Expression levels of SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury transcription factors are associated with metastasis and poor prognosis in oral squamous cell carcinoma

RUMI YOSHIHAMA^{1*}, KOUJIRO YAMAGUCHI^{2*}, IKUMI IMAJYO¹, MARIKO MINE¹, NAOMI HIYAKE¹, NAONARI AKIMOTO¹, YOSUKE KOBAYASHI¹, SATOMI CHIGITA¹, WATARU KUMAMARU¹, TAMOTSU KIYOSHIMA³, YOSHIHIDE MORI¹ and TSUYOSHI SUGIURA²

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Division of Maxillofacial Diagnostic and Surgical Sciences, Graduate School of Dental Science, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8582;

²Department of Maxillofacial Diagnostic and Surgical Science, Graduate School of Dental Science,

Kagoshima University, Kagoshima 890-8544; ³Department of Oral Pathology,

Division of Maxillofacial Diagnostic and Surgical Sciences, Graduate School of Dental Science,

Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan

Received November 26, 2014; Accepted November 6, 2015

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2015.4047

Abstract. The prognosis of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) patients is affected by tumor recurrence and metastasis, and cancer stem cells are hypothesized to be involved in these processes. Thus, the aim of the present study was to determine whether the expression levels of five stem cell-related transcription factors, sex determining region Y-box 2 (SOX2), octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (Oct4), avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (c-Myc), Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) and brachyury, are associated with metastasis and survival in OSCC. Immunohistochemistry was performed to analyze the expression of these proteins in biopsy specimens obtained from 108 OSCC patients. The results revealed that the expression of SOX2, Oct4, KLF4 and brachyury were significantly associated with lymph node metastasis (P=0.002, P=0.031, P=0.003 and P=0.007, respectively). In addition, the expression of KLF4 and brachyury were significantly associated with distant metastasis (P=0.014 and P=0.012, respectively). Furthermore, multivariate analysis revealed that SOX2 and KLF4 are predictive factors for lymph node metastasis [odds ratios (ORs), 4.526 and 4.851, respectively],

E-mail: sugiura@dent.kagoshima-u.ac.jp

*Contributed equally

Key words: SOX2, KLF4, brachyury, squamous cell carcinoma, metastasis, lymph nodes

and KLF4 is also a predictive factor for distant metastasis (OR, 9.607). In addition, OSCC patients with low co-expression of SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury exhibited a significantly lower disease-specific survival rate (78.6 vs. 100%; P=0.025; χ^2 =5.033) and disease-free survival rate (60.7 vs. 90.9%; P=0.015; χ^2 =5.897) when compared with OSCC patients with high co-expression of these factors. The results indicate that SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury serve important roles in tumor progression, and these transcription factors may thus represent clinically useful prognostic markers for OSCC.

Introduction

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) accounts for 90% of all malignant head and neck tumors worldwide (1). Furthermore, metastasis to regional lymph nodes and distant sites, which occurs in 40 and 10% of all OSCC cases, respectively, is associated with poor prognosis (2). Although the underlying mechanisms of metastasis remain unclear, recent studies have demonstrated that a small subset of tumor cells known as cancer stem cells (CSCs), which exhibit similar characteristics to normal stem cells (including self-renewal and pluripotency), may be involved in cancer invasion and metastasis (3).

Previous studies have revealed that the expression of four transcription factors [octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (Oct4), sex determining region Y-box 2 (SOX2), avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (c-Myc) and Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4)] is sufficient to reprogram differentiated cells to pluripotency (4,5). SOX2 and Oct4 are important for maintaining self-renewal and pluripotency in pluripotent stem cells (6). KLF4, which is involved in tissue development, differentiation and maintenance of homeostasis, may act as either an oncogene or a tumor suppressor in certain types of cancer, including gastric adenocarcinoma and colon cancer (7-9). c-Myc is an oncogenic transcription

Correspondence to: Professor Tsuyoshi Sugiura, Department of Maxillofacial Diagnostic and Surgical Science, Graduate School of Dental Science, Kagoshima University, 8-35-1 Sakuragaoka, Kagoshima 890-8544, Japan

factor that is involved in cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis (10). In addition, the expression of these transcription factors is associated with several types of malignant cancer, including oesophageal (11) breast (12), bladder (13) and lung cancer (14,15). However, the role of these genes in CSCs remains unclear.

Recently, the T-box transcription factor brachyury, which is essential for mesoderm formation during early development (16,17), has been found to regulate the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and CSC potential in human salivary carcinoma cells (18-21). In addition, brachyury expression was found to correlate with lymph node metastasis in OSCC (22). However, to date, the association between SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc and brachyury expression in OSCC has not been investigated. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to determine whether these transcription factors may represent potential CSC markers and prognostic factors for OSCC.

