# Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 as a prognostic biomarker in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors

GUOPEI LUO<sup>1-3\*</sup>, KAIZHOU JIN<sup>1-3\*</sup>, HE CHENG<sup>1-3\*</sup>, CHEN LIU<sup>1-3</sup>, MENG GUO<sup>1-3</sup>, YU LU<sup>1-3</sup>, CHAO YANG<sup>1-3</sup>, JINZHI XU<sup>1-3</sup>, WENQUAN WANG<sup>1-3</sup>, HELI GAO<sup>1-3</sup>, SHIRONG ZHANG<sup>1-3</sup>, JIANG LONG<sup>1-3</sup>, JIN XU<sup>1-3</sup>, QUANXING NI<sup>1-3</sup>, JIE CHEN<sup>4</sup> and XIANJUN YU<sup>1-3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pancreatic Surgery, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai;
<sup>2</sup>Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University; <sup>3</sup>Pancreatic Cancer Institute, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032; <sup>4</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510080, P.R. China

Received December 20, 2016; Accepted March 7, 2017

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2017.7071

Abstract. Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) is not generally considered to be a biomarker in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (pNETs), as the majority of pNETs present with a normal range of CA19-9. The present study aimed to evaluate the role of serum CA19-9 levels as a prognostic factor in a relatively large number of patients with pNETs. Consecutive patients were retrospectively collected from a single institution between June 2006 and February 2015. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and the area under the ROC curve were used to select the cut-off values for the baseline CA19-9 levels. The primary end point was set as overall survival. Potential factors associated with the abnormal elevation of CA19-9 expression levels in pNETs were also investigated. The cut-off value for CA19-9 was 16 U/ml as determined by the ROC curve, and for the area under the ROC curve it was 0.68. In total, 32.7% of patients (51/156) had CA19-9 expression levels higher than the cut-off value. Univariate analysis demonstrated that CA19-9 >16 U/ml was an adverse prognostic factor for patients' overall survival. The CA19-9 >16 U/ml group had a statistically higher proportion of tumor node metastasis (TNM) stage III or IV, as compared with the CA19-9  $\leq$  16 U/ml group. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the

#### \*Contributed equally

first to demonstrate that CA19-9 is a prognostic biomarker of pNETs, one that may reflect its aggressiveness and severity.

#### Introduction

Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (pNETs) are tumors arising from the endocrine cells of the pancreas; pNETs comprise <3% of novel pancreatic neoplasms (1). Its incidence has increased in recent years since the introduction of novel diagnostic procedures (2). pNETs can be generally divided into functional and nonfunctional tumors. Nonfunctional pNETs constitute ~85% of all pNETs and are more aggressive compared with the functional pNETs (1,3). Although they are generally viewed as indolent tumors, pNETs are highly heterogenous neoplasms and certain subgroups may demonstrate aggressive characteristics (4,5). Currently, therapeutic methods for pNETs are diverse, including surgical resection and non-surgical interventions (targeted therapies, chemotherapy, somatostatin analogues, peptide receptor radionuclide therapy and liver-directed therapies) (6-8). Close observation may be required to small pNETs (9,10). Therefore, biomarkers that reflect the aggressive features of pNETs are urgently required in order to aid therapeutic decisions and follow-up observations (11).

Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) is a tumor-associated carbohydrate biomarker that was derived from a human colorectal cancer cell line targeted by the monoclonal antibody 1116-NS-19-9 (12). It has been widely used in the management of gastrointestinal malignancies, particularly for pancreatic cancer (11,13). In a pool data analysis of CA19-9 for the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer, the median sensitivity was 79% (70-90%) and the median specificity was 82% (68-91%) (14). It is a sialylated Lewis blood group antigen and its secretion is influenced by Lewis antigen phenotypes (13). The elevation of CA19-9 expression levels has also been observed in other conditions, including biliary obstruction and inflammation, digestive tract inflammation and other gastrointestinal malignancies, which limits its clinical application in pancreatic cancer (13,14).

