Abstract. Long non-coding RNAs are associated with cancer progression. Long intergenic non-protein coding RNA (linc)-regulator of reprogramming (ROR) enhances tumor development in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, the effect of chemoresistance and its underlying mechanisms in HCC are not completely understood. The present study aimed to identify the effect of ROR on sensitivity to doxorubicin (DOX) in HCC cells. In the present study, Cell Counting Kit-8 and EdU assays were performed to assess cell viability and proliferation, respectively. In addition, E-cadherin and vimentin protein expression levels were assessed via western blotting and immunofluorescence. The results of the present study demonstrated that HCC cells with high linc-ROR expression levels were more resistant to DOX, and linc-ROR knockdown increased HCC cell DOX sensitivity compared with the control group. The results indicated that compared with the NC siRNA group, linc-ROR knockdown notably suppressed epithelial-mesenchymal transition by downregulating twist family bHLH transcription factor 1 (TWIST1) expression. TWIST1 knockdown displayed a similar effect on HCC cell DOX sensitivity to linc-ROR knockdown. Moreover, linc-ROR knockdown-induced HCC cell DOX sensitivity was inhibited by TWIST1 overexpression. The present study provided evidence that linc-ROR promoted HCC resistance to DOX by inducing EMT via interacting with TWIST1. Therefore, linc-ROR might serve as a therapeutic target for reducing DOX resistance in HCC.
cancer cells and gastric cancer (10,11). The effects and functions of lncRNAs in chemotherapy resistance have also been extensively studied. Wu et al (12) reported that Keap1 regulation-associated lncRNA, as a competitive endogenous RNA, enhanced sensitivity to 5-fluorouracil in HCC by binding to microRNA (miR)-141 and regulating keulch like ECH associated protein 1. Moreover, downregulation of lncRNA NR2F1 antisense RNA 1 increased oxaliplatin sensitivity in HCC by targeting the miR-363/ATP binding cassette subfamily C member 1 signaling pathway (13). lncRNA ribosomal protein L13a pseudogene 20 knockdown markedly inhibited cell proliferation, enhanced apoptosis, suppressed tumor growth and increased sensitivity to DOX (14). Moreover, Li et al (15) reported that lncRNA arsR promotes resistance to DOX in HCC by modulating the PTEN/PI3K/Akt signaling pathway.

Long intergenic non-protein coding RNA (linc)-regulator of reprogramming (ROR) was first discovered in induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). linc-ROR contributes to epigenetic regulators involved in pluripotency and lineage commitment (16,17). linc-ROR is frequently increased in different types of cancer, such as, breast cancer, endometrial cancer stem cells, pancreatic cancer and gastric cancer stem cells; it is also correlated to poor prognosis and progression in cancer (18-21). linc-ROR upregulation could promote cell invasion and metastasis by regulating miR-145 and zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 2, and inducing epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in HCC (22). Extracellular transfer of linc-ROR can promote cell survival during hypoxic stress and chemoresistance (23,24). However, the roles and functions of linc-ROR in drug resistance in HCC are not completely understood.

The present study investigated the potential effect of linc-ROR on DOX resistance in HCC, as well as the underlying mechanisms.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. HCC cell lines (Hep3B, Huh7, SNU387 and SNU449) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. Huh7 and Hep3B cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and MEM (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), respectively. SNU387 and SNU449 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Total RNA was reverse transcribed using TRIzol (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA method.

Cell transfection. linc-ROR small interfering RNA (siRNA), TWIST1 siRNA (20 µM), TWIST1 overexpression (OE) vector (OE-TWIST1) (2 µg) and empty vector, negative control (NC) siRNA (non-targeting) were synthesized by Qiagen Benelix BV. The control was the blank control. HCC cells (2x10^5 cells/well) were transfected with linc-ROR siRNA, TWIST1 siRNA, OE-TWIST1 or NC siRNA using Lipofectamine® 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Subsequently, qPCR was performed using Premix Ex Taq II (Takara Biotechnology). lnc-ROR expression levels were quantified using the 2^(-AΔΔCq) method and normalized to the internal reference gene β-actin. RT-qPCR was performed in triplicate. The following primers were used for qPCR: linc-ROR forward, 5'-GGUGUCUAAUGCAU UCAUTT-3' and reverse, 5'-UGAAUGCAUUAGACAC CTT-3'; and NC siRNA forward, 5'-UUCUCCGACGUGUC ACGUTT-3' and reverse, 5'-ACGUGACAGUUCGAGAATT-3'.

Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the PrimeScript RT Reagent kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Subsequently, qPCR was performed using Premix Ex Taq II (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) and an ABI 7500 Fast system. The PCR conditions were as follows: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 34 sec and 72°C for 30 sec. lnc-ROR expression levels were quantified using the 2^(-ΔΔCq) method (25) and normalized to the internal reference gene β-actin. RT-qPCR was performed in triplicate. The following primers were used for qPCR: linc-ROR forward, 5'-ACCTGC AACACTCCAGCTAT-3' and reverse, 5'-TGACCTGGTGGAC CCACCTTT-3'; TWIST1 forward, 5'-GGUACAUUCGACU UCCUCUATT-3' and reverse, 5'-UGAGGAGAUGCGAUG UACCTT-3'; and β-actin forward, 5'-ATCAAGAGAGCTC TGCTACATC-3' and reverse, 5'-TCAGACTCGGCTGGA AGAAGA-3'.

Western blotting. Total protein was isolated from HCC cells using RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford protein assay method (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Proteins (40 µg) were separated via 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membranes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). After blocking with 5% TBS-Tween 20 (TBST; 0.1% Tween 20 containing 5% BSA (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd.), for 1 h at 37°C. The membranes were incubated at 4°C overnight with primary antibodies (all 1:1,000; Abcam)
Figure 1. linc-ROR expression levels in HCC cell lines and the relationship between linc-ROR expression and DOX sensitivity. (A) HCC cell viability following exposure to 0, 0.3125, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5 or 5 µg/ml DOX. "**"P<0.01 vs. Huh7. (B) IC_{50} values of DOX in HCC cells. "*"P<0.05 and "***"P<0.001 vs. Huh7. (C) linc-ROR expression levels in HCC cell lines. "#"P<0.05 vs. Huh7. linc-ROR, long intergenic non-protein coding RNA-regulator of reprogramming; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; DOX, doxorubicin; ACTB, β-actin.

Figure 2. Effect of linc-ROR on DOX sensitivity in HCC cells. (A) Transfection efficiency of linc-ROR siRNA. "**"P<0.01 and "***"P<0.001 vs. control. (B) HCC cell viability following transfection with linc-ROR siRNA or NC siRNA and treatment with 0, 0.3125, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5 or 5 µg/ml DOX. "#"P<0.05 and "##"P<0.01 vs. control. (C) HCC cell proliferation following treatment with DOX (IC_{50}), NC siRNA + DOX or linc-ROR siRNA + DOX (magnification, x100). "*"P<0.05 vs. control; "P<0.05 and "##"P<0.01 vs. DOX. linc-ROR, long intergenic non-protein coding RNA-regulator of reprogramming; DOX; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; siRNA, small interfering RNA; NC, negative control; ACTB, β-actin.
targeted against the following: TWIST1 (cat. no. ab49254), E-cadherin (cat. no. ab40772), vimentin (cat. no. ab92547). Following washing three times with TBST, the membranes were incubated with a HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (cat. no. ab7090; 1:2,000; Abcam) for 1 h at 37˚C. Protein bands were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence kit [Roche Diagnostics (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.]. GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.; cat. no. 5174S; 1:2,000) was used as the loading control.

**Immunofluorescence.** HCC cells were seeded into 48-well plates at 3x10^3 cells/well. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature, washed three times with PBS (3 min per wash), permeated with 0.5% Triton X-100 (prepared in PBS) for 20 min at room temperature, washed three times with PBS (3 min per wash). Following absorption of PBS using absorbent paper, blocked using 1% BSA for 30 min in the room temperature, cells were incubated with FITC-conjugated primary antibodies targeted against E-cadherin (cat. no. ab40772; 1:50; Abcam) and vimentin (cat. no. ab92547; 1:50; Abcam) overnight at 4˚C. The cells were incubated with FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (cat. no. ab6785; 1:100; Abcam) at 4˚C for 2 h. Subsequently, cells were incubated with DAPI for 5 min at room temperature in the dark to stain cell nuclei. Following washing four times with PBST (0.1% Tween 20 containing 5% BSA) (5 min per wash), stained cells were observed and imaged using a confocal fluorescence microscope (magnification, x100).

