Successful desensitization therapy involving fluoroquinolone for the treatment of a solitary tuberculoma: A case report and literature review
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Abstract. The patient was a 31-year-old female with no previous health problems; however, during a health checkup in 2013, a nodule (2.5 cm in diameter) was identified in the S10 area of the left lung. No clinical symptoms were apparent. Positron emission tomography/computed tomography revealed an accumulation in the same region. The patient was suspected of having lung cancer, and video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery was performed. A histopathological examination of the resected specimen revealed epithelioid granulomas accompanied by caseous necrosis in the lesion. The culture was positive for Mycobacterium tuberculosis, which led to the final diagnosis of tuberculoma. Initially, the patient underwent anti-M.tuberculosis treatment [isoniazid (INH) + rifampicin (RFP) + ethambutol (EB) + pyrazinamide (PZA)]. However, two weeks later, the development of hepatic dysfunction necessitated suspension of the medication. Treatment was resumed following improvement of the hepatic function. However, this relapsed two weeks later, resulting in discontinuation of the treatment. The patient was negative for each of the four drugs in the drug-induced lymphocyte stimulation test (DLST), and drug-induced hepatotoxicity (DIH) attributable to the anti-tuberculous drugs that were administered. Therefore, desensitization therapy was initiated. EB + PZA were changed to levofloxacin (LVFX) at an initial dose of 250 mg/day (dose level increased to the maintenance dose). Subsequently, desensitization therapy with RFP and INH was applied in accordance with the Japanese Society for Tuberculosis protocol. After each drug dose level reached the maintenance dose level, the therapy was completed following administration of the drugs for the recommended duration of 6 months. There were no signs of relapse 6 months following completion of the therapy. Therefore, the patient responded well to the substitute therapy with LVFX and desensitization therapy, and the present case report provided information regarding the treatment of tuberculoma.

Introduction

Tuberculoma is considered a benign disease, and accounts for 5-24% of all solitary pulmonary nodules (SPNs) (1). Of all cases of tuberculoma, 77.3% are asymptomatic and only detected during routine health checkups. Tuberculoma assumes the form of SPN in 77-85% of all cases, and two or more nodules or satellite lesions are present in 15-22% of total cases. The nodules are commonly located in the upper lobes of the lungs and frequently measure 2-5 cm in diameter (2,3).

The likelihood of a malignant in the SPN is known to increase with the size of it. For SPNs measuring <5 mm in diameter, the probability of malignancy is 0-1%, whereas with an increase in the diameter to 11-12 mm, the probability of the tumor becoming malignant increases to 33-64%. With a further increase in the diameter to 20 mm, the likelihood of malignancy increases to 64-82% (4). The efficacy of positron emission tomography (PET) in the management of cancer has been reported previously (4,5). However, a distinction between tuberculosis and lung cancer is extremely difficult to make, even with the use of PET (5).

Desensitization therapy for the treatment of tuberculoma has rarely been reported previously. The present study reports a case of desensitization therapy in the treatment of a patient with solitary pulmonary tuberculoma, and provides reference to the current literature. The solitary pulmonary tuberculoma was diagnosed by video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) and treatment with antituberculous drugs resulted in drug-induced hepatotoxicity (DIH). Subsequently, desensitization therapy with quinolones and other therapeutic agents proved effective in treating the patient's tuberculoma.
Case report