CA19-9 is not generally considered to be a diagnostic or prognostic biomarker in pNETs as the majority of pNETs

*Correspondence to:* Dr Xianjun Yu, Department of Pancreatic Surgery, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, 270, Dong'An Road, Xuhui, Shanghai 200032, P.R. China E-mail: yuxianjun@fudanpci.org

Dr Jie Chen, Department of Gastroenterology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, 58 Zhongshan II Road, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510080, P.R. China E-mail: chen0jie@hotmail.com

*Key words:* pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, prognosis, carbohydrate antigen 19-9, biomarker

present with normal range of CA19-9 (15,16). Conversely, in view of its abnormal increased expression level in common pancreatic cancer; CA19-9 has been used as a diagnostic marker to differentiate pancreatic cancer from pNETs (15,16). However, few previous studies have demonstrated that CA19-9 may be used as a prognostic biomarker in neuroendocrine tumors (17,18). For example, Elisei et al (17) reported a case of multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B with significant elevation of CA19-9 expression levels. The patient experienced rapid disease progression and survived for only a short period, indicating that CA19-9 may be a biomarker of aggressiveness (17). A further study conducted by Elisei et al (18) revealed that 16/100 advanced structural recurrent/persistent medullary thyroid cancer tissues exhibited high expression levels of CA19-9, and the CA19-9 positive group demonstrated a higher mortality rate compared with the normal CA19-9 expression group.

The aim of current study was to evaluate the role of serum CA19-9 expression levels as a prognostic factor in a relatively large cohort of patients with pNETs at various clinical stages. Potential factors associated with abnormally increased expression levels of CA19-9 in pNETs were also investigated.

# Materials and methods

Study design and treatment. Patients (156 cases) were retrospectively retrieved from a single institution (Shanghai Cancer Center, Fudan University, Shanghai, China) between June 2006 and February 2015. The male-female ratio was ~1.1:1, with a mean age of 53 (range, 15-77). Specimens were collected prior to initiating major treatment by the Tissue Bank, Shanghai Cancer Center (Shanghai, China). The enrollment criteria included subjects who had baseline CA19-9 information. In addition, patients were included if they had complete demographics information. Patients were excluded if the diagnosis of pNET was not pathologically confirmed. All the cases were staged according to the modified European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society Tumor-Node-Metastasis (TNM) staging system (19). Tumors were classified as G1, G2 or G3 according to the 2010 World Health Organization classification (based on the mitotic index and the Ki-67 index) (20). All clinical and pathological data were collected from patient medical records obtained from the Shanghai Cancer Center, Fudan University. Incidental pNETs were detected during health check-ups or evaluations for unassociated symptoms (15). Functional pNETs were also included in incidental pNETs in the present study. The primary end point was set as overall survival. Follow-up information was updated in December 2016, with a median follow-up time of 32 months. Survival time was determined from the date of final diagnosis to the date of the last follow-up or mortality. The present study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Shanghai Cancer Center, Fudan University. Informed written consent was obtained from all patients prior to enrollment in the current study.

*CA19-9 evaluation*. Serum CA19-9 expression levels were examined within 2 weeks prior to major treatment initiation using an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay on the Roche Cobas e601 (Roche MODU D+P model, D2400-P800) immunoassay analyzer (Roche Diagnostics GmbH,

Table I. Demographics and clinical characteristics.

Demographic/clinical characteristics	Patients, n (%)
Age	
≤50 years	69 (44.2)
>50 years	87 (55.8)
Gender	
Male	73 (46.8)
Female	83 (53.2)
Location	
Head	65 (41.7)
Other	91 (58.3)
Size	
≤3 cm	53 (34.0)
>3 cm	103 (66.0)
TNM stage	
I, II	102 (65.4)
III, IV	54 (34.6)
Grade	
G1, G2	114 (73.1)
G3	16 (10.3)
Unknown	26 (16.7)
Functional	
Positive	15 (9.6)
Negative	141 (90.4)
Symptomatic	
Positive	92 (59.0)
Negative	64 (41.0)

TNM, tumor-node-metastasis.

Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The recommended upper limit for the serum CA19-9 expression level was <37 U/ml. CA19-9 has been reported to be affected by biliary obstruction, inflammation and other conditions (14); thus, all patients with serum bilirubin >2 mg/ml, biliary obstruction and inflammation, digestive tract inflammation or other gastrointestinal malignancies at the time of CA19-9 evaluation were excluded (165 cases were included at the start and 156 cases were included subsequent to the exclusions).

