, or were diagnosed with metastatic disease, treatment remains palliative with chemotherapy being a critical component of this approach. Recently, intensive combination chemotherapy has been shown to improve survival rates in comparison to gemcitabine alone in advanced disease. The past few decades have afforded an accumulation of high-level evidence regarding neoadjuvant, adjuvant and palliative therapies in pancreatic cancer. There are numerous reviews discussing recent retrospective studies, prospective studies and randomized controlled trials in each of these areas. However, reviews of optimal and recommended treatment strategies across all stages of pancreatic cancer that focus on the highest levels of hierarchical evidence, such as meta-analyses, are limited. The discussion of novel therapeutics is beyond the scope of this review. However, an extensive and the most current collection of meta-analyses of first-line systemic and locoregional treatment options for all stages of pancreatic cancer to date has been accumulated.

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Localized and resectable pancreatic cancer (stage I or II)
3. Borderline resectable and locally advanced pancreatic cancer (stage III)
4. Advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer (stage IV)
5. Conclusion

1. Introduction

Epidemiology. Although pancreatic cancer represents only 2.8% of all new cancer cases in the US, it is the fourth leading cause of cancer fatality in men and women (1). Of the estimated 48,960 new cases of pancreatic cancer in the U.S. in 2015, an estimated 40,560 are expected to succumb to the disease (2). Worldwide, pancreatic cancer is the eighth and ninth leading cause of cancer fatality in men and women, respectively, with an incidence of 2-8 cases per 100,000 people and a greater predilection in men and developed countries (3). Accounting for 85% of all types of pancreatic cancer, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is often synonymous with pancreatic cancer and tends to occur more in the elderly (median age of 71 years at diagnosis) and at an advanced stage (<20% present with localized and resectable disease) (4,5). In total, 60-70 and 20-25% of pancreatic cancers occur in the head and body/tail of the pancreas, respectively, with symptoms and signs related to the location (5).

2. Localized and resectable pancreatic cancer (stage I or II)

Surgery. The only potential curative therapy for pancreatic cancer remains surgical resection in the 15-20% of cases meeting criteria for localized and resectable disease (stage I or II) following diagnosis (4-6). In particular, pancreaticoduodenectomy (the Whipple procedure) with standard lymphadenectomy and distal pancreatectomy with splenectomy are the surgeries of choice for cancers of the head/neck and body/tail, respectively (4-6). The median survival is 17-27 months in those with resected pancreatic cancer with 5-year survival rates of 15-20% (7,8). However, of the 15-20% of candidates who undergo surgical resection, 66-92%

Correspondence to: Dr Andrew E. Hendifar, Gastrointestinal and Neuroendocrine Malignancies, Samuel Oschin Cancer Center, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, 8700 Beverly Boulevard, AC 1042, Los Angeles, CA 90048, USA
E-mail: Andrew.Hendifar@cshs.org

Key words: pancreatic cancer, meta-analysis, neoadjuvant, adjuvant, palliative

experience disease recurrence within 2 years of resection with local recurrence rates of 35-60% and systemic recurrence rates as high as 80-90% (8,9).

Adjuvant therapy. Adjuvant (postoperative) therapy in the form of chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy has been shown to confer a survival advantage compared to postoperative observation alone (10-18). Meta-analyses of trials involving gemcitabine or 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)-based regimens show that adjuvant chemotherapy, when compared to postoperative observation alone, significantly improves survival [as much as 7 months in increased median overall survival (OS)] in those with negative-margin (R0) resections, although this effect is less pronounced in those with microscopically positive-margin (R1) resections (19-24). Following adjustment for confounding factors, adjuvant therapy with gemcitabine or 5-FU again provided an OS benefit over observation alone with hazard ratios (HRs) of 0.59 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.41-0.83] and 0.65 (95% CI, 0.49-0.84), respectively (22). Significant differences in survival were not observed when comparing adjuvant gemcitabine and 5-FU arms (22). Results are more conflicting for adjuvant chemoradiotherapy as a majority of meta-analyses reveal that chemoradiation does not significantly confer a survival advantage over upfront surgery alone or those not receiving adjuvant chemoradiation, although it may provide a small survival benefit in those with R1 resections (Table I) (19,21,22,24-26). One meta-analysis was the first to use Bayesian analysis to demonstrate that adjuvant chemoradiation \pm chemotherapy incurs greater toxicity yet does not confer a survival advantage compared to adjuvant gemcitabine or 5-FU alone (22).

Although the role of radiotherapy as a component of adjuvant therapy remains controversial, 6 weeks of 5-FU-based chemoradiation preceded, followed by maintenance chemotherapy remains an acceptable alternative form of adjuvant therapy (7,8,18,27,28). As thought previously, radiotherapy may further benefit a subset of patients undergoing R1 resections or at increased risk of locoregional recurrence (7,8). Currently, 6 months of adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine or 5-FU remains the standard for adjuvant therapy in those with resected pancreatic cancer (8,13,29,30). Current trends in the treatment of resected pancreatic cancer in the US reflect on the recent publications of landmark trials as the use of adjuvant chemotherapy alone increased <250%, while the use of adjuvant chemoradiation decreased as much as 42%, although chemoradiotherapy remains in slightly greater use compared to chemotherapy for adjuvant therapy (31). Furthermore, although early initiation of postoperative chemotherapy was once emphasized, it has now been demonstrated that completion of all 6 cycles of adjuvant therapy, rather than time to initiation of therapy, is critical to the survival outcome, as no differences in outcome were observed in those in which adjuvant chemotherapy was delayed <12 weeks (32,33). Of note, a recent phase III trial failed to show significant differences in survival between adjuvant 5-FU with folinic acid and adjuvant chemoradiation including 5-FU, cisplatin, and interferon α -2b, while a Japan-based phase III trial showed that adjuvant S-1, an oral fluoropyrimidine, was superior to adjuvant gemcitabine, although metabolic differences between Asian and Caucasian ethnicities limit its application in the West for resected pancreatic cancer (34-36).

Neoadjuvant therapy. Evidence suggests that neoadjuvant (preoperative) therapy in localized pancreatic cancer (LPC) may improve rates of R0 resections, decrease locoregional recurrence, and identify a subset of patients (on restaging) with aggressive disease for whom surgery will not provide a survival benefit (4,7,8,37). Although ~25% of those who undergo upfront surgery for localized disease are unable to complete adjuvant therapy, neoadjuvant therapy ensures that almost all can receive some form of treatment, although it carries the risk of disease progression in delaying potentially curative resection (7,38,39). Neoadjuvant therapy with chemotherapy alone or predominantly 5-FU or gemcitabine-based chemoradiation \pm preceding chemotherapy followed by resection offers survival rates that compare favorably to those observed with resection followed by adjuvant therapy (Table II) (37-41). Despite higher rates of perioperative mortality, neoadjuvant therapy followed by resection demonstrates superior cost-effectiveness with postoperative morbidity and mortality rates that are comparable to those observed with upfront surgery for LPC (42,43). Neoadjuvant therapy represents a rational alternative to a 'surgery-first' approach to LPC; however, is considered investigational due to the lack of complete and definitive data from phase III trials (8,44). There are ongoing phase III trials involving neoadjuvant therapy followed by surgery versus upfront surgery with adjuvant therapy and neoadjuvant therapy with adjuvant therapy versus adjuvant therapy alone (<https://clinicaltrials.gov/>).

3. Borderline resectable and locally advanced pancreatic cancer (stage III)

Neoadjuvant therapy. Approximately 30% of patients diagnosed with pancreatic cancer have locally advanced and unresectable disease (stage III) with a median survival of 8-12 months and 5-year survival rate of ~6% (4,7,45). Neoadjuvant therapy can potentially downstage tumors to increase R0 resection rates in a subset of patients with 'borderline resectable' disease, as well as downstage those with locally advanced disease for possible resection (7,8,45,46). In those with initially unresectable disease (borderline resectable/locally advanced), neoadjuvant therapy with chemotherapy alone or, more commonly, 5-FU or gemcitabine-based chemoradiation \pm preceding induction chemotherapy \pm sequential chemotherapy has produced, for the most part, resectability rates of 30-40% (although with higher perioperative morbidity and mortality rates compared to initially resectable tumor patients) and, when followed by surgery, survival times within the range of those observed with upfront surgery followed by adjuvant therapy for initially resectable disease (Table II) (38-40,47-49).

