

Identification of critical genes associated with human osteosarcoma metastasis based on integrated gene expression profiling

HONGWU FAN¹, SHAN LU², SHENGQUN WANG¹ and SHANYONG ZHANG³

Departments of ¹Orthopedics and ²Anesthesiology, China Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin 130021;

³Department of Spinal Surgery, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin 130041, P.R. China

Received July 4, 2018; Accepted February 13, 2019

DOI: 10.3892/mmr.2019.10323

Abstract. Osteosarcoma is the most common type of malignant bone cancer, which often affects teenagers and young adults. The present study aimed to screen for critical genes and microRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) involved in osteosarcoma. A total of four microarray datasets (accession numbers GSE32981, GSE21257, GSE14827 and GSE14359) were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus database. Following data preprocessing, module analysis was performed to identify the stable modules using the weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) package. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between metastatic samples and non-metastatic samples were screened, followed by gene co-expression network construction, and Gene Ontology function and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analyses. Subsequently, prognosis-associated genes were screened and a miRNA-target gene regulatory network was constructed. Finally, the data for critical genes were validated. WGCNA analysis identified six modules; blue and yellow modules were significantly positively associated with osteosarcoma metastasis. A total of 1,613 DEGs were screened between primary tissue samples and metastatic samples. Following comparison of the genes in the two (blue and yellow) modules, a total of 166 DEGs were identified (metastatic samples vs. non-metastatic samples). Functional enrichment analysis demonstrated that these DEGs were mainly involved in 'defense response', 'p53 signaling pathway' and 'lysosome'. By utilizing the clinical information in GSE21257, 10 critical genes associated with osteosarcoma prognosis were obtained, including CTP synthase 2 (*CTPS2*), tumor protein p53 inducible protein 3 (*TP53I3*) and solute carrier

family 1 member 1 (*SLC1A1*). In addition, *hsa-miR-422a* and *hsa-miR-194* were highlighted in the miRNA-target gene network. Finally, matrix metalloproteinase 3 (*MMP3*) and vascular endothelial growth factor B (*VEGFB*) were predicted as critical genes in osteosarcoma metastasis. *CTPS2*, *TP53I3* and *SLC1A1* may serve major roles in osteosarcoma development, and *hsa-miR-422a*, *hsa-miR-194*, *MMP3* and *VEGFB* may be associated with osteosarcoma metastasis.

Introduction

Osteosarcoma is the most common type of malignant bone cancer and is prevalent in teenagers and young adults (1). This type of cancer arises from primitive transformed cells of mesenchymal origin that exhibit osteoblastic differentiation and produce malignant osteoids (2). Despite considerable advances in surgery and chemotherapy, the 5-year survival rate remains at 60-70% and patients continue to succumb to osteosarcoma metastasis (3). Therefore, the exploration of novel strategies and noninvasive biomarkers that reflect disease progression is urgently required for the clinical management of patients with osteosarcoma.

With the development of molecular biology techniques, tumor gene therapy for osteosarcoma exhibits a potential clinical strategy (4,5). A number of studies have demonstrated that abnormal gene expression, and alterations in microRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) and molecular signaling pathways contribute to the pathogenesis and development of osteosarcoma (6-8). These affected molecules may be considered potential diagnostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets for patients with osteosarcoma. C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 12 and matrix metalloproteinase 9 (*MMP9*) serve important roles in the metastasis of osteosarcoma (9). Ren *et al* (10) revealed that high expression levels of C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4 and *MMP9* are valuable biomarkers for osteosarcoma metastasis and survival rates. A recent study revealed that tumor protein p53 may inhibit cell proliferation and angiogenesis in osteosarcoma cell lines by inhibiting the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT)/mechanistic target of rapamycin pathway; therefore, it may be an effective novel therapeutic candidate against osteosarcoma in the future (11). In addition, Fas cell

Correspondence to: Dr Shanyong Zhang, Department of Spinal Surgery, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, 218 Ziqiang Street, Changchun, Jilin 130041, P.R. China
E-mail: zhangshdhh@outlook.com

Key words: osteosarcoma, differentially expressed genes, metastasis, prognosis

surface death receptor (*Fas*) is a death receptor, which has been reported to be involved in osteosarcoma metastasis. An inhibitor of the *Fas* pathway, c-FLIP, has been developed as a potential treatment for patients with lung metastasis (12).

miRNAs are small non-coding RNA molecules (18-25 nt) and studies have revealed that miRNAs act as critical regulators involved in the pathological process of osteosarcoma (13,14). *miR-30a* serves as an oncogene, which regulates the proliferation, migration and invasion of human osteosarcoma by targeting runt-related transcription factor 2 (15). In addition, overexpression of *miR-21* in the human osteosarcoma cell line MG63 has been reported to significantly increase cell proliferation and invasion (16). Phosphatase and tensin homolog (*PTEN*) may be a potential target gene of *miR-21*, and *miR-21* may activate the PI3K/Akt pathway by decreasing *PTEN* expression (16). These previous studies may provide a comprehensive understanding of osteosarcoma development.

Namløs *et al* (17) explored the potential mechanism underlying osteosarcoma and demonstrated that multiple signaling molecules serve a vital role in promoting metastasis. The present study, according to the gene expression profiles deposited by Namløs *et al* (17), aimed to identify metastasis-associated genes or miRNAs in osteosarcoma development and to improve the understanding of osteosarcoma metastasis. Firstly, the gene expression in metastatic osteosarcoma samples from four microarray datasets was compared with that in non-metastatic samples; subsequently, a number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and miRNAs were screened using the weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) algorithm. Gene Ontology (GO) functional and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analyses were performed to identify the major signaling pathways involved in osteosarcoma. Subsequently, the gene co-expression network for these DEGs was constructed. Additionally, the miRNA-target gene network was constructed to screen the key miRNAs associated with disease prognosis. Finally, the critical genes and miRNAs were further verified based on validation dataset analysis. The results may provide novel diagnostic biomarkers and therapeutic target molecules in osteosarcoma metastasis.

Materials and methods

Data resources. The microarray datasets associated with osteosarcoma were downloaded from the National Center of Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo). The screening standards were as follows: The microarray datasets were gene expression profiles; the datasets were gene expression profiles associated with osteosarcoma tissue samples; osteosarcoma samples were of primary and metastatic origin; gene expression profiling of human osteosarcoma; and, the total number of osteosarcoma samples was >20. Datasets that did not meet any of these criteria were excluded. Eventually, four datasets were screened out for further analysis: GSE32981 (17), GSE21257 (18), GSE14827 (19) and GSE14359 (20) (Table I).

