Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the lung that responded to nivolumab: A case report
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Abstract. Pulmonary large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC) is a rare type of lung cancer, accounting for 3% of all lung cancers. The prognosis is poor and the standard therapy has not been well established. Herein, we report a case of advanced LCNEC of the lung that responded to nivolumab. The patient was a 62-year old man with stage IVB LCNEC of the lung. The disease progressed following the administration of second-line chemotherapy, and he was treated with nivolumab 3 mg/kg as the third-line treatment. Although treatment was ceased after two cycles due to interstitial pneumonia, the disease remained stable for approximately six months under observation. There was no other adverse event related to nivolumab. Following patient mortality from tumor progression, PD-L1 expression was observed to be negative (tumor proportion score <1%) by a re-examination of the primary biopsy specimen. The case herein suggests that nivolumab may be a possible treatment option for LCNEC.

Introduction

The programmed death-1 (PD-1) receptor expressed on T-cells is engaged by tumor-expressed PD-ligand (PD-L) 1 and PD-L2 inhibiting T-cell activation and promoting tumor immune escape. Immune checkpoint inhibitors block the immune checkpoint molecules expressed on cancer cells, thereby blocking the inhibitory signals from the ligand and prolonging the activation of T-cells. Thus, T-cells are induced to attack the cancer cells (1). Nivolumab, a fully human IgG4 PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor antibody, emerged as a promising treatment option for advanced non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). In two phase III trials, nivolumab demonstrated superior overall survival (OS) compared to docetaxel among pre-treated patients with advanced NSCLC (2,3). Furthermore, Gettinger et al reported that first-line nivolumab monotherapy demonstrated a tolerable safety profile and durable responses in advanced NSCLC (4).

Large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC) is a rare subset of lung cancer, accounting for 3% of all lung cancer (5). In the current 2015 World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Tumors of the Lung, Pleura, Thymus and Heart, LCNECs are classified as neuroendocrine neoplasms with small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC), typical carcinoids, and atypical carcinoids (6). Since there are limited published data regarding the natural history, clinical course, and treatment of patients with advanced LCNEC, the optimal systemic treatment has not been adequately established.

Although the effectiveness of PD-1 antibody for NSCLC has been reported, the effectiveness of PD-1 antibody for LCNEC is unclear. We recently encountered a case of stage IVB LCNEC of the lung that responded to nivolumab as third-line treatment.

Case report

A 62-year-old man presented with weakness of the lower extremities and numbness of the right index finger. He had a smoking history of 40 cigarettes per day for 40 years and a history of hypertension and gastroesophageal reflux disease. He visited a hospital, and the chest X-ray showed an abnormal shadow on the right lung. Chest computed tomography (CT) revealed a mass in the right upper lobe, metastases in the mediastinal lymph nodes, and bone metastasis at the sixth cervical vertebra (Fig. 1). He was referred to our hospital and diagnosed with LCNEC of the lung (cT1bN2M1b, c-Stage IVB) by transbronchial needle aspiration. Immunohistochemical staining showed the tumor cells were positive for chromogranin A, CD56, and synaptophysin (Fig. 2A-E). At diagnosis, the serum NSE slightly was elevated (15.8 ng/ml) and ProGRP was within a normal range. We performed radiotherapy for the bone metastasis at the cervical vertebra immediately, followed by first-line chemotherapy with irinotecan 60 mg/m² and carboplatin (AUC=5). Post-treatment CT showed stable disease. After a disease-free interval of five months, CT...
revealed multiple new metastases in the abdominal lymph nodes, liver, and bones. We administered etoposide 80 mg/m² and cisplatin 60 mg/m² as second-line chemotherapy; however, lymph node metastases progressed rapidly and serum NSE level was elevated to 22.1 ng/ml.

Next, he was treated with nivolumab 3 mg/kg as third-line chemotherapy. We found mild hyperthyroidism through a serological testing and initiated oral replacement therapy. After two cycles of nivolumab, the primary lesion and most of the lymph node metastases shrank; however, one liver metastasis and one mediastinal lymph node enlarged slightly. All these changes were within the range for stable disease (Fig. 3). Concurrently, although the patient didn't present any symptom such as shortness of breath or dry cough, interstitial pneumonia developed. We stopped nivolumab and administered 20 mg per day of oral predonisolone. After 8 days, the chest X-ray showed improvement of pneumonia and we stopped predonisolone. Interstitial pneumonia hadn’t exaggerated again although it had remained until the end. Hyperthyroidism had also remained stable, and there was no other adverse event related to nivolumab. Thereafter, the disease remained stable for approximately six months under observation (Fig. 3) and NSE gradually improved to 15.7 ng/ml.