In borderline resectable disease, a majority of retrospective and prospective studies using variations of gemcitabine-based chemotherapy alone or gemcitabine, capecitabine, or 5-FU-based chemoradiation \pm induction chemotherapy, have demonstrated resectability rates with high probability for R0 resections and survival times comparable to those in the meta-analyses described previously (Table II) (50,51). Some, however, have argued that radiographic downstaging following neoadjuvant therapy is uncommon in borderline resectable disease, despite high rates of R0 resections achieved in patients without evidence of radiographic response. Therefore, it has

Table I. Meta-analyses of adjuvant therapy in localized pancreatic cancer.

Study	Included trials	Analytic arm(s)	Main end point(s)	Findings	(Refs.)
Morganti <i>et al</i> 2014	Multicenter pooled analysis (955 patients)	A: CRT vs. OBS B: CRT±CT vs. CT	OS	A: OS, 39.5 vs. OS, 24.8 months (P<0.001) B: OS, 39.5 vs. OS, 27.8 months (P<0.001)	(25)
Liao <i>et al</i> 2013	9 RCTs	A: CT (F) vs. OBS B: CT (G) vs. OBS C: CRT vs. OBS D: CRT+F vs. OBS E: CRT+G vs. OBS	OS	A: HR, 0.62 (95% CI, 0.42-0.88) B: HR, 0.59 (95% CI, 0.41-0.83) ^a C: HR, 0.91 (95% CI, 0.55-1.46) D: HR, 0.54 (95% CI, 0.15-1.80) E: HR, 0.44 (95% CI, 0.10-1.81)	(22)
Yu <i>et al</i> 2013	4 RCTs	CT (G) vs. OBS or CT (F/FA)	OS	Overall HR, 0.88 (95% CI, 0.72-0.94, P=0.014)	(23)
Ren <i>et al</i> 2012	15 RCTs	A: CT vs. OBS B: CRT vs. OBS	OS, DFS	A: OS OR, 1.98; P<0.001; DFS OR, 2.12; P<0.001 B: OS OR, 0.99; P=0.93; DFS OR, 0.99; P=0.95	(24)
Butturini <i>et al</i> 2008	4 RCTs	A: CT vs. OBS B: CRT vs. OBS	OS	A: R0 HR, 0.65 (95% CI, 0.53-0.80); R1 HR, 1.04 (95% CI, 0.78-1.40) B: R0 HR, 1.19 (95% CI, 0.95-1.49); R1 HR, 0.72 (95% CI, 0.47-1.10)	(21)
Boeck <i>et al</i> 2007	5 RCTs	CT vs. OBS	Improvement in median survival	3-month improvement (95% CI, 0.3-5.7; P=0.03)	(20)
Khanna <i>et al</i> 2006	4 RCTs, 1 PS	A: CT±RT vs. OBS B: CRT vs. OBS	Improvement in 2-year survival	A: 12% improvement (95% CI, 3-21; P=0.011) B: 12% improvement (95% CI, 2-22; P=0.022)	(26)
Stocken <i>et al</i> 2005	5 RCTs	A: CT vs. OBS B: CRT vs. OBS	OS	A: HR, 0.75 (95% CI, 0.64-0.90, P=0.001) B: HR, 1.09 (95% CI, 0.89-1.32, P=0.43)	(19)

^aFollowing adjustment for confounding factors. CRT, chemoradiotherapy; OBS, observation; CT, chemotherapy; OS, overall survival; RCTs, randomized controlled trials; F, 5-fluorouracil; G, gemcitabine; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; FA, folinic acid; DFS, disease-free survival; OR, odds ratio; R0, negative-margin resection patients; R1, microscopically positive-margin resection patients; PS, prospective study (non-randomized); RT, radiotherapy.

Table II. Meta-analyses of neoadjuvant therapy in localized, borderline resectable or locally advanced pancreatic cancer.

Study	Included trials	Analytic arm(s) ^a	Main end point(s)	Findings	(Refs.)
Petrelli <i>et al</i> 2014	2 phase II, 11 retrospective	FOLFIRINOX + CRT (BR/LAPC)	Resectability rate, R0 resection rate	43% resectable (95% CI, 32.8-53.3); 39.4% R0 resection rate (95% CI, 32.4-46.9)	(49)
Xu <i>et al</i> 2014	1 PS, 2 retrospective	CRT vs. adjuvant CRT (LPC)	OS	Pooled HR 0.93 (95% CI, 0.69-1.25; P=0.62)	(41)
Festa <i>et al</i> 2013	5 phase II, 5 PS	CT ± RT (BR)	Resectability rate, 1- and 2-year survival rate after resection	69% explored (95% CI, 56-80); 80% of explored resected (95% CI, 66-90); 1-year, 61% (95% CI, 48-100); 2-year, 44% (95% CI, 32-59)	(53)
Andriulli <i>et al</i> 2012	7 phase I/II, 10 phase II, 3 PS	A: CT (G) ± RT (LPC) B: CT (G) ± RT (BR/LAPC)	1- and 2-year survival rate after resection, resectability rate	A: 1-year, 91.7% (95% CI, 75-100); 2-year 67.2% (95% CI, 38-87); 91% explored (95% CI, 83-97); 82% of explored resected (95% CI, 65-95) B: 1-year 86.3% (95% CI, 78-100); 2-year 54.2% (95% CI, 25-100); 39% explored (95% CI, 28-50); 68% of explored resected (95% CI, 53-82)	(38)
Assifi <i>et al</i> 2011	14 phase II	A: CT ± RT (LPC) B: CT ± RT (BR/LAPC)	Resectability rate, OS after resection	A: 65.8% resectable (95% CI, 55.4-75.6); median OS 23.0 months (11.7-34 months) B: 31.6% resectable (95% CI, 14.0-52.5); median OS 22.3 months (18-26.3 months)	(40)
Laurence <i>et al</i> 2011	9 PS or retrospective	A: CRT vs. without CRT (LPC) B: CRT vs. without CRT (BR/LAPC)	1- and 2-year survival after resection	A: 1-year OR 0.49 (95% CI, 0.22-1.13; P=0.09) B: 1-year OR 0.56 (95% CI, 0.39-0.80; P=0.001); 2-year OR 1.03 (95% CI, 0.70-1.51; P=0.89)	(48)
Gillen <i>et al</i> 2010	15 phase I, 13 phase I/II, 28 phase II, 14 cohort, 41 CS	A: CT ± RT (LPC) B: CT ± RT (BR/LAPC)	Resectability rate, OS after resection	A: 73.6% resectable (95% CI, 65.9-80.6); median OS 23.3 months (12-54 months) B: 33.2% resectable (95% CI, 25.8-41.1); median OS 20.5 months (9-62 months)	(39)
Morganti <i>et al</i> 2010	10 PS, 3 retrospective	CRT (BR/LAPC)	Resectability rate, OS after resection	8.3-64.2% resectable (median 26.5%); median OS 23.6 months (16.4-32.3 months)	(47)

^aTherapeutic arms are in the neoadjuvant setting, unless otherwise stated. FOLFIRINOX, 5-FU, leucovorin, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin; CRT, chemoradiotherapy; BR, borderline resectable pancreatic cancer; LAPC, locally advanced pancreatic cancer; R0, negative-margin; CI, confidence interval; PS, prospective study; LPC, localized pancreatic cancer; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio; CT, chemotherapy; RT, radiotherapy; G, gemcitabine; OR, odds ratio; CS, case series.

been proposed that resection should proceed following neoadjuvant therapy in the absence of disease progression or a decline in performance status (PS) (52,53). Regardless, neoadjuvant therapy, ideally in the context of a clinical trial, is now recommended for borderline resectable disease in the absence of treatment criteria that has yet to be clearly defined (8). Recently, more intensive neoadjuvant regimens involving induction gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel or 5-FU, leucovorin, irinotecan and oxaliplatin (FOLFIRINOX) have been used (5,37,54). In particular, induction FOLFIRINOX \pm chemoradiation followed by surgery has shown a significantly increased survival rate compared to those with locally advanced/borderline resectable disease who received no neoadjuvant therapy (55). The ongoing Alliance A021101 multi-institutional trial (NCT01821612) using induction modified FOLFIRINOX (mFOLFIRINOX) and chemoradiotherapy followed by resection and adjuvant therapy will attempt to standardize a uniform definition of borderline resectable PDAC and criteria for assessing treatment efficacy.

