PARP inhibitor re-sensitizes Adriamycin resistant leukemia cells through DNA damage and apoptosis
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Abstract. Resistance to Adriamycin (ADR) is an increasing problem in the treatment of leukemia and the development of novel therapeutic strategies is becoming increasingly important. Olaparib is a poly (adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase (PARP) 1 inhibitor, which has promising antitumor activity in patients with metastatic breast cancer and germline BRCA mutations. Previously published studies have indicated that Olaparib is able to overcome drug resistance in cancer; however, its underlying mechanism of action is yet to be elucidated. The aim of the present study was to explore the mechanism underlying re-sensitization. Annexin V-propidium iodide staining indicated that the percentage of apoptotic ADR resistant cells was markedly increased and the cell cycle was blocked at the G2/M-phase following treatment with ADR combined with Olaparib, when compared with the control group. The alkaline comet assay demonstrated that ADR combined with Olaparib significantly upregulated the induction of the DNA damage response in ADR-resistant cells. Western blot analysis revealed that the protein expression of γ-H2A histone family member X, cleaved PARP, caspase 3 and cleaved caspase 3 was markedly enhanced, while the cell cycle-associated protein cyclin B1 was downregulated in K562/ADR cells following treatment with a combination of ADR and Olaparib. Similar synergistic cytotoxicity was observed in blood mononuclear cells, which were isolated from patients with chemotherapy-resistant leukemia. As Olaparib is available for clinical use, the results of the present study provide a rationale for the development of Olaparib combinational therapies for cases of ADR resistant leukemia.

Introduction

Leukemia is an aggressive hematologic malignancy, with a poor prognosis. The poor clinical outcomes that are observed in patients with leukemia are associated with the high proportion of patients who develop resistance to Adriamycin (ADR) therapy (1,2). The development of ADR resistance can be attributed to a number of different factors including, increased drug efflux, changes in the expression of chemoresistance associated genes and the enhancement of DNA damage repair inside cells, as well as the inhibition of apoptosis (3,4). Among these, the reversal of chemoresistance by attenuating DNA damage repair and inducing apoptosis have recently attracted widespread attention amongst researchers in this area of medicine.

Poly (adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase (PARP) 1 inhibitors are used as a monotherapy to induce cell death in tumors with BRCA mutations (5), or those with a BRCA-like phenotype (also known as BRCAness) (6). PARP inhibitors have a cytotoxic effect via several mechanisms, including inhibition of PARP1/2, auto-PARylation, blocking PARP1/2 release from substrate DNA, or hypersensitivity to trapped PARP1-DNA complexes (7). Olaparib (Lynparza; AstraZeneca, Cambridge, UK) is an oral, first-in-class PARP inhibitor, which is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of patients with advanced germline BRCA-mutated ovarian cancer (8). Olaparib has antitumor activity in sporadic cases of metastatic- and castration-resistant prostate cancer with DNA-repair defects, as determined by a phase II trial of Olaparib in patients with advanced metastatic resistant prostate cancer (9). The FDA has stated that it plans to approve Olaparib for use in castration-resistant prostate cancers, beyond germline BRCA mutations (10).

Previously published studies by the authors have revealed that the Fanconi anemia (FA)/BRCA signaling pathway is involved in the acquired ADR resistance of leukemia cells (11), and that extensive crosstalk exists within the DNA repair pathway (12). PARP1 inhibitors can strengthen DNA damage when combined with traditional chemotherapy drugs. Recent studies have suggested that PARP inhibitors are able to reverse drug resistance by targeting the FA/BRCAness signaling pathway (13), and that disruption of FA- or other HR-associated genes also sensitizes cells to PARP inhibitors (14,15). This
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provides strong rationale for the development of PARP inhibitors as a cancer therapy. PARP1 inhibitors also appear to exhibit a degree of protection against ADR-induced cardiotoxicity (16). By combining PARP1 inhibitors with traditional chemotherapy, it may be possible to lower the dosage and reduce the toxic side effects commonly associated with many chemotherapeutic agents. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to explore whether PARP1 inhibitors enhance sensitivity to ADR in ADR-resistant leukemia cells. Furthermore, the synergistic effects of ADR on apoptosis were also investigated.

