

Toxicity and efficacy of hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (Review)

HIROKI UEDA, HIROKO FUKUCHI and CHIGUSA TANAKA

Department of Chemotherapy, Wakayama Medical University Oncology Center, Wakayama City,
Wakayama 641-8509, Japan

Received June 7, 2011; Accepted September 29, 2011

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2011.469

Abstract. The prognosis of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) remains poor, particularly for patients with portal vein tumor thrombosis. Chemotherapy is one of the most significant treatment options for patients with advanced HCC not indicated for hepatic resection, percutaneous ablation and transcatheter arterial chemoembolization. Systemic chemotherapy does not play a central role in the treatment of HCC due to the issue of low sensitivity for chemotherapeutic agents and the difficulties in administering a sufficient dose due to chronic liver dysfunction. Therefore, patients with advanced HCC are usually treated with hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy (HAIC), which is increasingly used as an approach to advanced HCC in Japan. HAIC provides moderate therapeutic efficacy and survival benefit with substantially tolerable toxicity profiles in patients with advanced HCC.

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Intra-arterial injection using epirubicin hydrochloride alone
3. Intra-arterial injection using cisplatin alone
4. Chemolipiodolization
5. Fluorouracil plus low-dose cisplatin therapy (low-dose FP)
6. 5-FU plus IFN therapy (FAIT)
7. Discussion and Conclusions

1. Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common type of liver cancer and its incidence is on the increase in many coun-

tries (1-5). Several non-surgical treatment options, including transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) and radio-frequency ablation (RFA) have been developed and are widely used in patients with unresectable HCC. However, these modalities are not indicated for patients with advanced HCC as they are not expected to survive for longer than six months (6,7). Chemotherapy is one of the few remaining options for patients with advanced HCC. Despite decades of efforts by many investigators, systemic chemotherapy has failed to demonstrate improved survival in patients with advanced HCC (8-14). HCC is resistant to chemotherapy and therefore no significant tumor regression effects can be expected. In addition, tolerability towards chemotherapy is low due to the decreased liver function as shown by the Child-Pugh class or CLIP score (15). Moreover, when HCC is accompanied by hepatic cirrhosis, pancytopenia has already occurred, thus making highly myelosuppressive chemotherapy more difficult to perform. However, one characteristic of HCC is that it does not easily metastasize to areas outside the liver; although metastasis occurs outside the liver, in most cases, intrahepatic lesions control the prognosis.

In hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy (HAIC), an increase in the anti-tumor effect against malignant tumors may be expected in the liver by injecting a highly concentrated chemotherapeutic agent directly into the liver, via the hepatic artery, in order to topically increase the concentration of the chemotherapeutic agent at the tumor site. Furthermore, since the agent first passes through the liver, which is the organ involved in metabolizing the chemotherapeutic agent, a reduction of whole-body side effects is to be expected. Consequently, in Japan, HAIC is generally performed for advanced HCC, as well as TACE. Excision, RFA (16) and TACE are well established as therapies, and their efficacies have already been proven. However, since the therapeutic efficacy of HAIC is lower than that of the aforementioned therapies, it is generally used in cases of advanced HCC in which excision, RFA and TACE cannot be performed or in cases where no therapeutic efficacy is expected, as well as in multiple tumor cases that demonstrate resistance to TACE. In addition, with respect to liver function, since class C cases of the Child-Pugh classification demonstrate a low tolerance level, this therapy is considered to be targeted towards cases of either class A or B. For the previously described algorithm in HCC, class A or B is considered.

Correspondence to: Dr Hiroki Ueda, Department of Chemotherapy, Wakayama Medical University Oncology Center, 811-1 Kimiidera, Wakayama City, Wakayama 641-8509, Japan
E-mail: hu11@wakayama-med.ac.jp

Key words: hepatocellular carcinoma, toxicity, hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy

When performing HAIC, the insertion of a catheter into the hepatic artery is required. There are two methods by which a catheter is inserted: the one-shot intra-arterial injection, in which a catheter is inserted for a single application of the chemotherapeutic agent every time chemotherapy is administered; and the reservoir intra-arterial injection, in which a reservoir system is embedded under the skin for continuous infusion or repetitive administration. When using a regimen in which the time required for an intra-arterial injection is short and where administration is required only at a frequency of approximately once a month (for example, epirubicin hydrochloride, cisplatin and other similar agents), the one-shot intra-arterial injection is possible. However, when a long period of time is required for each administration, or when the administration is required at a high frequency such as once or twice a week (as in the case of fluorouracil plus cisplatin, fluorouracil plus interferon or other similar therapies), the reservoir intra-arterial injection is necessary. Moreover, while hospitalization is required for each angiography to be performed for the one-shot intra-arterial injection, the patient may receive injections as an outpatient for the intra-arterial injection method using the reservoir system, with the exception of the initial embedding of the system. The methods for indwelling the reservoir system include i) the gastroduodenal artery coil method, by which the tip of a side-hole catheter is fixed and indwelled to the gastroduodenal artery via the femoral artery or the left subclavian artery; and ii) the hepatic periphery fixation method, by which the catheter is fixed to the peripheral of the hepatic artery. Reservoir indwelling procedures which enable a safe, favorable drug distribution under the use of angiography are performed.

2. Intra-arterial injection using epirubicin hydrochloride alone

Epirubicin hydrochloride (EPI) is a stereoisomer in which the 4'-hydroxy of doxorubicin hydrochloride (DXR) is reversed. It is an anthracycline chemotherapeutic agent whose cardiac toxicity is milder than that of DXR. The maximum dose for myocardial damage is 25 mg/kg, thus enabling administration of the drug at twice the volume of the DXR conversion. Therefore, EPI is used instead of DXR due to its reduced cardiac toxicity. The response rate of the intra-arterial injection using EPI alone against non-resectable HCC is reported to be 15.1% (17). Moreover, the response rate of the intra-arterial injection using EPI alone against HCC with portal vein tumor embolism is 9%, and progression-free survival (PFS) is only 1.1 months (18). Therefore, therapeutic efficacy should not be expected while using EPI alone. Its major side effects include leukopenia (34%), vomiting/anorexia (25%) and loss of hair (24%); it can severely aggravate myocardial damage, hepatobiliary disorders and gastroduodenal ulcers (3).

3. Intra-arterial injection using cisplatin alone

Cisplatin (IA-call[®]) is a powdered cisplatin (cis-diamminedichloroplatinum, CDDP) chemotherapeutic agent suitable for hepatic intra-arterial administration. IA-call demonstrates an anti-tumor effect (cytotoxic reaction) by binding to the DNA strands in cancer cells and inhibiting DNA synthesis and subsequently, the cancer cell. The dose-limiting toxicity

of IA-call causes neutropenia, which limits the maximum tolerated dose to 80 mg/m², with an optimal dose of 65 mg/m². Using the optimal dose, a response rate of 26.7% was obtained. In the phase II study, the optimal dose of 65 mg/m² was dissolved in 70 ml isotonic sodium chloride solution. This solution was administered for 20-40 min and repeated every 4-6 weeks. As a result, a response rate of 33.8% (19) and a favorable anti-tumor effect were demonstrated with a single-drug administration. Kondo *et al* also performed the treatment on 24 patients with HCC, and reported favorable results with a response rate of 20.8% and a median survival time (MST) of 7.0 months (20). In addition, IA-call is generally used as a chemotherapeutic agent in the use of the one-shot intra-arterial injection. The key side effects include leukopenia (79%) and thrombocytopenia (77%), as well as renal dysfunction (21%) and vomiting (76%). Renal dysfunction occurs mainly on the renal tubule. Therefore, reductions in the contact time between platinum and the renal tubule are attempted through large-volume infusion. When the total injected dose exceeds 300 mg/m², the expression of neuropathy and auditory disorders increases.

4. Chemolipiodolization

It has been reported that the tumor-clustering property of ethiodised oil (Lipiodol[®]) remains in HCC at a high level of concentration when it is injected into the hepatic artery (21). Currently, Lipiodol is used in combination with chemolipiodolization and TACE as a carrier of a chemotherapeutic agent in order to enhance various effects, including tumor selectivity, as well as to maintain the drug concentration. It is known that by suspending Lipiodol and EPI (22) or CDDP (23) and then injecting the suspending agent into the artery, an efficacy higher than that of a single use of EPI or CDDP alone is achieved. Moreover, 131I-Lipiodol containing radioiodine demonstrated favorable effects against hepatocellular carcinoma with a response rate of 48% and a MST of 27 months (24). Miliplatin, a lipophilic platinum complex developed as a hepatic intra-arterial injection and is suspended in Lipiodol for use, is garnering attention. In the phase II study, it demonstrated a high anti-tumor effect, with a response rate of 56%. In the randomized phase II study, miliplatin was compared with Smancs[®]; its effectiveness was equal to that of Smancs, but the incidence of adverse events, including angiopathy and hepatobiliary disorders, was minimal (25). Thus, miliplatin may be a highly prospective agent for TACE.