Systemic and locoregional therapy. Low quality evidence from meta-analyses suggests that surgical resection appears to improve survival, decrease the length of hospital stay, and decrease costs compared to palliative treatment in select patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer (LAPC) with venous involvement (56). Despite more aggressive approaches, such as pancreatectomy with arterial reconstruction (AR), having demonstrated improved survival over those without resection, higher perioperative morbidity/mortality rates and poorer long-term survival were observed with pancreatectomy + AR compared to pancreatectomy with venous reconstruction in those with LAPC (57). However, chemotherapy remains a critical component of the treatment approach for attempting to downstage locally advanced disease or palliative treatment of tumors that cannot be downstaged and resected, or those for which surgery is not an option. Early evidence demonstrated that chemotherapy (5-FU-based) improves survival compared to best supportive care alone, although 5-FU-based combination chemotherapy did not result in an increased survival compared to 5-FU alone in advanced pancreatic cancer (APC) (58). Gemcitabine widely became regarded as the preferred first-line therapy in APC due to its superiority over 5-FU (as discussed in the following) (59). A majority of meta-analyses on gemcitabine in combination with various agents, such as platinum, anthracyclines, camptothecin analogs, fluoropyrimidines, taxanes and molecular-targeted agents (MTAs), have since shown that gemcitabine-based combination therapy, in general, often results in greater toxicity yet appears to significantly improve OS, progression-free survival (PFS), and/or overall response rates (ORRs) compared to gemcitabine monotherapy in locally advanced/metastatic pancreatic cancer (Table III) (58,60-73).

Subgroup and pooled analyses further reveal that gemcitabine + fluoropyrimidine (particularly capecitabine) and gemcitabine + platinum combinations represent the gemcitabine-based doublets providing the most consistent survival benefits over gemcitabine alone (58,63-73). Of note, gemcitabine + cisplatin appears to offer little to no significant survival benefits versus gemcitabine monotherapy, although

others have contended this claim (61,65,68,70,72,73). In addition, gemcitabine + camptothecin analog appears to only improve the ORR over single-agent gemcitabine (65). Although one subgroup analysis showed that gemcitabine + MTAs was the only combination resulting in a significant improvement in 6-month survival over gemcitabine alone, a number of meta-analyses have produced inadequate results with the exception of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors, such as erlotinib (discussed in the following) in locally advanced/metastatic disease (63,65,73-78). S-1 has been studied extensively in Japanese patients with pancreatic cancer (79-81). In the locally advanced setting, there is conflicting data to support the use of S-1 in combination with gemcitabine. Consensus remains that this is an active agent for Asian patients; however, it requires further validation prior to adoption in the US as pharmacogenomic differences between ethnicities have been noted and may explain the varying reports of efficacy and toxicity of S-1 and other 5-FU based drugs (73).

In LAPC, survival trends favor gemcitabine-based combination regimens over gemcitabine alone (82). Combination therapy appears to have its greatest effects on survival in those with good PS [Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) scores of 0-1]; however, is relatively ineffective or even harmful in those with poor PS (ECOG ≥ 2) (68,70,72).

Due to the survival benefits demonstrated in borderline resectable/LAPC and metastatic pancreatic cancer (MPC), intensive regimens, such as FOLFIRINOX or gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel, are now being recommended in those with good PS (ECOG 0-1), while gemcitabine monotherapy remains the mainstay of therapy in those with poor PS (ECOG ≥ 2); the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, however, states gemcitabine monotherapy as an acceptable option in those with good PS and LAPC (55,83-85). There are still no phase III trials comparing FOLFIRINOX to gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel in LAPC. Other meta-analyses have addressed gemcitabine dosing, delivery of chemotherapy (intra-arterial versus venous), and innovative ablative therapies as additional avenues of clinical benefit in LAPC/APC (86-89).

The role of chemoradiation in the management of LAPC remains controversial. Key trials involving chemoradiotherapy have produced mixed results with regards to survival advantage versus standard therapies in LAPC/APC (90-96). Chemoradiation confers a survival advantage over best supportive care alone or radiotherapy alone; however, it is more toxic (97-99). Furthermore, meta-analyses demonstrate that primarily 5-FU or gemcitabine-based chemoradiotherapy \pm prior induction chemotherapy \pm maintenance chemotherapy offers comparable or even superior survival times compared to chemotherapy alone, although often with greater toxicities in LAPC (Table III) (97-101). Notably, one analysis showed better survival with gemcitabine-based chemoradiation compared to 5-FU-based chemoradiation, although other studies have argued that capecitabine or 5-FU are the preferred radiosensitizers in LAPC (84,98,102). Upfront chemoradiotherapy initially lost acceptability with the FFCD/SFRO trial when induction 5-FU + cisplatin chemoradiation followed by maintenance gemcitabine showed inferior survival and greater toxicity compared to gemcitabine alone (96). However, several

Table III. Meta-analyses of conventional systemic and locoregional therapy in locally advanced, advanced, or metastatic pancreatic cancer.

Study	Trials	Analytic arm(s)	Main end point(s)	Findings	(Refs.)
Bernstein <i>et al</i> 2014	6 RCTs	CRT vs. CT	OS	HR 0.88 (95% CI, 0.67-1.15; P=0.351)	(100)
Chan <i>et al</i> 2014	16 RCTs	Bayesian analysis	OS	Best regimen probability 83% FOLFIRINOX, 11% G-nab, 3% G + erlotinib	(114)
Gresham <i>et al</i> 2014	23 RCTs	Combo-CT vs. G alone	OS	Combo-CT superior to G alone	(115)
Li <i>et al</i> 2014	8 RCTs	G+fluorouracil drugs vs. G alone	OS, ORR	(including FOLFIRINOX and G-nab) G + fluorouracil drugs significantly improved OS, ORR compared to G alone	(67)
Petrelli <i>et al</i> 2014	29 RCTs	Combo-CT vs. G alone	OS	HR 0.87 (95% CI, 0.81-0.93; P<0.0001)	(116)
Zhang <i>et al</i> 2014	3 RCTs, 1 RS	G-based CRT vs. G alone	OS	HR 0.84 (95% CI, 0.53-1.34; P=0.48)	(101)
Chen <i>et al</i> 2013	15 RCTs	A: CRT vs. RT B: CRT vs. CT	6-, 12- and 18-months OS	A: 6-, 12- and 18-months (all P<0.01) B: 6-, 12- and 18-months (all P>0.05)	(99)
Ciliberto <i>et al</i> 2013	34 RCTs	G-combo vs. G alone	OS	HR 0.93 (95% CI, 0.89-0.97; P=0.001)	(73)
Yang <i>et al</i> 2013	5 RCTs, 9 PS, 2 RS	G + erlotinib	PFS, OS	PFS 2-9.6 months; OS 5-12.5 months	(110)
Sun <i>et al</i> 2012	26 RCTs	G-combo vs. G alone	1-year OS	RR 0.90 (95% CI, 0.82-0.99; P=0.04)	(66)
Hu <i>et al</i> 2011	35 RCTs	G-combo vs. G alone	OS, PFS	OS OR 1.15 (P=0.011); PFS OR 1.27 (P<0.001)	(65)
Zhu <i>et al</i> 2011	3 RCTs, 1 RS	G-based CRT vs. F-based CRT	12-months OS	G-based CRT superior to F-based CRT, 12-months OS RR 1.54 (95% CI, 1.05-2.26; P=0.03)	(102)
Xie <i>et al</i> 2010	18 RCTs	Subgroup analysis of 5 G-combo regimens	6-months OS	G-C 6-months OS RR 0.85 (P=0.04); G-Ox 6-months OS RR 0.80 (P=0.001)	(72)
Cunningham <i>et al</i> 2009	3 RCTs	G-C vs. G alone	OS	HR 0.86 (95% CI, 0.75-0.98; P=0.02)	(71)
Huguet <i>et al</i> 2009	2 MAS, 13 RCTs, 2 NRTs	A: CRT vs. BSC or RT B: CRT vs. CT	OS	A: CRT superior to BSC or RT alone B: CRT not superior to CT	(98)
Heinemann <i>et al</i> 2008	15 RCTs	G-combo vs. G alone	OS	HR 0.91 (95% CI, 0.85-0.97; P=0.004)	(70)
Sultana <i>et al</i> 2008	11 RCTs	Indirect analysis of 4 G-combo regimens	OS	No significant difference in survival	(82)
Sultana <i>et al</i> 2008	51 RCTs	A: F-combo vs. F alone B: G-combo vs. G alone	PFS/TTP	A: TTP HR 1.02 (95% CI, 0.85-1.23) B: PFS HR 0.78 (95% CI, 0.70-0.88)	(62)
Banu <i>et al</i> 2007	23 RCTs	G-D vs. G alone	OS	12-months RRR 4% (95% CI, 1-7); 18-months RRR 2% (95% CI, 1-4), P<0.05 in both	(69)
Bria <i>et al</i> 2007	20 RCTs	G-combo vs. G alone	OS	No significant difference in survival	(64)
Heinemann <i>et al</i> 2007	2 RCTs	G-P vs. G alone	OS, PFS	OS HR 0.81 (P=0.031); PFS HR 0.75 (P=0.0030)	(68)
Sultana <i>et al</i> 2007	51 RCTs	A: CT vs. BSC B: F-combo vs. F alone	OS	A: HR 0.64 (95% CI, 0.42-0.98) B: HR 0.94 (95% CI, 0.82-1.08)	(58)
Sultana <i>et al</i> 2007	11 RCTs	A: CRT vs. RT B: CRT followed by CT vs. CT	OS	A: HR 0.69 (95% CI, 0.51-0.94) B: HR 0.79 (95% CI, 0.32-1.95)	(97)
Xie <i>et al</i> 2006	6 RCTs	G-DDP vs. G alone	OS, CBR	No significant difference in survival or CBR	(61)