The GSE32981 dataset was tested based on the GPL3307 ABI Human Genome Survey Microarray v2.0 Array platform (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), including 18 metastatic tissue samples and five

non-metastatic samples. The GSE21257 dataset was tested based on the GPL10295 Illumina human-6 v2.0 expression beadchip (using nuIDs as identifiers) platform (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), including 34 metastatic tissue samples and 19 non-metastatic samples. The GSE14827 dataset was tested based on the GPL570 [HG-U133_Plus_2] Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array platform (Affymetrix; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), including nine metastatic tissue samples and 18 non-metastatic samples. The GSE14359 dataset was tested based on the GPL96 [HG-U133A] Affymetrix Human Genome U133A Array platform (Affymetrix; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), including 21 metastatic tissue samples and 13 non-metastatic samples.

Data preprocessing. The GSE14827 and GSE14359 gene expression profiles were preprocessed using oligo software version 1.41.1 (www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/oligo.html) (21) in the R3.4.1 package (22). The original microarray data were converted into gene symbols according to annotation information of the array platform. If several probes corresponded with the same gene, the average scores were calculated as the gene expression value of these probes. Quantile normalization in the preprocessCore package (23) was used to normalize the matrix.

For the GSE32981 and GSE21257 datasets, the limma package (www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/limma.html) in R software 3.1.3 version (24) was used to preprocess the microarray data. The logarithmic value of each microarray data point was calculated and the gene expression data were converted from a skewed distribution to an approximately normal distribution. The median normalization method was used to normalize the microarray data.

Identification of gene modules associated with osteosarcoma.

The WGCNA method was used to identify gene modules associated with osteosarcoma. WGCNA provides the topological properties of co-expression networks, in addition to the correlation of two node genes and relevant other genes (25). The WGCNA package version 1.61 (cran.r-project.org/web/packages/WGCNA/index.html) (26) in R3.4.1 software was used to screen for stable genetic modules. Since the GSE21257 dataset contained the most tumor samples and relatively abundant clinical information, it was used as the training dataset and the other three datasets served as validation datasets. Briefly, for the four datasets, the expression correlation between any two datasets was first calculated, followed by adjacency function definition and module division (the threshold of module screening and division was set as follows: The modules contained at least 150 RNA and cutHeight=0.99). Furthermore, the correlation between each module and clinical information provided by the GSE21257 dataset was analyzed. The clinical information in GSE21257, including age, histological subtype, tumor location and stage is presented in Table II.

Meta-analysis for DEG screening. The MetaDE package (27,28) (cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MetaDE) in R3.4.1 software was used to screen consistent DEGs between metastatic and non-metastatic samples from the four datasets (GSE21257, GSE32981, GSE14827 and GSE14359). $\tau^2=0$, $Q_{pval}>0.05$ and false discovery rate <0.05 were considered as

Table I. Summary of microarray datasets.

GEO accession no.	Platform	Probe number	Total samples	Metastasis samples	Non-metastasis samples	PMID
GSE32981	GPL3307-ABI	14,725	23	18	5	22518090
GSE21257	GPL10295-Illumina	48,701	53	34	19	21372215
GSE14827	GPL570-Affymetrix	42,450	27	9	18	20159990; 24448647
GSE14359	GPL96-Affymetrix	41,059	34	21	13	21166698

GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; PMID, PubMed unique identifier.

the thresholds. The first two parameters were used for heterogeneity testing and the last parameter was used to evaluate significant differences.

After screening the DEGs based on the MetaDE method, these genes were compared with those from screening stable gene modules according to the WGCNA method. The common genes in these two sets of DEGs were deemed the common critical genes. In addition, based on these gene interactions, the gene co-expression network was constructed and visualized using Cytoscape 3.3 (29) (www.cytoscape.org). GO function (biological process, molecular function and cellular component) and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses were performed using the online search annotation software tool Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (30) (version 6.8, david.ncifcrf.gov). $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Critical genes screening associated with osteosarcoma prognosis. Based on the key node sets in the gene co-expression network, combined with the clinical prognostic information of samples, the critical genes associated with osteosarcoma prognosis were identified using the univariate Cox regression analysis in Survival package (31) (version 2.4, cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survival/index.html) in R3.4.1 software. Survival data were plotted using Kaplan-Meier analysis and Log-Rank test was used to compare the statistical significance. $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Construction of miRNA-target gene regulatory network. The miRNAs directly associated with osteosarcoma were searched from the miR2Disease database (32) (<http://watson.compbio.iupui.edu:8080/miR2Disease/index.jsp>). Each entry in miR2Disease contains information about a miRNA and its association with disease, in addition to the ID of the miRNA, disease name and a brief description of the miRNA-disease association, references and detection methods of miRNA expression. 'Osteosarcoma' was used as the disease name to screen key miRNAs associated with osteosarcoma in this database.

Furthermore, the target genes of miRNAs were searched using miRanda database (33) (www.microrna.org/microrna/home.do). Finally, a miRNA-target gene regulatory network was constructed. Cytoscape 3.3 software was used to visualize the interactions among miRNAs and related target genes.

Validation of critical genes. To validate the universality of critical genes, the GSE39055 (34) expression profile (platform GPL14951 Illumina HumanHT-12 WG-DASL V4.0 R2 expression beadchip) was downloaded from the GEO database as a novel validation dataset. This dataset included 37 osteosarcoma samples that possessed associated survival rate information. This dataset was used to validate the associations between key genes and survival outcomes. Additionally, the expression levels of these key genes between metastatic and non-metastatic samples in GSE21257, GSE32981, GSE14827 and GSE14359 were analyzed. The analysis flow chart is presented in Fig. 1.

Results

Identification of stable modules associated with osteosarcoma. Following the normalization of the four datasets, GSE21257 was used as the training set and the other three were considered validation datasets. The WGCNA algorithm was used to screen for significant modules associated with osteosarcoma. The processes were as follows: First, consensus analysis was performed for the overlapping genes in the four datasets. The results revealed that the correlation values between either of two datasets were >0.5 and all P -values were $<1 \times 10^{-200}$, which indicated that the data were comparable (Fig. 2A). The cluster dendrogram based on the all modules is presented in Fig. 2B. Second, in order to satisfy the precondition of scale-free network distribution, the weight parameter (power) of the adjacency matrix was fixed by setting the selection range of network parameter and calculating the scale-free topological matrix. The scale-free distribution of the topological matrix was calculated based on the GSE21257 dataset and the results are presented in Fig. 3A ('scale independence'). The horizontal axis represents weight parameters of the power, while the vertical axis represents the square values of correlation coefficient between $\log(k)$ and $\log[p(k)]$. A higher square value meant the scale-free distribution of these data. Once the square value reached 0.9 for the first time, the power value (power=7) was selected and the mean connectivity of genes calculated. As presented in Fig. 3A ('mean connectivity'), the mean connectivity of genes was only 1, which is in line with the connectivity feature of nodes in a scale-free small network.