Statistical analysis. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and area under the ROC curve were used to select the optimal cut-off values for baseline CA19-9 expression levels. Time-to-event variables were determined using the Kaplan-Meier method. Arms stratified by potential prognostic factors (age, gender, size, location, TNM stage, CA19-9 levels, grade and symptom) were analyzed by the log-rank tests. The Cox's proportional hazard ratio (HR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) was used to estimate the difference between the stratified arms using the Stata<sup>®</sup> version 12.0 statistical software package (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). Categorical data were analyzed

	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
Characteristic	HR	95% CI	P-value	HR	95% CI	P-value	
Age							
≤50	1	/	/				
>50	0.82	0.40-1.67	0.581				
Gender							
Male	1	/	/				
Female	0.52	0.25-1.08	0.075				
Size (cm)							
≤3	1	/	/				
>3	1.88	0.81-4.38	0.135				
Location							
Head	1	/	/				
Other	1.16	0.56-2.41	0.682				
TNM stage							
I, II	1	/	/	1	/	/	
III, IV	5.08	2.42-10.67	< 0.001	2.88	1.20-6.93	0.018 <sup>a</sup>	
CA19-9 (U/ml)							
≤16	1	/	/	1	/	/	
>16	2.60	1.28-5.28	0.006	1.52	0.70-3.29	0.286	
Grade							
G1, G2	1	/	/	1	/	/	
G3	13.43	5.65-31.93	< 0.001	8.79	3.47-22.28	<0.001ª	
Unknown	3.10	1.25-7.69	0.015	1.77	0.65-4.80	0.262	
Incidental							
Negative	1	/	/				
Positive	0.67	0.30-1.45	0.295				

Table II. Univariate and multivariate analysis for overall survival of all patients using the Cox proportional hazards model.

<sup>a</sup>P<0.05; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.



Figure 1. The ROC curve and area under the ROC curve for baseline carbohydrate antigen 19-9 expression levels as a prognostic factor. ROC, receiver-operating characteristic.

using Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. A two-sided P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

# Results

Data and survival analysis of patients. A total of 156 patients with pathologically confirmed pNETs were included in the final analysis (Table I). The male-female ratio was ~1.1:1, with 55.8% of patients being >50-years old (range, 15-77). A total of 65 (41.7%) patients had tumors located at the head of the pancreas and 53 (34.0%) patients had tumors <3 cm in diameter. In the current series, 65.4% of patients had stage I or II tumors. A majority (73.1%) of patients had G1 or G2 diseases, and 15 (9.6%) patients had functional diseases. For all the patients, ~59.0% of the patients had pNETs with symptoms and the other 41% were asymptomatic.

The selected cut-off value for CA19-9 as a prognostic predictor of pNETs was 16 U/ml by the ROC curve (area under ROC curve, 0.68; sensitivity 61.9%; specificity 76.7%; Fig. 1), with 32.7% of cases having CA19-9 expression levels higher than the cut-off value. A total of 8 (5.1%) cases had a CA19-9 expression level >100 U/ml and 22 (14.1%) cases were >37 U/ml. Univariate analysis was performed in order to evaluate factors associated with overall survival using the