Table III. Continued.

Study	Trials	Analytic arm(s)	Main end point(s)	Findings	(Refs.)
Xie <i>et al</i> 2006	22 RCTs	G-combo vs. G alone	1-year survival and 6-months PFS rate	1-year RD 3% (95% CI, 0.01-0.05; P=0.01); 6-months PFS rate RD 7% (95% CI, 0.04-0.10; P<0.00001)	(63)
Liang <i>et al</i> 2005	19 RCTs	G-combo vs. G alone	6-months survival and PFS rate	6-months survival rate RD 4% (P=0.02); 6-months PFS rate RD 10% (P=0.00001)	(60)
Fung <i>et al</i> 2003	43 RCTs	CT (F-based) vs. BSC	OS	CT (F-based) superior to BSC alone	(108)

RCTs, randomized controlled trials; CRT, chemoradiotherapy; CT, chemotherapy; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; FOLFIRINOX, 5-FU, leucovorin, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin; G-nab, gemcitabine + nab-paclitaxel; Combo-CT, combination chemotherapy; G, gemcitabine; ORR, overall response rate; RS, retrospective study; RT, radiotherapy; G-combo, gemcitabine-based combination chemotherapy; PS, prospective study; PFS, progression-free survival; RR, risk ratio; OR, odds ratio; F, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU); G-C, gemcitabine + capecitabine; G-Ox, gemcitabine + oxaliplatin; MAs, meta-analyses; NRTs, non-randomized trials; BSC, best supportive care; F-combo, 5-FU-based combination chemotherapy; TTP, Time to progression; G-D, gemcitabine-based doublets; RRR, relative risk reduction; G-P, gemcitabine + platinum; G-DDP, gemcitabine + cisplatin; CBR, clinical benefit rate; RD, risk difference (risk in gemcitabine-based combination group - risk in gemcitabine alone).

studies revealed that induction gemcitabine-based chemotherapy followed by consolidation 5-FU, capecitabine or gemcitabine-based chemoradiation, when there was no evidence of disease progression after 2 months of initial chemotherapy, provided favorable survival outcomes (even greater than in those who received chemoradiation or chemotherapy alone) in LAPC (103-105).

The rationale for this approach is associated with the fact that ~30% of those with LAPC have occult metastatic disease at diagnosis, and induction chemotherapy can identify the subset of patients without metastatic disease who can benefit from locoregional control or those with aggressive disease who can be spared from resection and the toxicities of chemoradiotherapy (84,85). Ultimately, radiotherapy alone or upfront chemoradiotherapy is not recommended as standard treatment for LAPC, although upfront chemoradiotherapy is an option in those with poorly controlled pain, bleeding or local obstruction (84,85). Consolidation chemoradiation remains a recommended option for those with LAPC and good PS without evidence of disease progression following 2-6 cycles or 3-4 months of induction chemotherapy, despite preliminary results from the phase III LAP 07 study indicating no survival benefit with additional chemoradiation after induction gemcitabine compared to chemotherapy alone (84-85,106). Modern radiotherapy techniques with concurrent chemotherapy also represent a relatively cost-effective strategy in improving clinical outcomes in LAPC (107).

4. Advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer (stage IV)

Systemic therapy. The remaining ~50% of patients with pancreatic cancer present with advanced or metastatic disease (stage IV) with a median survival of 4-6 months and approximate 5-year survival rates of 1-2% (1,4,45). Treatment remains palliative for this group with gemcitabine having been the mainstay of therapy for the majority of the late 1990s and early 2000s; gemcitabine remains the first-line therapy in those with poor PS and MPC. For the last 3 decades of the 20th century, 5-FU was superior to best supportive care (108). A seminal trial in 1997 indicated a superior clinical benefit and a survival advantage with gemcitabine (median OS, 5.65 months) compared to 5-FU (median OS, 4.41 months, P=0.0025) in APC (59). In 2007, gemcitabine/erlotinib showed a small survival benefit leading to Food and Drug Administration approval of its use in APC (109,110). Again, S-1 alone proved to be noninferior to gemcitabine alone in an Asian-based phase III trial (111). More recently, FOLFIRINOX and gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel both independently conferred significant survival advantages over gemcitabine alone (112,113). Meta-analyses suggest that FOLFIRINOX and gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel have the highest probabilities for being the two best regimens in terms of OS and PFS for APC, despite their increased risk for greater toxicities (Table III) (114-116). FOLFIRINOX demonstrates favorable cost-effectiveness and greater quality adjusted life-years compared to gemcitabine as first-line therapy (117). FOLFIRINOX and gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel appear to have changed the standard of care, at least in those with good PS, as 2-year survival rates are now approaching 10% for either agent in advanced/metastatic disease-survival rates that were rarely observed previously (5).

5. Conclusion

Pancreatic cancer remains the most lethal of the common cancers with a 5-year survival rate across all stages of ~6.7% (1). Meta-analyses confirm that adjuvant gemcitabine or 5-FU improves survival compared to surgery alone and remains the standard for adjuvant therapy in resected pancreatic cancer. Although the benefits from the addition of radiation therapy in the adjuvant setting are under debate, 5-FU-based or gemcitabine-based chemoradiation preceded or followed by 5-FU/leucovorin or gemcitabine remains an acceptable alternative form of adjuvant therapy in resected pancreatic cancer. Meta-analyses demonstrate high rates of resectability with neoadjuvant therapy (FOLFIRINOX ± chemoradiation) in those with borderline resectable disease, although treatment criteria has yet to be clearly defined in this group. When applicable, neoadjuvant therapy in the context of a clinical trial is recommended for borderline resectable pancreatic cancer. For locally advanced and unresectable disease, meta-analyses confirm the benefits of combination chemotherapy over single-agent chemotherapy. FOLFIRINOX or gemcitabine with nab-paclitaxel are now being recommended in those with good PS while gemcitabine alone is recommended in those with poor PS in LAPC. Induction chemotherapy followed by chemoradiotherapy remains an option in certain patients with LAPC. In stage IV disease, meta-analyses confirm the survival benefits offered by FOLFIRINOX or gemcitabine with nab-paclitaxel compared to gemcitabine alone and are now treatment standards in those with good PS. Gemcitabine remains an option in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer and poor PS. Despite the poor prognosis, development of novel therapeutic agents, advancements in diagnosis and prevention, and improvements in multidisciplinary care are underway in order to enhance outcomes in this area (4,5,7). Improved survival is currently being observed postoperatively and in advanced/metastatic disease with greater implementation of adjuvant and intensive multi-agent therapies, respectively. However, the results from ongoing clinical trials covering all stages of management in pancreatic cancer, including neoadjuvant, adjuvant and palliative therapy, are anticipated.

