Estrogen receptor β suppresses inflammation and the progression of prostate cancer
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Abstract. Previous studies demonstrated that estrogen receptor β (ERβ) signaling alleviates systemic inflammation in animal models, and suggested that ERβ-selective agonists may deactivate microglia and suppress T cell activity via downregulation of nuclear factor-κ-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB). In the present study, the role of ERβ in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced inflammation and association with NF-κB activity were investigated in PC-3 and DU145 prostate cancer cell lines. Cells were treated with LPS to induce inflammation, and ELISA was performed to determine the expression levels of inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1), interleukin (IL)-1β and IL-6. MTT and Transwell assays, and Annexin V/propidium iodide staining were conducted to measure cell viability, apoptosis and migration, respectively. Protein expression was determined via western blot analysis. LPS-induced inflammation resulted in elevated expression levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, MCP-1 and IL-6 compared with controls. ERβ overexpression significantly inhibited the LPS-induced production of TNF-α, IL-1β, MCP-1 and IL-6. In addition, the results indicated that ERβ suppressed viability and migration, and induced apoptosis in prostate cancer cells, which was further demonstrated by altered expression of proliferating cell nuclear antigen, B-cell lymphoma 2-associated X protein, caspase-3, E-cadherin and matrix metalloproteinase-2. These effects were reversed by treatment with the ERβ antagonist PHTPP or ERβ-specific short interfering RNA. ERβ overexpression reduced the expression levels of p65 and phosphorylated NF-κB inhibitor α (IκBα), but not total IκBα expression in LPS-treated cells. In conclusion, ERβ suppressed the viability and migration of the PC-3 and DU145 prostate cancer cell lines and induced apoptosis. Furthermore, it reduced inflammation and suppressed the activation of the NF-κB pathway, suggesting that ERβ may serve roles as an anti-inflammatory and anticancer agent in prostate cancer.

Introduction

Chronic inflammation is the leading cause of epithelial malignancies, such as prostate cancer (1). The progression of high-grade prostate cancer is associated with chronic intra-prostatic inflammation (2). Previous studies reported a key role for inflammatory responses in the pathogenesis of prostate cancer (3,4). The molecular effects of inflammation on carcinogenesis include the regulation of the tumor microenvironment induced by altered levels of inflammatory cytokines, reactive oxygen species and transcriptional factors (5). Therefore, improved understanding of chronic inflammation and its underlying mechanisms may aid the development of therapeutic strategies in reducing prostate cancer-associated mortality.

The adaptive immune system regulates antitumor effects via immunosurveillance (6); however, certain tumors, such as gastrointestinal cancer, are able to manipulate inflammatory signals to their own advantage (7). In this context, nuclear factor-κ-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB), a master transcription factor, has been reported as the main mediator of proinflammatory processes in the pathogenesis of prostate cancer (8). During the progression of prostate cancer, NF-κB promotes tumor invasion, metastasis, cell survival and chemoresistance (9). Constitutive activation of NF-κB has been demonstrated in primary prostate cancer, and is associated with castration-resistant phenotypes and the loss of androgen receptor function (10). Therefore, suppression of the NF-κB pathway may regulate chronic inflammatory responses and reduce their oncogenic effects (11).

Estrogen receptor β (ERβ) was reported to be expressed in prostate carcinoma cells; ERβ-regulated estrogen signaling served to inhibit tumor progression in patients with prostate cancer (12). Furthermore, it was demonstrated that ERβ-selective agonists are able to deactivate microglia and suppress T cell activity via downregulation of NF-κB (13). In addition, it was reported that ERβ precludes NF-κB activation;
the loss of ERβ may be associated with chronic inflammation in prostate cancer (14). Collectively, these findings indicate that ERβ may reversibly regulate prostate inflammation via suppression of the NF-κB signaling pathway. Thus, in the present study, the mechanisms underlying the therapeutic roles of ERβ in prostate inflammation and regulation of the NF-κB signaling pathway were investigated.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture.** PC-3 and DU145, human prostate cancer cell lines, were obtained from the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). PC-3 and DU145 prostate cancer cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 culture medium (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich; Darmstadt, Germany) and 100 U/ml penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). The cell lines were maintained in humidified incubators with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. PC-3 and DU145 cells were transfected with an ERβ expression plasmid and empty vector was used as negative control using Lipofectamine® 2000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Construction of receptor expression vectors was made as previously described (15). Briefly, to construct the human ERβ expression plasmid, ERβ pcDNA3.1+Zero pcDNA3.1+Zero/ERβ. A 2367 bp fragment including the human ERβ sequence was excised from the ERβ/pcDNA3 plasmid (originally isolated from the ERβ/CMV5 plasmid) and inserted into the HindIII/Xbal sites in the vector pCDNA3.1+Zero (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Then, 10 ng/ml of lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich; Waltham, MA, USA) and scramble RNA (5'-GAA CUG AUG ACA GGG UUA UdT dT-3'; and scramble, 5'-GAA CUG AUG ACA GGG UUA UdT dT-3'; and scramble RNA) were used as negative control using Lipofectamine® 2000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