Seven months after starting nivolumab, all liver metastases enlarged and multiple brain metastases developed. We found NSE was elevated to 26.5 ng/ml, and it continued to be elevated until he died (maximum was 87.8 ng/ml) (Fig. 4). He received whole brain radiotherapy and one cycle of fourth-line chemotherapy with amrubicin 30 mg/m². He died from tumor progression soon thereafter. After his death, we made a restudy of the primary biopsy specimen by immunohistochemistry and found that PD-L1 expression was negative (tumor proportion score <1%) (Fig. 2F).

Discussion

We report a case of stage IVB LCNEC of the lung without PD-L1 expression that responded to nivolumab as third-line treatment. The recent update of the WHO Classification of Tumors of the Lung, Pleura, Thymus and Heart provides diagnostic criteria for neuroendocrine carcinomas based on the histopathologic characteristics such as cell size, cell morphologic features, mitotic index, architectural growth patterns, and the presence of necrosis. Tumors with these neuroendocrine morphologies are divided into four subtypes, high-grade malignancies together with SCLC or LCNEC, low-grade typical carcinoids, and intermediate grade atypical carcinoids, primarily according to the number of mitoses, the presence or absence of necrosis, and the detection of immunohistochemical markers such as chromogranin A, synaptophysin, and CD56. Furthermore, LCNEC can harbor components of adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, giant cell carcinoma, or spindle cell carcinoma. When SCLC is combined with LCNEC, the tumor is both clinically and morphologically diagnosed as an SCLC.

The most appropriate treatment strategy for advanced LCNEC has not been established, because of its rarity and difficulty of diagnosis. Some studies examining the first- and second-line treatment of LCNEC have been performed, and most showed that chemotherapies for SCLC were potentially more effective than those for NSCLC (7-10). Niho et al reported the results of a phase II trial of cisplatin and irinotecan as first-line therapy. Forty-four patients were enrolled. The response rate was 54.5%, and the median PFS/OS was 5.9/15.1 months, respectively (7). Le Treut et al reported the results of a phase II trial of cisplatin and etoposide as first-line therapy. Forty-two patients were enrolled, and the median PFS/OS was 5.2/7.7 months, respectively (8). Kasahara et al reported the...
results of a phase II trial of amrubicin as second-line therapy. Eighteen patients were enrolled; the response rate was 27.7%, and the median PFS/OS was 3.1/5.1 months, respectively (9). These studies showed that the outcomes of advanced LCNEC treated with certain regimens for SCLC were poorer than those of patients with advanced SCLC. In contrast, several
cohort studies have evaluated NSCLC regimens for LCNEC; however, these studies were retrospective, and the sample sizes were small (10). Taken together, new treatment options for this rare malignancy are essential.

Some studies reported PD-L1 expression in 15.2-57.5% of NSCLCs, and there was an association between higher PD-L1 expression and greater clinical benefit of PD-1 inhibitors such as nivolumab or pembrolizumab, another immune checkpoint inhibitor antibody, for patients with NSCLCs (2,11,12). A recent study showed that the frequency of PD-L1 expression was 10.4% in LCNECs in surgically resected specimens, and there was a tendency towards longer OS and disease-free survival in patients with positive PD-L1 expression than in those with negative PD-L1 expression (13). However, there have been few reports of LCNEC that responded to nivolumab or pembrolizumab (14,15) and the effectiveness of PD-L1 antibodies for LCNEC is unclear. In our case, the primary lesion and most of the lymph node metastases responded to nivolumab. In addition, the disease remained stable regardless of poor PD-L1 expression, and only one liver metastasis developed. PD-L1 protein expression has been reported to be heterogeneous (16,17) and we speculated that this heterogeneity might partly explain the mixed responses in different tumor sites or organs. Furthermore, it was reported that high tumor mutation burden (TMB) may be predictive of response to immunotherapy (14,18) or patient outcomes were significantly better when immune-related adverse events occurred in a population of patients with advanced NSCLC treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors (19). In our case, there may be an association between TMB and/or interstitial pneumonia incidence and efficacy of nivolumab. Further cases are required to investigate the various predictors of better outcomes to immune checkpoint inhibitors of this rare malignancy.

In conclusion, disease remained stable for seven months after only two cycles of nivolumab. Our case suggests that immune checkpoint inhibitors can be an effective treatment option for patients with advanced LCNEC.

Nivolumab is a possible treatment option for LCNEC.
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