Significance of the quantitative measurement of the chr16: 51320015 integration site in hepatocytes of patients with chronic hepatitis B
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Abstract. The present study reported the presence of a hepatitis B virus (HBV) major integration site (MIS) chr16: 51320015 and discussed the significance of quantitative measurement of this site. A total of 30 hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) positive (+) and 30 HBeAg negative (-) patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB) were enrolled in the present study, and the levels of intrahepatic (IH) covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA), serum HBV DNA and hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) were detected. Conventional reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) and Sanger sequencing were designed to verify the chr16: 51320015 integration site, and the copy numbers of this site were measured using molecular clone and SYBR Green I RT-qPCR. This site was found to be present in the hepatocytes of all the enrolled patients, and the average number of copies was 1.46x10^2±4.94x10^2 copies/cell (3.48x10^7-0.212 copies/cell). No significant difference in the copy numbers of this site were observed between the HBeAg (+) (1.43±9.79x10^1 copies/cell) and HBeAg (-) patients (6.58x10^2±4.27x10^2 copies/cell; P>0.05), which were positively correlated with the levels of serum HBsAg (P=0.0038), but were not correlated with the levels of IH cccDNA (P=0.7785). In conclusion, the chr16:51320015 integration site may be a novel site, which persists in a several patients with HBV infection, and may accumulate in the hepatocytes due to clonal expansion. The diagnostic and therapeutic values of this site require further investigation.

Introduction

In total, ~400,000,000 individuals are infected by hepatitis B virus (HBV) worldwide, which is a leading risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). During the process of HBV infection, certain HBV DNA molecules may enter the nuclei and integrate into the host chromosomal DNA, which is suspected to be one of the major etiological events in HBV-induced HCC. Conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based methods, including Alu-PCR and inverse PCR, have technological limitations in detecting the presence of viral integration, resulting in only a small subset of insertions, or only the insertions close to the targeted human or viral sequences being efficiently detected (1-3). As a result, few HBV integration breakpoints have been found through these methods, and these findings may be of little oncogenic annotation.

With the rapid development of parallel sequencing technology, whole genome sequencing (WGS) has provided novel insight into HBV integration breakpoints in the HCC genome. Recently, through the application of WGS, several studies have reported a substantial number of unbiased and unprecedented HBV integrations, and a few frequently targeted genes in HCC including hTERT, MLL4 and CCNE1, have been identified simultaneously (4,5). According to a previous study using WGS (6), the major integration site (MIS) (3), chr16: 51320015, was identified, and the present study aimed to detect the presence of this site in the hepatocytes of patients infected with chronic hepatitis B (CHB). Furthermore, the present study aimed to examine the significance of quantitative measurements of chr16:51320015 in these patients.

Patients and methods

Patients and samples. In the present study, 30 hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg)-positive (+) and 30 HBeAg-negative (-) patients with CHB were recruited from the Department of Infectious Diseases, Remin Hospital, Hubei University of Medicine (Shiyan, China). CHB was documented by the presence of HBV DNA in the serum for >6 months and a serum alanine aminotransferase level greater than twice the normal range (7). All patients were treatment-naive. Patients who were
co-infected with hepatitis D, hepatitis C or human immunodeficiency virus, or those with Wilson's disease, primary biliary cirrhosis or with a substantial daily alcohol intake (20 g/day for females; 30 g/day for males) were excluded from the investigation. Each patient signed an informed consent document and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Remin Hospital. Following collection, liver biopsy specimens (~10 mg) were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C, serum were stored at -30°C, respectively, until experimental analysis.

IH HBV covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA) quantification. DNA was extracted from biopsy specimens using a QIAamp® DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The levels of intrahepatic (IH) covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA) were measured using reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-q)PCR analysis, as described previously (8). β-globin DNA (housekeeping gene) was detected using a LightCycler® Control kit DNA (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) in order to count the cell number in the biopsies and calculate the number of copies/cell.

Serum HBV DNA quantification. DNA was extracted from 200 µl serum using a QIAamp® DNA Blood Mini kit (Qiagen), and serum HBV DNA levels were measured using Cobas®TaqMan® RT-qPCR, as described previously (Roche Diagnostics) (9).

Quantification of serum hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg). The levels of HBsAg were quantified using an enzyme immunoassay with the Abbott ARCHITECT platform (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. HBsAg >0.05 IU/ml was considered to indicate a positive result.