Age         0.379 $\pm 50$ years         49         20           >50 years         56         31           Gender         0.465           Male         47         26           Female         58         25           Location         0.931           Head         44         21           Others         61         30           Size         0.119 $\pm 3$ cm         40         13           >3 cm         65         38           TNM stage         0.001°         1           I,II         78         24           II,IV         27         27           Grade         0.0075         61, 62           G1, 62         82         32           G3         8         8           Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057           Positive         12         10           Negative         41         15           Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093           Positive         7         5           Negative         42         18           Functional         0.310           Positive         7<	Demographic or clinical characteristic	CA19-9 ≤16 U/ml	CA19-9 >16 U/ml	P-value
s50 years         9         20           >50 years         56         31           Gender         0.465           Male         47         26           Female         58         25           Location         0.931           Head         44         21           Others         61         30           Size         0.119         53 cm           s3 cm         65         38           TNM stage         0.001 <sup>s</sup> 3           1,11         78         24           III,IV         27         27           Grade         0.0075         61           G1,G2         82         32           G3         8         8           Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057           Positive         12         10           Negative         41         15           Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093           Positive         7         5           Negative         42         18           Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.0429           Positive         7         5           Negative         13         2      <	Age			0.379
>50 years     56     31       Gender     0.465       Male     47     26       Female     58     25       Location     0.931       Head     44     21       Others     61     30       Size     0.119       s3 cm     40     13       >3 cm     65     38       TNM stage     0.001 <sup>a</sup> I,II     78     24       II,IV     72     27       Grade     0.075       G1,G2     82     32       G3     8     8       Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     41     15       Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429       Positive     7     5       Negative     42     18       Functional     7     5       Negative     13     2       Positive     7     5       Negative     13     2       Positive     7     5       Negative     13     2       Positive     13     2       Negative     92     49       Symptomatic     0.310       Positive     5<	≤50 years	49	20	
Gender     0.465       Male     47     26       Female     58     25       Location     0.931       Head     44     21       Others     61     30       Size     0.119       ≤3 cm     40     13       >3 cm     58     25       TNM stage     0.001"       1,11     78     24       11,1V     27     27       Grade     0.075       G1,62     82     32       G3     8     8       Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     41     15       Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.033       Positive     7     5       Negative     41     15       Negative     13     2       Positive     13     2       Negative     13     2       Negative     92     49       Symptomatic     0.310       Positive     13     2       Negative     13     2       Negative     13     3       Negative     13     3       Negative     13     3       Negative     13 <td>&gt;50 years</td> <td>56</td> <td>31</td> <td></td>	>50 years	56	31	
Male     47     26       Female     58     25       Location     0.931       Head     44     21       Others     61     30       Size     0.119       s3 cm     40     13       >3 cm     65     38       TNM stage     0.001s       1,I     78     24       III, IV     27     27       Grade     0.075       G1, G2     82     32       G3     8     8       Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     41     15       Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.033       Positive     7     5       Negative     7     5       Negative     7     5       Negative     13     2       Positive     13     2       Negative     13     2       Negati	Gender			0.465
Fenale     58     25       Location     0.931       Head     44     21       Others     61     30       Size     0.119       43 cm     40     13       >3 cm     65     38       TNM stage     0.001s       I,II     78     24       III,IV     72     7       Grade     0.075       GJ,G2     82     32       G3     8     8       Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     41     15       Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429       Positive     7     5       Negative     13     2       Positive     13     2       Negative     5     33	Male	47	26	
Location     0.931       Head     44     21       Others     61     30       Size     0.119       s3 cm     40     13       >3 cm     65     38       TNM stage     0.001*       1, II     78     24       III, IV     27     27       Grade     0.075       G1, G2     82     32       G3     8     8       Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     41     15       Negative     41     15       Negative     7     5       Negative     13     2       Positive     13     2       Negative     59     33       Negative     46     18	Female	58	25	
Head     44     21       Others     61     30       Size     0.119       s3 cm     40     13       >3 cm     65     38       TNM stage     0.001 <sup>a</sup> I,I,I     78     24       III,IV     27     27       Grade     0.075       G1,G2     82     32       G3     8     8       Lymph staus <sup>b</sup> 0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     45     14       Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093       Positive     10     8       Negative     41     15       Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429       Positive     7     5       Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.085       Positive     13     2       Positive     13     2       Negative     13     3       Negative     59     33	Location			0.931
Others     61     30       Size     0.119       s3 cm     40     13       >3 cm     65     38       TNM stage     0.001s       I, II     78     24       II, IV     27     27       Grade     0.075       G1, G2     82     32       G3     8     8       Lymph statusb     0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     45     14       Vessel invasionb     0.093       Positive     10     8       Negative     41     15       Negative     7     5       Negative     13     2       Positive     13     2       Positive     13     2       Negative     59     33	Head	44	21	
Size       0.119 $\leq 3  \mathrm{cm}$ 40       13 $\geq 3  \mathrm{cm}$ 65       38         TNM stage       0.001°         I, I       78       24         III, IV       27       27         Grade       0.075         G1, G2       82       32         G3       8       8         Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057         Positive       12       10         Negative       45       14         Vesel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093         Positive       10       8         Negative       41       15         Negative       42       18         Functional       0.085         Positive       13       2         Negative       59       33	Others	61	30	
