Papillary thyroid carcinoma micro-deposits in cervical lymph nodes without intra-thyroid malignancy: A comment in consideration of the latest American Thyroid Association guidelines
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Abstract. Differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) represents the vast majority of all thyroid cancers, with the papillary variant being the most common. According to the previous 2009 American Thyroid Association (ATA) guidelines, papillary thyroid microcarcinoma (PTMC; ≤1 cm in diameter) exhibiting cervical lymph node metastasis corresponded to an intermediate-risk group for recurrence or metastasis. However, the latest 2015 ATA guidelines advocate that a patient with PTMC is low-risk if there are ≤5 regional node micrometastases. This means that therapeutic radioactive iodine (RAI) is not required. The current study reports a rare case of a patient who underwent total thyroidectomy due to multi-nodular goiter where the pathologic specimen exhibited two PTMC foci in regional lymph nodes, but no primary cancer was identified in the thyroid despite thorough examination of the thyroid parenchyma. The etiology of such results is unknown and it was hypothesized that it may be the consequence of insufficient pathologic examination or due to the regression of a primary PTMC in the thyroid. Moreover, the risk-stratification of cases with intra-lymph node PTMC without any evidence of primary cancer in the thyroid is not considered in the ATA recommendations. The aim of the current report was to elucidate the risk-stratification of this rare occurrence and to reconsider the possible etiologies. By extrapolating the latest ATA recommendations concerning a patient with a known primary PTMC and ≤5 metastatic micro-foci (thus the only difference between cases being the absence of a primary tumor), it was concluded that the patient should be considered low-risk. As a consequence, RAI therapy should be deemed as unnecessary despite the presence of lymph node microfoci. Moreover, it was proposed that cervical lymph node PTMC with no evidence of a primary tumor in the thyroid could be the consequence of normal thyroid tissue micro-deposit progression to cancer within the lymph node, which is a rare benign entity.

Introduction

Differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) represents the vast majority (90%) of all thyroid cancers, and it includes papillary and follicular neoplasms (1). According to epidemiologic estimations there were 63,000 new cases of DTC in the United States in 2014 compared to 37,200 in 2009 (1). This trend has been attributed almost entirely to the increase of the incidence of the papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) which is the most common form of DTC (1). In particular, the increasing use of neck ultrasonography resulted in a considerable rise of the new cases of PTC ≤1 cm which is distinguished as the papillary thyroid microcarcinoma (PTMC) (1,2). Additionally, there has been a doubling of the clinically-occult thyroid cancers which are detected incidentally during the pathologic exam after thyroidectomy for benign indication (2).

PTC involves cervical lymph node metastases in 20-50% of the patients, and the frequency of lymph node micrometastases (≤2 mm) may approach 90% in some series (1). In addition, there have been interesting reports of rare cases of incidental detection of PTMC in cervical lymph nodes without identifiable tumour within the thyroid gland (3). These extra-thyroid PTMC foci have been stipulated as metastases from an unknown...
primary deriving from the thyroid parenchyma despite thorough pathologic examination of the specimen (3). Due to their rare occurrence, their clinical potential is not discussed in the American Thyroid Association (ATA) guidelines (1).

With this communication we aim to provide an expert opinion concerning the clinical importance of PTC microscopic foci in cervical lymph nodes with no identifiable tumour within the thyroid, despite meticulous pathologic examination of the gland. For this objective, a clinical case is briefly described and it is discussed in view of the revised recommendation of the available ATA guidelines (1) regarding a present primary PTMC with lymph node micrometastases.

Case report

A 43-year old white male patient was referred to the Department of Endocrine Surgery, Euroclinic Hospital, Athens, Greece due to thyroid multinodular goiter in September 2017. He had a feeling of mild pressure in the neck in the past few months, which was exhibited particularly during swallowing. The largest nodule was 29 mm and displayed TI-RADS 2 characteristics in imaging. According to his anamnesis the nodule had grown in dimension. Thyroglobulin, calcitonin and CEA levels were normal and the patient was euthyroid. Further observation or surgical options were discussed with the patient and a total en-block thyroidectomy was performed in consideration of his preferences.