Materials and methods

Cell culture patient samples and drugs. Bone marrow samples of three patients with chemoresistant leukemia from The Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (Hunan, China) were collected once written informed consent was obtained (Table I). Primary refractory or resistant disease was defined as not achieving complete remission (CR); i.e., a remaining blast count of ≥5% following 1 to 2 cycles of intense induction therapy (17). The characteristics of patients with leukemia are presented in Table I. The present study was approved by the Central South University, and the approved protocol was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Mononuclear cells were isolated using a lymphocyte separation medium (Mediatech, Manassas, VA, USA) (18).

The K562/ADR and K562 human leukemic cell lines were a generous gift from the Cell Center of Xiangya School of Medicine (Changsha, Hunan, China). K562 cell lines were grown in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (both Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). K562/ADR cells were grown in culture medium containing 1 µM ADR (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech, Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China) to maintain drug resistance. Cells were grown at 37˚C in an atmosphere of 95% O2 and 5% CO2.

Drug treatment and survival assay. The stock solution of Olaparib (Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX, USA) was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of the drug in 50 µl of dimethyl sulfoxide (Amresco, LLC, Solon, OH, USA). Then it was diluted with RPMI to a concentration of 5 µmol. The aliquots were stored at -80˚C. For each experiment a new aliquot was thawed and used.

Cell viability was measured using Cell Counting kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Kumamoto, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were seeded at 1x10^4 cells/ml in duplicate for each time point (24 h, 48 h and 72 h) in a 96-well culture plate. K562 and K562/ADR cells were exposed to 0, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 µmol/l ADR at 37˚C for 24, 48 and 72 h respectively. K562/ADR cells at 1x10^5 cells/ml in a 96-well culture plate were exposed to 0, 1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10 µmol/l Olaparib at 37˚C for 72 h. Then 10 µl CCK-8 solution was added to each well and plates were incubated at 37˚C for 4 h. The absorbance at 570 nm was then measured using a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). All experiments were performed in triplicate, and three independent experiments were conducted.

RNA extraction and reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). A Total RNA Extractor (Omega Bio-Tek, Inc., Norcross, GA, USA) and RT-qPCR kit (Toyobo Life Science, Osaka, Japan) were used for cDNA synthesis (25˚C for 10 min and 42˚C for 50 min, followed by 70˚C for 15 min). SYBR-Green reagents (Toyobo Life Science, Osaka, Japan) were used to determine PARP1 and γ-H2A histone family member X (H2AX) expression. The thermocycling conditions consisted of the following: Initial denaturation for 2 min 30 sec at 95˚C, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation for 30 sec at 95˚C, annealing for 30 sec at 58˚C and extension for 30 sec at 72˚C, then a final extension at 72˚C for 10 min. The gene expression level was normalized using the endogenous control gene glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). RT-qPCR reactions were performed using a Master Cycler Ep Realplex (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). Relative expression was evaluated using the 2-△△Cq method (17). All PCR assays were performed three times. The primers for the individual genes were as follows: PARP1 forward, 5'-TACCATCCAGGTGCTTTTGATC-3' and reverse, 5'-CTGTCGCAGACCACACGAG-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-TCACTACTTCCACTCA-3'; and reverse, 5'-GGCCCTCACC TCCACTAGT-3' and reverse, 5'-TCAAGCTGAGTAC TCCAG-3'; and GAPDH forward, 5'-GACTCATGACCAACAG TCCATGC-3' and reverse, 5'-AGAGGCAGGGATGATGTT CTG-3'.

Western blot analysis. Cells (5x10^5/ml) were exposed to 2 µM ADR, 5 µM Olaparib, or a combination of the two drugs at 37˚C for 3 days. Subsequently, they were collected by centrifugation (30,000 x g for 5 min at 4˚C), washed with cold PBS, and finally lysed with a cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA). The protein concentrations were determined using a BCA Protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Equal amounts of protein lysates were then mixed with SDS-PAGE protein loading buffer, boiled for 5 min, and then run on 10% SDS-PAGE. Proteins were then transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes, which were blocked with 5% skimmed milk powder in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20 (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) for 2 h at 4˚C. Membranes were incubated with the following primary antibodies at 4˚C overnight: PARP1 forward, 5'-TACCATCCAGGTGCTTTTGATC-3'; and reverse, 5'-CTGTCGCAGACCACACGAG-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-TCACTACTTCCACTCA-3'; and reverse, 5'-GGCCCTCACC TCCACTAGT-3' and reverse, 5'-TCAAGCTGAGTAC TCCAG-3'; and GAPDH forward, 5'-GACTCATGACCAACAG TCCATGC-3' and reverse, 5'-AGAGGCAGGGATGATGTT CTG-3'.
Flow cytometry (BD Biosciences) and Cell Quest software for 30 min. Cells were examined using a BD FACSCalibur.