$\leq 3  \mathrm{cm}$ 4013>3  \mathrm{cm}6538TNM stage0.001sTIM stage0.001sI, II7824III, IV2727Grade0.075G1, G28232G388Lymph statusb0.057Positive1210Negative4514Vessel invasionb0.093Positive108Negative4115Nerve invasionb0.429Positive75Negative4218Functional0.085Positive132Negative9333	Size			0.119
>3 cm       65       38         TNM stage       0.001*         I, II       78       24         III, IV       27       27         Grade       0.075         G1, G2       82       32         G3       8       8         Lymph staus <sup>b</sup> 0.057         Positive       12       10         Negative       45       14         Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429         Positive       7       5         Negative       41       15         Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429         Positive       7       5         Negative       42       18         Functional       0.855         Positive       13       2         Negative       92       49         Symptomatic       59       33         Negative       46       18	≤3 cm	40	13	
TNM stage     0.001°       I, II     78     24       III, IV     27     27       Grade     0.075       G1, G2     82     32       G3     8     8       Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     45     14       Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093       Positive     10     8       Negative     41     15       Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429       Positive     7     5       Negative     42     18       Functional     0.085       Positive     13     2       Negative     92     49       Symptomatic     0.310       Positive     59     33       Negative     46     18	>3 cm	65	38	
I, II     78     24       III, IV     27     27       Grade     0.075       G1, G2     82     32       G3     8     8       Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     45     14       Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093       Positive     10     8       Negative     41     15       Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429       Positive     7     5       Negative     42     18       Functional     0.085       Positive     13     2       Negative     29     49       Symptomatic     59     33       Negative     46     18	TNM stage			0.001ª
III, IV     27     27       Grade     0.075       G1, G2     82     32       G3     8     8       Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     45     14       Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093       Positive     10     8       Negative     41     15       Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429       Positive     7     5       Negative     42     18       Functional     2     49       Symptomatic     0.310     0.310       Positive     59     33       Negative     46     18	I, II	78	24	
Grade       0.075         G1, G2       82       32         G3       8       8         Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057         Positive       12       10         Negative       45       14         Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093         Positive       10       8         Negative       41       15         Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429         Positive       7       5         Negative       42       18         Functional       2       0.085         Positive       13       2         Negative       92       49         Symptomatic       0.310         Positive       59       33         Negative       46       18	III, IV	27	27	
G1, G28232G388Lymph statusb0.057Positive1210Negative4514Vessel invasionb0.093Positive108Negative4115Nerve invasionb0.429Positive75Negative4218Functional0.085Positive132Negative9249Symptomatic0.310Positive5933Negative4618	Grade			0.075
G3     8     8       Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057       Positive     12     10       Negative     45     14       Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093       Positive     10     8       Negative     41     15       Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429       Positive     7     5       Negative     42     18       Functional     2       Positive     13     2       Negative     92     49       Symptomatic     0.310       Positive     59     33       Negative     46     18	G1, G2	82	32	
Lymph status <sup>b</sup> 0.057         Positive       12       10         Negative       45       14         Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093         Positive       10       8         Negative       41       15         Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429         Positive       7       5         Negative       42       18         Functional       0.085         Positive       13       2         Negative       92       49         Symptomatic       0.310         Positive       59       33         Negative       46       18	G3	8	8	
Positive       12       10         Negative       45       14         Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093         Positive       10       8         Negative       41       15         Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429         Positive       7       5         Negative       42       18         Functional       0.085         Positive       13       2         Negative       92       49         Symptomatic       0.310         Positive       59       33         Negative       46       18	Lymph status <sup>b</sup>			0.057
Negative       45       14         Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093         Positive       10       8         Negative       41       15         Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429         Positive       7       5         Negative       42       18         Functional       0.085         Positive       13       2         Negative       92       49         Symptomatic       0.310         Positive       59       33         Negative       46       18	Positive	12	10	
Vesel invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.093         Positive       10       8         Negative       41       15         Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429         Positive       7       5         Negative       42       18         Functional       0.085         Positive       13       2         Negative       92       49         Symptomatic       0.310         Positive       59       33         Negative       46       18	Negative	45	14	
Positive       10       8         Negative       41       15         Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429         Positive       7       5         Negative       42       18         Functional       0.085         Positive       13       2         Negative       92       49         Symptomatic       0.310         Positive       59       33         Negative       46       18	Vessel invasion <sup>b</sup>			0.093
Negative     41     15       Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup> 0.429       Positive     7     5       Negative     42     18       Functional     0.085       Positive     13     2       Negative     92     49       Symptomatic     0.310       Positive     59     33       Negative     46     18	Positive	10	8	
Nerve invasionb0.429Positive75Negative4218Functional0.085Positive132Negative9249Symptomatic0.310Positive5933Negative4618	Negative	41	15	
Positive75Negative4218Functional0.085Positive132Negative9249Symptomatic0.310Positive5933Negative4618	Nerve invasion <sup>b</sup>			0.429
Negative4218Functional0.085Positive132Negative9249Symptomatic0.310Positive5933Negative4618	Positive	7	5	
Functional0.085Positive132Negative9249Symptomatic0.310Positive5933Negative4618	Negative	42	18	
Positive132Negative9249Symptomatic0.310Positive5933Negative4618	Functional			0.085
Negative9249Symptomatic0.310Positive59Negative4618	Positive	13	2	
Symptomatic0.310Positive5933Negative4618	Negative	92	49	
Positive5933Negative4618	Symptomatic			0.310
Negative 46 18	Positive	59	33	
	Negative	46	18	

