Salvage helical tomotherapy for prostate cancer recurrence following definitive external beam radiotherapy: A case report
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Abstract. Salvage prostatectomy for recurrent prostate cancer after external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) has resulted in positive outcomes; however, it is technically challenging and is associated with a number of risks. When a patient exhibits recurrent prostate cancer following definitive EBRT and presents with comorbidities meaning that they cannot receive a prostatectomy, it is difficult to treat due to the numerous limitations of current salvage therapies. In the present study, two cases of salvage reirradiation using helical tomotherapy for locally recurrent prostate cancer following definitive EBRT are presented. The two patients received EBRT without severe complications. Local recurrence was confirmed by serum levels of prostate-specific antigen, repeat prostate biopsy, prostate magnetic resonance imaging and a bone scan. Salvage reirradiation using helical tomotherapy was performed and resulted in promising outcomes without any complications. In conclusion, helical tomotherapy can be a safe and effective salvage treatment modality for locally recurrent prostate cancer following definitive EBRT.

Introduction

External beam radiotherapy (EBRT) is one of the most commonly used primary treatment modalities for prostate cancer (1). However, 24-80% of patients with high-risk prostate cancer experience biochemical relapse after definitive EBRT with or without androgen deprivation therapy (2-7). Current salvage therapies following primary EBRT include prostatectomy, cryosurgery, brachytherapy and high-intensity focused ultrasound (8). Although salvage prostatectomy has resulted in promising outcomes, it is generally recommended that it be considered only in young patients without comorbidities and with low-risk prostate cancer. When a patient presents with recurrent prostate cancer following definitive EBRT and is deemed unfit for salvage prostatectomy, the most appropriate management strategy is difficult to determine due to the numerous limitations of current salvage therapies (9). Salvage re-irradiation for recurrent prostate cancer after primary EBRT has been limited due to toxicity to adjacent organs, particularly the rectum and bladder (10). Although, certain recent studies have reported the effectiveness and safety of re-irradiation with brachytherapy for locally relapsed prostate cancer, reports of re-irradiation using EBRT are scarce (11,12). Thus, in the present study, two cases of locally relapsed prostate cancer following EBRT treated with re-irradiation using helical tomotherapy are discussed. The present study was approved by the ethics committee of Kyung Hee University Medical Center (Seoul, Republic of Korea).

Case report

Case 1. A 68-year-old male with a prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level of 15 ng/ml underwent a prostate biopsy and was diagnosed with localized prostate cancer with a Gleason score of 2+3 in October 2004. Prostate magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and a bone scan showed organ confined prostate cancer. After a thorough consultation with the patient, he decided to undergo EBRT due to a history of cerebral infarction. A total dose of 72 Gy in 40 fractions was delivered to the prostate gland. After completion of definitive EBRT, he complained of mild urinary urgency and frequency, but these symptoms were controlled with anticholinergic agents. Seventeen months following completion of EBRT, the patient's PSA level decreased to 0.8 ng/ml. However, in April 2007 (2 years and 3 months after EBRT) his PSA level increased to 1.37 ng/ml, therefore, a repeat prostate biopsy was performed. Prostate cancer with a Gleason score of 3+3 was diagnosed in one of eight cores. Seventeen months following completion of EBRT, the patient's PSA level decreased to 0.8 ng/ml. However, in April 2007 (2 years and 3 months after EBRT) his PSA level increased to 1.37 ng/ml, therefore, a repeat prostate biopsy was performed. Prostate cancer with a Gleason score of 3+3 was diagnosed in one of eight cores. Two out of 12 cores showed adenocarcinoma with a...
Gleason score of 4+4. However, prostate MRI and a bone scan did not show periprostatic invasion or distant metastasis. After a thorough consultation, the patient decided to undergo tomotherapy. A total dose of 66 Gy in 30 fractions was administered using tomotherapy (Fig. 1A). Following tomotherapy, the patient's PSA level decreased to nadir and he did not experience any urologic problems. Furthermore, there have been no rectal complications thus far.

Case 2. A 71-year-old male with a PSA level of 8.7 ng/ml was diagnosed with Gleason score 3+3 prostate cancer in November 2006. Extra-prostatic cancer was not detected by further imaging studies. The patient received EBRT as he refused a radical prostatectomy. A total dose of 77.4 Gy in 43 fractions was administered. Two years after EBRT, his PSA level decreased to 1.4 ng/ml. However, it began to increase and in January 2010 (2 years and 11 months after EBRT) reached 2.6 ng/ml. The patient underwent repeat prostate biopsy, which showed remaining cancer cells. A total dose of 69 Gy in 30 fractions was administered using tomotherapy (Fig. 1B). During the 4 years after tomotherapy, the PSA level did not increase from nadir and no rectal or urinary complications were reported.

