Impact of tissue type and content of neoplastic cells of samples on the quality of epidermal growth factor receptor mutation analysis among patients with lung adenocarcinoma
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Abstract. Assessment of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutational status has become crucial in recent years in the molecular classification of patients with lung cancer. The impact of the type and quantity of malignant cells of the neoplastic specimen on the quality of mutation analysis remains to be elucidated, and only empirical and sporadic data are available. The aim of the present study was to investigate the impact of tissue type and content of neoplastic cells in the specimen on the quality of EGFR mutation analysis among patients with lung adenocarcinoma. A total of 515 patients with histologically-confirmed disease were included in the present study. Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded tissue samples were used for the mutation analysis and the content of the neoplastic cells was evaluated using light microscopy. Genomic DNA was isolated using a standard protocol. The coding sequences and splice junctions of exons 18, 19 and 21 in the EGFR gene were then screened for mutations by direct automated sequencing. The mean age of the patients examined was 64.9 years and 357 (69.3%) were male. A total of 429 tissue samples (83.3%) were obtained by biopsy and the remaining samples were obtained by surgery. A total of 456 samples (88.5%) were observed from primary lung adenocarcinomas, while 59 (11.5%) were from metastatic lesions. EGFR mutations occurred in 59 cases (11.5%); exon 18 mutations were detected in one case (1.7%), whereas exon 19 and 21 mutations were detected in 30 (51%) and 28 (47.3%) cases, respectively. EGFR mutations were more frequent in females and patients that had never smoked. The distribution of the mutations among primary and metastatic tissues exhibited no significant differences in the proportions of EGFR mutations detected. However, a statistically significant difference in the number of mutations detected was found between samples with at least 50% of neoplastic cells (450 cases-57 mutations; 12.7%) and those with <50% of neoplastic cells (65 cases-2 mutations; 3.1%).

Introduction

Lung cancer is the malignant neoplasm with the highest incidence and is the primary cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide; with >1,800,000 novel cases and ~1,600,000 fatalities estimated in 2012 (1). Incidence rates in the general population are closely associated with the incidence of tobacco smoking, as the majority of lung cancer cases are linked to this single risk factor (2). As a consequence of the multiple campaigns adopted in previous decades against smoking in the majority of Western countries, a decrease in the incidence of lung cancer was registered in males from ~78 novel cases per 100,000 inhabitants per year between 1992-1998 to ~67/100,000 between 2005-2010 (3). However,
incidence rates continuously increase in developing countries and in females, due to progressively increasing rates of smoking (3). The world standardised incidence rates of lung cancer were augmented by 22% among females and decreased by 3% among males in the period between 1985 and 2002 (4). Considering the current smoking trends, it is calculated that by 2030, lung cancer may affect females and males equally (5).

Despite recent developments in the diagnosis, clinical management and medical and surgical therapy of lung cancer, mortality rates remain high. The 5-year relative survival rate for lung cancer for the period between 1995 and 2001 was 15.7%, reflecting a steady but slow improvement from 12.5% in the period between 1974 and 1976. More recent studies have estimated a 5 year survival rate of ~16% in the USA (6,7). Several factors determine such high rates of mortality in patients with lung cancer. The most important are: i) Insufficient campaigns against smoking, pollution and other risk factors for lung cancer; ii) lack of effective screening strategies; iii) subclinical evolution of early stage disease; iv) delays in the diagnosis and clinical assessment of patients with suspicious signs and symptoms; v) insufficient comprehension of the pathophysiological mechanisms of the disease; and, as a consequence, vi) lack of effective treatment strategies, particularly for patients with advanced-stage disease.

Although the pathophysiology of lung cancer remains to be elucidated, a great quantity of research has been performed, particularly in the last two decades, and certain findings were translated into clinical practice. One of the most relevant insights was the determination of the role of the deregulation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). It was identified that EGFR was often overexpressed and aberrantly activated in NSCLC, and several activating mutations within the kinase domain of the EGFR gene were detected in lung adenocarcinomas (8). As a consequence, these tumours were highly sensitive to the EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). TKIs were subsequently adopted into clinical practice, represented by primary or secondary tumours and biopsy (transcutaneous or endoscopic) or surgical specimens, on a sequencing-based mutation analysis of the kinase domain of the EGFR gene in patients with lung adenocarcinomas.

Materials and methods

Samples. A total of 515 patients with histologically-confirmed diagnosis of NSCLC and regular follow-up in Sardinia, Italy were recruited in the present study. They were consecutively collected between September 2010 and May 2013, regardless of age at diagnosis and disease characteristics. All patients were of Sardinian origin as determined by the place of birth of the patient's parents. Clinical and pathological features for the assessment of the disease stage at diagnosis, as well as of the onset age and anatomical location of the neoplasia, were confirmed using medical records and pathology reports. Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue samples from NSCLC patients were obtained from the archives of the pathology institutions involved in the present study [University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy; Oncologic Hospital of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy and the Local Health Units of Olbia (Olbia, Italy) and Nuoro (Nuoro, Italy)]. Tissue samples were evaluated for the content of neoplastic cells using light microscopy. Paraffinized sections from each patient were stained with Harris hematoxylin and aqueous eosin 1% (Leica Biosystems Richmond, Inc., Richmond, IL, USA) and examined under an Olympus BX51 optical microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA); minimal tumoral cellularity (proportion and number of tumor cells) was established in all samples.