Table III. Defulli CATP- Copression revers, patient demographies and ennied characteristics	Table III.	Serum	CA19-9	expression	levels,	patient	demographic	s and	clinical	characteristics.
---	------------	-------	--------	------------	---------	---------	-------------	-------	----------	------------------

<sup>a</sup>P<0.05; <sup>b</sup>Cases that underwent curative resection only. TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9.

Cox's proportional hazards model. The results demonstrated that TNM stage III or IV (HR=5.08; P<0.001), CA19-9 >16 U/ml (HR=2.60; P=0.006) and G3 diseases (HR=13.43; P<0.001) are adverse prognostic factors for patients' overall survival, whereas age, gender, tumor size and tumor location were not significantly associated with overall survival (Table II; Fig. 2). In multivariate analysis, TNM stage III or IV (HR=2.88; P=0.018) and G3 diseases (HR=8.79; P<0.001) were determined to be adverse prognostic factors for patients' overall survival (Table II).

CA19-9 >16 U/ml group (Table III). The CA19-9 >16 U/ml group had a significantly higher proportion of patients with TNM stage III/IV (P=0.001), but not age (P=0.379), gender (P=0.465), location (P=0.931), nerve invasion (P=0.429), functional (P=0.085) and symptomatic status (P=0.310). Although not statistically significant, the CA19-9 >16 U/ml group also demonstrated a trend to have a greater proportion of G3 tumors (P=0.075), positive lymph status (P=0.057), tumor size (P=0.119) and vessel invasion (P=0.093).

Parameters associated with baseline NLR levels. A  $\chi^2$  test was performed in order to compare clinicopathological characteristics between the CA19-9  $\leq$ 16 U/ml group and the

# Discussion

The present study determined the cut-off value for CA19-9 as a prognostic predictor of pNETs to be 16 U/ml, by ROC curve.



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors according to (A) CA19-9 expression levels ( $\leq 16$  U/ml vs. >16 U/ml), (B) TNM stage (I, II vs. III, IV), (C) grade (G1, G2 vs. G3) and (D) symptoms (incidental vs. symptomatic). CA19-9 >16 U/ml (P=0.006), TNM stage III or IV (P<0.001) and G3 diseases (P<0.001) are adverse prognostic factors for patients' overall survival, whereas symptomatic disease was not significantly associated with overall survival (P=0.295). CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9.

Univariate analysis demonstrated that CA19-9 >16 U/ml was an adverse prognostic factor for patients' overall survival. It was also revealed that the CA19-9 >16 U/ml group had a statistically higher proportion of TNM stage III/IV, as compared with the CA19-9  $\leq$ 16 U/ml group. These findings indicate that CA19-9 may be a prognostic biomarker of pNETs, which may be able to reflect the aggressiveness and severity of the disease.

Chromogranin A (CgA) is currently the most widely used and most characterized biomarker of pNETs, and is detected in the circulation (16,21-23). In contrast to CgA, which is known to be elevated in well- and moderately-differentiated NETs (23), the present study demonstrated that increased CA19-9 expression levels indicated s poor prognosis, G3 disease, advanced stage and aggressive features. Therefore, for cases with abnormal elevation of the CA19-9 expression level, active treatment, including surgical resection and adjuvant treatments, and close follow-up must be strongly recommended and observation alone should not be used. In addition, CA19-9 may supplement CgA as a prognostic biomarker for pNETs. Furthermore, CgA should be combined with CA19-9 to reflect the tumor volume and severity of pNETs.

Compared with symptomatic non-functional pNETs, incidental pNETs are more frequently <2 cm in diameter, stage T1, node negative, grade I and associated with improved prognosis (15). Cheema *et al* (15) evaluated 143 patients with stage I-III pNETs, and the 5-year progression-free survival rate of incidentally diagnosed tumors was significantly higher compared with symptomatic tumors (86.0 vs. 59.0%; P=0.007). The present study revealed that 41.0% of pNETs were incidental pNETs, and these demonstrated no significantly improved

survival compared with symptomatic tumors (HR=0.67; P=0.295), contrary to the results of a previous study (15).

In the present study cohort, only 8 (5.1%) cases had a CA19-9 expression level >100 U/ml and 22 (14.1%) cases were >37 U/ml, which is a lower frequency compared with that in pancreatic cancer (14). However, the use of CA19-9 as a differentiating diagnostic marker for pancreatic cancer must be utilized with caution, as >14% of pNETs were found to have aberrant CA19-9 secretion (>37 U/ml). The molecular mechanisms underlying the abnormal elevation of CA19-9 expression levels in pNETs are largely undefined. Previous studies have demonstrated that CA19-9 abnormal secretion may be explained by tumor hypoxia and glycosylation (24,25). The observation that CA19-9 was correlated with TNM stage and vessel invasion in pNETs in the present study also indicates that tumor hypoxia and glycosylation may be potential associated mechanisms. Further studies are required in order to confirm this hypothesis.