Discussion

Salvage RT for cancer relapse after radical prostatectomy is an attractive option as the possible complications of RT associated with gastrointestinal (GI) or genitourinary (GU) toxicity are minimal, as described in a previous study (10). However, salvage reirradiation is considered a relative contraindication for recurrent prostate cancer after definitive EBRT (10). Recently Kishi et al (9) reported a case of salvage brachytherapy for recurrent prostate cancer after definitive EBRT. To minimize rectal toxicity, they used a bolus injection of native-type hyaluronic acid gel, which yielded promising oncologic and functional results. In another retrospective study of 37 patients who received salvage brachytherapy after definitive EBRT for prostate cancer, Aaronson et al (13) reported that brachytherapy provided prostate cancer control with an acceptable rate of complications. In their study, 10 patients experienced GI or GU complication. Functional outcomes and complications, especially GI and GU complications, following radiation therapy are graded from 1 to 4 (14). Only one patient experienced grade 3 rectal hemorrhage, while others experienced grade 2 complications that were managed conservatively. A similar study of 15 patients, who underwent brachytherapy for the treatment of recurrence after definitive EBRT, showed a biochemical relapse-free survival rate of 60.2% 3 years after salvage brachytherapy and all acute adverse events were grade 1 or 2 (12). Furthermore, Ramey and Marshall (15) investigated 18 previous studies of salvage brachytherapy and concluded that brachytherapy is a reasonable salvage option for patients with local recurrence after primary EBRT for prostate cancer. Thus, according to previous studies of salvage brachytherapy, which described good oncologic outcomes with a tolerable rate of complications, salvage RT using brachytherapy is an acceptable treatment modality for the treatment of recurrent prostate cancer after definitive EBRT.

Helical tomotherapy, one of the newest conformal RT modalities, employs helical intensity-modulated RT in which a gantry 6-MV linear accelerator rotates continuously 360° around the patient emitting tens of thousands of narrow beamlets providing an integrated megavoltage computed tomography unit that permits real-time verification of the patient's position during CT stimulation and treatment. Helical tomotherapy planning has numerous advantages, including a more conformal dose distribution and decreased radiation dose to normal structures. Low rates of GI and GU toxicity were observed in our previous study of 70 patients with localized prostate cancer treated with hypofractionated helical tomotherapy (16). In that study, all complications of the GI and GU tracts were grade 0, 1 or 2, and either resolved spontaneously or could be managed with medication or simple procedures, such as argon plasma coagulation. In the present study, neither of the cases experienced complications greater than grade 3. In case 1, analysis of dose volume histograms (DVHs) for the initial and salvage RT plans indicated that <50% of the rectal volume received >75 Gy, with <10% receiving >120 Gy, and <5% receiving >130 Gy. The DVHs also showed that <50% of the bladder volume received >75 Gy, <10% received >100 Gy, and <5% received >115 Gy. In case 2, analysis of DVHs for the initial and salvage RT plans...
showed that <50% of the rectal volume received >70 Gy, with <10% receiving >110 Gy, and <5% receiving >120 Gy. The DVHs also showed that <50% of the bladder volume received >70 Gy, <10% received >110 Gy, and <5% received >125 Gy. Radiation doses to the rectum and bladder of these two patients were high considering the tolerance dose of these organs. However, doses delivered by helical tomotherapy to 50% of the rectal volume were only 8.8 Gy and 2.7 Gy for case 1 and case 2, respectively and the doses delivered to 50% of the bladder volume were 5.2 Gy and 2.2 Gy for case 1 and case 2, respectively. Furthermore, time intervals from the first and second EBRT were 8 years 4 months and 3 years 4 months, respectively, which should have been enough time to recover from mild complication following initial RT. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one study regarding reirradiation using EBRT. Vavassori et al (11) reported cases of reirradiation using CyberKnife® for locally recurrent prostate cancer after primary EBRT (median dose of 80 Gy). A total dose of 30 Gy in 5 fractions was administered, and no patients experienced severe urinary or rectal toxicity. Therefore, if it is possible to use a high precision RT technique, salvage EBRT can be considered an appropriate treatment option for locally recurrent prostate cancer.

In conclusion, reirradiation was performed for prostate cancer using helical tomotherapy, which resulted in a promising outcome without urologic or rectal complications. Thus, it suggests that helical tomotherapy may be a safe and effective salvage treatment modality for locally recurrent prostate cancer following definitive EBRT.
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