Radionuclide imaging in the diagnosis of osteoid osteoma
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Abstract. Osteoid osteoma is a well-known type of benign bone-forming tumor, which has previously been diagnosed using plain radiograph imaging. However, diagnosis of osteoid osteoma may be delayed due to ambiguities on plain radiograph images; despite the increasing use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), this type of misdiagnosis is not uncommon. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effectiveness of radionuclide imaging scans for the diagnosis of osteoid osteoma, as this form of imaging was proposed to be a more sensitive test. The characteristics of 18 cases of osteoid osteoma were analyzed based on diagnostic imaging and the time from initial recognition of symptoms by the patient to diagnosis. Diagnostic modalities included plain radiograph, computed tomography (CT), MRI and radionuclide imaging. Among the 18 patients, 14 patients had unique positive findings in plain radiographs. The mean duration between initial cognition of symptoms to the diagnosis for these patients was 5.2 months (range, 3.8-9.3 months). A total of 4 patients exhibited no radiographic abnormalities in the initial plain radiographs and were diagnosed a mean of 18.5 months (range, 17-20 months) following the onset of symptoms. Overall, radionuclide imaging was performed on 16 patients and all of the cases demonstrated positive findings. In these cases, 28.6% of osteoid osteoma patients with clinical indications revealed no abnormal findings in plain radiographs. Therefore, in situations such as these, radionuclide imaging may be a useful indicator for diagnosis, as these results have demonstrated that it positively identified all cases of osteoid osteoma. In addition, the results of the present study indicated that if the radionuclide imaging was positive, CT scan was a more valuable diagnostic tool, whereas if the radionuclide imaging was negative, MRI should be recommended for the diagnosis of other undiscovered disease entities.

Introduction

Osteoid osteoma is a type of benign bone-forming tumor, which is characterized as a well-demarcated osteoblastic mass, called a nidus, surrounded by a distinct zone of reactive bone sclerosis; these tumors have limited growth potential and exhibit disproportionate pain (1). In the majority of osteoid osteoma cases, typical radiographic features demonstrate a sclerotic cortical lesion and contain a small lucency that represents a nidus (2,3). However, contrary to the expected presentation of osteoid osteoma, different radiographic findings may be encountered that provide a diagnostic dilemma for the physicians concerned. Prior to the introduction of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), diagnoses were made using plain radiograph images, bone scans and computed tomography (CT) scans (2-4). However, MRI evaluation is now commonly preferred, particularly when the lesions are located close to a joint or spinal area, and may not be easily detected on plain radiographs. However, it was suggested that there may be a high possibility of false positive results in the diagnosis of osteoid osteoma using MRI (5); therefore, delayed diagnosis of osteoid osteoma is a common issue. The present study aimed to investigate the potential role of radionuclide imaging for the diagnosis of osteoid osteoma, as radionuclide imaging has been reported to be a more sensitive diagnostic modality in osteoid osteoma.

Patients and methods

In the present study, 18 patients with surgically and histologically proven osteoid osteoma were retrospectively enrolled and reviewed at Korea University Anam Hospital (Seoul, Korea) between January 2006 and December 2013. The study was approved by the ethics committee of Korea University Anam Hospital. The ratio of males to females was 10:8 and mean patient age was 18.2 years (range, 4-50 years). The characteristics of the 18 cases of osteoid osteoma were analyzed based on diagnostic imaging and the time from initial recognition of symptoms by the patient to diagnosis. Diagnostic modalities included plain radiograph imaging, CT, MRI and radionuclide imaging.
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Case reports

Two cases of osteoid osteoma are presented. In these patients, plain radiographs and MRI were unable to provide sufficient findings for diagnosis of osteoid osteoma. However, radionuclide imaging and CT revealed the characteristics of osteoid osteoma.

Case I. A 26-year-old male presented with severe upper neck pain that had been ongoing for one year. The patient had previously been treated using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (Naproxen; 200 mg, twice daily), analgesic drugs and physiotherapy in another hospital. However, his symptom had not been relieved and so an MRI study was recommended by the patient’s physician, the results of which revealed a non-specific inflammatory lesion on the left third cervical spine (C3) (Fig. 1). The laboratory findings of the patient’s blood chemistry revealed an elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate and supported the MRI results. When the patient was admitted to Korea University Anam Hospital, a bone scintigraphy was performed using $^{99m}$Tc-methylene diphosphonate (MDP) and the bone scan image revealed increased focal uptake in the cervical spine (Fig. 2). Therefore, a CT scan was performed (Fig. 3) and a diagnosis of an osteoid osteoma in the left C3 lamina was confirmed. The duration from first onset of symptoms to diagnosis of osteoid osteoma was 18 months.

Case II. A 46-year-old female presented with right ankle pain, which worsened at night. The patient’s symptoms had lasted for one year and were treated as an ankle sprain at another hospital based on the results of plain radiographs, which revealed no specific bony abnormalities (Fig. 4A and B). The patient then underwent an MRI examination at another hospital and was diagnosed with a tumorous lesion on the talus, with inflammatory changes (Fig. 4C and D). The patient was recommended to visit a specialist institute for bone tumors. The patient was admitted to Korea University Anam Hospital, where bone scintigraphy (Fig. 5) and CT (Fig. 6) scans were performed. These scans confirmed the diagnosis of an osteoid osteoma. The duration from first onset of symptoms to diagnosis of osteoid osteoma was 20 months.