The patient was a non-smoking 31-year-old female who does not consume alcohol and had no history of health problems. However, during a routine health checkup on November 26th 2013, an intranodal nodule (2.5 cm in diameter) in the S10 area of the left lung was identified. The patient was asymptomatic and PET-computed tomography (CT) revealed accumulation (standardized uptake value of 4.5) in the lesion (Figs. A and B). Therefore, the patient was suspected to have lung cancer and VATS was performed on December 10th 2013. Histopathological examination of the resected specimen revealed the presence of epithelioid granulomas, accompanied by caseous necrosis in the lesion (Fig. IC). The tissue specimen was negative on Ziehl-Neelsen staining, however, culture for Mycobacterium tuberculosis was positive (M. tuberculosis antigen-positive), which led to a final diagnosis of tuberculoma. Anti-M. tuberculosis treatment [isoniazid (INH) + rifampicin (RFP) + ethambutol (EB) + pyrazinamide (PZA)] commenced on January 13th 2014; however, the patient developed hepatic dysfunction [aspartate transaminase/alanine transaminase (AST/ALT): 506/867] on February 1st 2014 (Table I), which necessitated the suspension of the treatment. The medication was resumed (EB + PZA) following improvement of the hepatic function; however, the hepatic dysfunction (AST/ALT: 455/928) relapsed on March 12th 2014 (two weeks following the resumption) and the treatment was terminated. During this period, tests for hepatitis virus markers and human immunodeficiency virus were negative. Based on the hypothesis that the patient may have an allergy to antituberculous drugs, the drug-induced lymphocyte stimulation test (DLST) was performed for the four antituberculous drugs; however, the drug-induced lymphocyte stimulation test (DLST) was performed for the four antituberculous drugs; however, the data were within the criterion range for each of the drugs. Two months elapsed before the patient’s hepatic function was recovered. Although the patient tested negative for DLST, a diagnosis of drug-induced hepatotoxicity (DIH) due to the antituberculous drugs was made on the basis of the clinical course. Standard treatment with antituberculous drugs was considered to be associated with risks; therefore, reductive-sensitizing therapy with antituberculous drugs was performed. On May 12th 2014, the treatment with EB + PZA was changed to levofloxacin (LVFX) at the initial dose of 250 mg/day. This dose level was increased to the maintenance dose level of 500 mg/day following confirmation of the absence of deteriorating hepatic function one week later. Subsequently, on May 19th 2014, desensitization therapy was initiated in accordance with the protocol of the Japanese Society for Tuberculosis (JST) (6). Firstly, RFP (25 mg/day) was used and its dose level was gradually increased at intervals of 3 days to the maintenance dose level (450 mg/day). Following confirmation of a lack of deteriorating hepatic function, INH was added on June 16th 2014 at 25 mg/day, and the dose level increased at intervals of 3 days to the maintenance dose level (300 mg/day). Subsequent to the dose level of each drug reaching the maintenance dose level (LVFX, 500 mg/day; RFP, 450 mg/day; and INH, 300 mg/day), the therapy was continued for an additional 6 months and completed on January 7th 2015. During this period, there were no cases of hepatic function deterioration or any other adverse events. During the subsequent follow-up, no signs of relapse were detected.

Discussion

The reported incidence of tuberculosis in 2014 was 16.1/100,000 of the population in Japan, 3.1 in USA, 4.7 in Canada, 4.9 in Germany and 5.7 in Australia, with the incidence being significantly higher in Japan compared with in the Western countries (7). Tuberculoma is thought to develop in 6-9% of all patients with post-primary tuberculosis (2), and when it assumes the form of an SPN, its distinction from cancer is difficult. Therefore, VATS-based resection and histological diagnosis are recommended for the differential diagnosis in such cases (5,8,9). After INH became available for clinical use in the 1960s, numerous studies on anti-tuberculous drugs and DIH were performed in that decade. According to reports after 1990, the year in which the three-drug regimen of INH + RFP + PZA was established as a standard therapy, DIH (defined as a serum AST level of ≥100 U/ml and/or serum total bilirubin level of ≥2.5 mg/dl) occurred at an incidence of 2.4% in cases treated with INH + RFP + PZA for 2 months and INH + RFP for the subsequent 4 months. The reported incidence following 9 months of treatment with INH + RFP + EB was 3.6%. The incidence of DIH has been demonstrated not to vary depending on the presence/absence of PZA in the treatment regimen (10). According to reports after 2000, the incidence of DIH following tuberculosis treatment has become higher, with inter-ethnic differences in the frequency (11-13). Comparison of the DIH incidence between two major standard therapies, i.e., the British Thoracic Society (BTS) and American Thoracic Society (ATS) reintroduction guidelines for antituberculous therapy, revealed no difference in the incidence (BTS, 9.8-16%; ATS, 11.1-18%) (14). The possible risk factors for DIH include age (>35 years old and children), gender (female), extent of tuberculosis (spread of the disease beyond the lungs), malnutrition (serum albumin, <3.5 mg/dL), alcohol consumption, presence of hepatitis, drug dose level and genetic polymorphism (three major enzymes involved in INH degradation are N-acetyltransferase 2, cytochrome P450 2E1, and glutathione S-transferase) (15). However, certain previous studies have reported the absence of any association of NIH with the body mass index or serum albumin level (14,16).