Subsequently, the gene dendrogram and modules were identified based on WGCNA. The GSE21257 dataset was used as the training set to screen the modules associated with osteosarcoma. The dissimilarity in easements of different

Table II. Clinical information of GSE21257.

Source name	Age (years)	Group	Histological subtype	Mortality (months)	Tumor location
GSM530667	14.5	Metastases present at diagnosis	Giant cell rich	Succumbed after 27	Left distal femur
GSM530899	13.5	Metastases at 16 months following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 21 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal humerus
GSM531283	14.2	Metastases present at diagnosis	Osteoblastic	Alive at 46 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left distal femur
GSM531284	11.1	Metastases at 10 months following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Fibroblastic	Alive at 28 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left distal femur
GSM531285	6.8	Metastases at 5 months following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 11 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Humerus
GSM531286	12.0	Metastases at 30 months following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Chondroblastic	Alive at 37 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Tibia
GSM531287	15.0	Metastases present at diagnosis	Sclerosing	Alive at 45 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left distal femur
GSM531288	15.0	Metastases present at diagnosis	Chondroblastic	Succumbed at 13 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right proximal tibia
GSM531289	17.0	Metastases at 9 months following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 33 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left femur
GSM531290	15.1	Metastases at 25 months following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Sclerosing	Alive at 25 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right proximal tibia
GSM531291	79.0	Metastases at 12 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 18 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Humerus
GSM531292	16.7	Metastases present at diagnosis	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 30 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right proximal femur
GSM531293	17.1	Metastases at 9 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Chondroblastic	Succumbed at 35 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left distal femur
GSM531294	10.8	Metastases present at diagnosis	Chondroblastic	Succumbed at 4 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left distal femur
GSM531295	15.3	Metastases present at diagnosis	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 27 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Distal femur
GSM531296	18.3	Metastases present at diagnosis	Osteoblastic	Alive at 26 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right proximal tibia
GSM531297	32.1	Metastases at 10 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Possibly chondromyxoid fibroma-like	Succumbed at 18 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right humerus
GSM531298	39.0	Metastases at 36 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Fibroblastic	Succumbed at 189 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Unknown
GSM531299	22	Metastases at 10 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Alive at 36 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Femur
GSM531300	19	Metastases at 24 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Alive at 123 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Femur
GSM531301	12	Metastases at 44 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 110 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Femur
GSM531302	17	No metastases	Fibroblastic	Alive at 63 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Humerus
GSM531303	22	No metastases	Fibroblastic	Alive at 60 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Humerus
GSM531304	58	No metastases	Telangiectatic	Alive at 60 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Tibia

Table II. Continued.

Source name	Age (years)	Group	Histological subtype	Mortality (months)	Tumor location
GSM531305	28	No metastases	Fibroblastic	Alive at 60 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Femur
GSM531306	16.7	Metastases at 6 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 10 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal tibia
GSM531307	18.6	Metastases at 21 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Chondroblastic	Succumbed at 39 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal tibia
GSM531308	14.8	Metastases present at diagnosis	Osteoblastic	Alive at 95 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left distal femur
GSM531309	17.7	Metastases at 17 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 83 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right distal femur
GSM531310	18	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 246 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left distal femur
GSM531311	16.7	Metastases present at diagnosis	Telangiectatic	Succumbed at 25 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right distal femur
GSM531312	13.7	Metastases at 18 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Telangiectatic	Succumbed at 40 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right proximal tibia
GSM531313	14.6	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 143 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right distal tibia
GSM531314	16.7	Metastases present at diagnosis	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 11 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal humerus
GSM531319	8.4	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 105 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Diaphysis of left femur
GSM531320	11.3	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 78 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal tibia
GSM531321	40.6	No metastases	Anaplastic	Alive at 97 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal femur
GSM531322	16.5	Metastases at 10 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 33 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal tibia
GSM531323	11.4	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 77 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal tibia
GSM531324	25.3	Metastases at 27 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 47 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal tibia
GSM531325	19.1	No metastases	Chondroblastic	Alive at 120 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right proximal humerus
GSM531326	20.2	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 91 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right distal femur
GSM531327	13.75	Metastases present at diagnosis	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 29 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left distal femur
GSM531328	18.2	Metastases at 24 months after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Osteoblastic	Alive at 32 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal fibula
GSM531329	8	Metastases present at diagnosis	Osteoblastic	Alive at 31 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left distal femur
GSM531330	10.7	Metastases present at diagnosis	Osteoblastic	Succumbed at 25 following diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right distal femur
GSM531331	18.1	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 219 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left distal femur
GSM531332	16.0	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 193 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right proximal tibia
GSM531333	9.3	No metastases	Pleomorphic	Alive at 184 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right distal femur
GSM531334	3.1	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 194 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Left proximal tibia
GSM531335	20.3	No metastases	Anaplastic	Alive at 94 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right distal femur
GSM531351	18.1	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 87 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Right proximal fibula
GSM531352	16.0	No metastases	Osteoblastic	Alive at 60 after diagnosis of the primary tumor	Femur

Table III. Preservation of modules associated with microarray datasets.

Module	Color	Module size	Preservation Z-score
1	Blue	908	29.979
2	Brown	354	2.198
3	Green	164	7.264
4	Grey	461	5.492
5	Turquoise	1,092	2.576
6	Yellow	183	18.147

nodes was calculated and a hierarchical clustering tree was generated. Based on the dynamic tree, the minimum number of genes for each network was set as 100 and the cut height was set to 0.99. A total of six modules were obtained, namely M1-blue, M2-brown, M3-green, M4-grey, M5-turquoise and M6-yellow (Table III).

Finally, the stability of gene modules was evaluated. The other three datasets (GSE32981, GSE14827 and GSE14359) were also subjected to module partition and the stability of modules obtained from the GSE21257 dataset was evaluated. The results of module partition in GSE32981, GSE14827 and GSE14359 datasets are presented in Fig. 2B. The correlation of modules in each dataset is presented in Fig. 3B and C. The genes in the same module (same color) were inclined to cluster together, indicating that these genes had similar expression levels. The overall expression of modules on the same dendrogram branch was more similar, including brown and yellow modules, in addition to blue, green and turquoise modules.

Following analysis of the correlation of gene expression for the same-colored modules, three modules (M1-blue, M3-green and M6-yellow) with preservation Z scores >5 were identified, which were considered significantly stable modules. The three stable modules may be major functional modules associated with osteosarcoma. According to the clinical information provided by the GSE21257 training dataset, the correlation between each module and clinical factors was analyzed. As presented in Fig. 3D, genes in blue and yellow modules were significantly positively correlated with osteosarcoma metastasis (correlation coefficient values, 0.51 and 0.25; P-values, 9×10^{-205} and 7×10^{-46} , respectively). Finally, the 1,091 genes in these two modules were selected for further analysis.