Materials and methods

Patients and tumor specimens. A total of 108 OSCC patients who were treated at the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Kyushu University Hospital (Fukuoka, Japan) between March 2001 and December 2006 were retrospectively enrolled in the present study. Pretreatment biopsies were obtained from 108 patients. Clinicopathological information, including age, gender, tumor size and location, nodal status, treatments and the presence or absence of disease recurrence and metastasis, was obtained from patient records. The protocol for this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kyushu University.

Histopathology and immunohistochemistry. Consecutive 4- μ m sections were cut from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) biopsy samples and deparaffinized with xylene, rehydrated in a graded alcohol series, and heat-treated with Target Retrieval Solution (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) prior to histopathological and immunohistochemical analyses. Tumors were staged according to the International Union for Cancer Control tumor-node-metastasis classification system (7th edition) (23). In addition, tumors were graded using World Health Organization criteria (24) and Anneroth's multifactorial classification system (25,26).

Immunohistochemistry was performed to analyze the expression patterns of SOX2, Oct4, c-Myc, KLF4 and brachyury in OSCC samples. FFPE sections were treated with 3% H₂O₂ and serum-free protein in phosphate-buffered saline with 0.015 M sodium azide to block endogenous peroxide activity and nonspecific antibody binding. The sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: Monoclonal rabbit anti-human SOX2 (clone D6D9; #3579; 1:50; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA), polyclonal rabbit anti-human Oct4 (clone POU5F1; #2750; 1:100; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), polyclonal rabbit anti-brachyury (clone H-210; #sc-20109; 1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA), monoclonal mouse anti-human c-Myc (clone 9E10; #sc-40; 1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and monoclonal mouse anti-human KLF4 (clone AT4E6; #NBP1-50367; 1:100; Novus Biologicals, LLC, Littleton, CO, USA). Subsequently, immunostaining was visualized with the CSA II Biotin-Free Tyramide Signal Amplification System (Dako), CSA II Rabbit Link amplification reagent (Dako) and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the sections were incubated with horseradish-peroxidase conjugated anti-mouse or rabbit IgG secondary antibodies (CSA II Biotin-Free Tyramide Signal Amplification System; Dako) for 15 min at room temperature, followed by incubation with CSA II amplification reagent (Dako) and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine. Finally, the sections were counterstained with 0.5% hematoxylin.

The staining pattern was evaluated at three randomly selected locations along the invasive edge of OSCC tumors using an optical microscope equipped with a charge-coupled device camera (BZ-9000; Keyence Corporation, Osaka, Japan). Specifically, the intensity of staining was quantified as the difference between the mean pixel density in 10 randomly selected stained carcinoma cells and that of the background using the BZ-II Analyzer (Keyence Corporation). To account for staining heterogeneity, the expression intensity (EI) of a protein was defined as the ratio of the immunostain density in the nuclei of tumor cells to that of normal basal epithelial cells in the same OSCC sample (Table IA; Fig. 1), according to the following formula: EI = (mean density of positive signal in OSCC cells - mean density of background staining) / (mean density of positive signal in normal cells - mean density of background staining). The results were classified into two groups (high or low expression) for each protein according to the mean value, as shown in Table IA.

The positive expression ratio (ER) was calculated as the ratio of positively stained nuclei to total number of carcinoma cells in each field. The results were classified into two groups (high or low expression) for each protein according to the median value, as shown in Table IB. All samples were scored by two independent pathologists who were blinded to the patient's clinical information and diagnosis.

Statistical analysis. The associations between protein expression and clinicopathological factors were assessed using the χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to identify independent risk factors for lymph node and distant metastasis. Overall survival, disease-specific survival and disease-free survival were analyzed with the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 statistical software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Patient characteristics. The patient cohort included 69 males and 39 females, with a median age of 62 years (range, 24-85 years). Primary OSCC tumors were most frequently identified on the tongue (55/108; 50.9%). Lymph node metastasis occurred in 40/108 patients (37.0%) and distant metastasis occurred in 9/108 patients (8.3%). The median follow-up period was 60 months (range, 5-60 months). Further patient characteristics are shown in Table II.

Subcellular localization of SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc and brachyury expression. SOX2, Oct4, c-Myc and brachyury

Table I. Classification of EI and positive ER.

A, EI classification

		Relative mean pixel density ^a	
Factor	Low	Cut-off	High
SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc, brachyury	<	1	≤

B, Positive ER classification

		Positively stained nuclei, %	
Factor	Low	Cut-off (median)	High
SOX2	<	66.57	≤
Oct4	<	54.74	≤
KLF4	<	66.72	≤
c-Myc	<	71.92	≤
Brachyury	<	71.86	≤

^aDensity ratio of immunostained OSCC cells to normal epithelium. EI, expression intensity; ER, expression ratio; SOX2, sex determining region Y-box 2; Oct4, octamer-binding transcription factor 4; c-Myc, avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4.



