Protective effect of 3,5-dicaffeoyl-epi-quinic acid against UVB-induced photoaging in human HaCaT keratinocytes
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Abstract. Derivatives of caffeoylquinic acid (CQA) have been studied and reported as potent bioactive molecules possessing various health benefits including antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities. In the present study, the protective effect of 3,5-dicaffeoyl-epi-quinic acid (DCEQA) isolated from Atriplex gmelinii on UVB-induced damages was investigated in human HaCaT keratinocytes. The effect of DCEQA against UVB-induced oxidative stress-mediated damages was determined measuring its ability to alleviate UVB-induced elevation of oxidative stress, proinflammatory response and antioxidant enzyme suppression through nuclear factor-like 2 (Nrf2). Treatment with DCEQA hindered the generation of intracellular reactive oxygen species. Increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines TNF-α, COX-2, IL-6 and IL-1β following UVB exposure were suppressed by the introduction of DCEQA. Additionally, DCEQA upregulated the mRNA and protein expression of antioxidant enzymes superoxide dismutase-1 and heme oxygenase-1 which were inhibited under UVB irradiation. Antioxidant enzyme regulation transcription factor Nrf2 was also upregulated in the presence of DCEQA. These results suggest that DCEQA prevents photoaging via protection of keratinocytes from UVB irradiation by ameliorating the oxidative stress and pro-inflammatory response.

Introduction

The aging of skin progresses by two contributing causes: time and photodamage. Chronological skin aging progresses by time and is observed as damage-prone skin with a dry and thin epidermis (1). Photoaging, which is stimulated by ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, is characterized by damage to skin DNA, degraded collagen build-up and excessive oxidative stress (2,3). The advancement of aging due to UV irradiation occurs in specific skin cells called keratinocytes, fibroblasts and neutrophils. Among the different types of UV rays that can reach the skin surface, UVB exposure is considered to be the most harmful type of UV irradiation that acts predominantly on the epidermis layer and keratinocytes (4,5). UVB irradiation activates the nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) pathway resulting in the elevated activity and expression of matrix metalloproteinases and cytokines (6). Activated cytokines induce the degradation of the extracellular matrix. Continued UVB exposure causes accumulation of the degraded extracellular matrix and manifests itself as wrinkles and brittle skin (7).

UVB irradiation is also known to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) and is linked to inflammatory responses in skin such as sunburn, erythema and edema (8,9). The main share of skin damage that occurs by prolonged UVB irradiation is associated with ROS and ROS-linked inflammation. The harmful effects of ROS in skin are generally negated by antioxidant defense mechanism of the body consisting of antioxidant enzymes and free radical scavenging molecules. However, excessive ROS as a result of continuous UVB exposure lead to reaction with fatty acids and initiation of lipid peroxidation, which at the end causes cellular damage (10,11). On the other hand, UVB-stimulated overexpression of COX-2 and activation of NF-κB play a crucial role in the progression of the inflammatory response in the skin together with the activation of several cytokines including tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-1β (12-14). UVB-induced overexpression of inflammatory cytokines and their roles in the deteriorating effects on the skin has been reported in detail (15).