DEG screening and gene co-expression network analysis. Based on the thresholds, a total of 1,613 consistent DEGs were screened out between the osteosarcoma primary tissue samples and metastatic samples. These DEGs were subjected to hierarchical clustering analysis using the MetaDE package (Fig. 4A). The cluster analysis revealed that DEGs screened from four datasets could accurately distinguish primary osteosarcoma samples from metastatic samples. Subsequently, these genes were compared with the 1,091 genes obtained from blue and yellow modules, and 166 common genes were obtained (Fig. 4B).

Based on the expression correlation among the 166 genes, a gene co-expression network was constructed that consisted of 166 nodes (28 from yellow module and 138 from blue module)




Figure 1. Analysis process for the four microarray datasets. DEGs, differentially expressed genes; GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; miRNA/miR, microRNA; WGCNA, weighted gene co-expression network analysis.

and 1,344 edges (434 negative correlation and 910 positive correlation). Among these nodes, 28 were upregulated genes and 138 were downregulated (Fig. 4C).

To further explore the function of these 166 DEGs, GO function and KEGG pathway analyses were performed. The results demonstrated that these DEGs were enriched in several functional terms and pathways (Fig. 5 and Table IV). The DEGs were mainly involved in the following GO terms: 'Defense response', 'extracellular region', 'calcium ion binding', etc. The major pathways the DEGs were involved in were 'lysosome', 'cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction', 'chemokine signaling pathway', 'p53 signaling pathway', 'ECM-receptor interaction', 'cell cycle' and 'focal adhesion'.

Screening critical genes associated with osteosarcoma metastasis. By combining the clinical information of the GSE21257 dataset, the critical genes associated with osteosarcoma metastasis were identified using a Cox regression model. Eventually, 10 genes associated with osteosarcoma prognosis were obtained (Table V). For the top three genes with higher P-values compared with the other DEGs, a Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis was performed. All samples were divided into high expression and low expression groups in terms of their median numerical boundary (Fig. 6). The results demonstrated that tumor samples with high CTP synthase 2 (CTPS2) and tumor protein p53 inducible protein 3 (TP53I3) expression were associated with improved survival outcome. The expression levels of these genes were downregulated in metastatic tumor samples, indicating that these patients had a worse prognosis. Furthermore, the hazard ratio values were <1, meaning that these genes may be major factors for promoting osteosarcoma metastasis. Conversely, high expression of solute carrier family 1 member 1 (SLC1A1) was associated with a




Figure 2. Identification of stable gene modules associated with osteosarcoma as determined by weighted gene co-expression network analysis. (A) Correlation values between any two datasets from GSE21257, GSE32981, GSE14827 and GSE14359. The charts represent correlations between GSE21257-GSE32981, GSE21257-GSE14359, GSE21257-GSE14827, GSE32981-GSE14359, GSE32981-GSE14827 and GSE14359-GSE14827. (B) Cluster dendrogram based on the dynamic tree (GSE21257, GSE32981, GSE14827 and GSE14359). Different dendrogram colors represent various modules.

worse prognostic effect. In terms of expression, *SLC1A1* was increased in metastatic tumor samples, which indicated that these patients had a worse prognosis. In addition, its hazard ratio value was >1 (Table V), thus suggesting that *SLC1A1* expression may be a risk factor for osteosarcoma metastasis.

Analysis of miRNA-target gene network. Following searches in the miR2Disease database, seven miRNAs and multiple target genes associated with osteosarcoma were obtained (Table VI).

The interactions between miRNAs and their target genes were visualized by a biological network (Fig. 7). The miRNA-target gene network consisted of 48 nodes, including seven miRNAs (including *hsa-miR-422a*, *hsa-miR-145* and *hsa-miR-194*), six disease prognosis-associated DEGs [*CTPS2*, fibroblast activation protein α (*FAP*), *SLC1A1*, *MMP3*, motile sperm domain containing 2 (*MOSPD2*) and vascular endothelial growth factor B (*VEGFB*)] and 35 DEGs co-expressed with critical genes or miRNAs.




Figure 3. Assessment of the stability of the modules. (A) Adjacency function definition for the genes. The left chart represents the power selection diagram of adjacency matrix weight parameter. The horizontal axis represents weight parameters of the power, while the vertical axis represents the square values of correlation coefficient between $\log(k)$ and $\log[p(k)]$. A higher square value indicates the scale-free distribution of these data. The red line represents the standard line while square value reached 0.9. The right chart represents the mean connectivity of genes under different adjacency matrix weight parameters. (B) Multidimensional scaling plot of genes in each module. The X- and Y-axes represent the first and second principal components, respectively. (C) Cluster dendrogram of modules in the four datasets, GSE21257, GSE32981, GSE14359 and GSE14827. (D) Heat map for the correlation between each module and clinical factors. The horizontal axis represents clinical factors and the vertical axis represents different colored modules; the color changes from green to pink indicate changes from negative to positive, the numbers in the grid indicate the correlation coefficient and the numbers in parentheses indicate the significance of the correlation (P-value).




Figure 4. DEG screening and gene co-expression network analysis. (A) Heat map for the significant DEGs. Black bars represent metastatic osteosarcoma samples and white bars represent non-metastatic osteosarcoma samples. (B) Venn diagram of key genes screened according to the WGCNA method and using the MetaDE package. (C) Co-expression network of overlapping genes. Blue and yellow represent the genes screened from blue and yellow modules, respectively. The equilateral and inverted triangles represent upregulated genes and downregulated genes; the green and gray lines represent negative and positive correlations, respectively. DEGs, differentially expressed genes; WGCNA, weighted gene co-expression network analysis.

KEGG analysis was performed to identify the major pathways for the miRNAs and target genes. Eventually, nine pathways were identified, including 'pathways in cancer', 'ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis' and the 'Ras signaling pathway' (Table VII). Among the genes, *VEGFB* was identified to be involved in several signaling pathways ('pathways in cancer', 'focal adhesion', 'Ras signaling pathway' and 'cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction'), meaning that it may serve a vital role in the development of osteosarcoma.