In the present study, pNETs with a CA19-9 >16 U/ml demonstrated a trend towards having a higher proportion of G3 tumors, as compared with pNETs with a CA19-9  $\leq$ 16 U/ml (P=0.075). G3 pNETs are well known for their aggressiveness and poor response to major treatment strategies, including surgery (26). Considering the differing management strategy between G1, G2 and G3 pNETs in clinical practice, the aberrant elevation of CA19-9 may serve as an indication of G3 pNETs for clinicians (26). However, further studies with larger sample cohort are required.

The novelty of the present study is in that, to the best of our knowledge, it is the first to reveal that CA19-9 is a

prognostic biomarker of pNETs, which may be able to reflect poor prognosis, advanced stage and aggressive characteristics. This result is in contrast to the results of a previous study that indicated that CA19-9 has limited value in the management of pNETs (27). In addition, CA19-9 may supplement CgA as a biomarker to guide the management of pNETs. However, as the present study was retrospective, the results must be interpreted with caution. Further prospective studies with larges sample sizes are urgently required in order to confirm these findings.

### Acknowledgements

The present study was supported by the National Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars of China (grant no. 81625016), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 81372649, 81172276, 81370065 and 81372653), the Shanghai Municipal Commission of Health and Family Planning Scientific Research (grant no. 20144Y0170) and the Basic Research Projects of the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (grant no. 15JC1401200).

#### References

- Halfdanarson TR, Rabe KG, Rubin J and Petersen GM: Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs): Incidence, prognosis and recent trend toward improved survival. Ann Oncol 19: 1727-1733, 2008.
- Luo G, Liu Z, Guo M, Jin K, Xiao Z, Liu L, Xu J, Zhang B, Liu C, Huang D, *et al*: (18)F-FDG PET/CT can be used to detect non-functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Int J Oncol 45: 1531-1536, 2014.
- Bilimoria KY, Tomlinson JS, Merkow RP, Stewart AK, Ko CY, Talamonti MS and Bentrem DJ: Clinicopathologic features and treatment trends of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: Analysis of 9,821 patients. J Gastrointest Surg 11: 1460-1469, 2007.
- 4. Du S, Wang Z, Sang X, Lu X, Zheng Y, Xu H, Xu Y, Chi T, Zhao H, Wang W, *et al*: Surgical resection improves the outcome of the patients with neuroendocrine tumor liver metastases: Large data from Asia. Medicine (Baltimore) 9: e388, 2015.
- Frilling A, Akerström G, Falconi M, Pavel M, Ramos J, Kidd M and Modlin IM: Neuroendocrine tumor disease: An evolving landscape. Endocr Relat Cancer 19: R163-R185, 2012.
- 6. Falconi M, Zerbi A, Crippa S, Balzano G, Boninsegna L, Capitanio V, Bassi C, Di Carlo V and Pederzoli P: Parenchyma-preserving resections for small nonfunctioning pancreatic endocrine tumors. Ann Surg Oncol 17: 1621-1627, 2010.
- Valle JW, Eatock M, Clueit B, Gabriel Z, Ferdinand R and Mitchell S: A systematic review of non-surgical treatments for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. Cancer Treat Rev 40: 376-389, 2014.
- Yang M, Zeng L, Zhang Y, Su AP, Yue PJ and Tian BL: Surgical treatment and clinical outcome of nonfunctional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: A 14-year experience from one single center. Medicine (Baltimore) 93: e94, 2014.
- Kuo EJ and Salem RR: Population-level analysis of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors 2 cm or less in size. Ann Surg Oncol 20: 2815-2821, 2013.
- Lee LC, Grant CS, Salomao DR, Fletcher JG, Takahashi N, Fidler JL, Levy MJ and Huebner M: Small, nonfunctioning, asymptomatic pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs): Role for nonoperative management. Surgery 152: 965-974, 2012.