**ERβ-specific short interfering RNA (siRNA) transfection.** The sequences of the siRNAs targeting ERβ and scramble RNA were as follows: siRNA-ERβ#1, 5'-GGCCUGCCUGUGAGUAAUAdTdT-3'; siRNA-ERβ#2, 5'-CCACCUCUUUUCUAUUAAdTdT-3'; siRNA-ERβ#3, 5'-CGGGCGUCUACAAGCUGAAUAdTdTdT-3'; and scramble, 5'-GAACUGAUGACAGGGAGGGCATTTT-3'. Cells (5x10⁴/well) were seeded in 24-well plates with an insert for 24 h with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. The time between transfection and treatment with LPS/DMSO was 12 h.

**Western blotting.** Following rinsing in cold PBS, total protein was extracted from the cells using lysis buffer (0.1% SDS, 50 mmol Tris-base, 1% Triton X-100, 150 mmol sodium chloride, 1 mmol sodium orthovanadate, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% protease inhibitor cocktail and 10 mmol sodium fluoride) and quantified using the Bradford protein spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA). Total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a PrimeScript Master Mix kit (Takara Bio, Inc., Otsu, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The cDNA was amplified with SYBR Green Master Mix (Takara Bio, Inc.), which was performed using a LightCycler® 96 system (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany). The primers (5'-3') used in qPCR were: GAPDH, forward CGACCACTTTTGGCACT CA, reverse AGGGGAGATTTCGTGTTGT; ERβ, forward CAAAGCTCATCTTTTGGCAGA, reverse GGCCTTACGA CAGAGATTCTTTTG. The thermocycling program was: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 30 sec. At the end of each PCR run, a melting curve analysis from 65 to 95°C was applied to all reactions to ensure the specificity of the amplified product. GAPDH was used as a reference gene, and the qPCR data was analyzed using LightCycler 96 Application Software version 1.1 (Roche Applied Science). The 2^(-ΔΔCq) method (16) was employed to determine the relative expression of the target gene.

**Cell viability assay.** Cells cultured in RPMI-1640 medium were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 5x10⁴ cells/well and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. PC-3 and DU145 parental cells (negative vector transfected cells used as the control), and ERβ plasmid-transfected cells were treated with LPS for 24, 48, 72 and 96 h. MTT assay solution (20 µl) was applied to the medium, which was subsequently incubated for 4 h at 37°C. Then, 150 µl DMSO was added to cells for 10 min to solubilize the formazan crystals. Vehicle (0.1% DMSO) was used as the control. The optical density values in each well were measured at 595 nm with an absorption spectrophotometer (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). All treatments were performed in triplicate.

**Transwell migration assay.** Cells (5x10⁴ cells/well) were cultured in serum-free RPMI-1640 medium (500 µl) and plated in the upper chambers of Transwell plates. RPMI-1640 with 15% FBS (600 ml; Beijing Transgen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) was plated in the lower chambers. Plates were incubated in humidified conditions with 5% CO₂ for 24 h at 37°C. Then, the inserts were washed with PBS three times, and cells on the upper surface were removed with a cotton swab. The cells remaining on the bottom surface of the inserts were treated with methanol (500 µl, 50 µg/ml) at 4°C for 10 min prior to staining with hematoxylin at room temperature for 10 min. A total of five predetermined fields (magnification, x200) of each well were selected for cell counting. Images were captured by a photomicroscope (Axiovert 200 M; Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany).