Natural antioxidants, mainly bioactive substances from plants are important compounds against the photoaging effect of solar irradiation (16). These bioactive agents from natural sources are potent antioxidants, which also can alleviate inflammatory responses (17), help skin to recover from photodamage (18,19) and also prevent further solar radiation damages (20). Reports indicate promising health beneficial effects of dietary phytochemicals in protecting skin.
damage and nourishing the skin following photodamage (21). Caffeoylquinic acid (CQA) is one of the naturally occurring abundant phytochemicals found in a variety of sources including but not limited to coffee beans, sweet potato, propolis and other plants. In the literature, CQA derivatives have been reported as bioactive agents that may be regarded as promising antioxidants (22), antibacterial (23) and anti-tumor (24) agents. Recently, various CQA-related derivatives have been credited as inhibitors of UV-linked oxidative stress and skin damage (25-27). In addition, studies have shown that they alleviate skin disorders secondary to their free radical scavenging effect (25). In this context, the present study aimed to analyze the potential of 3,5-dicaffeoyl-epi-quinic acid (DCEQA) against UVB-induced oxidative stress and inflammatory response in the HaCaT immortal keratinocyte cell line.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and isolation. The sample (3 kg) of A. gmelinii was air dried and cut into small pieces prior to maceration. Ground samples were extracted twice with methylene chloride (CH₂Cl₂) for 24 h at room temperature. The extract was concentrated and dried by evaporation in vacuo, using a vacuum rotary evaporator (RV 10 Series, IKA, Staufen, Germany), yielding a sticky crude extract. Remaining ground samples were then subjected to extraction again, twice with methanol (MeOH), using the same procedure as above. The combined crude extracts from the CH₂Cl₂ and MeOH extraction were partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ and water (H₂O). The dichloromethane layer was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was repartitioned between n-hexane and 85% aqueous MeOH. The H₂O layer was also further partitioned between n-BuOH and H₂O. A portion of the n-BuOH fraction (10.74 g) was further subjected to separation by fractionation between EtOAc and H₂O. The EtOAc layer was concentrated using a rotary evaporator to obtain an extract of 3.10 g, a portion (74.4 mg) of which was subjected to isolation via preparative thin layer chromatography on silica gel using EtOAc/MeOH/H₂O (30:5:4) as a solvent and yielded the compound (18 mg) as a white powder. The molecular formula of the compound was identified as C₂₅H₂₄O₁₂ as c₉H₂₃O₂₈ for 24 h when the supernatant was removed and 100 µl of 1 mg/ml MTT in PBS was added to the culture wells. The MTT solution was then removed after 4 h of incubation and 50 µl DMSO was introduced to each well to stop the reaction and quantify the converted MTT. Optical density of the wells was measured at a wavelength of 540 nm using a GENios® microplate reader (Tecan Group, Ltd., Mannedorf, Switzerland). MTT test was conducted in triplicate wells for each condition and repeated at least thrice. Viability of the cells was plotted as a relative percentage against the untreated control cell group.

Determination of intracellular ROS generation. Intracellular generation of ROS was determined using an oxidizing radical species-sensitive dye 2,7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA). HaCaT cells that were grown in fluorescence microtiter 96-well plates and incubated for 24 h prior to washing with PBS and treatment with different concentrations (1, 5 and 10 µM) of DCEQA introduced with a serum-free fresh medium. After incubation for 24 h, the supernatant was removed and 100 µl of 1 mg/ml MTT in PBS was added to the culture wells. The MTT solution was then removed after 4 h of incubation and 50 µl DMSO was introduced to each well to stop the reaction and quantify the converted MTT. Optical density of the wells was measured at a wavelength of 540 nm using a GENios® microplate reader (Tecan Group, Ltd., Mannedorf, Switzerland). MTT test was conducted in triplicate wells for each condition and repeated at least thrice. Viability of the cells was plotted as a relative percentage against the untreated control cell group.

Cell culture and UVB irradiation. Human HaCaT immortal keratinocyte cell line was purchased from Cell Line Service (Eppelheim, Germany). Cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 µg/ml penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics and 2 mM glutamine (Gibco-BRL; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells were trypsinized and subcultured after reaching ~90% confluence for further experiments.

Cells were irradiated by UVB using a Bio-Sun UV Irradiation System (Vilber Lourmat, Marine, France) fitted with a 312-nm UVB source designed for microplates. HaCaT cells grown in microplates were irradiated at 15 mJ/cm² UVB dose, which is the approximate dose of UVB irradiation (24 h) thought to induce oxidative stress-mediated photoaging in HaCaT Cells without significant mortality or malformation (29,30). The dose was validated in preliminary experiments on HaCaT cells following 24 h exposure (data not shown). Cells were irradiated in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) without the plastic lid. When the irradiation received matched the desired programmed energy, the UVB irradiation stopped automatically, and subsequently the cells were incubated with DMEM without FBS until analysis.