PCR and Sanger sequencing validation. Conventional PCR and Sanger sequencing were used to verify the chr16: 51320015 integration site in the hepatocytes of the patients. PCR primers for a 305 bp fragment were designed based on WGS-assembled sequences, in which one primer located in the human genome and the other in the HBV genome (forward 5'-GTCTTGCCCAAGGTCTTA-3' and reverse 5'-CAGATGGCGCACTAACAA-3'). The PCR mix was prepared as follows: 1 µl DNA; 2 µl 10X Taq Buffer; 11.5 µl H₂O; 2.5 µl dNTPs; 1 µl forward and reverse primers (10 µM, respectively); 1 µl hot start Taq™ enzyme (Takara Bio, Inc., Otsu, Japan). The following cycling conditions were used: Initial denaturation for 30 sec at 95°C; 40 cycles of denaturation for 10 sec at 95°C, annealing for 10 sec at 56°C and extension for 14 sec at 72°C, final extension for 7 min at 72°C. The PCR products were electrophoresed through a 1% agarose gel and were analyzed using non-paired 2-sample Student's t-tests. Correlations were analyzed using Pearson's r test. Correlations were analyzed using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Two-sided P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Baseline characteristics. The clinical, virological and serological characteristics of the patient groups used in the present study are listed in Table I. The HBeAg (+) patients, comprising 26 males and four females (35.4±7.4 years), and the HBeAg (-) patients (23 males and seven females) were aged between 13 and 51 years (31.6±6.8 years; P>0.05). Serum HBV DNA levels were significantly lower in the HBeAg (-) patients, compared with those in the HBeAg (+) patients (P=0.001), and the serum levels of HBsAg in the HBeAg (+) patients were lower than those in the HBeAg (+) patients, although this was not a statistically significant difference (P>0.05).

IH cccDNA quantification. The lower limit of detection for IH cccDNA was 2.4x10⁻⁴ copies/cell. The levels of IH cccDNA were detectable in 26 of the HBeAg (-) patients and in all 30 of the HBeAg (+) patients enrolled in the present study, and the number of copies was significantly higher in the HBeAg (+) patients (1.43±9.79x10⁻⁴ copies/cell), compared with the HBeAg (-) patients (6.58x10⁻²±2.47x10⁻² copies/cell; P<0.0001; Fig. 1).
Quantification of the chr16: 51320015 integration site.

According to the results of the conventional RT-qPCR and Sanger sequencing, the chr16: 51320015 integration site was present in the hepatocytes of all the patients enrolled in the present study, the fragments of which were located in the 1,631-1,807 nt of the HBV sequence and the 51,320,015-51,319,900 nt of the human sequence, respectively (Figs. 2 and 3). The average level of this site was 1.21x10^-2 ± 3.07x10^-2 copies/cell (4.16x10^-5 - 0.212 copies/cell). No significant difference was observed between the HBeAg (+) patients (1.05x10^-2 ± 3.60x10^-3 copies/cell) and the HBeAg (-) patients (1.37x10^-2 ± 7.14x10^-3 copies/cell; P>0.05; Fig. 1).

Correlation analysis. The number of copies of the chr16: 51320015 integration site were positively correlated with the serum levels of HBsAg (P=0.0038), but not with the serum levels of HBV DNA or IH cccDNA (P=0.7041 and P=0.7785, respectively). A weak correlation was observed between the levels of IH cccDNA and the serum levels of HBsAg (P=0.0048; Fig. 4).

Discussion

Following the process of early or persistent HBV infection, relaxed-circle DNA (rcDNA) is transferred to the nucleus of hepatocytes, where it forms cccDNA, the virus transcriptional template (10,11). Within infected cells, pregenomic RNA is then transcribed from the cccDNA and is transported to the cytoplasm, where the mature capsids of the rcDNA are reverse transcribed and either secreted from the cells or returned to the nucleus to form the cccDNA pool. During the formation of cccDNA, linear HBV DNA, including double linear DNA and single-stranded DNA, produced through illegitimate replication and deficient HBV transcription, may integrate into the host chromosomal DNA (12). According to previous studies involving the application of WGS (13,14), at least two promulgated mechanisms may be involved in the oncogenicity of HBV integration: (i) HBV DNA insertion into the host genome altering the function of endogenous genes,
and inducing chromosomal instability and changes in copy numbers; (ii) expression of C-terminal truncated HBx or HBs protein, which may modulate cell proliferation and viability. In addition, the immune response against virally-infected cells may be induced by the protein transcribed from integrated DNA, for example, the x gene. Thus, it is very important to effectively recognize and eradicate hepatocytes with integrated DNA in the treatment of CHB (6).