**Western blotting.** Following rinsing in cold PBS, total protein was extracted from the cells using lysis buffer (0.1% SDS, 50 mmol Tris-base, 1% Triton X-100, 150 mmol sodium chloride, 1 mmol sodium orthovanadate, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% protease inhibitor cocktail and 10 mmol sodium fluoride) and quantified using the Bradford protein assay. Proteins (20 µg) were separated via 10% SDS-PAGE at 100 V and electrotransferred onto 0.45 µm polyvinylidene
ELISA. High binding microtiter plates were coated overnight at 4°C with 100 µl per well of III-BSA coating antigen (0.05 µg/ml in coating buffer). Cells were then rinsed with PBS and blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Beijing Transgen Biotech Co., Ltd.) in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Anti-tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α; cat. no. ab91235), anti-interleukin (IL)-1β (cat. no. ab242234), anti-monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1; cat. no. ab9669) and anti-IL-6 (cat. no. ab178013) monoclonal antibodies were purchased from Abcam, and were diluted in PBS + 3% BSA, added to cells and incubated at room temperature for 2 h. Following washing with PBS, cells were incubated with goat anti-mouse secondary antibodies (1:100; cat. no. BMS2007INST; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and incubated for 60 min at room temperature. Cells expressing TNF-α, IL-1β, MCP-1 and IL-6 were detected using an ELISA Kit according to the manufacturer's protocols; protein concentrations were determined using a Spectramax M5 plate reader (Molecular Devices, LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis. SPSS 19.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for all statistical analyses. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Comparisons across three or more groups were performed using one-way analyses of variance followed by Tukey’s test, while a Student's t-test was used to determine significant differences between two groups. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All tests were investigated in ≥3 independent experiments.

Results

Elevated expression of proinflammatory genes in prostate cancer cells following LPS treatment. Following incubation with LPS for 24 h, the expression levels of proinflammatory cytokines in the supernatants of the prostate cancer cell lines PC-3 and DU145 were determined using ELISA. Stimulation with LPS led to significantly elevated expression levels of TNF-α, MCP-1, IL-1β and IL-6 in PC-3 and DU145 cells compared with controls treated with DMSO (P<0.05; Fig. 1A). These results indicated that LPS successfully induced inflammation in prostate cancer cells.

To investigate whether p65 is regulated by ERβ signaling, the expression levels of proteins involved in the NF-κB pathway were determined. As presented in Fig. 1B, increased p65 and p-IκBα expression, and decreased ERβ expression were observed in LPS-stimulated PC-3 and DU145 cells compared with the controls; however, no significant differences were reported for total IκBα expression.

ERβ reduces the LPS-induced release of inflammatory cytokines via suppression of the NF-κB pathway in vitro. To investigate the role of the NF-κB pathway in the regulation of ERβ-induced inflammation, western blot analysis was performed to determine the expression of NF-κB pathway-associated proteins in PC-3 and DU145 cells transfected with an ERβ expression vector or treated with the ERβ antagonist PHTPP. It was revealed that ERβ overexpression suppressed the expression of p65 and p-IκBα, but not total IκBα expression in LPS-stimulated PC-3 and DU145 cells compared with control cells. This expression profile was reversed following treatment with PHTPP (Fig. 2A).

Providing ERβ is a suppressor of prostate inflammation, ERβ activation may suppress the expression of certain proinflammatory genes. To investigate the involvement of ERβ signaling in LPS-induced inflammation, the expression of the proinflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-1β, MCP-1 and IL-6, was determined in cells overexpressing ERβ and transfected cells treated with PHTPP via ELISA. The results demonstrated that the LPS-induced production of TNF-α, MCP-1, IL-1β and IL-6 was significantly reduced in prostate cancer cells following overexpression of ERβ compared with negative control vector cells (P<0.05; Fig. 2B). This expression profile was significantly reversed in PHTPP-treated transfected cells compared with ERβ-overexpressing cells (P<0.05). These data suggested that ERβ signaling regulated LPS-induced prostate cancer cell inflammation.

ERβ activation suppresses viability and migration, and promotes the apoptosis of prostate cancer cells. To determine the effects of ERβ overexpression on prostate cancer cell viability, apoptosis and migration, MTT, Annexin V/PI and Transwell assays were performed using control, ERβ-overexpressing, and ERβ-inhibited cells. As presented in Fig. 3A, ERβ overexpression significantly suppressed the viability of PC-3

difluoride membranes. The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in TBS-Tween 20 (10%) at room temperature for 2 h, and then incubated with primary antibodies against ERβ (1:500; cat. no. ab3576), p65 (1:100; cat. no. ab16502), NF-κB inhibitor α (IκBα, 1:200; cat. no. ab32518), phosphor-lated (p)-IκBα (1:200; cat. no. ab133462), proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA; 1:50; cat. no. ab92552), E-cadherin (1:100; cat. no. ab40772), B-cell lymphoma 2-associated X protein (Bax; 1:200; cat. no. 32503), caspase-3 (1:200; cat. no. ab32351), matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2; 1:200; cat. no. ab37150) and β-actin (1:50; cat. no. ab8226; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) overnight at 4°C. Anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was then added at room temperature for 1 h, and membranes were incubated for 20 min. Protein bands were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