Cell viability assay. The viability of HaCaT cells was analyzed by using ability of viable cells to convert MTT to an insoluble formazan product that can be quantified with a colorimetric method. Cells were cultured in 96-well plates and incubated for 24 h prior to washing with PBS and treatment with different concentrations (1, 5 and 10 µM) of DCEQA introduced with a serum-free fresh medium. After incubation for 24 h, the supernatant was removed and 100 µl of 1 mg/ml MTT in PBS was added to the culture wells. The MTT solution was then removed after 4 h of incubation and 50 µl DMSO was introduced to each well to stop the reaction and quantify the converted MTT. Optical density of the wells was measured at a wavelength of 540 nm using a GENios® microplate reader (Tecan Group, Ltd., Mannedorf, Switzerland). MTT test was conducted in triplicate wells for each condition and repeated at least thrice. Viability of the cells was plotted as a relative percentage against the untreated control cell group.

Reverse transcription-semi quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-sqPCR) analysis. Total RNA was isolated from UVB-irradiated and unexposed HaCaT keratinocytes treated with/without DCEQA using TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). For synthesis of cDNA, RNA (2 µg) and oligo(dT) were mixed in RNase-free water. This mixture was denatured at 70°C for 5 min and cooled immediately. RNA reverse transcription was carried out in a master mix containing 1X RT buffer, 1 mM dNTPs, 500 ng oligo(dT), 140 units M-MLV reserve transcriptase
and reverse 5'-aaG-GcG-GTc-TTa-Gcc-TcT-Tc-3' for SOD-1; forward 5'‑CAC‑GCA‑TAT‑ACC‑CGC‑TAC‑CT‑3' and reverse 5'-caG-aaT-TGc-caT-TGcaca-ac-3' for COX-2; forward 5'-AGT‑TGC‑CTT‑CTT‑GGGACT‑GA‑3' and reverse 5' -TGc-c Tc-TcT-Tca-Tcc-TTT- GG-3' for IL-1β; and forward 5'‑AGA‑AGG‑AAA‑TGG‑CTG‑CAG‑AA‑3' and reverse 5'-GGc-Tac-aTG-GGa-aca-Gcc-Ta-3' for TNF-α; forward 5'-CCA‑CAG‑CTG‑AGA‑GGG‑AAA‑TC‑3' and reverse 5'-aaG-Gaa- GGc-TGG -aaa-a Ga-Gc-3' for HO-1; forward 5'‑CCA‑CAG‑CTG‑AGA‑GGG‑AAA‑TC‑3' and reverse 5'-aaG-Gaa- GGc-TGG -aaa-a Ga-Gc-3' for HO-1.

Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). The target cDNA

for 5 min using an automatic T100 Thermo cycler (Bio-rad Laboratories, Inc., Seoul, Korea). Band densities were analyzed using MultiGauge software (v3.0; Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of three repeated experiments. Differences between the means of the individual groups were analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure of the Statistical Analysis System, SAS v9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) followed by Duncan's multiple range tests. The correlation between treatment dose and cell viability was determined by Pearson correlation analysis, and the correlation coefficient was expressed as the r-value. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

Cytotoxicity of DCEQA and UVB exposure in HaCaT cells. Prior to the in vitro analysis of the protective effect of DCEQA against UVB-induced oxidative stress, its biocompatibility was tested by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Following a 48 h sample treatment at different concentrations (1, 5 and 10 µM), DCEQA did not show any significant cytotoxicity in HaCaT cells compared to the control group (Fig. 1A). A negative correlation was found between the DCEQA dosage and viability of the HaCaT keratinocytes with a LC50 value of 372.53 µM. Although Pearson correlation analysis revealed