References

- Howlander N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, Garshell J, Neyman N, Altekruse SF, *et al* (eds): SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2011, National Cancer Institute. Bethesda, MD. http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2011/. 2014. Accessed March 21, 2015.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A: Cancer statistics, 2015. *CA Cancer J Clin* 65: 5-29, 2015.
- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E and Forman D: Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 61: 69-90, 2011.
- Hidalgo M: Pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 362: 1605-1617, 2010.
- Ryan DP, Hong TS and Bardeesy N: Pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 371: 1039-1049, 2014.
- Evans DB, Farnell MB, Lillemoe KD, Vollmer C Jr, Strasberg SM and Schulick RD: Surgical treatment of resectable and borderline resectable pancreas cancer: Expert consensus statement. *Ann Surg Oncol* 16: 1736-1744, 2009.
- Wolfgang CL, Herman JM, Laheru DA, Klein AP, Erdek MA, Fishman EK and Hruban RH: Recent progress in pancreatic cancer. *CA Cancer J Clin* 63: 318-348, 2013.
- Abrams RA, Lowy AM, O'Reilly EM, Wolff RA, Picozzi VJ and Pisters PW: Combined modality treatment of resectable and borderline resectable pancreas cancer: Expert consensus statement. *Ann Surg Oncol* 16: 1751-1756, 2009.
- Castellanos JA and Merchant NB: Intensity of follow-up after pancreatic cancer resection. *Ann Surg Oncol* 21: 747-751, 2014.
- Kalser MH and Ellenberg SS: Pancreatic cancer. Adjuvant combined radiation and chemotherapy following curative resection. *Arch Surg* 120: 899-903, 1985.
- Bakkevold KE, Arnesjø B, Dahl O and Kambestad B: Adjuvant combination chemotherapy (AMF) following radical resection of carcinoma of the pancreas and papilla of Vater-results of a controlled, prospective, randomized multicentre study. *Eur J Cancer* 29A: 698-703, 1993.
- Gastrointestinal Tumor Study Group: Further evidence of effective adjuvant combined radiation and chemotherapy following curative resection of pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 59: 2006-2010, 1987.
- Oettle H, Neuhaus P, Hochhaus A, Hartmann JT, Gellert K, Ridwelski K, Niedergethmann M, Zülke C, Fahlke J, Arning MB, *et al*: Adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine and long-term outcomes among patients with resected pancreatic cancer: The CONKO-001 randomized trial. *JAMA* 310: 1473-1481, 2013.
- Ueno H, Kosuge T, Matsuyama Y, Yamamoto J, Nakao A, Egawa S, Doi R, Monden M, Hatori T, Tanaka M, *et al*: A randomized phase III trial comparing gemcitabine with surgery-only in patients with resected pancreatic cancer: Japanese study group of adjuvant therapy for pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 101: 908-915, 2009.
- Herman JM, Swartz MJ, Hsu CC, Winter J, Pawlik TM, Sugar E, Robinson R, Laheru DA, Jaffee E, Hruban RH, *et al*: Analysis of fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation after pancreaticoduodenectomy for ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: Results of a large, prospectively collected database at the Johns Hopkins Hospital. *J Clin Oncol* 26: 3503-3510, 2008.
- Corsini MM, Miller RC, Haddock MG, Donohue JH, Farnell MB, Magoroney DM, Jatoi A, McWilliams RR, Kim GP, Bhatia S, *et al*: Adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy for pancreatic carcinoma: The mayo clinic experience (1975-2005). *J Clin Oncol* 26: 3511-3516, 2008.
- Hsu CC, Herman JM, Corsini MM, Winter JM, Callister MD, Haddock MG, Cameron JL, Pawlik TM, Schulick RD, Wolfgang CL, *et al*: Adjuvant chemoradiation for pancreatic adenocarcinoma: The Johns Hopkins Hospital-Mayo clinic collaborative study. *Ann Surg Oncol* 17: 981-990, 2010.
- Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Friess H, Bassi C, Dunn JA, Hickey H, Beger H, Fernandez-Cruz L, Dervenis C, Lacaine F, *et al*: A randomized trial of chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy after resection of pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 350: 1200-1210, 2004.
- Stocken DD, Büchler MW, Dervenis C, Bassi C, Jeekel H, Klinkenbijn JH, Bakkevold KE, Takada T, Amano H and Neoptolemos JP; Pancreatic Cancer Meta-analysis Group: Meta-analysis of randomized adjuvant therapy trials for pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 92: 1372-1381, 2005.
- Boeck S, Ankerst DP and Heinemann V: The role of adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with resected pancreatic cancer: Systematic review of randomized controlled trials and meta-analysis. *Oncology* 72: 314-321, 2007.
- Butturini G, Stocken DD, Wente MN, Jeekel H, Klinkenbijn JH, Bakkevold KE, Takada T, Amano H, Dervenis C, Bassi C, *et al*: Influence of resection margins and treatment on survival in patients with pancreatic cancer: Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Surg* 143: 75-83, 2008.
- Liao WC, Chien KL, Lin YL, Wu MS, Lin JT, Wang HP and Tu YK: Adjuvant treatments for resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 14: 1095-1103, 2013.
- Yu Z, Zhong W, Tan ZM, Wang LY and Yuan YH: Gemcitabine adjuvant therapy for resected pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Oncol* 38: 322-325, 2015.
- Ren F, Xu YC, Wang HX, Tang L and Ma Y: Adjuvant chemotherapy, with or without postoperative radiotherapy, for resectable advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma: Continue or stop? *Pancreatol* 12: 162-169, 2012.
- Morganti AG, Falconi M, van Stiphout RG, Mattiucci GC, Alfieri S, Calvo FA, Dubois JB, Fastner G, Herman JM, Maidment BW III, *et al*: Multi-institutional pooled analysis on adjuvant chemoradiation in pancreatic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 90: 911-917, 2014.
- Khanna A, Walker GR, Livingstone AS, Arheart KL, Rocha-Lima C and Koniaris LG: Is adjuvant 5-FU-based chemoradiotherapy for resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma beneficial? A meta-analysis of an unanswered question. *J Gastrointest Surg* 10: 689-697, 2006.