Cells were added to the samples at room temperature in the dark 0.1 mg/ml RNAse A (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech, Co., Ltd.) and 0.05 mg/ml PI (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech, Co., Ltd.) and were subjected to cell cycle analysis. Following the 3-day culture, the cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and were re-suspended in a fresh complete medium on the graphics, and seeded at 5x10^5 cells/ml in each well of 6-well culture plates. K562/ADR cells were divided into four treatment groups: i) a control group (medium only); ii) 2 μM ADR; iii) 5 μM Olaparib; iv) and a combination group of the two drugs at 37°C for 3 days. Cells were counted using a trypan blue dye exclusion assay in quadruplicate, once the cells were dispersed, 10 µl of cell suspension was transferred to a micro centrifuge tube,10 μl of trypan blue (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.; C0040) was then added and mixed gently with a pipette; 10 µl of cell/trypan blue suspension was then loaded into a cell counting chamber and placed under an inverted microscope (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) for counting. The assays were performed 3 days post-drug exposure. All of the experiments were performed three times each, and all three experiments were conducted independently.

**Cell counting assay.** For survival assays, stimulated K562/ADR cells were collected, centrifuged (10,000 x g for 5 min at 4°C), suspended in a fresh complete medium (time 0 on the graphics), and seeded at 5x10^5 cells/ml in each well of 6-well culture plates. K562/ADR cells were divided into four treatment groups: i) a control group (medium only); ii) 2 μM ADR; iii) 5 μM Olaparib; iv) and a combination group of the two drugs at 37°C for 3 days. Cells were counted using a trypan blue dye exclusion assay in quadruplicate, once the cells were dispersed, 10 µl of cell suspension was transferred to a micro centrifuge tube,10 μl of trypan blue (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.; C0040) was then added and mixed gently with a pipette; 10 μl of cell/trypan blue suspension was then loaded into a cell counting chamber and placed under an inverted microscope (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) for counting. The assays were performed 3 days post-drug exposure. All of the experiments were performed three times each, and all three experiments were conducted independently.

**Cell apoptosis assay.** Cell apoptosis was analyzed using an Annexin V/propidium iodide (PI) kit (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech, Co., Ltd.) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were collected and analyzed on a FACS Canto II (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) flow cytometer. To determine cell cycle distribution, 8x10^4 K562/ADR cells were seeded in 6 cm dishes for a total of 3 days following lentiviral infection. Following the 3-day culture, the cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and were re-suspended in a PBS (ZSGB-BIO; OriGene Technologies, Inc., Beijing, China) containing 50 μg/ml RNaseA (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech, Co., Ltd.) and 50 μg/ml PI (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech, Co., Ltd.). Cells were incubated at 37°C in the dark for 1 h. The percentage of cells in each phase of the cell cycle was measured using a micro centrifuge tube,10 μl of trypan blue (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.; C0040) was then added and mixed gently with a pipette; 10 μl of cell/trypan blue suspension was then loaded into a cell counting chamber and placed under an inverted microscope (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) for counting. The assays were performed 3 days post-drug exposure. All of the experiments were performed three times each, and all three experiments were conducted independently.

**Cell cycle analysis.** Following a total of 3 days, the treatment groups were subjected to cell cycle analysis. Following centrifugation at 300 x g for 5 min at room temperature, cells (1x10^6) were fixed with 70% ethanol on ice for 2 h, followed by centrifugation for 5 min at 300 x g at 4°C. Subsequently, 0.05 mg/ml PI (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech, Co., Ltd.) and 0.1 mg/ml RNase A (Nanjing KeyGen Biotech, Co., Ltd.) were added to the samples at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. Cells were examined using a BD FACScan (BD Biosciences) and Cell Quest software version 3.3 (BD Biosciences). The experiments were repeated three times.