**Immunoblotting.** Western blotting was performed according to standard procedures. Briefly, cells were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) at 4°C for 30 min. Protein amount was measured using a bicinchoninic protein assay kit (cat. no. 23225; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Equal amounts (25 µg) of protein samples were separated by 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech; GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK), blocked with 5% skimmed milk for 1 h at room temperature and hybridized with the primary antibodies (diluted 1:1000) overnight at 4°C. Anti-COX-2 (cat. no. ab15191; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-IL-6 (cat. no. ab6672; Abcam), anti-TNF-α (cat. no. ab9739; Abcam) and anti-superoxide dismutase (SOD)-1 (cat. no. sc-11407; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX, USA) antibodies were polyclonal rabbit antibodies, while anti-IL-1β (cat. no. 12242; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA), anti-heme oxygenase (HO)-1 (cat. no. sc-136960; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., anti-Nrf-2 (cat. no. sc-365949; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and anti-β-actin (cat. no. sc-47778; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) antibodies were polyclonal mouse antibodies. After incubation with horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse (cat. no. 7076; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) or anti-rabbit (cat. no. 7074; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) secondary antibodies (diluted 1:1,000) at 4°C, immunoreactive proteins were detected using a chemiluminescence ECL assay kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biosciences; GE Healthcare Life Sciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Western blot bands were visualized using a Davinch-Chemi imager™ (CAS-400SM, Seoul, Korea). Band densities were analyzed using MultiGauge software (v3.0; Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

**Table I. 1H and 13C NMR spectral data for DCEQA.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>δ_H</th>
<th>δ_C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>76.3s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.6t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>72.4d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>73.0d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>74.4d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.5t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measured in CDCl₃ at 300 and 75 MHz, respectively. Assignments were aided by 1H coSY, TocSY, dePT, gHMQc and gHMBc analyses. NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; DCEQA, 3,5-dicaffeoyl-epi-quinic acid.
that there was a moderate negative correlation ($r=-0.7347$), among the tested concentrations (0 to 10 µM) the decrease in cell viability was not statistically significant ($P=0.1579$; Fig. 1B). The first statistically significant decline in cell viability was observed for concentrations >10 µM. Hence, subsequent assays were carried out using concentrations of DCEQA at 1, 5 and 10 µM. The sufficient energy level of uVB irradiation was determined through the cell viability of HaCaT cells obtained from the MTT assay following exposure to different energy levels of UVB from 10 to 1,000 mJ/cm$^2$ for different time periods. After 24 h of UVB exposure at 15 mJ/cm$^2$ a rapid decline in cell viability was observed (data not shown). Therefore, this energy level was chosen as the appropriate level for further experiments.

**Effect of DCEQA on UVB-induced intracellular ROS generation in HaCaT cells.** Formation of intracellular ROS was evaluated using the colorimetric analysis of ROS generation-sensitive dye 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescin diacetate (DCFH-DA). Evaluation of the potential scavenging ability of DCEQA on intracellular ROS generation was carried out by measuring the intensity of DCFH-DA conversion to highly fluorescent 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) in the presence of ROS. UVB irradiation caused a sharp time-dependent increase in the DCF intensity indicating elevated oxidative stress. Treatment with DCEQA notably decreased the formation of DCF in a dose-dependent manner comparable to that of retinoic acid (1 µM), which was used as a positive control, at the concentration of 10 µM (Fig. 2). Results showed that DCEQA was able to reduce the generation of ROS either through its own ROS scavenging ability or by enhancing the intracellular scavenging mechanisms. Nevertheless, DCEQA significantly reduced the intracellular oxidative stress in HaCaT cells.

**Effect of DCEQA on UVB-induced activation of the inflammatory response.** As an attempt to determine the effects of DCEQA on UVB-induced expression of proinflammatory cytokines, both mRNA and protein expression levels of TNF-α, COX-2, IL-6 and IL-1β were investigated by RT-qPCR and western blotting, respectively. The exposure of HaCaT cells to UVB irradiation resulted in elevated expression levels of all analyzed cytokines. UVB-induced mRNA (Fig. 3A) and protein (Fig. 3B) expression levels of TNF-α, COX-2, IL-6 and IL-1β were lower in the DCEQA-treated keratinocytes than these levels in the UVB-irradiated control cells. All cytokines were significantly ($P<0.05$) reduced in terms of both mRNA and protein levels as compared to the untreated irradiated controls.