- Liu B, Tang LH, Liu Z, Mei M, Yu R, Dhall D, Qiao XW, Zhang TP, Zhao YP, Liu TH, *et al*: α-Internexin: A novel biomarker for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor aggressiveness. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 99: E786-E795, 2014.
- Koprowski H, Steplewski Z, Mitchell K, Herlyn M, Herlyn D and Fuhrer P: Colorectal carcinoma antigens detected by hybridoma antibodies. Somatic Cell Genet 5: 957-971, 1979.
   Luo G, Xiao Z, Long J, Liu Z, Liu L, Liu C, Xu J, Ni Q and Yu X:
- Luo G, Xiao Z, Long J, Liu Z, Liu L, Liu C, Xu J, Ni Q and Yu X: CA125 is superior to CA19-9 in predicting the resectability of pancreatic cancer. J Gastrointest Surg 17: 2092-2098, 2013.
- 14. Goonetilleke KS and Siriwardena AK: Systematic review of carbohydrate antigen (CA 19-9) as a biochemical marker in the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. Eur J Surg Oncol 33: 266-270, 2007.
- Cheema A, Weber J and Strosberg JR: Incidental detection of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: An analysis of incidence and outcomes. Ann Surg Oncol 19: 2932-2936, 2012.
- 16. Hijioka M, Ito T, Igarashi H, Fujimori N, Lee L, Nakamura T, Jensen RT and Takayanagi R: Serum chromogranin A is a useful marker for Japanese patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Cancer Sci 105: 1464-1471, 2014.
- Elisei R, Lorusso L, Romei C, Bottici V, Mazzeo S, Giani C, Fiore E, Torregrossa L, Insilla AC, Basolo F, *et al*: Medullary thyroid cancer secreting carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (Ca 19-9): A fatal case report. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 98: 3550-3554, 2012.
- 18. Elisei R, Lorusso L, Piaggi P, Torregrossa L, Pellegrini G, Molinaro E, Agate L, Bottici V, Pani F, Cacciato Insilla A, *et al*: Elevated serum levels of carbohydrate antigen 19.9 (Ca 19.9) is a prognostic factor of death in patients with advanced medullary thyroid cancer. Eur J Endocrinol 173: 297-304, 2015.
- 19. Luo G, Javed A, Strosberg JR, Jin K, Zhang Y, Liu C, Xu J, Soares K, Weiss MJ, Zheng L, *et al*: Modified staging classification for pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors on the basis of the American Joint Committee on Cancer and European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society Systems. J Clin Oncol 35: 274-280, 2017.
- 20. Rindi G, Arnold R, Bosman FT, Capella C, Klimstra D and Klöppel G: Nomenclature and classification of neuroendocrine neoplasms of the digestive system. In: Bosman TF, Carneiro F, Hruban RH, Theise ND (eds). WHO classification of tumours of the digestive system. Lyon, France, International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), 2010.
- 21. Campana D, Nori F, Piscitelli L, Morselli-Labate AM, Pezzilli R, Corinaldesi R and Tomassetti P: Chromogranin A: Is it a useful marker of neuroendocrine tumors? J Clin Oncol 25: 1967-1973, 2007.
- 22. Zatelli MC, Torta M, Leon A, Ambrosio MR, Gion M, Tomassetti P, De Braud F, Delle Fave G, Dogliotti L and degli Uberti EC; Italian CromaNet Working Group: Chromogranin A as a marker of neuroendocrine neoplasia: An Italian Multicenter Study. Endocr Relat Cancer 14: 473-482, 2007.
- Modlin IM, Gustafsson BI, Moss SF, Pavel M, Tsolakis AV and Kidd M: Chromogranin A-biological function and clinical utility in neuro endocrine tumor disease. Ann Surg Oncol 17: 2427-2443, 2010.
- Kannagi R: Carbohydrate antigen sialyl Lewis a-its pathophysiological significance and induction mechanism in cancer progression. Chang Gung Med J 30: 189-209, 2007.
- 25. Kannagi R, Sakuma K, Miyazaki K, Lim KT, Yusa A, Yin J and Izawa M: Altered expression of glycan genes in cancers induced by epigenetic silencing and tumor hypoxia: Clues in the ongoing search for new tumor markers. Cancer Sci 101: 586-593, 2010.
- 26. Jin K, Xu J, Chen J, Chen M, Chen R, Chen Y, Chen Z, Cheng B, Chi Y, Feng ST, *et al*: Surgical management for non-functional pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms with synchronous liver metastasis: A consensus from the Chinese Study Group for Neuroendocrine Tumors (CSNET). Int J Oncol 49: 1991-2000, 2016.
- 27. Li J, Luo G, Fu D, Jin C, Hao S, Yang F, Wang X, Yao L and Ni Q: Preoperative diagnosis of nonfunctioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Med Oncol 28: 1027-1031, 2011.