**Statistical analysis.** Comparisons between two groups were analyzed using the Student’s t-test followed by unpaired t-test. Comparisons among multiple groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. StarBase (version 3; starbase.sysu.edu.cn/index.php) was used to analyze the level of linc-ROR in liver HCC (LIHC). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Results**

linc-ROR expression is negatively associated with sensitivity to DOX in HCC cells. To investigate the relationship between linc-ROR expression and DOX sensitivity, HCC cell viability following DOX treatment was assessed. Among the HCC cell lines used in the present study, the Huh7 cell line was the most DOX-sensitive, whereas the SNU449 cell line was the most DOX-resistant (Fig. 1A). The IC_{50} of DOX in the HCC cell lines, from highest to lowest, was SNU449, SNU387, Hep3B and Huh7 cells (Fig. 1B). Subsequently, linc-ROR expression levels in the four HCC cell lines were measured. Among the HCC cell lines, SNU449 cells and Huh7 cells displayed the highest and lowest linc-ROR expression levels, respectively (Fig. 1C), which indicated that linc-ROR expression was negatively associated with DOX sensitivity. Furthermore, StarBase was used to analyze linc-ROR expression levels in LIHC. linc-ROR expression levels were higher in the 374 LIHC samples compared with the 50 healthy samples (Fig. S1A).
linc-ROR knockdown increases sensitivity to DOX in HCC cells. To investigate the effect of linc-ROR on DOX sensitivity in HCC, interference oligonucleotides were synthesized and transfected into HCC cells to knock down linc-ROR (Fig. 2A). Cell viability was assessed by performing the CCK-8 assay. The results indicated that the linc-ROR knockdown group was significantly more sensitive to DOX compared with the control group (Fig. 2B). The EdU assay results for cell proliferation were similar to the CCK-8 assay results, indicating that linc-ROR knockdown significantly enhanced DOX sensitivity compared with the DOX group (Fig. 2C).

linc-ROR knockdown inhibits the EMT signaling pathway by downregulating TWIST1. To explore whether the EMT signaling pathway mediated linc-ROR-induced DOX resistance in HCC, the expression of EMT-related proteins was assessed. The transfection efficiency of linc-ROR siRNA was examined via RT-qPCR (Fig. 3A). Compared with NC siRNA, linc-ROR knockdown markedly downregulated the expression levels of TWIST1, an important promoter of EMT (26) (Fig. 3B). To explore the effects of linc-ROR knockdown on the EMT signaling pathway, immunofluorescence assays were performed to examine E-cadherin and vimentin expression. The immunofluorescence assay results were similar to the western blotting results, as linc-ROR knockdown notably increased E-cadherin expression, but markedly decreased vimentin expression compared with the NC siRNA group (Fig. 3D).

TWIST1 mediates linc-ROR knockdown-regulated DOX sensitivity. To further investigate the effect of TWIST1 on linc-ROR-mediated DOX resistance, the effect of TWIST1 knockdown on HCC cell viability was assessed. The transfection efficiency of TWIST1 siRNA was determined via western blotting (Fig. 4C). TWIST1 knockdown HCC cells were significantly more sensitive to DOX compared with the control group (Fig. 4A), EdU assay results demonstrated that TWIST1 siRNA combined with DOX could reduce cell proliferation compared with DOX group (Fig. 4B). Compared with the NC siRNA group, TWIST1 knockdown also notably upregulated E-cadherin expression and markedly downregulated vimentin expression, which was similar to the results obtained for linc-ROR knockdown (Fig. 5B). The western blotting (Fig. 5B) and immunofluorescence (Fig. 5A) results for E-cadherin and vimentin expression were consistent. Furthermore, there was no significant difference in cell viability between the TWIST1 siRNA + NC siRNA group and the TWIST1 siRNA + linc-ROR siRNA group (Fig. 6). In addition, linc-ROR knockdown-induced DOX sensitivity was inhibited by TWIST1 overexpression (Fig. S1B and C). The expression of TWIST was determined by RT-qPCR (Fig. S1D).
Discussion