**Alkaline comet assay.** The alkaline comet assay was used to detect ADR-induced DNA damage in K562/ADR cells. A total of 1x10^5 cells were treated with either 2 μM ADR, 5 μM Olaparib, or a combination of the two drugs for at 37°C for 3 days. Then, cells were washed in PBS and resuspended in ice-cold PBS. A total of ~10 μl of the re-suspended cells were mixed with 65 μl of a low melting point agarose at 4°C. The slides were placed at 4°C in the dark until gelling occurred, at which point they were immersed in a pre-chilled lysis buffer at 4°C. Following a 1 h incubation period, the buffer was aspirated and replaced with pre-chilled alkaline solution for 90-120 min at 4°C. Following lysis and DNA double strand unwinding into a single strand, the slides were placed in a horizontal electrophoresis tank filled with freshly prepared alkaline electrophoresis buffer. Electrophoresis was run for 30 min at 25 V and 300 mA. Following this, the slides were transferred to a neutralizing buffer for 10 min, and then aspirated. This was repeated three times. Thereafter, the slides were allowed to air dry, and 50 μl/well of 5 mg/ml PI was added to each slide for 5 min in the dark at room temperature for DNA staining. DNA migration was observed using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). For each sample, 200 cells were selected at random and were analyzed using CASP software version 1.2.2 (Comet Assay Software Project, Beijing, China).

**Exposure of mononuclear cells from patients with chemoresistant leukemia, to Olaparib combined with ADR.** To determine the potential clinical significance of the cell line studies, the present study isolated mononuclear cells from patients with chemoresistant leukemia. Each sample cells were divided into two parts; one part at 1x10^5 cells/ml were seeded in duplicate in a 96-well culture plate. The cells were exposed to 0, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 μmol/l ADR at 37°C for 3 days. Then 10 μl CCK-8 solution was added to each well and plates were incubated at 37°C for 4 h. The absorbance at 570 nm was then measured using a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). The other part of the sample was exposed to 2 μM ADR, 5 μM Olaparib, or a combination of the two drugs at 37°C for 3 days. Subsequently, the cells were collected by centrifugation (30,000 x g for 5 min at 4°C), washed with cold PBS, and finally lysed with a cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). Western blotting, as above was then performed to analyze the levels of the selected proteins.

Table I. Characteristics of patients with leukemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>FAB type</th>
<th>Blast count (%)</th>
<th>IC_{50} (µmol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>AML-M1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>AML-M2b</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>AML-M5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAB, French-American-British; AML, acute myeloid leukaemia.
Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses was performed using GraphPad Prism 6.02 version software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), and statistical comparisons were made using the two-tailed Student’s t-test and one-way analysis of variance followed by Fisher's Least Significant Difference for multiple comparisons. All of the experiments were repeated at least three times as independent experiments in order to be effective. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

ADR inhibits the proliferation of K562 cells more than K562/ADR cells. To investigate the sensitivity of K562/ADR and K562 cells to chemotherapeutic drugs, the two cell lines were treated with a gradually increasing concentration of ADR at different times as indicated. The CCK-8 assay revealed that ADR inhibited the growth of K562/ADR and K562 cells to varying degrees. ADR-induced cytotoxicity was dose- and time-dependent in the two cell lines (Fig. 1). The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC$_{50}$) values of ADR were calculated for K562 and K562/ADR cells. The IC$_{50}$ values of ADR for K562 cells were 1.50±0.03, 0.82±0.09 and 0.40±0.05 µmol/l at 24, 48 and 72 h, respectively. In addition, the corresponding values for the K562/ADR cells were 39.51±0.64, 28.93±0.74 and 24.31±0.78 µmol/l, respectively (Fig. 1D). The degree of ADR-resistance in K562/ADR cells was 26.34-60.70 fold greater when compared with K562 cells, which suggests that K562/ADR cells may have greater potential for resistance to ADR compared with K562 cells.

Olaparib enhances apoptosis and arrests cell cycle progression in K562/ADR cells. In the present study, 2 µM ADR and 5 µM Olaparib were selected for use in the experiments for the following reasons: i) ADR at 2 µM consistently enhanced toxicity in the K562 cell line, but not in the K562/ADR cell line (Fig. 1); and ii) Olaparib alone did not exhibit a cytotoxic effect on the viability of K562/ADR cells at doses up to 5 µM as determined by CCK-8 assays (Fig. 2A). The proliferation capability of K562/ADR cells was inhibited and cell growth was slower in the group treated with ADR+Olaparib for 3 days (Fig. 2B), which was significantly different compared with the other control group. This indicated that the cells treated with ADR+Olaparib had reduced proliferation rates when compared with cells treated with Olaparib alone, or in the untreated control cell group (P<0.01; Fig. 2B).