5. Fluorouracil plus low-dose cisplatin therapy (low-dose FP)

This therapy is an intra-arterial injection regimen in which a small amount of CDDP (10 mg/body/day or 10 mg/m²/day, days 1 to 5) is administered as a modulator in combination with the continuous infusion of fluorouracil (5-FU; 250 mg/m²/day, days 1 to 5). The regimen, which is also called low-dose FP (fluorouracil and platinum) therapy, is commonly used in Japan. 5-FU inhibits DNA synthesis through the inhibitory action of the intracellular metabolite FdUMP against thymidylate synthase (TS) and interferes with the ribonucleic acid (RNA) metabolism by blocking the uptake of phosphated 5-fluorouridine 5'-triphosphate into RNA (26), while CDDP

Table I. Comparison between efficacy of each regimen.

	CDDP (IA-call)	Low-dose FP	FAIT
RR (%)	21-34 ^a	39-71	44-63
MST (M)	7-30 ^a	6.2-15.9	7-11.8

RR, response rate; MST, median survival time; CDDP, cis-diamminedichloro-platinum; FP, fluorouracil and platinum (cisplatin); FAIT, fluorouracil arterial infusion and interferon therapy. ^aIncludes data on lipiodolized CDDP.

acts on the tumor cell membrane and prevents transfection of methionine into the cells. This process reduces the intracellular methionine pool, whereby it induces methionine synthase activity within the cells, thereby facilitating folate metabolism, which combines with the methionine synthase, thus increasing 5,10-methylene tetrahydrofolate (5,10-CH₂FH₄) and enhancing the effects of 5-FU. Ando *et al* performed this intra-arterial injection on 48 patients with HCC accompanied by portal vein tumor thrombosis (27). As a result, favorable therapeutic results (response rate, 48%; MST, 10.2 months) were reported. Moreover, Okuda *et al* performed the same regimen on 31 patients with post-resection recurrent HCC and reported extremely favorable remote results, with a response rate of 71% and a 5-year survival rate of 45.7% (28). Tanioka *et al* also performed low-dose FP on 38 patients with HCC with no adaptive response to topical therapies, and reported favorable results with a response rate of 47.4% and a MST of 187 days (29). Ueshima *et al* performed low-dose FP on 52 patients with HCC with no adaptive response to topical therapies, and reported favorable results with a response rate of 39% and a MST of 15.9 months (30). On the basis of these results, low-dose FP therapy has become one of the most prospective HAIC regimens against advanced HCC. The major side effects of 5-FU include leukopenia (8%), thrombocytopenia (2%), nausea/vomiting (9%), loss of hair (2%), diarrhea (20%) and stomatitis (6%) (30). When used with cisplatin, although the incidence of leukopenia and nausea/vomiting increased to 13% and approximately 35%, respectively, the tolerance level remained unchanged (27).

6. 5-FU plus IFN therapy (FAIT)

Fluorouracil arterial infusion and interferon therapy (FAIT) is another intra-arterial injection regimen. One cycle of treatment continues for 4 weeks, with interferon (IFN)- α (5 MU) being administered intramuscularly on days 1, 3 and 5 of each week, resulting in a total dose of 60 MU in a cycle. 5-FU (500 mg/day) is administered into the hepatic artery for over 5 h using a portable infusion pump on days 1-5 of the first and second weeks through the intra-arterial catheter. This regimen is also commonly performed in Japan, as well as low-dose FP.

It has been reported that the anti-tumor effect of IFN increases when it is administered as a biochemical modulator of 5-FU. Its mechanism of action includes direct anti-proliferative activity via IFN receptors and indirect action via its anti-

Table II. Comparison between toxicity of each regimen.