Expression and prognostic validation. To validate the general characteristics of the six prognosis-associated DEGs involved in the miRNA-target network, a different dataset, GSE39055 (including 37 osteosarcoma samples and related survival outcome information), was applied as a validation dataset to verify the association between the genes and survival outcome. The results demonstrated that the prognostic

analysis of six genes in the GSE39055 validation dataset was consistent with that in the GSE21257 dataset (Figs. 8 and 9). Among these genes, *MMP3* (Fig. 8B) and *SLC1A1* (Fig. 9C) had improved survival outcomes in low expression groups of osteosarcoma samples. In addition, the expression levels of *SLC1A1* were upregulated in metastatic osteosarcoma samples and patients with high expression of this gene exhibited worse survival outcomes, indicating that *SLC1A1* expression may be a risk factor for osteosarcoma metastasis. In addition, *VEGFB*, *CTPS2*, *MOSPD2* and *FAP* had improved survival outcomes in high expression groups of osteosarcoma samples (Figs. 8 and 9). The expression levels of these genes were downregulated in metastatic osteosarcoma samples and patients exhibited worse survival outcomes, indicating that decreased expressions of these four genes (*VEGFB*, *CTPS2*, *MOSPD2* and *FAP*) may be risk factors for osteosarcoma metastasis.




Figure 5. Functional annotation of the key overlapping genes in the co-expression network. (A) GO annotation. The horizontal axis represents the number of genes and the vertical axis represents the name of the GO terms. The size of the dot represents a significant P-value; larger dots and lower P-values indicate a higher significance. (B) Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis for genes in the network. The color changes from purple to light pink represent changes in significance from high to low. The numbers in each component represent the number of genes involved in a pathway. GO, Gene Ontology.

Discussion

In the present study, gene expression profile analysis (accession numbers: GSE32981, GSE21257, GSE14827 and GSE14359) was performed and a total of 166 critical DEGs were identified in metastatic osteosarcoma tissue samples compared with non-metastatic samples, including 28 upregulated genes and 138 downregulated genes. Functional enrichment analysis results demonstrated that these DEGs were mainly enriched in 'defense response', 'lysosome' and 'p53 signaling pathway'. In the gene co-expression network, *CTPS2*, *TP53I3* and *SLC1A1* were key nodes and may be considered risk factors for osteosarcoma metastasis. In addition, *hsa-miR-422a* and *hsa-miR-194* were highlighted in the miRNA-target gene network. Finally, *MMP3* and *VEGFB* were predicted as critical genes in osteosarcoma metastasis.

CTPS2 is a critical enzyme that controls the synthesis of cytosine nucleotides, and *CTPS2* serves a vital role in numerous metabolic processes (35). Cancer cells that exhibit increased cell proliferation also exhibit increased activity of *CTPS2*. Patients with colorectal cancer with low *CTPS2* expression did not receive a survival benefit from 5-fluorouracil treatment ($P=0.072$), whereas those with high expression did ($P=0.003$); therefore, low *CTPS2* expression may be a major determinant for chemoresistance (36). *TP53I3* encodes the putative quinone

oxidoreductase, an enzyme that is involved in cellular responses to oxidative stress and irradiation in humans (37). *TP53I3* is involved in p53-mediated cell death and can be induced by the tumor suppressor p53 (38). A recent study indicated that p53 is able to directly regulate target genes, including *TP53I3*, associated with several drug treatments in an osteosarcoma cell line (39). *SLC1A1*, also known as excitatory amino-acid transporter 3, is a high-affinity glutamate transporter (40). This protein serves an essential role in glutamate transport from plasma membranes to neurons. However, studies on *SLC1A1* in osteosarcoma are few. In the present study, *CTPS2*, *TP53I3* and *SLC1A1* were abnormally expressed in metastatic osteosarcoma tissue samples, thus suggesting that these three genes may be considered as prognostic biomarkers of osteosarcoma.

The miRNA-target gene network demonstrated that several miRNAs were involved in osteosarcoma prognosis. Downregulation of *miR-422a* has been reported to be associated with poor prognosis in human osteosarcoma (41). Increased expression levels of *miR-422a* can inhibit cell proliferation and invasion, and can enhance chemosensitivity in osteosarcoma cells (42). Zhang *et al* (43) demonstrated that *miR-422a* may serve as a tumor inhibitor in osteosarcoma via suppression of BCL2 like 2 (*BCL2L2*) and KRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase (*KRAS*) translation. Therefore, *miR-422a* may be involved in the progression of osteosarcoma via targeting

Table IV. GO terms and KEGG pathways for the critical DEGs in the gene co-expression network.

Term	Count	P-value
Biological process		
GO:0006952-defense response	17	6.710x10 ⁻⁴
GO:0070271-protein complex biogenesis	14	2.379x10 ⁻³
GO:0006461-protein complex assembly	14	2.379x10 ⁻³
GO:0006954-inflammatory response	10	6.995x10 ⁻³
GO:0065003-macromolecular complex assembly	15	9.548x10 ⁻³
GO:0007267-cell-cell signaling	14	9.895x10 ⁻³
GO:0006955-immune response	15	1.289x10 ⁻²
GO:0043933-macromolecular complex subunit organization	15	1.618x10 ⁻²
GO:0042127-regulation of cell proliferation	15	3.508x10 ⁻²
GO:0010033-response to organic substance	14	3.794x10 ⁻²
Cellular component		
GO:0005615-extracellular space	22	9.670x10 ⁻⁶
GO:0044421-extracellular region part	24	1.680x10 ⁻⁴
GO:0005576-extracellular region	37	7.410x10 ⁻⁴
GO:0000267-cell fraction	21	9.733x10 ⁻³
GO:0044459-plasma membrane part	35	1.210x10 ⁻²
GO:0005624-membrane fraction	16	2.361x10 ⁻²
GO:0005886-plasma membrane	52	2.390x10 ⁻²
GO:0005626-insoluble fraction	16	3.132x10 ⁻²
GO:0031988-membrane-bounded vesicle	12	3.805x10 ⁻²
GO:0005887-integral to plasma membrane	20	4.399x10 ⁻²
GO:0046983-protein dimerization activity	12	3.398x10 ⁻²
GO:0005509-calcium ion binding	17	4.100x10 ⁻²
GO:0042802-identical protein binding	13	4.578x10 ⁻²
KEGG pathway		
hsa04142: Lysosome	6	1.733x10 ⁻³
hsa04060: Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	9	1.914x10 ⁻³
hsa04115: p53 signaling pathway	4	5.762x10 ⁻³
hsa04062: Chemokine signaling pathway	6	9.418x10 ⁻³
hsa05200: Pathways in cancer	8	1.309x10 ⁻²
hsa04512: ECM-receptor interaction	3	2.978x10 ⁻²
hsa04110: Cell cycle	3	4.860x10 ⁻²
hsa04510: Focal adhesion	4	4.878x10 ⁻²

GO, Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.