The percentage of apoptotic cells was measured by flow cytometry using Annexin V/PI staining. Notably, there was a significantly increased population of apoptotic cells in the combined treatment group (11.23±0.64%) when compared with the untreated control group (3.72±0.24%; P<0.001), the ADR group (4.30±0.31%; P<0.001) and the Olaparib group (4.42±0.66%; P<0.001). Therefore, combined treatment with Olaparib significantly improved the apoptotic percentage of K562/ADR cells (Fig. 2C and D).
To investigate whether cell cycle arrest contributed to growth inhibition, flow cytometry analysis was performed. Treatment with ADR+Olaparib significantly increased the percentage of K562/ADR cells in the G2/M phase. The
percentage of cells at the G2/M phase were 38.23±0.86 for the control cells, 41.81±1.94 for the 2 µmol ADR-treated K562/ADR cells, 41.97±1.86 for the 5 µmol Olaparib-treated K562/ADR cells and 50.93±1.53 for the ADR+Olaparib-treated K562/ADR cells (Fig. 2E and F). K562/ADR cells treated with ADR+Olaparib had a greater number of G2/M-phase cells than the cells treated with ADR alone, Olaparib alone or the untreated control cells (P<0.005, P<0.01 and P<0.005, respectively). Cyclin B1 was markedly downregulated when the K562/ADR cells were treated with ADR+Olaparib (Fig. 2G). Cyclin B1 is the regulatory subunit of Cyclin-dependent kinase 1, and a reduction in the expression of cyclin B1 can arrest cells in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle, triggering cell death (19). These results indicate that re-sensitization of ADR resistant leukemia cells may be partly mediated by cell cycle arrest at the G2/M phase (Fig. 2E and F).

Combination of Olaparib and ADR-induced DNA damage. The alkaline comet assay was conducted to investigate the genotoxic potential of DNA damage. Olaparib enhanced DNA damage in ADR treated cells as shown by the prevalence of distinct comet tails (Fig. 3A). The addition of Olaparib enhanced the average tail DNA by ~15-fold when compared with the control group (P<0.001; Fig. 3B). In addition, the tail moment was enhanced by ~150-fold (P<0.01; Fig. 3C).

Olaparib and ADR induce apoptosis by altering the expression of PARP1, cleaved PARP1, caspase 3, cleaved caspase 3 and γ-H2AX in K562/ADR cells. The expression of γ-H2AX was significantly increased in cells treated with Olaparib alone compared with the control cells (Fig. 4). In addition, it was revealed that PARP1 was significantly downregulated and cleaved-PARP, caspase 3 and cleaved caspase 3 were markedly upregulated in cells following Olaparib+ADR treatment, compared with the control group (Fig. 4C and D).

Exposure of mononuclear cells from patients with leukemia, to ADR+Olaparib activates the DNA-damage response and apoptosis. To determine the potential clinical significance of the aforementioned cell line studies, mononuclear cells were isolated from the bone marrow of patients with chemoresistant leukemia.
(their clinic pathological features are summarized in Table I). These cells were exposed to ADR, to test the degree of ADR resistance (Fig. 5A), as well as individual drugs or a combination of the two. Increased levels of γ-H2AX were observed in cells from the three leukemia patients exposed to ADR+Olaparib, which indicated that the combined treatment activated a DNA damage response. Cleaved PARP, caspase 3 and cleaved-caspase 3 were markedly upregulated in cells exposed to ADR+Olaparib treatment, which indicated that apoptosis was activated (Fig. 5B). These results revealed that there was drug synergism in cells derived from patients with leukemia, involving mechanisms analogous to those observed in the cultured cell lines.

Discussion

ADR is a time- and dose-dependent antineoplastic agent, which induces DNA damage in leukemia cells via topoisomerase II (20). However, of leukemia cell resistance to ADR reduces DNA damage through the FA/BRCA signaling pathway in DNA inter-strand crosslink repair (21). In recent years, investigators have performed numerous studies using PARP1 inhibitors (13), curcumin (22) and arsenic (23) to reverse multidrug resistance via the FA/BRCA signaling pathway in tumor cells. These previous studies have provided a strong rationale for the development of PARP inhibitors for the treatment of ADR resistant leukemia.
K562/ADR cells are multidrug-resistant cells, that acquired resistance by exposing K562 cells to step-wise increased concentrations of ADR. K562/ADR cells are resistant to ADR, mitoxantrone, homoharringtonine, rubidomycin and etoposide (24). K562/ADR cells appear to have sophisticated cross drug-resistance to all of these anti-cancer drugs, which have different structures and functions to one another. The experiments performed in the present study demonstrated that the IC$_{50}$ of K562/ADR cells was 26.34-60.7 fold greater when compared with K562 cells, which verified that K562/ADR cells were highly resistant to ADR-induced proliferation inhibition and apoptosis.