HCC is an aggressive malignant tumor, and although great progress in the treatment of HCC has been achieved, it still has a high recurrence rate and mortality rate (27,28). A primary pathological characteristic of HCC is chemoresistance against a series of chemotherapeutic drugs, including cisplatin, DOX and sorafenib (29,30). lncRNAs have been widely reported to participate in regulating tumorigenesis and chemoresistance in HCC cells (31,32). Moreover, linc‑ROR participates in the regulation of malignant biological properties in HCC, such as proliferation and metastasis (22,33,34); however, the effects of linc‑ROR in mediating HCC chemoresistance are not completely understood.

linc‑ROR is a 2.6‑kb lncRNA comprised of four exons that was first described in iPSCs. linc‑ROR is regulated by NANOG, SOX2 and OCT4 (16). linc‑ROR is upregulated in numerous solid tumors, including ovarian, lung, esophagus and renal cancer (35‑38). Furthermore, it has been reported that linc‑ROR can regulate HCC cell migration, proliferation and hypoxia signaling pathways in HCC cells (31,32). Moreover, linc‑ROR participates in the regulation of malignant biological properties in HCC, such as proliferation and metastasis (22,33,34); however, the effects of linc‑ROR in mediating HCC chemoresistance are not completely understood.

linc‑ROR knockdown promoted sensitivity to DOX in HCC cells.

EMT is a complex process that is controlled by various transcriptional regulatory agencies via different signaling pathways, involving TWIST1, snail family transcriptional repressor 2 and snail family transcriptional repressor 1 (39). EMT also serves vital roles in the chemoresistance of cancer cells (40). The TWIST family, consisting of TWIST1 and TWIST2, serves a crucial role in the regulation of EMT (41). TWIST1 leads to reduced E‑cadherin expression and increased mesenchymal marker vimentin expression, resulting in HCC cell invasion (42,43). TWIST1 knockdown increased E‑cadherin expression and reduced vimentin expression.

levels of linc‑ROR among the HCC cell lines and was the most DOX‑resistant. Furthermore, linc‑ROR expression levels in LIHC were analyzed using starBase. linc‑ROR expression was higher in the 374 LIHC samples compared with the 50 healthy samples. However, the specimens were not used to construct survival curves, so this should be analyzed in a future study. Collectively, the present study demonstrated that compared with the control group, linc‑ROR knockdown promoted sensitivity to DOX in HCC cells.

Figure 5. Effect of TWIST1 knockdown on E‑cadherin and vimentin expression. (A) Immunofluorescence detection of E‑cadherin and vimentin expression following TWIST1 knockdown (magnification, x100). (B) TWIST1 knockdown increased E‑cadherin expression and reduced vimentin expression. ***P<0.001 vs. control. TWIST1, twist family bHLH transcription factor 1; siRNA, small interfering RNA; NC, negative control; ACTB, β‑actin.
signaling pathway promotes gemcitabine resistance by regulating EMT (46). In the present study, linc-ROR knockdown notably downregulated the expression levels of TWIST1 and E-cadherin compared with the NC siRNA group. The human HCC cell lines Huh7 and Hep3B, which display an epithelial phenotype, displayed markedly higher E-cadherin expression levels compared with SNU387 and SNU449 cells, which display a mesenchymal phenotype (47). It has been previously reported that cells with the mesenchymal phenotype are more resistant compared with cells with the epithelial phenotype (47). Furthermore, the results of the present study indicated that linc-ROR regulated the expression of TWIST1, thereby promoting the EMT signaling pathway. Moreover, CCK-8 assays and western blotting were performed to examine cell viability and EMT-related protein expression levels following co-transfection of linc-ROR siRNA and OE-TWIST1, respectively. The results demonstrated that the effects of linc-ROR siRNA on HCC cell sensitivity to DOX were decreased after co-transfection of OE-TWIST1 and lin-ROR siRNA, indicating that linc-ROR regulated sensitivity to DOX via TWIST1 and EMT in HCC cells. A key limitation of the present study was that the mechanism underlying ROR-mediated regulation of TWIST1 was not investigated. It was hypothesized that linc-ROR might regulate TWIST1 via the PI3K/Akt and IL-6/STAT3 signaling pathways, but this should be investigated further in future studies.

In conclusion, the results of the present study provided novel mechanistic insight into chemotherapy resistance in HCC. To the best of our knowledge, the present study demonstrated linc-ROR/TWIST1 axis-mediated regulation of chemoresistance in HCC for the first time. Therefore, targeting the linc-ROR/TWIST1 axis might increase sensitivity and improve responses to traditional therapeutic agents used for HCC.
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