27. Klinkenbijl JH, Jeekel J, Sahnoud T, van Pel R, Couvreur ML, Veenhof CH, Arnaud JP, Gonzalez DG, de Wit LT, Hennisman A and Wils J: Adjuvant radiotherapy and 5-fluorouracil after curative resection of cancer of the pancreas and periampullary region: Phase III trial of the EORTC gastrointestinal tract cancer cooperative group. *Ann Surg* 230: 776-784, 1999.
28. Regine WF, Winter KA, Abrams R, Safran H, Hoffman JP, Konski A, Benson AB, Macdonald JS, Rich TA and Willett CG: Fluorouracil based chemoradiation with either gemcitabine or fluorouracil chemotherapy following resection of pancreatic adenocarcinoma: 5-year analysis of the US intergroup/RTOG 9704 phase III trial. *Ann Surg Oncol* 18: 1319-1326, 2011.
29. Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Bassi C, Ghaneh P, Cunningham D, Goldstein D, Padbury R, Moore MJ, Gallinger S, Mariette C, *et al*: Adjuvant chemotherapy with fluorouracil plus folinic acid vs. gemcitabine following pancreatic cancer resection: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 304: 1073-1081, 2010.
30. Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Tudur Smith C, Bassi C, Ghaneh P, Owen E, Moore M, Padbury R, Doi R, Smith D and Büchler MW: Adjuvant 5-fluorouracil and folinic acid vs. observation for pancreatic cancer: Composite data from the ESPAC-1 and -3 (v1) trials. *Br J Cancer* 100: 246-250, 2009.
31. Raigani S, Ammori J, Kim J and Hardacre JM: Trends in the treatment of resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Gastrointest Surg* 18: 113-123, 2014.
32. Murakami Y, Uemura K, Sudo T, Hashimoto Y, Kondo N, Nakagawa N, Sasaki H and Sueda T: Early initiation of adjuvant chemotherapy improves survival of patients with pancreatic carcinoma after surgical resection. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 71: 419-429, 2013.
33. Valle JW, Palmer D, Jackson R, Cox T, Neoptolemos JP, Ghaneh P, Rawcliffe CL, Bassi C, Stocken DD, Cunningham D, *et al*: Optimal duration and timing of adjuvant chemotherapy after definitive surgery for ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: Ongoing lessons from the ESPAC-3 study. *J Clin Oncol* 32: 504-512, 2014.
34. Schmidt J, Abel U, Debus J, Harig S, Hoffmann K, Herrmann T, Bartsch D, Klein J, Mansmann U, Jäger D, *et al*: Open-label, multicenter, randomized phase III trial of adjuvant chemoradiation plus interferon Alfa-2b versus fluorouracil and folinic acid for patients with resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 30: 4077-4083, 2012.
35. Fukutomi A, Uesaka K, Boku N, Kanemoto H, Konishi M, Matsumoto I, *et al*: JASPAC-01: Randomized phase III trial of adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine versus S-1 for patients with resected pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 31 (Suppl): 4008, 2013.
36. Antoniou G, Kountourakis P, Papadimitriou K, Vassiliou V and Papamichael D: Adjuvant therapy for resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma: Review of the current treatment approaches and future directions. *Cancer Treat Rev* 40: 78-85, 2014.
37. Evans DB, Ritch PS and Erickson BA: Neoadjuvant therapy for localized pancreatic cancer: Support is growing? *Ann Surg* 261: 18-20, 2015.
38. Andriulli A, Festa V, Botteri E, Valvano MR, Koch M, Bassi C, Maisonneuve P and Sebastiano PD: Neoadjuvant/preoperative gemcitabine for patients with localized pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Ann Surg Oncol* 19: 1644-1662, 2012.
39. Gillen S, Schuster T, Meyer Zum Büschenfelde CM, Friess H and Kleef J: Preoperative/neoadjuvant therapy in pancreatic cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis of response and resection percentages. *PLoS Med* 7: e1000267, 2010.
40. Assifi MM, Lu X, Eibl G, Reber HA, Li G and Hines OJ: Neoadjuvant therapy in pancreatic adenocarcinoma: A meta-analysis of phase II trials. *Surgery* 150: 466-473, 2011.
41. Xu CP, Xue XJ, Liang N, Xu DG, Liu FJ, Yu XS and Zhang JD: Effect of chemoradiotherapy and neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in resectable pancreatic cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 140: 549-559, 2014.
42. Abbott DE, Tzeng CW, Merkow RP, Cantor SB, Chang GJ, Katz MH, Bentrem DJ, Bilimoria KY, Crane CH, Varadhachary GR, *et al*: The cost-effectiveness of neoadjuvant chemoradiation is superior to a surgery-first approach in the treatment of pancreatic head adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 20 (Suppl 3): S500-S508, 2013.
43. Cooper AB, Parmar AD, Riall TS, Hall BL, Katz MH, Aloia TA and Pitt HA: Does the use of neoadjuvant therapy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma increase postoperative morbidity and mortality rates? *J Gastrointest Surg* 19: 80-87, 2015.
44. Golcher H, Brunner TB, Witzigmann H, Marti L, Bechstein W, Bruns C, Jungnickel H, Schreiber S, Grabenbauer GG, Meyer T, *et al*: Neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy with gemcitabine/cisplatin and surgery versus immediate surgery in resectable pancreatic cancer: Results of the first prospective randomized phase II trial. *Strahlenther Onkol* 191: 7-16, 2015.
45. Bond-Smith G, Banga N, Hammond TM and Imber CJ: Pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *BMJ* 344: e2476, 2012.
46. Varadhachary GR, Tamm EP, Abbruzzese JL, Xiong HQ, Crane CH, Wang H, Lee JE, Pisters PW, Evans DB and Wolff RA: Borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: Definitions, management and role of preoperative therapy. *Ann Surg Oncol* 13: 1035-1046, 2006.
47. Morganti AG, Massacesi M, La Torre G, Caravatta L, Piscopo A, Tambaro R, Sofo L, Sallustio G, Ingrosso M, Macchia G, *et al*: A systematic review of resectability and survival after concurrent chemoradiation in primarily unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 17: 194-205, 2010.
48. Laurence JM, Tran PD, Morarji K, Eslick GD, Lam VW and Sandroussi C: A systematic review and meta-analysis of survival and surgical outcomes following neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for pancreatic cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg* 15: 2059-2069, 2011.
49. Petrelli F, Coinu A, Borgonovo KF, Ghilardi M, Cabiddu M, Cremonesi M, Lonati V and Barni S: Resection rate with FOLFIRINOX-based neoadjuvant therapy in locally advanced/borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: A pooled analysis of published data. *Ann Oncol* 25 (Suppl 4): iv240, 2014.
50. Katz MHG, Crane CH and Varadhachary G: Management of borderline resectable pancreatic cancer. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 24: 105-112, 2014.
51. Lopez NE, Prendergast C and Lowy AM: Borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: Definitions and management. *World J Gastroenterol* 20: 10740-10751, 2014.
52. Katz MHG, Fleming JB, Bhosale P, Varadhachary G, Lee JE, Wolff R, Wang H, Abbruzzese J, Pisters PW, Vauthey JN, *et al*: Response of borderline resectable pancreatic cancer to neoadjuvant therapy is not reflected by radiographic indicators. *Cancer* 118: 5749-5756, 2012.
53. Festa V, Andriulli A, Valvano MR, Uomo G, Perri F, Andriulli N, Corrao S and Koch M: Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for patients with borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: A meta-analytical evaluation of prospective studies. *JOP* 14: 618-625, 2013.
54. Seufferlein T, Laethem JLV, Cutsem EV, Berlin JD, Büchler M, Cervantes A, *et al*: The management of locally advanced pancreatic cancer: European Society of Digestive Oncology (ESDO) expert discussion and recommendations from the 14th ESMO/World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer, Barcelona. *Ann Oncol* 25 (Suppl 2): ii1-ii4, 2014.
55. Ferrone CR, Marchegiani G, Hong TS, Ryan DP, Deshpande V, McDonnell EI, Sabbatino F, Santos DD, Allen JN, Blaszkowsky LS, *et al*: Radiological and surgical implications of neoadjuvant treatment with FOLFIRINOX for locally advanced and borderline resectable pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg* 261: 12-17, 2015.
56. Gurusamy KS, Kumar S, Davidson BR and Fusai G: Resection versus other treatments for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2: CD010244, 2014.
57. Mollberg N, Rahbari NN, Koch M, Hartwig W, Hoeger Y, Büchler MW and Weitz J: Arterial resection during pancreatotomy for pancreatic cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg* 254: 882-893, 2011.
58. Sultana A, Smith CT, Cunningham D, Starling N, Neoptolemos JP and Ghaneh P: Meta-analyses of chemotherapy for locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 25: 2607-2615, 2007.
59. Burris HA, Moore MJ, Andersen J, Green MR, Rothenberg ML, Modiano MR, Cripps MC, Portenoy RK, Storniolo AM, Tarassoff P, *et al*: Improvements in survival and clinical benefit with gemcitabine as first-line therapy for patients with advanced pancreas cancer: A randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 15: 2403-2413, 1997.
60. Liang HL: Comparing gemcitabine-based combination chemotherapy with gemcitabine alone in inoperable pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 23: 4110, 2005.
61. Xie DR, Liang HL, Wang Y and Guo SS: Meta-analysis of inoperable pancreatic cancer: Gemcitabine combined with cisplatin versus gemcitabine alone. *Chin J Dig Dis* 7: 49-54, 2006.