The effect of Olaparib on the viability of K562/ADR cells was investigated by CCK-8 assays. Olaparib alone did not exhibit a cytotoxic effect on K562/ADR cells at doses up to 5 µM. The concentrations of Olaparib selected for use within the present study were below the peak concentration of Olaparib (24 µM) that has been used in clinical trials (25). The concentrations used in vitro can also be achieved in vivo. The ADR doses used in vitro were dependent on the survival of the K562 and K562/ADR cells. According to the results of previous experiments by the authors, pre-treatment with ADR at 2 µM consistently enhanced toxicity in K562 cell lines but not in K562/ADR cell lines (11). Therefore, 2 µM ADR and 5 µM Olaparib were selected for use in further experiments. Olaparib+ADR was capable of promoting ADR-mediated apoptosis in K562/ADR cells.

Several previous studies have reported that PARP1 inhibitors can exert synergistic inhibitory effects in tumors with various conventional chemotherapeutic agents, including doxorubicin (26), temozolomide (7) and oxaliplatin (27). The results of the present study demonstrated that treatment with Olaparib+ADR produced synergistic effects and revealed a significant increase in the sensitivity of ADR against K562/ADR cells. Cell cycle arrest at any phase will inhibit cell proliferation (28). The results revealed a synergistic effect in the treatment combination of ADR and Olaparib; combined treatment induced G2/M cell cycle arrest. In addition, the protein expression of Cyclin B1 was downregulated; the inhibition of cyclin B1 could lead to cell cycle arrest in the G2/M phase (29). In conclusion, these results suggested that the combined treatment of ADR and Olaparib may be more effective than monotherapy in treating ADR resistant leukemia.

Histone H2AX serves a critical role in the regulation of DNA damage. H2AX phosphorylation is involved in DNA damage, as well as apoptosis in chronic myelogenous leukemia cells induced by imatinib (30). Olaparib+ADR induced more DNA damage than Olaparib alone in the present study. Olaparib may increase DNA damage induced by ADR by inhibiting DNA damage repair.

To investigate the mechanism of PARP inhibitor re-sensitization in ADR resistant leukemia, the effect of Olaparib on apoptosis-associated proteins, such as cleaved caspase-3, caspase-3 (31), cleaved PARP (32) and PARP1 (33) was investigated. It was revealed that apoptosis induced the upregulation of caspase-3, cleaved caspase-3 and cleaved PARP protein expression, and downregulated PARP1 expression. Caspase-3 is responsible for cleaving specific cellular proteins.
during apoptosis (34). Cell death is accompanied by PARP cleavage, a caspase-3 substrate (35). Caspase-3 is the most active effector caspase in the intrinsic and extrinsic pathways where it is processed and activated by caspase-9 and caspase-8, respectively (36). A high level of caspase-3 activation and cleavage processing was observed in the present study following ADR and Olaparib treatment of drug resistant leukemia cells. PARP1 has a molecular weight of 113 kDa and is located in the nucleus (37). Following treatment with Olaparib+ADR, caspase-3 was activated and PARP1 was cleaved into its 89 kDa (cleaved PARP) and 24 kDa forms, therefore the level of full-length PARP1 (113 kDa) was significantly reduced. Xu et al (33) reported that caspase 3 activation resulted in the cleavage of PARP1 and increased apoptosis, which is consistent with the results observed in the present study. The results demonstrated drug synergism between the cells derived from patients with chemoresistant leukemia and the cultured cell lines, through analogous mechanisms. Therefore, PARP inhibitor re-sensitization of ADR resistant leukemia may be associated with the PARP1-mediated signaling pathway of caspase-dependent apoptosis. However, the apoptotic molecular mechanism of Olaparib requires further investigation.

In conclusion, the present study provides evidence of a number of associated mechanisms, that combine to generate DNA damage and apoptosis in leukemia cell lines and patient-derived samples. The present study had several limitations, such as the lack of clinical samples, as well as only one cell line demonstrating synergistic interactions between Olaparib and ADR in ADR-resistant leukemia cells. Besides ideally γ-H2AX should be normalized against the total level of H2AX, however, the remaining protein of the present drug-resistant leukemia samples was not enough to complete the H2AX western blot analysis. However, the results can contribute to the design of clinical trials, which seek to evaluate the efficacy of these drug combinations as components of intensified induction therapy, or as a part of optimized pre-transplant conditioning regimens for patients with ADR-resistant leukemia.
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