	CDDP (IA-call)	Low-dose FP	FAIT
Leukopenia	+++	++	+++
Thrombocytopenia	+++	++	+++
Vomiting/nausea	+++	++	+
Fever	++	+	+++
Stomatitis	+	++	++
Diarrhea	+	++	++
Liver dysfunction	++	+	++
Renal dysfunction	+++	+	+

+++ , high frequency; ++, moderate frequency; +, low frequency; CDDP, cis-diamminedichloro-platinum; FP, fluorouracil and platinum (cisplatin); FAIT, fluorouracil arterial infusion and interferon therapy.

angiogenic effect (31). Sakon *et al* administered 5-FU to 8 HCC patients with advanced portal vein tumor thrombosis (32). Subsequently, they reported an extremely favorable anti-tumor effect, with a response rate of 63%. Additionally, according to the report of a similar study on 55 cases by Ota *et al*, favorable therapy results with a response rate of 44% and a MST of 11.8 months were obtained (33). Another study performed on 116 HCC patients with portal vein tumor thrombosis by Obi *et al* showed the results to be favorable: the response rate was 52% and the 1-year survival rate was 34% (34). With regard to the above results, IFN-combined 5-FU hepatic intra-arterial injection chemotherapy is also considered a highly prospective and effective regimen. The major side effects associated with IFN (34) include leukopenia/thrombocytopenia (40-60%), chills/fever (approximately 100%), nausea/vomiting (5-50%), and a skin rash (5%). Although the side effects of cytopenia and fever occurred at a rate of almost 100%, they were not serious and the tolerance level was high. However, since the expression of IFN receptors in HCC tissues serves as an efficacy index, it is crucial to confirm the expression prior to therapy. Furthermore, certain studies have shown that FAIT should be carefully performed in the case of HCC patients with liver dysfunction (35,36).

7. Discussion and Conclusions

In Japan, HAIC has been frequently administered as a method of treatment for advanced HCC. The representative regimens are CDDP, low-dose FP and FAIT, as described above. According to these studies, a high anti-tumor effect and survival benefit are exhibited in advanced HCC treated with HAIC although the physical condition of patients with HCC is unsuitable for chemotherapy as compared to patients with other types of cancer. However, the evidence of its efficacy is not high, and HAIC has not yet been established as a standard therapy as no large-scale study has been conducted. A large-scale randomized study is necessary to obtain the required level of evidence.

Tolerability is another significant indicator in determining the standard therapy. Almost all cases of HCC that are complicated with hepatic cirrhosis demonstrate pancytopenia

derived from hypersplenism. Thus, attention should be paid to myelosuppression in the case of chemotherapy, including HAIC. According to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 4, white blood cells, neutrophils and platelets are required at a level of at least 2000, 1000 and 50000/mm³, respectively. In addition, mucous membrane disorders such as stomatitis, which is a major complication with 5-FU, easily cause anorexia and hypoalbuminemia in patients with hepatic cirrhosis, and ascites derived from hypoalbuminemia may cause further anorectic aggravation. Therefore, the prevention of stomatitis is essential to maintain the performance status and administer HAIC.

A first-line regimen in HAIC has not yet been established. According to the clinical studies described, the efficacy of low-dose FP and FAIT is better than that of CDDP (Table I), although complications of the reservoir may occur. On the other hand, HAIC using CDDP alone has the advantage of the embolus effect when CDDP is lipiodolized. Major adverse events should also be taken into account when the regimen is selected (Table II). Furthermore, a recent study shows that the efficacy of low-dose FP is greater than that of FAIT. The median OS times using low-dose FP and FAIT were 13.2 and 7.8 months, respectively (Ikai *et al*; ASCO-GI, 2010). Several randomized phase III studies are required to determine a first-line regimen.

To further improve the outcome with HAIC, it may be worth considering FAIT using IFN- β . A previous *in vitro* study revealed that IFN- β suppressed the proliferation of HCC more strongly than IFN- α both alone or in combination with anticancer agents (37). In addition, our study also shows that arterial infusion chemotherapy with IFN- β may be a promising treatment modality for advanced HCC (38).

Moreover, sorafenib, a multikinase inhibitor, has been shown to significantly increase overall survival (OS) in a randomized, placebo-controlled, phase III trial of patients with HCC (SHARP trial) (39). Recently, Guan *et al* reported that the systemic treatment of HCC was no longer regarded as ineffective, with the approval of sorafenib being given milestone significance (40). In addition, a meta-analysis suggests that sorafenib-based chemotherapy is superior to placebo-based chemotherapy in terms of TPP and OS without increasing the severe toxic effects (41). In the future, a combination of HAIC with sorafenib may lead to improved therapeutic effects.

We reviewed the toxicity and efficacy of HAIC treatment in patients with advanced HCC, whereby CDDP, low-dose FP and FAIT are usually administered. HAIC is a promising modality for the treatment of advanced HCC. Recent clinical studies have shown that HAIC provides moderate therapeutic efficacy with substantially tolerable toxicity profiles in patients with advanced HCC.