Early reports stated that HBV integration events may be randomly distributed across the whole genome (2,3). However, increasing evidence has indicated that several genes may be preferentially integrated by the viral DNA, for example, chromosomes 10 and 17 (5,6). The present study demonstrated that chr16: 51320015 may also be favorably integrated, and this junction was found to occur in hepatocytes of all the patients with CHB enrolled in the present study. The junction of its inserted viral fragment was at 1,807 nt, within the DR2-DR1 region of the HBV genome (1,590-1,834 nt). The DR2 and DR1 sites represent the ends of the partially duplex HBV DNA and can provide DNA termini for non-homologous end joining (NHEJ). Consequently these sites are more likely to be the initiation break points for HBV integration (15). However, in human chromatin HBV integration events are more likely to occur in regions which are characterized by either looser secondary structures or open chromatin configuration, which facilitate breakage and provide DNA termini for NHEJ with HBV DNA (16). At present, it is difficult to recognize and eradicate hepatocytes with viral integration of
patients with CHB, however, the above findings may provide a novel perspective on either the diagnostic or therapeutic strategies of HBV integration, for example, chimeric antigen receptor therapy (17-19).

The liver is a closed, self-renewing population of cells, in which hepatocytes are generally long lived with a lifetime reported to exceed 6 months (20). During chronic HBV infection, hepatocyte transformation usually results from mutations that are caused by persistent inflammation, leading to cumulative oxidative damage to the host DNA (21). In addition, this environment provides the opportunity for the expansion of hepatocyte variants with a selective advantage, either in growth or survival (22). Although the basis of the clonal expansion in the hepatocytes remains to be fully elucidated, there are several explanations (23). A possible explanation involves cellular transformation leading to unregulated growth, however, this does not explain clones with no clear morphological transformation. A second explanation involves random death and regeneration within the entire hepatocyte population, however, is unlikely to explain the occurrence of very large clones of >10⁶ hepatocytes. Another explanation for large clones of hepatocytes involves the resident stem/progenitor cells, however, this is not supported by the current knowledge (23). Finally, a model in which immune evasion caused by HBV integration is the basis for clonal expansions is favored, as although smaller cell clones may be the result of random turnover, the presence of copy clones of >10⁶ cells requires alternative explanations (24).

Although HBV integration occurs at random sites in host DNA, and each integration event provides a unique genetic marker for the cells in which it occurred, the unique viral-cell junctions of integrated DNA may be used to track clonal proliferation of hepatocytes (22). Traditionally, clonal expansion was detected by assaying for integrated HBV DNA using inverse PCR. However, the level of clonal expansion may be underestimated using this technique, which is only suitable for detecting the integration of viral DNA to particular restriction endonuclease cleavage sites in host DNA, and not all integrations can be detected using only a single enzyme (24). Thus, the present study aimed to investigate the clonal expansion of hepatocytes using quantitative measurements of the chr16: 51320015 integration site. The average level of this site was determined to be 1.21x10⁻²±3.07x10⁻² copies/cell (4.16x10⁻³-0.212 copies/cell), indicating that this integration site may have originated from clonal expansion, while high-copy clones with detectable integrated DNA have been estimated at a frequency of >2x10⁻⁶ copies/cell (22).

According to quantitative measurements of serum HBsAg, patients with CHB can be divided into HBsAg (+) patients and HBsAg (-) patients, and HBsAg seroconversion and loss usually signify that HBV replication has been effectively suppressed by the host immunity (25). However, in the present study, although the levels of IH cccDNA and serum HBV DNA were significantly higher in the HBsAg (+) patients, compared with those in the HBsAg (-) patients, no significant differences in the number of copies of the chr16: 51320015 integration site and serum levels of HBsAg were found between the two patient groups. The copy numbers of chr16: 51320015 integration site were positively correlated with serum levels of HBsAg, but not with the levels of IH cccDNA. These findings may be due to the different origins of IH cccDNA and HBV integration. While the accumulation of IH cccDNA may be due to rcDNA recurrently entering into the nucleus, those of the chr16: 51320015 integration site, as described above, may have originated from the clonal expansion of integrated hepatocytes. Furthermore, the production of HBsAg in the HBeAg (-) patients, which is independent of HBV replication, was abundant and far exceeded that required for virion assembly. This may be partially produced from HBV integration (26-28), explaining the significant association between the copy numbers of the chr16: 51320015 integration site and serum levels of HBsAg, but weak association with the levels of IH cccDNA. Consequently, the present study hypothesized that, in patients with CHB, the accumulation of HBV integration may not be effectively suppressed, even when the production of HBV is completely controlled by host immunity. These findings are in agreement with the hypothesis that, in addition to severe liver damage, HBV integration may also be a prerequisite for HCC (29).

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that the chr16: 51320015 integration site was present in the hepatocytes of all the patients with CHB, which may have accumulated according to clonal expansion. In addition, the number of copies of this site were positively correlated with the serum levels of HBsAg, but not with the levels of IH cccDNA. Whether this integration site occurs in the hepatocytes of all patients infected with HBV requires further investigation, as does its mechanism. In addition, whether or not hepatocytes with HBV integration can be effectively recognized and eradicated by means of this integration site requires elucidation.
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