Figure 1. Determination of immunostain density in OSCC tissue. Photomicrographs show the procedure used to determine SOX2 immunostain density in an OSCC biopsy section that includes both tumor cells and normal epithelial cells. (A) OSCC tissue immunostained with anti-SOX2 antibody. Scale bar, $300 \mu m$. (B and C) The staining density was quantified as the mean pixel density of 10 randomly selected normal basal epithelial cells and OSCC cells along the invasive edge of the tumor [white boxes in (B) and (C), respectively; the pixel densities of each selected area are also shown]. Scale bar, $50 \mu m$. OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; SOX2, sex determining region Y-box 2; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4.

were predominantly localized to the nucleus of OSCC cells; however, in certain cases, they were localized to the cytoplasm and nucleus (Fig. 2). KLF4 was primarily localized to the cytoplasm and nucleus of OSCC cells. All proteins were also detected in the nucleus of normal basal epithelial cells. Association between SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc and brachyury expression and clinicopathological factors. The median ERs of SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc and brachyury, which were used as the cut-off values for low or high expression, were 66.6, 54.7, 66.7, 71.9 and 71.9%, respectively



Figure 2. EI of SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc and brachyury in oral squamous cell carcinoma tissue. Photomicrographs show representative examples of normal epithelium (left column) and low (middle column) or high (right column) EI of (A-C) SOX2, (D-F) Oct4, (G-I) KLF4, (J-L) c-Myc and (M-O) brachyury. Scale bar, 50 μ m. EI, expression intensity; SOX2, sex determining region Y-box 2; Oct4, octamer-binding transcription factor 4; c-Myc, avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4.

(Fig. 3). The EIs and ERs of these transcription factors were found to be significantly associated with several clinicopathological factors (Tables II-IV). For example, c-Myc EI was significantly associated with clinical tumor stage (P=0.003), while SOX2, Oct4, KLF4 and brachyury EIs were significantly associated with lymph node metastasis (P=0.002, P=0.031, P=0.003 and P=0.007, respectively) (Table II). KLF4 and brachyury EIs were also significantly associated with distant metastasis (P=0.014 and P=0.012, respectively). However, no significant differences were identified between the EIs of these proteins and the degree of tumor differentiation. Notably, the EIs of SOX2, Oct4 and brachyury were significantly associated with Anneroth scores (P<0.001, P=0.007 and P<0.001, respectively). χ^2 tests revealed that the EIs of SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury in tumors with an Anneroth score of 3 were significantly associated with lymph node metastasis (P=0.015, P=0.005 and P=0.025, respectively) (Table V). However, no significant differences



Figure 3. Positive ER of SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc and brachyury in oral squamous cell carcinoma tissue. Photomicrographs show representative examples of low (left column) or high (right column) positive ER for (A and B) SOX2, (C and D) Oct4, (E and F) KLF4, (G and H) c-Myc, and (I and J) brachyury. Scale bar, 50 µm. ER, expression ratio; SOX2, sex determining region Y-box 2; Oct4, octamer-binding transcription factor 4; c-Myc, avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4.

were identified between EIs of SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury in tumors with Anneroth scores of 1, 2 or 4.

G

I

A

In addition, clinical tumor stage was significantly associated with Oct4 and KLF4 ERs (P=0.048 and P=0.028, respectively) (Table III). Lymph node metastasis was significantly associated with Oct4 ER (P=0.046) and distant metastasis was significantly associated with SOX2 (P=0.016). Anneroth scores were significantly associated with SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc and brachyury ERs (P=0.005, P=0.019, P=0.003, P=0.019 and P=0.010, respectively); however, only Oct4 expression was significantly associated with tumor differentiation (P=0.012).

Predictive factors for lymph node and distant metastasis. As the results of the present study indicated that lymph node and distant metastases were more significantly associated with EI than ER, whether SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc and brachyury EIs are significant predictive factors for lymph node and distant metastases was investigated. Univariate analyses revealed that high SOX2, Oct4, KLF4 and brachyury EIs were significantly