Apoptosis was determined using the Annexin V (conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594; excitation/emission: 590/617 nm) Apoptosis Detection Kit (BioVision, Inc., Milpitas, CA, USA) and PI. Fluor 594; excitation/emission: 590/617 nm) Apoptosis was determined using the Annexin V (conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594; excitation/emission: 590/617 nm) Apoptosis Detection Kit (BioVision, Inc., Milpitas, CA, USA) and PI.
and DU145 cells compared with control and PHTPP-treated transfected cells (P<0.01). When cells were treated with PHTPP, their viability was markedly reduced compared with ERβ-overexpressed cells. Furthermore, the number of apoptotic cells among those treated with ERβ transfection was significantly higher compared with control and ERβ-inhibited cells (P<0.01; Fig. 3B). The cell migration assay revealed that upregulation of ERβ significantly decreased migration compared with control and PHTPP-treated transfected PC-3 and DU145 cells (P<0.01; Fig. 4A).

As ERβ signaling appeared to be involved in the regulation of cell viability, apoptosis and migration, and the expression of proteins associated with these properties was determined. PCNA, Bax and caspase-3, and MMP-2 and E-cadherin were selected as markers of proliferation (17), apoptosis (18) and epithelial-mesenchymal transition-associated migration (19), respectively. As presented in Fig. 4B, overexpression of ERβ suppressed the expression of PCNA and MMP-2, but promoted the expression of Bax, caspase-3 and E-cadherin in PC-3 and DU145 cells. These alterations in expression were reversed by treatment with PHTPP.

To further investigate the effects of ERβ on the progression of prostate cancer, ERβ-targeting siRNAs were employed to downregulate ERβ expression in DU145 prostate cancer cells. The silencing effects of three siRNAs compared with a negative control scramble siRNA were reported via RT-qPCR analysis (Fig. 5A); siRNA-ERβ#1 was selected for subsequent experiments, as it exhibited a notable effect on ERβ expression compared with siRNAs-ERβ#2 and 3. Decreased levels of ERβ protein expression in prostate cancer cells following transfection with siRNA-ERβ#1 were demonstrated via western blot analysis (Fig. 5B). ERβ knockdown induced similar effects to treatment with PHTPP; increased expression of p65 and p-IκBα was observed, but not of total IκBα compared with

Figure 1. Elevated expression of proinflammatory genes and activation of the NF-κB pathway in prostate cancer cells following LPS treatment. (A) Expression of proinflammatory cytokines was determined using ELISA in LPS-treated and control PC-3 and DU145 prostate cancer cells. (B) Expression of NF-κB pathway-associated proteins was determined via western blot analysis in LPS- and control-treated PC-3 and DU145 cells. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation; *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 vs. the control group. Control, treatment with dimethyl sulfoxide; ERβ, estrogen receptor β; IL, interleukin; NF-κB, nuclear factor-κ-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; IκBα, NF-κB inhibitor α; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1; p, phosphorylated; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α.
scramble-transfected cells (Fig. 5B). Furthermore significantly increased cell viability (Fig. 5C), decreased number of apoptotic cells (Fig. 5D), upregulated expression of proinflammatory cytokines (Fig. 5E), enhanced cell migration (Fig. 5F) and altered expression of associated proteins (Fig. 5G) were reported in DU145 prostate cancer cells following transfec

Discussion

In the present study, it was revealed that ERβ overexpression reduced the inflammation induced by LPS treatment via regulating the levels of proinflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α, MCP-1, IL-1β and IL-6. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that the ERβ antagonist PHTPP increased the expression of proinflammatory cytokines. Additionally, it was observed that ERβ overexpression suppressed the viability and migration of PC-3 and DU145 prostate cancer cells, and promoted apoptosis. These findings may improve
understanding of the possible mechanisms by which ERβ, a tumor suppressor, may contribute to inhibition of the NF-κB pathway.