References

- Okuda K, Fujimoto I, Hanai A and Urano Y: Changing incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in Japan. *Cancer Res* 47: 4967-4972, 1987.
- Landis SH, Murray T, Bolden S and Wingo PA: Cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 48: 6-29, 1998.
- El-Serag HB and Mason AC: Rising incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 340: 745-750, 1999.
- Taylor-Robinson SD, Foster GR, Arora S, Hargreaves S and Thomas HC: Increase in primary liver cancer in the UK, 1979-1994. *Lancet* 350: 1142-1143, 1997.
- Deuffic S, Poynard T, Buffat L and Valleron AJ: Trends in primary liver cancer. *Lancet* 351: 214-215, 1998.
- Okuda K, Ohtsuki T, Obata H, *et al*: Natural history of hepatocellular carcinoma and prognosis in relation to treatment. Study of 850 patients. *Cancer* 56: 918-928, 1985.
- Nagasue N, Yukaya H, Hamada T, Hirose S, Kanashima R and Inokuchi K: The natural history of hepatocellular carcinoma. A study of 100 untreated cases. *Cancer* 54: 1461-1465, 1984.
- Boucher E, Corbinais S, Brissot P, Boudjema K and Raoul JL: Treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with systemic chemotherapy combining epirubicin, cisplatin and infusional 5-fluorouracil (ECF regimen). *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 50: 305-308, 2002.
- Park SH, Lee Y, Han SH, *et al*: Systemic chemotherapy with doxorubicin, cisplatin and capecitabine for metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* 6: 3, 2006.
- Tzoracoleftherakis EE, Spiliotis JD, Kyriakopoulou T and Kakkos SK: Intra-arterial versus systemic chemotherapy for non-operable hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatogastroenterology* 46: 1122-1125, 1999.
- Pohl J, Zuna I, Stremmel W and Rudi J: Systemic chemotherapy with epirubicin for treatment of advanced or multifocal hepatocellular carcinoma. *Chemotherapy* 47: 359-65, 2001.
- Leung TW, Tang AM, Zee B, Yu SC, Lai PB, Lau WY and Johnson PJ: Factors predicting response and survival in 149 patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma treated by combination cisplatin, interferon-alpha, doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy. *Cancer* 94: 421-427, 2002.
- Feun LG, O'Brien C, Molina E, *et al*: Recombinant leukocyte interferon, doxorubicin, and 5FU in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma-A phase II trial. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 129: 17-20, 2003.
- Patt YZ, Hassan MM, Lozano RD, Brown TD, Vauthey JN, Curley SA and Ellis LM: Phase II trial of systemic continuous fluorouracil and subcutaneous recombinant interferon Alfa-2b for treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol* 21: 421-427, 2003.
- Levy I and Sherman M: The Liver Cancer Study Group of the University of Toronto: Staging of hepatocellular carcinoma: assessment of the CLIP, Okuda and Child-Pugh staging systems in a cohort of 257 patients in Toronto. *Gut* 50: 881-885, 2002.
- Cho YK, Kim JK, Kim MY, Rhim H and Han JK: Systemic review of randomized trials for hepatocellular carcinoma treated with percutaneous ablation therapies. *Hepatology* 49: 453-459, 2009.
- Epirubicin Study Group for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: intra-arterial administration of epirubicin in the treatment of nonresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. Epirubicin Study Group for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 19: 183-189, 1987.
- Ikeda M, Okusaka T, Ueno H, Morizane C, Iwasa S, Hagihara A and Kojima Y: Hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy with epirubicin in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma and portal vein tumor thrombosis. *Oncology* 72: 188-193, 2007.
- Yoshikawa M, Ono N, Yodono H, Ichida T and Nakamura H: Phase II study of hepatic arterial infusion of a fine-powder formulation of cisplatin for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res* 38: 474-483, 2008.
- Kondo M, Morimoto M, Numata K, Nozaki A and Tanaka K: Hepatic arterial infusion therapy with a fine powder formulation of Cisplatin for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumor thrombosis. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 41:69-75, 2011.
- Nakakuma K, Tashiro S, Hiraoka T, Uemura K, Konno T, Miyauchi Y and Yokoyama I: Studies on anticancer with an oily anticancer drug injected into the ligated feeding hepatic artery for liver cancer. *Cancer* 52: 2193-2200, 1983.
- Yoshikawa M, Saisho H, Ebara M, *et al*: A randomized trial of intrahepatic arterial infusion of 4'-epidoxorubicin with Lipiodol versus 4'-epidoxorubicin alone in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 33: S149-S152, 1994.
- Ikeda M, Maeda S, Ashihara H, Nagahama H, Tanaka M and Sasaki Y: Transcatheter arterial infusion chemotherapy with cisplatin-lipiodol suspension in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol* 45: 60-67, 2010.
- Boucher E, Garin E, Gylligomarc'h A, Olivie D, Boudjema K and Raoul JL: Intra-arterial injection of iodine-131-labeled lipiodol for treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiother Oncol* 82: 76-82, 2007.