parameter Age, years	ases	SOX	2 express	sion, n	Oct	4 express	ion, n	KLF	4 express	ion, n	c-M	yc expres	sion, n	Brach	yury expi	ression, n
Age, years	n,	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value
				0.870			0.341			0.055			0.610			0.190
<65 ≥65	61 47	25 20	36 27		38 25	23 22		36 19	25 28		40 33	21 14		35 21	26 26	
Gender				0.919			0.611			0.956			0.784			0.130
Male	69	29	40		39	30		35	34		46	23		32	37	
Female	39	16	23		24	15		20	19		27	12		24	15	
Clinical stage				0.242			0.407			0.097			0.003ª			0.158
T1 -	18	8	10		13	5		12	9		16	2		11	Ζ	
T2	46	23	23		28	18		26	20		36	10		28	18	
T3	21	5	16		11	10		10	11		10	11		8	13	
T4	23	6	14		11	12		7	16		11	12		6	14	
Primary tumor site				0.217			0.407			0.001^{a}			0.481			0.390
Buccal mucosa	8	9	0		5	3		1	L		9	2		4	4	
Upper gingiva	12	5	Г		5	L		4	8		6	ю		7	5	
Lower gingiva	22	L	15		6	13		8	14		11	11		L	15	
Tongue	55	22	33		37	18		34	21		41	14		34	21	
Oral floor	10	4	9		9	4		8	0		2	5		б	L	
Palate	1	1	0		1	0		0	1		1	0		1	0	
Lymph node metastasis				0.002ª			0.031 ^a			0.003^{a}			0.386			0.007 ^a
Positive	40	6	31		18	22		13	27		25	15		14	26	
Negative	68	36	32		45	23		42	26		48	20		42	26	
Distant metastasis				0.051			0.109			0.014^{a}			0.322			0.012^{a}
Positive	6	1	8		б	9		1	8		5	4		1	8	
Negative	66	44	55		60	39		54	45		68	31		55	44	
Tumor differentiation				0.263			0.232			0.213			0.057			0.660
Well	83	37	46		51	32		45	38		09	23		44	39	
Moderate	25	8	17		12	13		10	15		13	12		12	13	
Poor	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Anneroth score				<0.001 ^a			0.007 ^a			0.496			0.115			<0.001 ^a
1	Г	4	3		9	1		9	1		Г	0		5	7	
2	14	6	5		8	9		7	12		8	9		10	4	
σ	54	29	25		37	17		31	23		38	16		34	20	
4	33	с	30		12	21		16	17		20	13		7	26	

1440

Cliniconathological	Jaces	SOX	<pre><2 expres</pre>	sion, n	Oct	t4 expres	sion, n	KL	4 expres	sion, n	c-M	yc expres	sion, n	Brach	ıyury expı	ession, n
parameter	n n	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value
Age, years				0.56			0.846			0.846			0.332			0.560
<65	61	29	32		31	30		31	30		33	28		32	29	
≥65	47	25	22		23	24		23	24		21	26		22	25	
Gender				0.317			0.161			0.317			0.548			0.071
Male	69	32	37		31	38		32	37		33	36		30	39	
Female	39	22	17		23	16		22	17		21	18		24	15	
Clinical stage				0.550			0.048^{a}			0.028ª			0.845			0.420
T1 Č	18	11	7		13	5		12	9		6	6		11	L	
T2	46	24	22		22	24		27	19		25	21		25	21	
T3	21	10	1		9	15		6	12		6	12		8	13	
T4	23	6	14		13	10		9	17		11	12		10	13	
Primary tumor site				0.030^{a}			0.465			0.329			0.091			0.045ª
Buccal mucosa	8	9	2		4	4		9	2		9	2		5	3	
Upper gingiva	12	8	4		5	7		9	9		5	7		8	4	
Lower gingiva	22	6	13		11	11		8	14		12	10		10	12	
Tongue	55	29	26		30	25		28	27		29	26		29	26	
Oral floor	10	1	6		З	7		9	4		1	6		0	8	
Palate	1	1	0		1	0		0	1		1	0		0	1	
Lymph node metastasis				1.000			0.046^{a}			0.425			0.111			0.425
Positive	40	20	20		15	25		18	22		16	24		18	22	
Negative	68	34	34		39	29		36	32		38	30		36	32	
Distant metastasis				0.016^{a}			0.244			0.081			0.500			0.081
Positive	6	1	8		б	9		0	Г		4	5		0	Г	
Negative	66	53	46		51	48		52	47		50	49		52	47	
Tumor differentiation				0.254			0.012ª			0.820			0.110			0.110
Well	83	4	39		47	36		42	41		45	38		45	38	
Moderate	25	10	15		L	18		12	13		6	16		6	16	
Poor	0	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Anneroth score				0.005ª			0.019^{a}			0.003ª			0.019^{a}			0.010^{a}
1	L	3	4		9	1		5	7		4	3		5	7	
2	14	12	2		9	8		6	5		10	4		10	4	
3	54	30	24		31	23		31	23		29	25		27	27	
4	33	6	24		11	22		6	24		11	22		12	21	

expression ratio and cliniconathological factors in 108 oral squamous cell carcinoma patients Table III Association between SOX2 Oct4 KI E4 c-Myc and brachvury positive 1441

Tune of			Univariate an	alysis		Multivariate a	inalysis
metastasis	Comparison	OR	P-value	95% CI	OR	P-value	95% CI
Lymph node							
SOX2	Low vs. high EI	3.875	0.003	1.604-9.359	4.526	0.011	1.404-14.588
Oct4	Low vs. high EI	2.391	0.033	1.074-5.323	1.148	0.795	0.405-3.255
KLF4	Low vs. high EI	3.355	0.004	1.474-7.639	4.851	0.004	1.667-14.116
c-Myc	Low vs. high EI	1.440	0.387	0.631-3.288	0.559	0.284	0.193-1.622
Brachyury	Low vs. high EI	3.000	0.008	1.330-6.766	0.999	0.998	0.312-3.193
Distant							
SOX2	Low vs. high EI	6.400	0.086	0.771-53.123	3.766	0.314	0.285-49.820
Oct4	Low vs. high EI	3.077	0.127	0.727-13.030	1.003	0.997	0.188-5.359
KLF4	Low vs. high EI	9.600	0.036	1.157-79.673	9.607	0.053	0.974-94.804
c-Myc	Low vs. high EI	1.755	0.425	0.441-6.987	0.579	0.494	0.121-2.775
Brachyury	Low vs. high EI	10.000	0.033	1.205-83.005	3.301	0.360	0.256-42.542

