Abstract. Malignant lymphoma of the uterine cervix is exceedingly rare and is difficult to diagnose by cervical cytology. The current study presents a case of malignant lymphoma of the uterine cervix that was presumptively diagnosed by cervical cancer screening in which the patient had no clinical symptoms. The anterior lip of the uterine cervix was occupied by a macroscopic hemorrhagic tumor. The obtained tumor cells exhibited typical cytological features of malignant lymphoma and were positive for CD20. The final diagnosis was diffuse large B cell lymphoma of the uterine cervix, stage IIEA (Ann Arbor classification). The patient received 6 courses of R-CHOP chemotherapy and achieved complete remission. Despite its rarity, the possibility of malignant lymphoma should be considered while screening for cervical cancers using Pap smears. The Pap test screening may be useful for the early diagnosis of malignant lymphoma of the uterine cervix in certain cases. By reaching a rapid and accurate diagnosis, immediate treatment may be initiated and surgery may be avoided.

Introduction

Malignant lymphoma of the uterine cervix and corpus is relatively rare and accounts for only 0.5% of extranodal lymphomas. The overall incidence of malignant lymphomas of the uterine cervix is less than 1% among all cervical malignancies (1), and only a few cases have been reported to date (2-4). It is difficult to diagnose malignant lymphomas of the uterine cervix by cervical cytology because lymphomas at this site are rare and can be misdiagnosed as other clinical conditions such as chronic cervicitis and epithelial malignancies (e.g., small cell carcinoma and poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma) (1). As a result, there are many cases where malignant lymphoma was diagnosed after invasive surgery.

Here, we present a case of malignant lymphoma of the uterine cervix presumptively diagnosed by the Papanicolaou (Pap) smear. We also discuss the clinicopathological features and therapeutic management of this rare tumor.

Case report

A 74-year-old woman (gravida 6, para 3) with no clinical symptoms had a medical checkup. Her past medical history was not significant. The result from the uterine cancer test was ‘possible non-epithelial malignant tumor, including malignant lymphoma’. A detailed examination was conducted at our hospital.

Vaginal examination revealed a macroscopic, whitish hemorrhagic tumor (Fig. 1) occupying the anterior lip of the uterine cervix (Fig. 1A). Pelvic ultrasound showed an irregular round hypoechoic mass approximately 4 cm in diameter. Positron emission tomography (PET) scan demonstrated a uterine cervical mass with high PET avidity, and another swollen lymph node was detected near the gastric cardia. No notable abnormalities, including the levels of serum LDH and soluble IL 2 receptor, were found in a blood test.

We obtained written informed consent, and patient anonymity has been preserved.

Pathological findings. Cervical cytology was performed by liquid-based cytology (LBC) using the Sure Path method. The LBC slide demonstrated a necrotic background and scattered atypical cells with high nuclear/cytoplasmic ratios (Fig. 2A). It is difficult to diagnose malignant lymphomas of the uterine cervix by cervical cytology because lymphomas at this site are rare and can be misdiagnosed as other clinical conditions such as chronic cervicitis and epithelial malignancies (e.g., small cell carcinoma and poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma) (1).
results from the immunohistochemical analysis were as follows: AE1/3 (-), p40 (-), CEA (-), S-100 (-), CD10 (-), BCL-2 (-). Immunocytochemical staining demonstrated similar findings to the immunohistochemical analysis (Fig. 4). A bone marrow aspiration biopsy revealed normocellular marrow, and no lymphoma cell invasion was observed. Immunophenotyping of the bone marrow aspirate by flow cytometry showed no aberrant antigen expression or monotypic B cells.

Based on these results, the final diagnosis was diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL) of the uterine cervix, stage IIEA (Ann Arbor classification). The patient received 6 courses of R-CHOP chemotherapy (rituximab, 375 mg/m² at day 1; cyclophosphamide, 750 mg/m² at day 1; doxorubicin, 50 mg/m² at day 1; vincristine, 1.4 mg/m² at day 1; prednisolone 100 mg/body at day 1-5) and achieved complete remission. The cervical tumor disappeared grossly (Fig. 1B), and atypical cells were not present in the Pap smear and biopsy specimens. Complete remission was also confirmed by PET/CT scan and no residual or relapse tumor was found (data not shown).

Discussion

We encountered a case of malignant lymphoma of the uterine cervix that was presumptively diagnosed by a Pap smear screening.

Due to its rarity, it is usually difficult to diagnose malignant lymphoma of the uterine cervix by Pap smears. In cervical cytology, the positivity for malignant lymphoma has been reported to be 30–40% (5–7). This may be due to the fact that while lymphoma cells often infiltrate the cervical stroma, epithelial lining tissues remain preserved (5). In Pap screenings, the classical cytological features of malignant lymphoma are as follows: The presence of a dispersed monomorphic cell population, high nuclear/cytoplasmic ratios, coarse granular nuclear chromatin, focally cleaved nuclei, and the presence of prominent nucleoli (8). The differential diagnosis of malignant lymphoma of the uterine cervix includes chronic cervicitis, lymphoma-like lesions (LLLs), carcinoma, carcinosarcoma, endometrial stromal sarcoma, melanoma (small cell variant), and primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET). However, meticulous morphological observations and immunohistochemical analysis can efficiently distinguish malignant lymphoma from these other lesions (9). In our case, the tumor cells were easily obtained due to its extroverted growth, and typical cytological features of malignant lymphoma were observed in cervical cytology. Although approximately 80% of the cells were atypical cells in the Pap staining of our case,
precise percentage for the lymphoma cells in the slide has not yet been elucidated to date. Further cytological study seems to be required. In general, diagnosis of B cell lymphoma is based on morphology (H&E staining) and CD20 positive and CD3 negative, additional immunohistochemical analyses including CD10, BCL-2, and c-Myc may be useful to distinguish histological subtypes.

The clinical diagnosis of cervical lymphoma may be difficult due to the lack of specific symptoms. The most common clinical symptoms have been reported as vaginal bleeding or discharge, and abdominal or pelvic pain. However, some patients were reported to be asymptomatic (9). The correct diagnosis is often delayed and, as a result, the disease is diagnosed at an advanced stage. Our patient was also asymptomatic; however, we were able to detect malignant lymphoma at an early stage through cervical cytology. Therefore, Pap test screenings may be useful for the early diagnosis of malignant lymphoma of the uterine cervix. We did not measure the serum level of such parameters as EBV-DNA and CMV-DNA in the present case. Other hematological malignancies including virus associated ones should be considered when diagnosing malignant lymphoma.

Standard therapy for DLBCL is R-CHOP chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy. In a previous review article, they reported that 30-50% of patients underwent surgery, which included invasive radical hysterectomy with pelvic lymphadenectomy. Since R-CHOP chemotherapy is the most effective treatment, invasive surgery should be avoided (10).

Despite its rarity, the possibility of malignant lymphoma should be considered when screening for cervical cancers by Pap tests. In addition to conventional morphological observations, it is important to perform immunohistochemical analyses. A rapid and accurate diagnosis can lead to immediate treatment without requiring surgery. The accumulation of more clinical cases is important for obtaining a clearer understanding of the clinicopathological features of this rare tumor.
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