The surgical specimen of total thyroidectomy was inserted in buffered formalin (1:10) and the entire gland underwent thorough macroscopic and histopathologic examination by two experienced endocrine pathologists. The thyroid gland weighted 22 g. The dimensions of the right lobe were 4.5x3x1.7 cm, and the dimensions of the left lobe were 5x2.5x2.2 cm with the inclusion of the isthmus and the pyramidal lobe. The specimen capsule was intact. The thyroid gland was seriously sectioned and sampled into its entirety with a total of 26 parafine blocks and a mean width of 2.1 mm for each slice. Hematoxylin and eosin staining were employed for morphologic assessment. Commercially available immune antibodies were used to detect thyroglobulin receptors (Thermo, 1:600, Ab-6 cocktail). Pre-digestion was performed for 3 min with 0.05% protease VIII in a 0.1 M phosphate buffer with pH=7.8 at 37˚C. The findings were consistent with thyroid multinodular hyperplasia and there was no detectable tumor in the thyroid. Two of the excised lymph nodes from the central neck compartment displayed multiple confluent papillary micro-formations (0.3-1 mm of diameter) with clear irregular nuclei and papillary thyroid cancer phenotype. In immunohistochemistry the carcinoma cells were positive for thyroglobulin receptors. The microformations are shown in Fig. 1 with positive thyroglobulin immunostaining.

The patient consented in written for the publication of his case in the form of a case report. Approval from the ethical committee is not required in our hospital for case report publications.

Discussion

The presence of PTC tissue in cervical lymph nodes without identification of any cancer in the thyroid itself is a very uncommon event with unknown etiology. So far, it has been considered to represent lymph node metastatic disease from an occult primary thyroid microcarcinoma (3). A recent review of the literature by Xu et al has reported that there have been only 9 well-documented cases of metastatic thyroid carcinoma in cervical lymph nodes with no detectable primary, despite a thorough histopathologic examination of the thyroid (3).

The meticulous pathologic examination that was performed in the case that we describe herein failed to show any original cancer in the thyroid parenchyma. The mechanism of this rare phenomenon remains unknown (3) as the attainment of direct proof is more than challenging. Therefore, possible suggestions concerning its etiology are rather theoretic. It has been proposed that even exhaustive histopathologic examination may miss a microcarcinoma <3 mm in size, which is a lesion that may have the potential to develop metastases (3,4).

In our case, the histologic sections had a mean thickness of 2.1 mm which provided a good possibility for the detection of even smaller lesions (less than 1-2 mm). The second theory suggests the probability that the primary tumor regresses to fibrotic tissue within the thyroid parenchyma (3). Bearing in mind the comprehensive pathologic examination in our case-which included an extended re-evaluation of the specimen -, the undersigned authors consider and propose an additional alternative etiologic possibility without rejecting the existing ones. A PTMC focus in the thyroid parenchyma may be indeed absent in some of the cases. The explanation could be hidden in the uncommon but well-documented (5) presence of inclusions of benign thyroid tissue in cervical lymph nodes. Consequently, the possibility that such benign deposits evolved to intra-lymph node PTC micro-deposits due to the action of unknown biochemical or molecular stimuli can not be dismissed.

Concerning the clinical aspect of our case, it should be highlighted that the current guidelines do not deal at all with the rare entity of PTC micro-foci in the cervical lymph nodes with no detectable thyroid primary (1). Proper disease risk-stratification should be the main objective also in cases of PTC micro-inclusions in cervical lymph nodes with an occult or questionable primary tumor in order to guide therapy and follow-up. As the ATA guidelines consider only the eventuality of a known primary PTC with or without nodal metastases (1), we believe that the closest extrapolation that can be dragged for our case is to assume the same lymph node invasion pattern in a patient with a detected PTMC. The previous version (2009) of the ATA guidelines advocated that patients with a primary PTMC and any cervical lymph node metastasis belong to the intermediate-risk group for recurrence or metastasis (6). However, the subsequent version of these guidelines which was published in 2015 considered that the clinical implication of regional lymph node micrometastases may be less significant.
than previously thought (1). The new ATA proposal was therefore modified accordingly and downgraded the patients with a known primary PTMC and ≤5 of regional lymph node micrometastases in the low-risk group (1).

The herein case with two local micro-foci of PTC in regional lymph nodes and absent or occult intra-thyroid cancer (despite thorough pathologic examination) would correspond rather to the intermediate-risk group of the 2009 ATA risk stratification (6). However, by extrapolating the information provided in the latest ATA guidelines (1) for patients with a known primary PTMC and ≤5 regional node micrometastases, our case displays a pattern with better association to a weak malignant potential and therefore it should be included in the low-risk group. This change in the stratification of the risk of the same patient between the current and the previous version of the ATA recommendations is clinically important as both versions advocate that RAI adjuvant therapy should be administered in the intermediate-risk patients, but not for those with low-risk. Low-risk patients that have undergone total thyroidectomy should have routine post-operative measurements of serum thyroglobulin with the consideration of ultrasound and/or RAI scanning for diagnostic purposes (1). In turn, a different case with multiple (>5) PTC micro-inclusions in lymph nodes and without an identifiable primary after total thyroidectomy would better pertain to the intermediate-risk and therefore adjuvant RAI therapy should be regarded rather as an overtreatment and should be avoided.
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