m 1 1 1	T T	D 1' '	C . (C 1	- 1	- 1	1 1	•				- 11		
I oblo I	\ /	Uradiativa	tootore t	0 11 1 1 1	mph	nodo on		1 ot ont	motoctocic i	n oro	0.0110100110		0000100000	notionto
I ADDE I	v	FIELICITYE	TACIONSI					ISTATI	THERASIASIS I	11 (11 21	I SOHAIDOIP	CEL	Сансинония	Datients
I UUIV I		I I CUICLI / C	Inclusion 1		mpn	i noue un	սս	istuiit	invitional i	II OIU	l byuuniou.		curemoniu	puttento.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; EI, expression intensity. SOX2, sex determining region Y-box 2; Oct4, octamer-binding transcription factor 4; c-Myc, avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4.



Figure 4. Correlation between SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc and brachyury expression and survival in OSCC patients. (A) Overall, (B) disease-specific and (C) disease-free survival of OSCC patients with high or low expression intensity of (a) SOX2, (b) Oct4, (c) KLF4, (d) c-Myc and (e) brachyury. P-values and χ^2 statistics are shown in plots with statistically significant differences. OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; SOX2, sex determining region Y-box 2; Oct4, octamer-binding transcription factor 4; c-Myc, avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4.

associated with lymph node metastasis [odds ratios (ORs), 3.875, 2.391, 3.355 and 3.000, respectively], and high KLF4 and brachyury EIs were associated with distant metastasis (ORs, 9.600 and 10.000, respectively) (Table IV). Multivariate analysis also revealed that high SOX2 and KLF4 EIs were significantly

associated with lymph node metastasis (ORs, 4.526 and 4.851, respectively).

Correlation between SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc and brachyury expression and survival in OSCC patients. No significant

Score.	

ParameterCases, nLowHAnneroth score=1Lymph node metastasis00Lymph node metastasis74Positive74Distant metastasis00Positive74Negative74	High									-	/	,	•	
Anneroth score=1 Lymph node metastasis Positive 0 0 Negative 7 4 Distant metastasis Positive 0 0 Negative 7 4		P-value	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value	Low	High	P-value
Lymph node metastasis Positive 0 0 Negative 7 4 Distant metastasis Positive 0 0 Negative 7 4														
Positive00Negative74Distant metastasis74Positive00Negative74		ı			ı			ı			ı			I
Negative 7 4 Distant metastasis Positive 0 0 Negative 7 4	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Distant metastasis Positive 0 0 Negative 7 4	ß		9	1		9	1		L	0		5	7	
Positive 0 0 Negative 7 4		ı			ı			ı			ı			I
Negative 7 4	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
	3		9	1		9	1		L	0		5	0	
Anneroth score=2														
Lymph node metastasis		0.545			0.594			0.495			0.594			0.689
Negative 10 6	4		9	4		7	8		9	4		٢	Э	
Distant metastasis		0.110			0.165			0.725			0.165			0.066
Positive 2 0	0		0	7		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Negative 12 9	з		8	4		0	10		8	4		10	7	
Anneroth score=3														
Lymph node metastasis		0.015^{a}			0.095			0.005^{a}			0.537			0.025 ^a
Positive 17 5	12		6	8		5	12		11	9		Г	10	
Negative 37 24	13		28	6		26	11		27	10		27	10	
Distant metastasis		0.716			0.535			0.177			0.509			0.608
Positive 2 1	1		1	1		0	7		1	1		1	1	
Negative 52 28 2	24		36	16		31	21		37	15		33	19	
Anneroth score=4														
Lymph node metastasis		0.384			0.947			0.393			0.727			0.652
Positive 19 1	18		٢	12		8	11		12	L		4	15	
Negative 14 2	12		5	6		8	9		8	9		б	11	
Distant metastasis		0.600			0.612			0.187			0.331			0.277
Positive 5 0	5		2	3		1	4		4	1		0	5	
Negative 28 3 2	25		10	18		15	13		16	12		L	21	



Figure 5. Correlation between co-expression of SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury and survival in OSCC patients. (A) Overall, (B) disease-specific and (C) disease-free survival of OSCC patients with tumors with indicated high or low co-expression intensity status of SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury. P-values and χ^2 statistics are shown in plots with statistically significant differences. OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; SOX2, sex determining region Y-box 2; Oct4, octamer-binding transcription factor 4; c-Myc, avian myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog; KLF4, Krüppel-like factor 4.