Results

A total of 18 cases of osteoid osteoma were retrospectively reviewed in the present study. Among these 18 patients, 14 patients exhibited unique positive findings suggestive of osteoid osteoma on plain radiographs, with a mean time between initial recognition of symptoms by the patient and diagnosis of 5.2 months (range, 3.8-9.3 months). Of these patients, 5 cases were identified in the femur, 5 cases were located in the tibia, 2 cases were in the humerus and the remaining cases were in the calcaneus and T12 spine. All of these 14 cases underwent MRI and CT scans. However, 2 patients did not undergo radionuclide imaging as it was not required. Out of the total 18 patients, 4 patients exhibited no radiographic abnormalities in the initial plain radiographs: 2 cases were located in the spine (1 cervical and 1 lumbar), another in the talus and another in the capitate. These 4 patients were diagnosed a mean of 18.5 months (range, 17-20 months) following the onset of symptoms. In addition, radionuclide imaging or CT scans were not performed on these patients during the early stage of symptoms; initially, they were treated as an ankle sprain, back and neck sprains...
and a wrist sprain in each case. Following long term medical treatment and physiotherapy, these patients underwent an MRI study, following which 4 cases were diagnosed as an inflammatory disease and required further CT and bone scans. Radionuclide imaging was later performed in these cases and the results were strongly positive for osteoid osteoma. Overall, radionuclide imaging was performed on 16 patients and all of the cases were positively identified as osteoid osteoma.

Discussion

Osteoid osteoma is a type of benign bone-forming tumor, which accounts for 10-12% of all benign bone tumors (6). Osteoid osteoma is characterized by a well-demarcated osteoblastic mass, called a nidus, surrounded by a distinct zone of reactive bone sclerosis (7). It was reported that >50% of osteoid osteomas occur in the long bones of the lower extremities; in addition, they are often present in the small bones of the hand and feet. However, these tumors rarely occur on the axial skeleton (8). Osteoid osteoma has unique and quite often diagnostic symptoms; the typical clinical symptom is long term pain of increasing severity (9). This pain is often referred to the nearest joint when the tumor is located in the proximity of a joint, which physicians may confuse with arthritic pain (10). The well-known radiographic features of osteoid osteoma are characteristic and diagnostic (7); however, due to localized bone and joint pain without significant abnormality on plain radiographs, patients may be initially referred to a rheumatologist for evaluation.
In this situation, the diagnosis is not readily apparent and inflammatory arthritis, degenerative arthritis, gouty arthritis and even septic arthritis may be considered as the diagnosis rather than osteoid osteoma (11).

The typical radiographic and clinical features of osteoid osteoma are not always distinguishable. Intracortical lesions of long bones produce extensive fusiform thickening of the cortex with dense radiopacity, which may obscure the nidus of osteoid osteoma (12). In cases of osteoid osteoma in small bones and the spine, the nidus may not be visible on plain radiographs; therefore, additional imaging studies, including CT, MRI and radionuclide imaging, may be required for the confirmation of diagnosis (13). In general, physicians may prefer to use MRI rather than CT scans, as MRI exaggerates the inflammatory changes around osteoid osteomas (14). However, according to the way images are captured during testing, small surroundings of the nidus may be excluded from examined area, resulting in a misdiagnosis. The present study reported examples of cases of the talus and cervical spine, which demonstrated how misdiagnosis resulted from the exaggerative tendency of MRI. In Case I, a 26-year-old male suffered from severe neck pain ongoing for one year. An MRI of the cervical spine revealed edematous signal change in the left C3 lamina, which was diagnosed as a non-specific inflammatory lesion in C3 lamina and the patient was treated using anti-inflammatory agents. However, when the patient was admitted to Korea University Anam Hospital, bone scintigraphy using \( { }^{99m} \text{Tc-} \text{MDP} \) was performed, which revealed small increased focal uptake on the C3 lamina; therefore, an accurate diagnosis was confirmed using CT. According to Swee et al (4), plain radiograph images and clinical history were sufficient for the accurate diagnosis of osteoid osteoma in 75% of cases. In such circumstances, no further work-up is necessary, though CT scans may assist the localization of the tumors nidus. It was therefore advised that if the plain radiograph was equivocal, tomography should be directed to the area in question, whereas if the plain radiograph was normal with high index of suspicion, radionuclide imaging should be performed (4). However, at the time of the study by Swee et al, MRI was not popular as a diagnostic modality and so only plain x-ray, tomography and radionuclide imaging were considered as diagnostic modalities. Following the introduction of MRI as a diagnostic tool, physicians may tend to consider MRI as the first choice technique, rather than CT, in cases of ambiguous pain patients (15). However, CT is an effective modality for the diagnosis of osteoid osteoma; although if the plain radiograph is normal, a selection of CT scans as a first choice of radiologic examination is not often obvious.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated that 28.6% of osteoid osteoma cases with clinical indications revealed no abnormal findings in plain radiographs. However, all radionuclide imaging results in the present study accurately identified positive cases of osteoid osteoma. Therefore, in such situations where plain radiographs are not conclusive, radionuclide imaging may provide a useful tool for diagnosis. In addition, these results suggested that if the radionuclide imaging is positive, CT scans may be more valuable for diagnosis of osteoid osteoma compared with MRI; however, if the radionuclide imaging is negative, MRI should be recommended for the diagnosis of other undiscovered disease entities.
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