**Figure 1.** Effect of DCEQA on the viability of HaCaT cells (A). DCEQA did not show any significant cytotoxicity in HaCaT cells compared to the control group as observed by MTT assay. Cell viability following DCEQA treatment was evaluated by the ability of cells to form MTT-formazan crystals and measured by the absorbance values at 540 nm. Viability of the cells was quantified as a percentage of the untreated (0 µM) control. Values are expressed as means ± SD (n=3). Identical letters indicate absence of statistically significant differences ($P>0.05$). (B) Correlation between the DCEQA treatment concentration and cell viability was determined by Pearson correlation analysis (correlation coefficient $r=-0.7347$, $P=0.1579$). DCEQA, 3,5-dicaffeoyl-epi-quinic acid.

**Figure 2.** Effect of DCEQA on UVB irradiation-induced ROS generation in HaCaT cells. Values are expressed as the means ± SD (n=3). “Different letters above the bars indicate statistically significant differences ($P<0.05$), while identical letters indicate no significant differences. Blank, non-irradiated cells; Control, UVB irradiated non-treated cells. DCEQA, 3,5-dicaffeoyl-epi-quinic acid; ROS, reactive oxygen species.
control cells according to quantification of RT-sqPCR (Fig. 4A) and western blot (Fig. 4C) bands. The present results showed that DCEQA can attenuate the UVB-linked activation of the proinflammatory response.

**Effect of DCEQA on UVB-induced antioxidant enzyme expression through the nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor-2 (Nrf2) pathway.** To investigate the effect of DCEQA on UVB-induced antioxidant enzyme expression, RT-sqPCR and western blotting were utilized to observe the mRNA and protein levels respectively for SOD-1 and HO-1. Following UVB exposure, HaCaT cells were shown to express diminished mRNA and protein levels of SOD-1 and HO-1. Cells treated with DCEQA exhibited significantly increased expression of SOD-1 and HO-1 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3). Expression levels of both antioxidant enzymes were dose-dependently regulated by DCEQA to the levels prior to UVB irradiation according to the quantification of the bands (Fig. 4B and D).

In order to investigate the mechanism of action behind antioxidant enzyme upregulation, the effect of DCEQA on Nrf2 mRNA and protein levels were investigated with RT-sqPCR and western blotting, respectively (Fig. 3A and B, respectively). The expression of Nrf2 was significantly inhibited after UVB exposure. The presence of DCEQA resulted in activation of the Nrf2 pathway depicted as elevated mRNA (Fig. 4B) and protein (Fig. 4D) expression. This result suggests that DCEQA upregulated the antioxidant response of HaCaT cells through Nrf2-dependent activation of SOD-1 and HO-1 production.

**Discussion**

Oxidative stress plays a critical role in the progression of photoaging (31). Exposure to ultraviolet (UV) rays causes elevated oxidative stress in the cells forming the skin layers. UV-induced generation of intracellular ROS leads to upregulation of lipid peroxidation and collagen degradation, which are known causes of DNA damage and aging of the skin (7). Therefore, relieving the oxidative stress of skin cells is one of the main strategies for the prevention of skin photoaging. Use of natural antioxidants with their biocompatibility and additional health benefits is a favorable approach in light of recent studies (32-34). Accordingly, several studies reported the antioxidant properties of CQA derivatives from different sources. In the present study, DCEQA significantly inhibited the UVB-induced intracellular ROS generation and proinflammatory response (Fig. 2).

UVB exposure is shown to lead to activation of mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways due to increased ROS levels (13). This harmful increase in ROS levels activates the inflammatory response due to possible tissue damage. Coupled with elevated ROS, activation of the MAPK pathways leads to the upregulation of MMP production and degradation of skin collagen, which gives the strength and resiliency to the skin. Exposure to UVB stimulates the production of proinflammatory cytokines as a component of cellular damage such as TNF-α, COX-2, IL-6 and IL-1β, which accelerates the photoaging process. The inflammatory response associated with UVB irradiation and mediated by these cytokines gradually increases ROS and other cytokine production augmenting the harmful effects of UV exposure (7). As showed by the suppressive effect of DCEQA on ROS levels, it was suggested that DCEQA also attenuates the inflammation of skin through these cytokines. As shown in Fig. 4A and B, DCEQA successfully downregulated the production of proinflammatory TNF-α, COX-2, IL-6 and IL-1β cytokines. This demonstrates that DCEQA prevents photoaging by inhibiting inflammation and therefore reducing skin damage.