62. Sultana A, Tudur Smith C, Cunningham D, Starling N, Neoptolemos JP and Ghaneh P: Meta-analyses of chemotherapy for locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer: Results of secondary end points analyses. *Br J Cancer* 99: 6-13, 2008.
63. Xie DR, Liang HL, Wang Y, Guo SS and Yang Q: Meta-analysis on inoperable pancreatic cancer: A comparison between gemcitabine-based combination therapy and gemcitabine alone. *World J Gastroenterol* 12: 6973-6981, 2006.
64. Bria E, Milella M, Gelibter A, Cuppone F, Pino MS, Ruggeri EM, Carlini P, Nisticò C, Terzoli E, Cognetti F and Giannarelli D: Gemcitabine-based combinations for inoperable pancreatic cancer: Have we made real progress? A meta-analysis of 20 phase 3 trials. *Cancer* 110: 525-533, 2007.
65. Hu J, Zhao G, Wang HX, Tang L, Xu YC, Ma Y and Zhang FC: A meta-analysis of gemcitabine containing chemotherapy for locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Hematol Oncol* 4: 11, 2011.
66. Sun C, Ansari D, Andersson R and Wu DQ: Does gemcitabine-based combination therapy improve the prognosis of unresectable pancreatic cancer? *World J Gastroenterol* 18: 4944-4958, 2012.
67. Li Q, Yan H, Liu W, Zhen H, Yang Y and Cao B: Efficacy and safety of gemcitabine-fluorouracil combination therapy in the management of advanced pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One* 9: e104346, 2014.
68. Heinemann V, Labianca R, Hinke A and Louvet C: Increased survival using platinum analog combined with gemcitabine as compared to single-agent gemcitabine in advanced pancreatic cancer: Pooled analysis of two randomized trials, the GERCOR/GISCAD intergroup study and a German multicenter study. *Ann Oncol* 18: 1652-1659, 2007.
69. Banu E, Banu A, Fodor A, Landi B, Rougier P, Chatellier G, Andrieu JM and Oudard S: Meta-analysis of randomized trials comparing gemcitabine-based doublets versus gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Drugs Aging* 24: 865-879, 2007.
70. Heinemann V, Boeck S, Hinke A, Labianca R and Louvet C: Meta-analysis of randomized trials: Evaluation of benefit from gemcitabine-based combination chemotherapy applied in advanced pancreatic cancer. *BMC Cancer* 8: 82, 2008.
71. Cunningham D, Chau I, Stocken DD, Valle JW, Smith D, Steward W, Harper PG, Dunn J, Tudur-Smith C, West J, *et al*: Phase III randomized comparison of gemcitabine versus gemcitabine plus capecitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 27: 5513-5518, 2009.
72. Xie DR, Yang Q, Chen DL, Jiang ZM, Bi ZF, Ma W and Zhang YD: Gemcitabine-based cytotoxic doublets chemotherapy for advanced pancreatic cancer: Updated subgroup meta-analysis of overall survival. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 40: 432-441, 2010.
73. Ciliberto D, Botta C, Corrales P, Rossi M, Caraglia M, Tassone P and Tagliaferri P: Role of gemcitabine-based combination therapy in the management of advanced pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Eur J Cancer* 49: 593-603, 2013.
74. Eltawil KM, Renfrew PD and Molinari M: Meta-analysis of phase III randomized trials of molecular targeted therapies for advanced pancreatic cancer. *HPB (Oxford)* 14: 260-268, 2012.
75. Tian W, Ding W, Kim S, Xu X, Pan M and Chen S: Efficacy and safety profile of combining agents against epidermal growth factor receptor or vascular endothelium growth factor receptor with gemcitabine-based chemotherapy in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis. *Pancreatol* 13: 415-422, 2013.
76. Chen L, Zhang M and Luo S: Outcome of gemcitabine plus molecular targeted agent for treatment of pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis of prospective phase III studies. *Tumor Biol* 35: 11551-11558, 2014.
77. Li Q, Yuan Z, Yan H, Wen Z, Zhang R and Cao B: Comparison of gemcitabine combined with targeted agent therapy versus gemcitabine monotherapy in the management of advanced pancreatic cancer. *Clin Ther* 36: 1054-1063, 2014.
78. Van Loon K, Espinoza AM, Fogelman DR, Wolff RA, Javle MM, Iyer RV, Picozzi VJ, Martin LK, Bekaii-Saab T, Tempero MA, *et al*: Should combination chemotherapy serve as the backbone in clinical trials of advanced pancreatic cancer?: A pooled analysis of phase II trials of gemcitabine-containing doublets plus bevacizumab. *Pancreas* 43: 343-349, 2014.
79. Yanagimoto H, Ishii H, Nakai Y, Ozaka M, Ikari T, Koike K, Ueno H, Ioka T, Satoi S, Sho M, *et al*: Improved survival with combined gemcitabine and S-1 for locally advanced pancreatic cancer: Pooled analysis of three randomized studies. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* 21: 761-766, 2014.
80. Ku GY, Haaland BA, Ioka T, Isayama H, Nakai Y, Cheng AL, Okusaka T and de Lima Lopes Jr G: Meta-analysis of randomized phase II and phase III trials of gemcitabine with/without S-1 in Asian patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *Revista Brasileira de Oncologia Clínica* 10: 10-16, 2014.
81. Liu Y, Huang QK, Hong WD, Wu JM and Sun XC: The addition of S-1 to gemcitabine-based chemotherapy improves survival with increased toxicity for patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: Combined meta-analysis of efficacy and safety profile. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 39: 254-260, 2015.
82. Sultana A, Ghaneh P, Cunningham D, Starling N, Neoptolemos JP and Smith CT: Gemcitabine based combination chemotherapy in advanced pancreatic cancer-indirect comparison. *BMC Cancer* 8: 192, 2008.
83. Faris JE, Blaszkowsky LS, McDermott S, Guimaraes AR, Szymonifka J, Huynh MA, Ferrone CR, Wargo JA, Allen JN, Dias LE, *et al*: FOLFIRINOX in locally advanced pancreatic cancer: The Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center experience. *Oncologist* 18: 543-548, 2013.
84. Huguet F, Mukherjee S and Javle M: Locally advanced pancreatic cancer: The role of definitive chemoradiotherapy. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 26: 560-568, 2014.
85. Tempero MA, Malafa MP, Behrman SW, Benson AB III, Casper ES, Chiorean EG, Chung V, Cohen SJ, Czito B, Engbretson A, *et al*: Pancreatic adenocarcinoma, Version 2.2014: Featured updates to the NCCN guidelines. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 12: 1083-1093, 2014.
86. Xie J, Yuan J and Lu L: Gemcitabine fixed-dose rate infusion for the treatment of pancreatic carcinoma: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diagn Pathol* 9: 214, 2014.
87. Liu F, Tang Y, Sun J, Yuan Z, Li S, Sheng J, Ren H and Hao J: Regional intra-arterial vs. systemic chemotherapy for advanced pancreatic cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One* 7: e40847, 2012.
88. Hong GB, Zhou JX, Xu LF, Luo FT, Jang RJ, Luo JH and Chen YT: Meta-analysis on comparative study of curative effect between interventional therapy and conventional systemic venous chemotherapy in moderate and advanced pancreatic cancer. *J Pract Radiol* 4: 022, 2004.
89. Rombouts SJ, Vogel JA, van Santvoort HC, van Lienden KP, van Hillegersberg R, Busch OR, Besselink MG and Molenaar IQ: Systematic review of innovative ablative therapies for the treatment of locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Br J Surg* 102: 182-193, 2015.
90. Moertel CG, Frytak S, Hahn RG, O'Connell MJ, Reitemeier RJ, Rubin J, Schutt AJ, Weiland LH, Childs DS, Holbrook MA, *et al*: Therapy of locally unresectable pancreatic carcinoma: A randomized comparison of high dose (6000 rads) radiation alone, moderate dose radiation (4000 rads + 5-fluorouracil) and high dose radiation + 5-fluorouracil: The Gastrointestinal Study Group. *Cancer* 48: 1705-1710, 1981.
91. Hazel JJ, Thirlwell MP, Huggins M, Maksymiuk A and MacFarlane JK: Multi-drug chemotherapy with and without radiation for carcinoma of the stomach and pancreas: A prospective randomized trial. *J Can Assoc Radiol* 32: 164-165, 1981.
92. Klaassen DJ, MacIntyre JM, Catton GE, Engstrom PF and Moertel CG: Treatment of locally unresectable cancer of the stomach and pancreas: A randomized comparison of 5-fluorouracil alone with radiation plus concurrent and maintenance 5-fluorouracil-an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group study. *J Clin Oncol* 3: 373-378, 1985.
93. Treatment of locally unresectable carcinoma of the pancreas: Comparison of combined-modality therapy (chemotherapy plus radiotherapy) to chemotherapy alone. Gastrointestinal Tumor Study Group. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 80: 751-755, 1988.
94. Cohen SJ, Doherty R Jr, Lipsitz S, Catalano PJ, Sischo B, Smith TJ and Haller DG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group: A randomized phase III study of radiotherapy alone or with 5-fluorouracil and mitomycin-C in patients with locally advanced adenocarcinoma of the pancreas: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group study E8282. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 62: 1345-1350, 2005.
95. Loehrer PJ, Feng Y, Cardenes H, Wagner L, Brell JM, Cella D, Flynn P, Ramanathan RK, Crane CH, Alberts SR and Benson AB III: Gemcitabine alone versus gemcitabine plus radiotherapy in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer: An Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group trial. *J Clin Oncol* 29: 4105-4112, 2011.