All patients were informed of the aims of the study and prior to collection of the tissue sample, gave written informed consent. The present study was reviewed and approved by the ethical review board of the Local Health Agency of Sassari.

Mutation analysis. All tumour tissues were collected and processed at the laboratory of the Institute of Biomolecular Chemistry (Sassari, Italy). Genomic DNA was isolated from tissue sections using a standard protocol and DNA quality was assessed for each specimen. Paraffin was removed from FFPE samples by treatment with Bio-Clear (Bio-optica, Milan, Italy) and DNA was purified using the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA).

The coding sequence and splice junctions of exons 19 and 21 (for all cases), as well as exon 18 for a large fraction of the patients (incompleteness was due to the low quantity of available tumour tissue samples) in the EGFR gene were screened for mutations by direct automated sequencing. Briefly, PCR was performed on 25-50 ng of isolated genomic DNA in a
9700 Thermal cycler (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using 0.5 μM of each specific primer, 1.5 μM MgCl₂, 0.2 μM dNTPs, and 1U AmpliTaq Polymerase (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). PCR assays were performed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C, primer annealing at 56-64°C (depending on primers), and polymerase extension at 72°C. All PCR-amplified products were directly sequenced using an automated fluorescence-based cycle sequencer (ABI PRISM 3100; Life Technologies), as previously described (13). Primer sequences for PCR-based assays were designed and optimised in the aforementioned laboratory and they are available upon request.

Statistical analysis. A descriptive analysis for qualitative and quantitative variables was conducted using proportions and the mean ± standard deviation (SD), respectively. An inferential analysis was performed for the clinical and demographic variables in terms of proportion of neoplastic cells in the specimen. P≤0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Data were analysed using the statistical software STATA 12® (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Among the 515 cases examined, 357 (69.3%) were male and 158 (30.7%) were female. The mean age was 64.9 years (SD:10.1). A total of 382 patients (84.5%) were active tobacco smokers or had a history of smoking, while the remaining 133 patients (15.5%) had never smoked. A total of 452 specimens (87.8%) were obtained from primary lung lesions, whereas 63 (12.2%) were obtained from metastatic lesions. The anatomical distribution of metastatic lesions was as follows: Lymph nodes, 19 (30.2%); liver, 15 (23.8%); bone, 12 (19.1%); central nervous system, 7 (11.1%); pleura, 4 (6.3%); skin, 2 (3.2%); and other tissues, 4 (6.3%). A total of 429 tissue samples (83.3%) were obtained from biopsy (transcutaneous or endoscopic), while 86 samples (16.7%) were obtained from surgical specimens.

The total number of EGFR mutations found was 59 (11.5%). TKI-sensitizing mutations in EGFR exons 18, 19, and 21 accounted for 1 (1.7%), 30 (51%) and 28 (47.3%) cases, respectively. The types of EGFR mutations observed are listed in Table I. The age-distribution of these mutations included 4 EGFR-mutations (23.5%) among the 17 patients aged <45 years. Among the other age classes, EGFR mutations were identified in 7 (37.2%) and 12 (66.2%) of the cases aged between 45 and 50 years and between 51 and 60 years, respectively. Approximately 10% of EGFR-mutation cases were found in patients >60 years old. The global number of EGFR mutations was significantly higher in females than in males [35/158 (22.2%) vs. 24/357 (6.7%)], due to a consistently higher incidence of EGFR exon 19 mutation in females. According to the smoking status, EGFR mutations were found to be significantly more common in patients that had never smoked (52.9%) as compared with patients that had smoked or continue to smoke (5.5%). Finally, the distribution of the mutations among primary and metastatic tissues demonstrated no statistically significant differences in the proportions of EGFR mutations detected in primary lung adenocarcinomas (51/452