Figure 6. Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis for the top three genes, (A) *SLC1A1*, (B) *CTPS2* and (C) *TP53I3*, associated with the prognosis of osteosarcoma. The black and red curves represent low expression and high expression sample groups, respectively. *CTPS2*, CTP synthase 2; *SLC1A1*, solute carrier family 1 member 1; *TP53I3*, tumor protein p53 inducible protein 3.




Figure 7. miRNA-target gene network associated with osteosarcoma. Blue and yellow colors represent the genes screened from blue and yellow modules, respectively. The equilateral and inverted triangles represent upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively. The red squares refer to miRNAs associated with osteosarcoma. The green and gray lines represent the negative and positive connections. The red lines represent the interactions between miRNAs and target genes. miRNA/miR, microRNA.

Table V. Critical genes associated with the prognosis of osteosarcoma.

Gene	P-value	Hazard ratio	95% CI
<i>SLC1A1</i>	0.013	3.497	1.299-9.414
<i>CTPS2</i>	0.034	0.479	0.204-1.126
<i>TP53I3</i>	0.034	0.505	0.247-1.031
<i>CIB1</i>	0.041	0.596	0.265-1.339
<i>VEGFB</i>	0.041	0.555	0.224-1.373
<i>ITGB5</i>	0.045	0.519	0.233-1.159
<i>MMP3</i>	0.045	0.518	0.127-2.112
<i>MOSPD2</i>	0.046	0.820	0.320-2.099
<i>FAP</i>	0.047	0.760	0.538-1.075
<i>CLC</i>	0.047	0.726	0.246-2.137

CI, confidence interval.

BCL2L2 and *KRAS*. In addition, *hsa-miR-194* has been reported to be a major factor involved in tumor progression and metastasis (44). Han *et al* (45) indicated that *miR-194* may suppress osteosarcoma cell proliferation and metastasis *in vitro* and *in vivo* by targeting cadherin 2 and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor. Therefore, these findings suggested that *hsa-miR-422a* and *hsa-miR-194* may be critical molecules in osteosarcoma metastasis.

MMP3 or stromelysin-1 is an enzyme that regulates the breakdown of extracellular matrix proteins in normal

Table VI. Critical miRNAs related to the prognosis of OS.


Disease miRNA	OS DEGs	PMID
<i>hsa-miR-422a</i>	<i>CTPS2</i>	20949564
<i>hsa-miR-145</i>	<i>FAP, SLC1A1</i>	26339404
<i>hsa-miR-194</i>	<i>FAP, SLC1A1</i>	26339404
<i>hsa-miR-93</i>	<i>MMP3</i>	28260111
<i>hsa-miR-125b</i>	<i>MOSPD2, VEGFB</i>	25661090
<i>hsa-miR-193a-3p</i>	<i>SLC1A1</i>	20949564
<i>hsa-miR-140-5p</i>	<i>VEGFB</i>	PMC2783211

DEGs, differentially expressed genes; miRNA/miR, microRNA; OS, osteosarcoma; PMID, PubMed unique identifier.

physiological processes, in addition to in disease processes, including tumor metastasis or arthritis (46). The MMP family, including MMP3, has been confirmed to interact with collagen type I α 2 chain and serve an important role in osteosarcoma tumorigenesis (47). A previous study revealed that upregulation of *MMP13* can result in suppression of osteosarcoma metastasis in a mouse model (48). As a member of the MMP family, MMP3 may be an important diagnostic marker for osteosarcoma metastasis. VEGFs are signaling proteins produced by cells that stimulate the formation of blood vessels, which is an important process for tumorigenesis and metastasis (49). It has also reported the abnormal expression of VEGF in osteosarcoma and explored the prognostic value

Table VII. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis for the target genes in the regulatory network.

Term	Count	P-value	Genes
hsa05200: Pathways in cancer	3	0.029	<i>VEGFB</i> , <i>WNT5B</i> , <i>FN1</i>
hsa04120: Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis	2	0.032	<i>NEDD4</i> , <i>UBE2S</i>
hsa00230: Purine metabolism	2	0.039	<i>PDE7B</i> , <i>POLA2</i>
hsa05205: Proteoglycans in cancer	2	0.043	<i>WNT5B</i> , <i>FN1</i>
hsa04510: Focal adhesion	2	0.044	<i>VEGFB</i> , <i>FN1</i>
hsa01100: Metabolic pathways	5	0.044	<i>MAN2A1</i> , <i>TDO2</i> , <i>B4GALT3</i> , <i>CTPS2</i> , <i>POLA2</i>
hsa04810: Regulation of actin cytoskeleton	2	0.045	<i>GSN</i> , <i>FN1</i>
hsa04014: Ras signaling pathway	2	0.047	<i>VEGFB</i> , <i>RIN1</i>
hsa04060: Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	2	0.047	<i>VEGFB</i> , <i>CXCL14</i>



in cancer patients (50). *VEGFB* belongs to the VEGF family and serves a role in maintaining newly formed blood vessels during pathological conditions (51). It has been reported that VEGF correlates with a poor histologic response to chemotherapy in osteogenic sarcoma (52). In the present study, the expression levels of *MMP3* and *VEGFB* were downregulated in

metastatic osteosarcoma samples and these patients exhibited worse survival outcomes. The survival analysis of *MMP3* for GSE21257 training set is consistent with that of the GSE39055 validation set in the present study. Together with the present findings, it was suggested that these two genes may be risk factors for promoting osteosarcoma metastasis.




Figure 9. Expression and prognostic validation for critical genes, including (A) *MOSPD2*, (B) *FAP* and (C) *SLC1A1*. The black and red curves represent low expression and high expression osteosarcoma sample groups, respectively. *FAP*, fibroblast activation protein α ; *MOSPD2*, motile sperm domain containing 2; *SLC1A1*, solute carrier family 1 member 1.

However, certain limitations existed in the present study. One important factor is the lack of experimental verification. The number of osteosarcoma samples in metastatic or non-metastatic groups was small; the clinical information in microarray datasets was also scarce. Therefore, more studies are required to explore the diagnostic roles of critical genes and miRNAs in osteosarcoma metastasis; for example, the expression levels of the critical genes and miRNAs in osteosarcoma samples should be validated. Besides, the roles of these genes and miRNAs in predicting the prognosis of osteosarcoma should be analyzed in a large sample size in future studies.