associations between the five-year overall survival rates of OSCC patients and the EIs of SOX2, Oct4, KLF4, c-Myc or brachyury were identified (Fig. 4A). However, the five-year disease-specific survival rates of OSCC patients with high SOX2 and brachyury expression were significantly decreased when compared with those exhibiting low expression [SOX2, 87.3% vs. 100%, respectively (P=0.015; χ^2 =5.891); brachyury, 86.5% vs. 98.2%, respectively (P=0.023; χ^2 =5.201); Fig. 4B]. In addition, the five-year disease-free survival rates of OSCC patients with high Oct4 and KLF4 expression were significantly decreased when compared when compared with those exhibiting low expression [Oct4, 62.2% vs. 84.1%, respectively (P=0.006;

 χ^2 =7.519); KLF4, 66.0% vs. 83.6%, respectively (P=0.029; χ^2 =4.758); Fig. 4C].

As SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury EIs were found to be associated with lymph node and distant metastasis in this study, the association between patient survival and the co-expression of SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury was also investigated. The results revealed that the co-expression of SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury was not significantly associated with overall survival (Fig. 5A). However, the five-year disease-specific survival rate of patients with high co-expression of these proteins was decreased when compared with that of patients exhibiting low co-expression (78.6% vs. 100%, respectively; P=0.025; χ^2 =5.033) (Fig. 5B). Similarly, the five-year disease-free survival rate of patients with high co-expression was decreased compared with that of patients exhibiting low co-expression (60.7% vs. 90.9%, respectively; P=0.015; χ^2 =5.897) (Fig. 5C).

Discussion

The self-renewal and pluripotent properties of CSCs, which are hypothesized to enable primary tumors to metastasize (27,28), indicate that their identification in tumor samples may be important for cancer diagnosis and treatment. However, to date, few CSC markers in OSCC have been identified (29,30). The results of the current study indicate that SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury may present clinically useful CSC markers, and their expression levels may be prognostic factors for OSCC. The expression levels of these transcription factors were quantified in terms of EI and ER, which reflect the level of protein expression and the number of cells expressing a protein, respectively. As high expression levels of CSC-related transcription factors may promote tumorigenesis (31,32), EI may also be a measure of tumor invasiveness and local metastasis. Similarly, as large numbers of CSCs increase the chance that some will maintain stemness when they disseminate to other sites (33), ER may be a measure of the likelihood of distant metastasis. Thus, the EI and ER of CSC-related transcription factors may be associated with survival outcomes in cancer patients.

The results of the present study revealed that SOX2 EI and ER were significantly associated with lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis, respectively, indicating that SOX2 expression is involved in OSCC metastasis. In addition, the significant association between high SOX2 expression and reduced five-year disease-specific survival rate indicates that SOX2 may be a prognostic factor in OSCC patients. These results are consistent with those of previous reports, which have revealed that SOX2 is associated with poor prognosis in several types of cancer (12,13,15,34,35). Furthermore, SOX2 regulates stemness (36) and upregulates CSC-related gene expression in skin squamous cell carcinoma, and helps maintain neural stem cells (37).

Similarly, a significant association between KLF4 EI and lymph node metastasis was identified in the present study; however, this association was not observed between KLF4 ER and distant metastasis, which indicates that KLF4 may be less important for metastasis than SOX2. Furthermore, the association identified between high KLF4 EI and decreased five-year disease-free survival rate in OSCC patients is consistent with the association between increased nuclear expression of KLF4 and poor prognosis in breast cancer and head and neck cancer patients, which has been reported in previous studies (38,39).

In the present study brachyury EI was found to significantly correlate with lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis and Anneroth scores, which indicates that brachyury is also involved in OSCC metastasis. These results are consistent with those of previous studies, which revealed that silencing brachyury expression inhibits tumor formation and metastasis in human adenoid cystic carcinoma cells (19,20). In addition, a previous study revealed that brachyury expression is associated with EMT and lymph node metastasis in OSCC patients (22).

The results of the present study found that c-Myc EI and ER were not associated with lymph node or distant metastasis. By contrast, Oct4 EI and ER were significantly associated with lymph node metastasis and Anneroth scores, which suggests that Oct4 may be involved in tumor metastasis. c-Myc EI was only significantly associated with clinical tumor stage, which is consistent with its reported association with tumorigenesis and sustained tumor growth (40).

Two conclusions may be drawn from the results of the present study. Firstly, the EI of CSC markers is a better indicator of metastasis and survival than ER in OSCC patients. This may be due to the relative uniformity of ER in normal and tumor cells in biopsy specimens (data not shown). In addition, the normal expression level of the transcription factors examined in this study was higher in OSCC tissue samples than in noncancerous tissue samples. Secondly, high SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury expression is significantly associated with tumor invasion and metastasis, as well as decreased disease-specific survival and disease-free survival, in OSCC patients. Thus, these transcription factors may be involved in tumor progression, and may represent clinically useful prognostic markers in OSCC.