96. Chauffert B, Mornex F, Bonnetain F, Rougier P, Mariette C, Bouché O, Bosset JF, Aparicio T, Mineur L, Azzedine A, *et al*: Phase III trial comparing intensive induction chemoradiotherapy (60 Gy, infusional 5-FU and intermittent cisplatin) followed by maintenance gemcitabine with gemcitabine alone for locally advanced unresectable pancreatic cancer. Definitive results of the 2000-01 FFCD/SFRO study. *Ann Oncol* 19: 1592-1599, 2008.
97. Sultana A, Tudur Smith C, Cunningham D, Starling N, Tait D, Neoptolemos JP and Ghaneh P: Systematic review, including meta-analyses, on the management of locally advanced pancreatic cancer using radiation/combined modality therapy. *Br J Cancer* 96: 1183-1190, 2007.
98. Huguet F, Girard N, Guerche CS, Henneguin C, Mornex F and Azria D: Chemoradiotherapy in the management of locally advanced pancreatic carcinoma: A qualitative systematic review. *J Clin Oncol* 27: 2269-2277, 2009.
99. Chen Y, Sun XJ, Jiang TH and Mao AW: Combined radiochemotherapy in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis. *World J Gastroenterol* 19: 7461-7471, 2013.
100. Bernstein M, Kaubisch A, Rosenstein M, Aparo S, Garg MK, Kalnicki S, Guha C and Ohri N: Chemotherapy alone versus chemoradiation for unresectable pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 90 (Suppl 14): S363-S364, 2014.
101. Zhang X, Huang HJ, Feng D, Yang DJ, Wang CM and Cai QP: Is concomitant radiotherapy necessary with gemcitabine-based chemotherapy in pancreatic cancer? *World J Gastroenterol* 20: 17648-17655, 2014.
102. Zhu CP, Shi J, Chen YX, Xie WF and Lin Y: Gemcitabine in the chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis. *Radiother Oncol* 99: 108-113, 2011.
103. Huguet F, André T, Hammel P, Artru P, Balosso J, Selle F, Deniaud-Alexandre E, Ruszniewski P, Touboul E, Labianca R, *et al*: Impact of chemoradiotherapy after disease control with chemotherapy in locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma in GERCOR phase II and III studies. *J Clin Oncol* 25: 326-331, 2007.
104. Ko AH, Quivey JM, Venook AP, Bergsland EK, Dito E, Schillinger B and Tempero MA: A phase II study of fixed-dose rate gemcitabine plus low-dose cisplatin followed by consolidative chemoradiation for locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 68: 809-816, 2007.
105. Krishnan S, Rana V, Janjan NA, Varadhachary GR, Abbruzzese JL, Das P, Delclos ME, Gould MS, Evans DB, Wolff RA and Crane CH: Induction chemotherapy selects patients with locally advanced, unresectable pancreatic cancer for optimal benefit from consolidative chemoradiation therapy. *Cancer* 110: 47-55, 2007.
106. Hammel P, Huguet F, Van Laethem JL, Goldstein D, Glimelius B, Artru P, *et al*: Comparison of chemoradiotherapy (CRT) and chemotherapy (CT) in patients with a locally advanced pancreatic cancer (LAPC) controlled after 4 months of gemcitabine with or without erlotinib: Final results of the international phase III LAP 07 study. *J Clin Oncol* 31 (Suppl): LBA4003, 2013.
107. Murphy JD, Chang DT, Abelson J, Daly ME, Yeung HN, Nelson LM and Koong AC: Cost-effectiveness of modern radiotherapy techniques in locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 118: 1119-1129, 2012.
108. Fung MC, Takayama S, Ishiguro H, Sakata T, Adachi S and Morizane T: Chemotherapy for advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer: Analysis of 43 randomized trials in 3 decades (1974-2002). *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 30: 1101-1111, 2003 (In Japanese).
109. Moore MJ, Goldstein D, Hamm J, Figer A, Hecht JR, Gallinger S, Au HJ, Murawa P, Walde D, Wolff RA, *et al*: Erlotinib plus gemcitabine compared with gemcitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: A phase III trial of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. *J Clin Oncol* 25: 1960-1966, 2007.
110. Yang ZY, Yuan JQ, Di MY, Zheng DY, Chen JZ, Ding H, Wu XY, Huang YF, Mao C and Tang JL: Gemcitabine plus erlotinib for advanced pancreatic cancer: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 8: e57528, 2013.
111. Ueno H, Ioka T, Ikeda M, Ohkawa S, Yanagimoto H, Boku N, Fukutomi A, Sugimori K, Baba H, Yamao K, *et al*: Randomized phase III study of gemcitabine plus S-1, S-1 alone, or gemcitabine alone in patients with locally advanced and metastatic pancreatic cancer in Japan and Taiwan: GEST study. *J Clin Oncol* 31: 1640-1648, 2013.
112. Conroy T, Desseigne F, Ychou M, Bouché O, Guimbaud R, Bécouarn Y, Adenis A, Raoul JL, Gourgou-Bourgade S, de la Fouchardière C, *et al*: FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 364: 1817-1825, 2011.
113. Von Hoff DD, Ervin T, Arena FP, Chiorean EG, Infante J, Moore M, Seay T, Tjulandin SA, Ma WW, Saleh MN, *et al*: Increased survival in pancreatic cancer with nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine. *N Engl J Med* 369: 1691-1703, 2013.
114. Chan K, Shah K, Lien K, Coyle D, Lam H and Ko YJ: A Bayesian meta-analysis of multiple treatment comparisons of systemic regimens for advanced pancreatic cancer. *PLoS One* 9: e108749, 2014.
115. Gresham GK, Wells GA, Gill S, Cameron C and Jonker DJ: Chemotherapy regimens for advanced pancreatic cancer: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* 14: 471, 2014.
116. Petrelli F, Coinu A, Borgonovo K, Cabiddu M, Ghilardi M and Barni S: Polychemotherapy or gemcitabine in advanced pancreatic cancer: A meta-analysis. *Dig Liver Dis* 46: 452-459, 2014.
117. Attard CL, Brown S, Alloul K and Moore MJ: Cost-effectiveness of FOLFIRINOX for first-line treatment of metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Curr Oncol* 21: e41-e51, 2014.