In conclusion, the present study identified 28 upregulated and 138 downregulated genes in metastatic osteosarcoma samples. The DEGs were associated with ‘defense response’, ‘p53 signaling pathway’ and ‘lysosome’. In addition, it was revealed that *CTPS2*, *TP53I3* and *SLC1A1* may serve a major role in osteosarcoma metastasis, and *hsa-miR-422a*, *hsa-miR-194*, *MMP3* and *VEGFB* may also be associated with the metastasis of osteosarcoma. The present study provided a reliable strategy to discover non-invasive biomarkers for osteosarcoma prognosis.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

No funding was received.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

SZ and HF made substantive intellectual contributions to the study design. HF, SL, SW and SZ searched and downloaded the information about microarray datasets from the Gene Expression Omnibus. HF, SL, SW and SZ made substantial contributions to analysis and interpretation of microarray datasets. HF, SL, SW and SZ were involved in the revising

the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- Ottaviani G and Jaffe N: The epidemiology of osteosarcoma. *Cancer Treat Res* 152: 3-13, 2009.
- Luetke A, Meyers PA, Lewis I and Juergens H: Osteosarcoma treatment-where do we stand? A state of the art review. *Cancer Treat Rev* 40: 523-532, 2014.
- Ferrari S, Smeland S, Mercuri M, Bertoni F, Longhi A, Ruggieri P, Alvegard TA, Picci P, Capanna R, Bernini G, *et al*: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with high-dose ifosfamide, high-dose methotrexate, cisplatin, and doxorubicin for patients with localized osteosarcoma of the extremity: A joint study by the Italian and Scandinavian Sarcoma Groups. *J Clin Oncol* 23: 8845-8852, 2005.
- Ragland BD, Bell WC, Lopez RR and Siegal GP: Cytogenetics and molecular biology of osteosarcoma. *Lab Invest* 82: 365-373, 2002.
- Wang H, Zeng X, Oliver P, Le LP, Chen J, Chen L, Zhou W, Agrawal S and Zhang R: MDM2 oncogene as a target for cancer therapy: An antisense approach. *Int J Oncol* 15: 653-660, 1999.
- Kushlinskii NE, Fridman MV and Braga EA: Molecular mechanisms and microRNAs in osteosarcoma pathogenesis. *Biochemistry (Mosc)* 81: 315-328, 2016.
- Li H, He Y, Hao P and Liu P: Identification of characteristic gene modules of osteosarcoma using bioinformatics analysis indicates the possible molecular pathogenesis. *Mol Med Rep* 15: 2113-2119, 2017.
- Shabani P, Izadpanah S, Aghebati-Maleki A, Baghbani E, Baghbanzadeh A, Fotouhi A, Bakhshinejad B, Aghebati-Maleki L and Baradaran B: Role of miR-142 in the pathogenesis of osteosarcoma and its potential as therapeutic approach. *J Cell Biochem* 120: 4783-4793, 2019.
- Lv Z, Yang D, Li J, Hu M, Luo M, Zhan X, Song P, Liu C, Bai H, Li B, *et al*: Bone morphogenetic protein 9 overexpression reduces osteosarcoma cell migration and invasion. *Mol Cells* 36: 119-126, 2013.
- Ren Z, Liang S, Yang J, Han X, Shan L, Wang B, Mu T, Zhang Y, Yang X, Xiong S and Wang G: Coexpression of CXCR4 and MMP9 predicts lung metastasis and poor prognosis in resected osteosarcoma. *Tumour Biol* 37: 5089-5096, 2016.
- Song R, Tian K, Wang W and Wang L: P53 suppresses cell proliferation, metastasis, and angiogenesis of osteosarcoma through inhibition of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. *Int J Surg* 20: 80-87, 2015.
- Rao-Bindal K, Rao CK, Yu L and Kleinerman ES: Expression of c-FLIP in pulmonary metastases in osteosarcoma patients and human xenografts. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 60: 575-579, 2013.
- Andersen GB, Knudsen A, Hager H, Hansen LL and Tost J: miRNA profiling identifies deregulated miRNAs associated with osteosarcoma development and time to metastasis in two large cohorts. *Mol Oncol* 12: 114-131, 2018.
- Bilbao-Aldaiturriaga N, Gutierrez-Camino A, Martin-Guerrero I, Pombar-Gomez M, Zalacain-Diez M, Patiño-Garcia A, Lopez-Lopez E and Garcia-Orad A: Polymorphisms in miRNA processing genes and their role in osteosarcoma risk. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 62: 766-769, 2015.
- Zhang R, Yan S, Wang J, Deng F, Guo Y, Li Y, Fan M, Song Q, Liu H, Weng Y and Shi Q: MiR-30a regulates the proliferation, migration, and invasion of human osteosarcoma by targeting Runx2. *Tumour Biol* 37: 3479-3488, 2016.
- Lv C, Hao Y and Tu G: MicroRNA-21 promotes proliferation, invasion and suppresses apoptosis in human osteosarcoma line MG63 through PTEN/Akt pathway. *Tumour Biol* 37: 9333-9342, 2016.
- Namløs HM, Kresse SH, Müller CR, Henriksen J, Holdhus R, Sæter G, Bruland OS, Bjerkehagen B, Steen VM and Myklebost O: Global gene expression profiling of human osteosarcomas reveals metastasis-associated chemokine pattern. *Sarcoma* 2012: 639038, 2012.
- Buddingh EP, Kuijjer ML, Duim RA, Bürger H, Agelopoulos K, Myklebost O, Serra M, Mertens F, Hogendoorn PC, Lankester AC and Cleton-Jansen AM: Tumor-infiltrating macrophages are associated with metastasis suppression in high-grade osteosarcoma: A rationale for treatment with macrophage activating agents. *Clin Cancer Res* 17: 2110-2119, 2011.
- Kobayashi E, Masuda M, Nakayama R, Ichikawa H, Satow R, Shitashige M, Honda K, Yamaguchi U, Shoji A, Tochigi N, *et al*: Reduced argininosuccinate synthetase is a predictive biomarker for the development of pulmonary metastasis in patients with osteosarcoma. *Mol Cancer Ther* 9: 535-544, 2010.
- Fritsche-Guenther R, Noske A, Ungethüm U, Kuban RJ, Schlag PM, Tunn PU, Karle J, Krenn V, Dietel M and Sers C: De novo expression of EphA2 in osteosarcoma modulates activation of the mitogenic signalling pathway. *Histopathology* 57: 836-850, 2011.
- Parrish RS and Spencer HJ III: Effect of normalization on significance testing for oligonucleotide microarrays. *J Biopharm Stat* 14: 575-589, 2004.
- Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, Dudoit S, Ellis B, Gautier L, Ge Y, Gentry J, *et al*: Bioconductor: Open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome Biol* 5: R80, 2004.
- Frigola R and Rasmussen CE: Integrated pre-processing for bayesian nonlinear system identification with gaussian processes. *Ieee Decis Contr P*: 5371-5376, 2013.
- Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W and Smyth GK: Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43: e47, 2015.
- Langfelder P and Horvath S: WGCNA: An R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9: 559, 2008.
- Zhai X, Xue Q, Liu Q, Guo Y and Chen Z: Colon cancer recurrence-associated genes revealed by WGCNA coexpression network analysis. *Mol Med Rep* 16: 6499-6505, 2017.
- Qi C, Hong L, Cheng Z and Yin Q: Identification of metastasis-associated genes in colorectal cancer using metaDE and survival analysis. *Oncol Lett* 11: 568-574, 2016.
- Wang X, Kang DD, Shen K, Song C, Lu S, Chang LC, Liao SG, Huo Z, Tang S, Ding Y, *et al*: An R package suite for microarray meta-analysis in quality control, differentially expressed gene analysis and pathway enrichment detection. *Bioinformatics* 28: 2534-2536, 2012.
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B and Ideker T: Cytoscape: A software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* 13: 2498-2504, 2003.
- Huang da W, Sherman BT and Lempicki RA: Bioinformatics enrichment tools: Paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37: 1-13, 2009.
- Wang P, Wang Y, Hang B, Zou X and Mao JH: A novel gene expression-based prognostic scoring system to predict survival in gastric cancer. *Oncotarget* 7: 55343-55351, 2016.
- Jiang Q, Wang Y, Hao Y, Juan L, Teng M, Zhang X, Li M, Wang G and Liu Y: miR2Disease: A manually curated database for microRNA deregulation in human disease. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37: D98-D104, 2009.
- Betel D, Wilson M, Gabow A, Marks DS and Sander C: The microRNA.org resource: Targets and expression. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36 (Database Issue): D149-D153, 2008.
- Kelly AD, Haibe-Kains B, Janeway KA, Hill KE, Howe E, Goldsmith J, Kurek K, Perez-Atayde AR, Francoeur N, Fan JB, *et al*: MicroRNA paraffin-based studies in osteosarcoma reveal reproducible/independent prognostic profiles at 14q32. *Genome Med* 5: 2, 2013.
- van Kuilenburg AB, Meinsma R, Vreken P, Waterham HR and van Gennip AH: Identification of cDNA encoding an isoform of human CTP synthetase. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1492: 548, 2000.
- Tan WL, Bhattacharya B, Loh M, Balasubramanian I, Akram M, Dong D, Wong L, Thakkar B, Salto-Tellez M, Soo RA, *et al*: Low cytosine triphosphate synthase 2 expression renders resistance to 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther* 11: 599-608, 2011.