25. Okusaka T, Okada S, Nakanishi T, Fujiyama S and Kubo Y: Phase II trial of intra-arterial chemotherapy using a novel lipophilic platinum derivative (SM-11355) in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Invest New Drugs* 22: 169-176, 2004.
26. Chaudhuri NK, Montag BJ and Heidelberger C: Studies on fluorinated pyrimidines. III. The metabolism of 5-fluorouracil-2-C14 and 5-fluoroorotic-2-C14 acid in vivo. *Cancer Res* 18: 318-328, 1958.
27. Ando E, Tanaka M, Yamashita F, *et al*: Hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumor thrombosis: analysis of 48 cases. *Cancer* 95: 588-595, 2002.
28. Okuda K, Tanaka M, Shibata J, *et al*: Hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy with continuous low-dose administration of cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil for multiple recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after surgical treatment. *Oncol Rep* 6: 587-591, 1999.
29. Tanioka H, Tsuji A, Morita S, *et al*: Combination chemotherapy with continuous 5-fluorouracil and low-dose cisplatin infusion for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Anticancer Res* 23: 1891-1897, 2003.
30. Ueshima K, Kudo M, Takita M, *et al*: Hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy using low-dose 5-fluorouracil and cisplatin for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncology* 78: 1148-1153, 2010.
31. Yano H, Iemura A, Haramaki M, Ogasawara S, Takayama A, Akiba J and Kojiro M: Interferon alfa receptor expression and growth inhibition by interferon alfa in human liver cancer cell lines. *Hepatology* 29: 1708-1717, 1999.
32. Sakon M, Nagano H, Dono K, *et al*: Combined intraarterial 5-fluorouracil and subcutaneous interferon-alpha therapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with tumor thrombi in the major portal branches. *Cancer* 94: 435-442, 2002.
33. Ota H, Nagano H, Sakon M, *et al*: Treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with major portal vein thrombosis by combined therapy with subcutaneous interferon-alpha and intra-arterial 5-fluorouracil: role of type I interferon receptor expression. *Br J Cancer* 93: 557-564, 2005.
34. Obi S, Yoshida H, Toune R, *et al*: Combination therapy of intraarterial 5-fluorouracil and systemic interferon-alpha for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with portal venous invasion. *Cancer* 106: 1990-1997, 2006.
35. Enjoji M, Morizono S, Kotoh K, Kohjima M, Miyagi Y, Yoshimoto T and Nakamura M: Re-evaluation of antitumor effects of combination chemotherapy with interferon-alpha and 5-fluorouracil for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 11: 5685-5687, 2005.
36. Kuroda M, Kobayashi Y, Urawa N, *et al*: Hepatic arterial infusion of 5-fluorouracil in combination with subcutaneous interferon-alpha for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatogastroenterology* 54: 518-521, 2007.
37. Obora A, Shiratori Y, Okuno M, *et al*: Synergistic induction of apoptosis by acyclic retinoid and interferon-beta in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Hepatology* 36: 1115-1124, 2002.
38. Ueda H: A randomized study of arterial infusion chemotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: Low-dose 5-fluorouracil/cisplatin versus low-dose 5-fluorouracil/cisplatin/Interferon beta. *J Clin Oncol* 25: 18S, 2007.
39. Cheng AL, Kang YK, Chen Z, *et al*: Efficacy and safety of sorafenib in patients in the Asia-Pacific region with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a phase III randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 10: 25-34, 2009.
40. Guan YS and He Q: Sorafenib: activity and clinical application in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 12: 303-313, 2011.
41. Zhang T, Ding X, Wei D, Cheng P, Su X, Liu H, Wang D and Gao H: Sorafenib improves the survival of patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Anticancer Drugs* 21: 326-332, 2010.