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Positive cases (%)</th>
<th>EGFR exon</th>
<th>DNA change</th>
<th>Amino acid change</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>Exon 18</td>
<td>c.2154G&gt;C</td>
<td>p.Glu717Arg</td>
<td>G719A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 (37.2)</td>
<td>Exon 18</td>
<td>c.2235-2249del11</td>
<td>p.delELR</td>
<td>delELREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>Exon 18</td>
<td>c.2237-2255del11</td>
<td>p.delELR</td>
<td>delELREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>Exon 18</td>
<td>c.2239-2249del11</td>
<td>p.delELR</td>
<td>delELREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>Exon 19</td>
<td>c.2239-2253del11</td>
<td>p.delELR</td>
<td>delELREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (3.4)</td>
<td>Exon 19</td>
<td>c.2239-2253del11</td>
<td>p.delELR</td>
<td>delELREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>Exon 19</td>
<td>c.2239-2253del11</td>
<td>p.delELR</td>
<td>delELREA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 (37.2)</td>
<td>Exon 21</td>
<td>c.2572C&gt;G</td>
<td>p.Leu858Pro</td>
<td>L858P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>Exon 21</td>
<td>c.2572C&gt;G</td>
<td>p.Leu858Pro</td>
<td>L858Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>Exon 21</td>
<td>c.2572C&gt;G</td>
<td>p.Leu858Pro</td>
<td>L858R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mutations, 11.3%) and those found in metastatic samples (8/63 mutations, 12.7%). Table II summarises the distribution of EGFR mutations according to patient characteristics.

The mean (SD, range) percentage of neoplastic cells in the samples employed for mutational analysis was 52.5% (9.8, 20‑90). The distribution of the percentages of neoplastic cells in the specimens is shown in Fig. 1. In >75% of the cases examined, the percentage of malignant cells in the tissue sample was between 41 and 60%, while in <1% of the cases the percentage was <20% or >90%. A statistically significant difference in the number of mutations detected was found between samples with ≥50% of neoplastic cells (450 cases‑57 mutations; 12.7%) and those with <50% of neoplastic cells (65 cases‑2 mutations; 3.1%). Furthermore, a statistically significant difference in the proportion of malignant cells was found between samples obtained by biopsy and those obtained by surgery. The mean percentage of neoplastic cells was 50.3% (range 20‑90) in biopsy specimens and 63.1% (range 40‑90) in surgical samples. However, no statistical difference was inferred in EGFR mutation rates between tissue samples obtained by biopsy (49/429; 11.4%) or surgery (10/86; 11.6%; Table II).

Discussion

EGFR mutations were found in 11.5% of Sardinian patients with lung adenocarcinoma. This percentage is similar to that reported in the literature for other Caucasian populations (14). Additionally, in the present study EGFR mutations were found to be significantly more frequent in females and patients that had never smoked than in males and former or active smokers. This finding has been extensively reported in numerous previous studies from different geographical areas (15‑18).

The proportions of exon 18, 19 and 21 mutations were similar to those reported in the literature for other Caucasian populations (15). These mutations were frequent, other than in females and never-smokers, amongst patients aged ≤60 years at diagnosis (15.1% compared with 9.9% in patients aged >60 years at diagnosis). No statistical differences in the distribution of EGFR mutations were found in patients aged ≤50 years (14.1%) as compared with those aged ≥50 years (10.5%).

With regards to the origin of the tissue specimen used for mutational analysis, no statistically significant differences were identified in the percentages of EGFR mutations detected between samples obtained from primary tumours and those obtained from distant metastatic lesions, developed either through a lymphatic or hematogenous diffusion. In addition, no significant difference was observed in EGFR mutation
frequencies between tissue samples obtained by biopsy and those obtained by surgery. The two findings elucidate a practical aspect for the clinical management of patients with lung cancer, as they demonstrate a clear indication that EGFR mutational analysis may be performed in small tissue samples obtained by biopsy methods on either primary or secondary tumour lesions, thus, avoiding the invasiveness of surgical approaches.

Several studies have investigated the effectiveness of mutation analysis performed on biopsies or fine needle aspiration samples (14,19-21). These studies, along with technological improvements in laboratory methods, confirmed the effectiveness of EGFR mutational analysis in small tumour samples. This finding was also confirmed in the present study. Malapelle et al (22) in a previous study compared EGFR mutation analysis in 318 histology samples with that performed on 364 cytology specimens; the authors registered 8.5% and 8.8% of total EGFR mutations in the histological and cytological samples, respectively.

To the best of our knowledge, the impact of the quantity of neoplastic cells on mutation analysis has not been previously investigated thoroughly, and only empirical and sporadic data are available. Recommendations of several scientific societies on the minimum quantity of neoplastic cells required in the specimen for an adequate mutational analysis are generally based on such data. The Italian guidelines produced recently by a collaboration of three different scientific societies (AIOM-SIAPEC-IAP) recommend that when standard mutational analysis procedures are used (direct sequencing) the sample should be composed of at least 50% neoplastic cells (23).

As has been previously mentioned, in the present data, the majority of the samples examined comprised >50% neoplastic cells. It was identified that specimens with >50% neoplastic cells correlated positively with a higher number of EGFR mutations detected. This finding confirms that what is important is not whether specimens are taken during biopsy or surgery or whether they are taken from primary or metastatic tumours, but the quality of its cell composition. This appears to be the main aspect, which pathologists should focus on when evaluating or preparing specimens for mutation analysis. Additionally, standardised and reproducible methods must be outlined for a precise evaluation of the percentage of malignant cells in neoplastic specimens, in order to avoid confusion due to the different methods and criteria currently in use.
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