In conclusion, the expression of SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury may present novel prognostic factors in OSCC and thus, the combined use of these factors and classical prognostic factors, such as Anneroth score, may improve the accuracy of metastasis prediction. Therefore, future prospective studies investigating clinical intervention in OSCC patients with positive SOX2, KLF4 and brachyury expression are required.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Grants-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (no. 23390465 to Professor Tsuyoshi Sugiura; no. 25861958 to Dr Yosuke Kobayashi; and no. 25893174 to Dr Satomi Chigita).

References

- Slootweg PJ and Eveson JW: Tumours of the oral cavity and oropharynx. In: World Health Organization Classification of Tumours. Pathology & Genetics of Head and Neck Tumours. Barnes L, Eveson JW, Reichart P and Sidransky D (eds). IARC Press, Lyon, pp166-167, 2005.
- Genden EM, Ferlito A, Bradley PJ, Rinaldo A and Scully C: Neck disease and distant metastases. Oral Oncol 39: 207-212, 2003.
- 3. Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF and Weissman IL: Stem cells, cancer and cancer stem cells. Nature 414: 105-111, 2001.
- 4. Takahashi K and Yamanaka S: Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell 126: 663-676, 2006.

- Kaichi S, Hasegawa K, Takaya T, et al: Cell line-dependent differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells into cardiomyocytes in mice. Cardiovasc Res 88: 314-323, 2010.
- 6. Chambers I and Tomlinson SR: The transcriptional foundation of pluripotency. Development 136: 2311-2322, 2009.
- Evans PM and Liu C: Roles of Krüppel-like factor 4 in normal homeostasis, cancer and stem cells. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai) 40: 554-564, 2008.
- Hsu LS, Chan CP, Chen CJ, Lin SH, Lai MT, Hsu JD, Yeh KT and Soon MS: Decreased Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) expression may correlate with poor survival in gastric adenocarcinoma. Med Oncol 30: 632, 2013.
- Patel NV, Ghaleb AM, Nandan MO and Yang VW: Expression of the tumor suppressor Krüppel-like factor 4 as a prognostic predictor for colon cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 19: 2631-2638, 2010.
- Adhikary S and Eilers M: Transcriptional regulation and transformation by Myc proteins. Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio 6: 635-645, 2005.
- He W, Li K, Wang F, Qin YR and Fan QX: Expression of OCT4 in human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma is significantly associated with poorer prognosis. World J Gastroenterol 18: 712-719, 2012.
- 12. Neumann J, Bahr F, Horst D, Kriegl L, Engel J, Luque RM, Gerhard M, Kirchner T and Jung A: SOX2 expression correlates with lymph-node metastases and distant spread in right-sided colon cancer. BMC Cancer 11: 518, 2011.
- 13. Ruan J, Wei B, Xu Z, Yang S, Zhou Y, Yu M, Liang J, Jin K, Huang X, Lu P and Cheng H: Predictive value of SOX2 expression in transurethral resection specimens in patients with T1 bladder cancer. Med Oncol 30: 445, 2013.
- 14. Zhang X, Han B, Huang J, Zheng B, Geng Q, Aziz F and Dong Q: Prognostic significance of OCT4 expression in adenocarcinoma of the lung. Jpn J Clin Oncol 40: 961-966, 2010.
- Sholl LM, Barletta JĂ, Yeap BY, Chirieac LR and Hornick JL: SOX2 protein expression is an independent poor prognostic indicator in stage I lung adenocarcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol 34: 1193-1198, 2010.
- Vidricaire G, Jardine K and McBurney MW: Expression of the brachyury gene during mesoderm development in differentiating embryonal carcinoma cell cultures. Development 120: 115-122, 1994.
- 17. Kispert A, Herrmann BG, Leptin M and Reuter R: Homologs of the mouse brachyury gene are involved in the specification of posterior terminal structures in Drosophila, Tribolium and Locusta. Genes Dev 8: 2137-2150, 1994.
- Ishii K, Shimoda M, Sugiura T, Seki K, Takahashi M, Abe M, Matsuki R, Inoue Y and Shirasuna K: Involvement of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in adenoid cystic carcinoma metastasis. Int J Oncol 38: 921-931, 2011.
- 19. Shimoda M, Sugiura T, Imajyo I, Ishii K, Chigita S, Seki K, Kobayashi Y and Shirasuna K: The T-box transcription factor brachyury regulates epithelial-mesenchymal transition in association with cancer stem-like cells in adenoid cystic carcinoma cells. BMC Cancer 12: 377, 2012.
- cells. BMC Cancer 12: 377, 2012.
 20. Kobayashi Y, Sugiura T, Imajyo I, Shimoda M, Ishii K, Akimoto N, Yoshihama N and Mori Y: Knockdown of the T-box transcription factor brachyury increases sensitivity of adenoid cystic carcinoma cells to chemotherapy and radiation in vitro: Implications for a new therapeutic principle. Int J Oncol 44: 1107-1117, 2014.
- Fernando RI, Litzinger M, Trono P, Hamilton DH, Schlom J and Palena C: The T-box transcription factor brachyury promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human tumor cells. J Clin Invest 120: 533-544, 2010.
- 22. Imajyo I, Sugiura T, Kobayashi Y, Shimoda M, Ishii K, Akimoto N, Yoshihama N, Kobayashi I and Mori Y: T-box transcription factor brachyury expression is correlated with epithelial-mesenchymal transition and lymph node metastasis in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Int J Oncol 41: 1985-1995, 2012.
- 23. Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz MK and Wittekind C (eds): TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours. 7th edition. Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken, NJ, 2009.
- 24. Pindborg JJ, Reichart PA, Smith CJ and van der Waal I: World Health Organization International Histological Classification of Tumours. Histological Typing of Cancer and Precancer of the Oral Mucosa. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- 25. Anneroth G, Hansen LS and Silverman S Jr: Malignancy grading in oral squamous cell carcinoma. I. Squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue and floor of mouth: Histologic grading in the clinical evaluation. J Oral Pathol 15: 162-168, 1986.