Unscavenged free radicals in aging keratinocytes have been shown to gradually reduce antioxidant enzyme production, which further amplifies the photoaging effects of UVB irradiation (35). Several studies have reported that antioxidants also relieve the suppression of antioxidant enzyme production pathways (36). It is hence probable that DCEQA can affect intracellular antioxidant enzyme expression as DCEQA was shown to possess antioxidant properties. The results showed that UVB exposure caused significant suppression in the mRNA and protein expression of SOD-1 and HO-1 antioxidant enzymes which was upregulated following DCEQA treatment. During the regulation of antioxidant enzyme production and in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>DCEQA (µM)</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retinoic acid (µM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVB (15 ml/cm²)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>DCEQA (µM)</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retinoic acid (µM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVB (15 ml/cm²)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Effect of DCEQA on the UVB-induced expression of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, COX-2, IL-6 and IL-1β), antioxidant enzymes (SOD-1 and HO-1) and Nrf2. (A) Effect of DCEQA on the mRNA levels of the cytokines, enzymes and Nrf2 was analyzed by RT-PCR. (B) Effect of DCEQA on the protein levels of the cytokines, enzymes and Nrf2 was analyzed by western blotting. DCEQA, 3,5-dicaffeoyl-epi-quinic acid; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; IL, interleukin; SOD-1, superoxide dismutase-1; HO-1, heme oxygenase-1; Nrf2, nuclear factor-like 2.
et al.: PHOTOPROTECTIVE EFFECT OF DCEQA

...turn reducing the oxidative stress and photoaging process, the Nrf2 pathway plays a pivotal role (37). Production of oxidant metabolizing enzymes can neutralize the ROS damage in keratinocytes. Different CQA derivatives have been reported as antioxidants that can also regulate the Nrf2/Keap1 pathway while acting against oxidative stress-mediated cellular damage (38). In the present study, in addition to reducing intracellular ROS generation, DCEQA increased the expression of Nrf2 mRNA and protein.

DCEQA was tested in comparison to retinoic acid (1 µM) as a positive control. Retinoic acid is evidently a strong antioxidant with skin protective effects against UV exposure. At the same concentration of 1 µM, retinoic acid was more effective than DCEQA. Yet, the results were notable, and the additional health benefits of DCEQA as indicated by several previous studies (39-41) suggest that the efficacy of DCEQA is comparable to retinoic acid and similar protective agents.

According to the results, the effective dose of DCEQA to be utilized was suggested to be between approximately 10 µM and up to but below 50 µM taking into account the possible cytotoxicity. As a derivative of caffeoylquinic acid, it was suggested that DCEQA exhibits its photoaging activity due to caffeoyl groups bound to quinic acids, which are important for CQA-based antioxidant activity. In addition, the cytoprotective activity of DCEQA can be credited to the caffeoyl moieties and the position of its cyclohexane skeleton when compared to similar CQA derivatives (42).

Upregulation of the Nrf2 pathways, which was observed to be inhibited by UVB irradiation was suggested to be the mechanism of action for DCEQA. One of the activation pathways of Nrf2 is the TNF signaling cascade including the pro-inflammatory response mediated by TNF-α. Thus, it was suggested that upon DCEQA treatment, by attenuating the deteriorated Nrf2 pathway, antioxidant enzyme production was...
increased, and intracellular ROS generation was suppressed resulting in diminished inflammation. This resulted in a reduction in the UVB-linked damage in keratinocytes and halting of photoaging.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated the protective effect of DCEQA against UVB irradiation-mediated damage in HaCaT keratinocytes, suggestively via ROS scavenging and increased antioxidant enzyme production through the Nrf2 pathway. Furthermore, DCEQA was able to downregulate proinflammatory cytokine production. Taken together, the present results demonstrated that DCEQA is a potential natural product to be utilized as a lead compound in the cosmetics field due to its anti-photoaging properties. However, future studies regarding the underlying mechanisms and potential scavenging effects on other free radicals will further enable the use of DCEQA in respective fields as a potent bioactive substance for skin protection.
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