37. Contente A, Dittmer A, Koch MC, Roth J and Dobbelsstein M: A polymorphic microsatellite that mediates induction of PIG3 by p53. *Nat Genet* 30: 315-320, 2002.
38. Voltan R, Secchiero P, Corallini F and Zauli G: Selective induction of TP53I3/p53-inducible gene 3 (PIG3) in myeloid leukemic cells, but not in normal cells, by Nutlin-3. *Mol Carcinog* 53: 498-504, 2014.
39. Zhou H, Cui X, Yuan H, Zhang B, Meng C and Zhao D: Effects of distinct drugs on gene transcription in an osteosarcoma cell line. *Oncol Lett* 14: 4694-4700, 2017.
40. Smith CP, Weremowicz S, Kanai Y, Stelzner M, Morton CC and Hediger MA: Assignment of the gene coding for the human high-affinity glutamate transporter EAAC1 to 9p24: Potential role in dicarboxylic aminoaciduria and neurodegenerative disorders. *Genomics* 20: 335-336, 1994.
41. Bahador R, Taheriazam A, Mirghasemi A, Torkaman A, Shakeri M, Yahaghi E and Goudarzi PK: Tissue expression levels of miR-29b and miR-422a in children, adolescents, and young adults' age groups and their association with prediction of poor prognosis in human osteosarcoma. *Tumor Biol* 37: 3091-3095, 2016.
42. Liu M, Xiusheng H, Xiao X and Wang Y: Overexpression of miR-422a inhibits cell proliferation and invasion, and enhances chemosensitivity in osteosarcoma cells. *Oncol Rep* 36: 3371-3378, 2016.
43. Zhang H, He QY, Wang GC, Tong DK, Wang RK, Ding WB, Li C, Wei Q, Ding C, Liu PZ, *et al*: miR-422a inhibits osteosarcoma proliferation by targeting BCL2L2 and KRAS. *Biosci Rep* 38: BSR20170339, 2018.
44. Khella WZ, Bakhet M, Allo G, Jewett MA, Girgis A, Bjarnason GA and Yousef GM: Suppression of tumor progression and metastasis in renal cell carcinoma by miR-192, miR-194, and miR-215. *J Clin Oncol* 31: 385-385, 2013.
45. Han K, Zhao T, Chen X, Bian N, Yang T, Ma Q, Cai C, Fan Q, Zhou Y and Ma B: microRNA-194 suppresses osteosarcoma cell proliferation and metastasis *in vitro* and *in vivo* by targeting CDH2 and IGF1R. *Int J Oncol* 45: 1437-1449, 2014.
46. Mendes O, Kim HT and Stoica G: Expression of MMP2, MMP9 and MMP3 in breast cancer brain metastasis in a rat model. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 22: 237-246, 2005.
47. Wu D, Chen K, Bai Y, Zhu X, Chen Z, Wang C, Zhao Y and Li M: Screening of diagnostic markers for osteosarcoma. *Mol Med Rep* 10: 2415-2420, 2014.
48. Osaki M, Takeshita F, Sugimoto Y, Kosaka N, Yamamoto Y, Yoshioka Y, Kobayashi E, Yamada T, Kawai A, Inoue T, *et al*: MicroRNA-143 regulates human osteosarcoma metastasis by regulating matrix metalloproteinase-13 expression. *Mol Ther* 19: 1123-1130, 2011.
49. Senger DR, Galli SJ, Dvorak AM, Perruzzi CA, Harvey VS and Dvorak HF: Tumor cells secrete a vascular permeability factor that promotes accumulation of ascites fluid. *Science* 219: 983-985, 1983.
50. Qu JT, Wang M, He HL, Tang Y and Ye XJ: The prognostic value of elevated vascular endothelial growth factor in patients with osteosarcoma: A meta-analysis and systemic review. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 138: 819-825, 2012.
51. Zhang F, Tang Z, Hou X, Lennartsson J, Li Y, Koch AW, Scotney P, Lee C, Arjunan P, Dong L, *et al*: VEGF-B is dispensable for blood vessel growth but critical for their survival, and VEGF-B targeting inhibits pathological angiogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106: 6152-6157, 2009.
52. Abdeen A, Chou AJ, Healey JH, Khanna C, Osborne TS, Hewitt SM, Kim M, Wang D, Moody K and Gorlick R: Correlation between clinical outcome and growth factor pathway expression in osteogenic sarcoma. *Cancer* 115: 5243-5250, 2009.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.