- Anneroth G, Batsakis J and Luna M: Review of the literature and a recommended system of malignancy grading in oral squamous cell carcinomas. Scand J Dent Res 95: 229-249, 1987.
- Nomura A, Banerjee S, Chugh R, Dudeja V, Yamamoto M, Vickers SM and Saluja AK: CD133 initiates tumors, induces epithelialmesenchymal transition and increases metastasis in pancreatic cancer. Oncotarget 6: 8313-8322, 2015.
 González-Moles MA, Scully C, Ruiz-Ávila I and Plaza-Campillo JJ:
- González-Moles MA, Scully C, Ruiz-Ávila I and Plaza-Campillo JJ: The cancer stem cell hypothesis applied to oral carcinoma. Oral Oncol 49: 738-746, 2013.
- 29. Yu CC, Hu FW, Yu CH and Chou MY: Targeting CD133 in the enhancement of chemosensitivity in oral squamous cell carcinomaderived side population cancer stem cells. Head Neck: Dec 24, 2014 (Epub ahead of print).
- Patel SS, Shah KA, Shah MJ, Kothari KC and Rawal RM: Cancer stem cells and stemness markers in oral squamous cell carcinomas. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 15: 8549-8556, 2014.
- Liu K, Lin B, Zhao M, Yang X, Chen M, Gao A, Liu F, Que J and Lan X: The multiple roles for Sox2 in stem cell maintenance and tumorigenesis. Cell Signal 25: 1264-1271, 2013.
- 32. Chen S, Xu Y, Chen Y, Li X, Mou W, Wang L, Liu Y, Reisfield RA, Xiang R, Xiang R, Lv D and Li N: SOX2 gene regulates the transcriptional network of oncogenes and affects tumorigenesis of human lung cancer cells. PLoS One 7: e36326, 2012.
- 33. Forghanifard MM, Ardalan Khales S, Javdani-Mallak A, Rad A, Farshchian M and Abbaszadegan MR: Stemness state regulators SALL4 and SOX2 are involved in progression and invasiveness of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Med Oncol 31: 922, 2014.

- 34. Lengerke C, Fehm T, Kurth R, Neubauer H, Scheble V, Müller F, Schneider F, Petersen K, Wallwiener D, Kanz L, *et al*: Expression of the embryonic stem cell marker SOX2 in early-stage breast carcinoma. BMC Cancer 11: 42, 2011.
- 35. Kitamura H, Torigoe T, Hirohashi Y, Asanuma H, Inoue R, Nishida S, Tanaka T, Fukuta F, Masumori N, Sato N and Tsukamoto T: Prognostic impact of the expression of ALDH1 and SOX2 in urothelial cancer of the upper urinary tract. Mod Pathol 26: 117-124, 2013.
- 36. Boumahdi S, Driessens G, Lapouge G, Rorive S, Nassar D, Le Mercier M, Delatte B, Caauwe A, Lenglez S, Nkusi E, *et al*: SOX2 controls tumour initiation and cancer stem-cell functions in squamous-cell carcinoma. Nature 511: 246-250, 2014.
- 37. Episkopou V: SOX2 functions in adult neural stem cells. Trends Neurosci 28: 219-221, 2005.
- 38. Tai SK, Yang MH, Chang SY, Chang YC, Li WY, Tsai TL, Wang YF, Chu PY and Hsieh SL: Persistent Krüppel-like factor 4 expression predicts progression and poor prognosis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Sci 102: 895-902, 2011.
- 39. Pandya AY, Talley LI, Frost AR, Fitzgerald TJ, Trivedi V, Chakravarthy M, Chhieng DC, Grizzle WE, Engler JA, Krontiras H, et al: Nuclear localization of KLF4 is associated with an aggressive phenotype in early-stage breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 10: 2709-2719, 2004.
- 40. Chen BJ, Wu YL, Tanaka Y and Zhang W: Small molecules targeting c-Myc oncogene: Promising anti-cancer therapeutics. Int J Biol Sci 10: 1084-1096, 2014.