LPS is a common inducer of inflammation; exposure leads to the activation of a number of components involved in chronic inflammation processes, such as altered cytokine levels (20,21). Carcinogenesis was studied in cells treated with LPS, as determined by tumor cell survival, proliferation, invasion and metastasis (1,22,23). LPS induces PC-3 cell migration (24) by stimulating the production of TNF-α and IL-6 (25), and the serum levels of these cytokines are associated with stages of prostate cancer (26). A study investigated the effects of treatment in patients with localized aggressive periodontitis, and observed that improvement in clinical parameters correlated with reductions in responsiveness to LPS, as determined by the release of cytokines, including TNF-α, IL-1β, MCP-1 and IL-6 (27). In the present study, LPS-induced inflammatory responses were observed in PC-3 and DU145 prostate cancer cells, with elevated serum levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and MCP-1.

ERβ has been associated with the differentiation of prostatic epithelial cells, and was reported to exhibit antiproliferative effects on prostate cancer cells (28). The regulatory effects of estrogen on PC-3 cell proliferation are mediated by ERβ, and can be inhibited by the ERβ-selective antagonist PHTPP (29). The role of ERβ in oppressing androgen receptor signaling, and suppressing proliferation and inflammation, suggests that ERβ may be a potential therapeutic target to prevent the progression of prostate cancer (30). Differentiation of prostatic epithelial cells, in which ERβ serves a significant role, is a defensive mechanism required for the protection against inflammation in the prostate of FGF8b transgenic mice (31). The findings of the present study revealed that ERβ overexpression reduced the upregulated expression levels of TNF-α, MCP-1, IL-6 and IL-1β in PC-3 cells induced by LPS, indicating a potential therapeutic role of ERβ in the reduction of inflammation in prostate cancer.

It was reported that, under physiological conditions, estrogen signaling via ERβ inhibited prostate gland growth (32,33). Dihydrotestosterone inhibits prostate cancer cell migration and proliferation via conversion to 3β-Androstaneol, a metabolite that selectively interacts with ERβ (34,35). It was previously demonstrated that treatment with four ligands of ERβ (tamoxifen, raloxifene, curcumin and genistein) inhibited
Figure 5. Effects of ERβ-specific siRNA on inflammation, proliferation, apoptosis and migration of DU145 prostate cancer cells. (A) Expression levels of ERβ mRNA following treatment with siRNAs were determined via reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction. (B) Expression of proteins associated with the nuclear factor κ-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells pathway was determined via western blot analysis. (C) Cell viability of DU145 was measured with a MTT assay, with absorbance at 595 nm used as an index. (D) Cell apoptosis was determined via flow cytometry. (E) Secretion of proinflammatory cytokines was measured using ELISA. (F) Migration of DU145 cells was evaluated using a Transwell migration assay. (G) Expression levels of proliferation-, apoptosis- and migration-associated proteins in DU145 cells following transfection with siRNA-ERβ#1 or Scramble were determined via western blot analysis. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation; *P<0.05, **P<0.01 vs. Scramble group. Bax, B-cell lymphoma 2-associated X protein; ERβ, estrogen receptor β; MMP-2, matrix metalloproteinase-2; NC, negative control; PI, propidium iodide; siRNA, short interfering RNA; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α.
prostate cancer cell proliferation and migration, suggesting a potential protective effect against the progression of prostate cancer (36). Consistent with these findings, it was observed in the present study that overexpression of ERβ reduced the viability and migration of PC-3 and DU145 cells, and promoted apoptosis.

NF-κB is activated by various signals via phosphorylation and degradation of the inhibitory IκBα protein (37). NF-κB functions via canonical and non-canonical pathways. A recent study proposed that ERβ suppresses the activation of NF-κB activation, due to the negative correlation between ERβ and p65 expression (14). In the present study, it was reported that the expression of p65 and p-IκBα was increased, and that of ERβ decreased in LPS-stimulated cells. Furthermore, ERβ overexpression reduced the expression of p65 and p-IκBα, but not total IκBα expression; this effect was reversed by PHTPP.

These findings suggested that the underlying mechanisms involved in the potential therapeutic effects of ERβ may be associated with suppressed NF-κB activation in prostate cancer cells.

In conclusion, the present study reported that activation of ERβ suppressed the NF-κB signaling pathway, and suggested that ERβ may be a potential anti-inflammatory and anticancer agent in the treatment of prostate cancer.
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