Among the previous reports providing collective data on the cases of tuberculoma, Lee et al (2) reported the results of treatment in 45 cases of tuberculoma, of which 24 (53.3%) were asymptomatic and detected during a routine health checkup. Of the 45 patients, 7 (15.6%) had a previous history of tuberculosis (13 and 28.9%) had a history of diabetes mellitus. The treatment consisted of INH, RFP, EB and PZA in 38 patients, INH, RFP and EB in six patients, and EB, streptomycin, cycloserine and LVFX in one patient. In six (13.3%) patients, the first-line drugs were switched to second-line drugs due to the appearance of DIH; however, there was no description in the report of desensitization therapy. According to a report by Hsu et al (3) of 53 tuberculoma cases, 41 (77.4%) were asymptomatic and detected during a routine health check-up, while 12 (22.6%) had respiratory symptoms (including coughing and sputum). Following VTAS, standard antituberculous therapy was administered for 6-12 months; however, there was no description in the report on the occurrence of DIH. Laisaar et al (17) reported the results of 43 cases of tuberculoma, in which 37 patients (86.0%) received treatment with first-line drugs.
and 5 (11.6%) received treatment with second-line drugs. During the follow-up period lasting 9 years, no patient relapsed subsequent to the postoperative drug therapy (mean duration, 185 days). With regards to special treatments, Yang et al (18) reported that 54 patients of tuberculoma were treated by direct injection of 0.1 g INH and 0.2 g amikacin into the tuberculoma, which resulted in a marked decrease in the size or disappearance of the tuberculoma in 31 patients (57.4%) following 10 treatment sessions. The adverse reactions observed were pneumothorax (5 cases, 9.3%), hemoptysis (4 cases, 7.4%) and pyrexia (6 cases, 11.1%); however, there was no report of DIH development.

The diagnosis of DIH during antituberculous drug treatment was based on the finding of elevated serum levels of the hepatic enzymes and the accompanying clinical symptoms. The judgment of ‘positive’ in the DLST with drugs is not an absolute requirement for the diagnosis of DIH, as the reported DLST-positive rate is only 56% for RFP and 50% for INH (6). Furthermore, a previous study reported that the onset of DIH bears no correlation with the blood levels of INH, RFP, EB or PZA (19). Additionally, in the present case, the DLST was negative for INH, RFP, EB and PZA; however, hepatic dysfunction developed following the administration of the second medication, suggesting the strong possibility that DIH was attributed to EB and PZA in the present patient.

Desensitization therapy has been used as one of the methods for the management of DIH, which occurs during antituberculous treatment. The desensitization protocol used differs between Japan and Western countries. Kobashi et al (6) reported the differences as follows: While the initial dose of INH or RFP starts at 25 mg/day and is gradually increased every 3 days over a period of >2 weeks in the desensitization therapy proposed by the JST, in Western countries the dose starts from 0.1 mg every 45 min and requires only 2 days to complete the desensitization therapy. The previous study additionally reported that the protocol for desensitization therapy used in Western countries for DIH to antituberculous drugs is based on the penicillin desensitization therapy. Furthermore, these investigators reported that the desensitization therapy administered in accordance with the JST protocol had a success rate of 77% for the case of RFP and 81% for the case of INH, which is more efficacious compared with the outcome of the therapy applied in accordance with the Western protocol.

Another previous study also reported that substitute therapy

Table I. Laboratory findings of the liver functions in the clinical course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-Bil</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>IU/l</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>506.00</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>455.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>IU/l</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>867.00</td>
<td>74.00</td>
<td>928.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-GTP</td>
<td>IU/l</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>253.00</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>IU/l</td>
<td>183.00</td>
<td>472.00</td>
<td>198.00</td>
<td>341.00</td>
<td>164.00</td>
<td>169.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>IU/l</td>
<td>187.00</td>
<td>446.00</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>189.00</td>
<td>195.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>mg/dl</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plt</td>
<td>10⁴/µl</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T-Bil, total bilirubin; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine transaminase; GTP, guanosine triphosphate; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; Cr, creatine; CRP, creatine phosphate; Plt, platelet; NP, not performed.

Figure 1. (A) A nodule (2.5 cm in diameter) observed on the pleura in the S10 area of the left lung by chest CT. (B) The marked accumulation of cancer-like cells was observed in positron emission tomography-CT (maximum standardized uptake value, 4.5). (C) The presence of epithelioid granulomas accompanied by caseous necrosis in the nodule. (magnification, x100) CT, computed tomography.
using levofloxacin or moxifloxacin instead of INH and RFP was effective (20). In the present case, LVFX was used initially at half the ordinary dose level when the medication was resumed, and desensitization therapy with RFP and INH was applied in accordance with the JST protocol (6), which resulted in a favorable outcome and no relapse.

In conclusion, the reported incidence of DIH to antituberculous drugs used in the treatment of tuberculosis was ≤15%. Following the onset of DIH, the majority of patients continued to receive treatment, although the drugs were frequently switched to second-line drugs. The literature identified no previous studies that utilised the application of desensitization therapy to treat DIH. The present study reports a case of tuberculosis, which required an initial differentiation from lung cancer and was diagnosed on the basis of VATS findings. The patient developed DIH twice following antituberculous drug treatment, and the DIH in this patient appeared to be attributable to EB and PZA. Substitute therapy using LVFX and desensitization therapy with INH and RFP were applied, which yielded a favorable outcome. Therefore, the present study signifies the importance of DIH management with regards to antituberculous drugs.
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