Prognostic value of glypican-1 for patients with advanced pancreatic cancer following regional intra-arterial chemotherapy
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Abstract. Regional intra-arterial chemotherapy (RIAC) is a potential alternative treatment for advanced pancreatic cancer (APC) with fewer adverse effects than other treatment options. However, specific biomarkers to determine the prognosis of patients with APC have thus far, been unsatisfactory. Glypican-1 (GPC1) in exosomes has been identified as an early diagnostic biomarker for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. The aim of the present study was to investigate whether the presence of GPC1 in extracellular vesicles (EVs) could serve as a predictor of RIAC outcome for patients with APC. EVs in circulation were isolated and the percentage of GPC1+ EVs was measured using flow cytometry. Compared with healthy individuals, the levels of GPC1+ EVs were significantly increased in patients with APC (P<0.01). Following RIAC treatment, the percentage of GPC1+ EVs was decreased (P=0.023). Furthermore, patients with APC exhibiting a greater decrease of GPC1+ EVs experienced improved overall survival (OS) rates. In summary, the present study provides insights into identifying GPC1 as a novel prognostic biomarker for patients with APC following RIAC treatment.

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer has a poor prognosis, with a 5-year survival rate of <7% due to early local invasion and distant metastasis (1,2). At the time of first diagnosis, 85% of patients will have progressed to an advanced stage and are therefore not eligible to undergo curative resection (3,4). For advanced pancreatic cancer (APC), regional intra-arterial chemotherapy (RIAC), which delivers drugs into the carcinoma tissue more selectively, is considered to be a potential alternative treatment for APC with less adverse systemic effects (5-8). Thus far, cancer antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) is routinely used to predict the outcome of patients with APC following surgery or chemotherapy. However, there are limitations for the use of CA19-9 as a biomarker, which include its high expression in other diseases such as pancreatitis and obstructive jaundice (9). Therefore, it is necessary to identify reliable biomarkers with high sensitivity and specificity to determine the prognosis of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma following interventional therapy.

Extracellular vesicles (EVs), including exosomes, are derived from endosomes and contain a subset of proteins, lipids and nucleic acids (10). EVs may be secreted by cancer cells and transferred into the circulation (11). As a type of EV, exosomes represent a novel diagnostic biomarker for multiple types of cancer (12). Glypican-1 (GPC1) is a member of the heparin sulfate proteoglycans family (13). GPC1 functions as a co-receptor for heparin-binding growth factors and serves notable functions in multiple signaling pathways, including the Wnt and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways (14). GPC1 is highly expressed in pancreatic cancer tissue and promotes tumor invasion and metastasis (15,16). Downregulation of GPC1 decreases the tumorigenicity of pancreatic cancer cells, whereas high levels of GPC1 are associated with poor survival in patients with pancreatic cancer (17). Melo et al (13) identified that GPC1+ circulating exosomes could be used as a tool for detecting and monitoring early pancreatic cancer. Furthermore, the study also revealed that GPC1+ circulating exosomes were associated with improved survival of patients with APC.

Clinical trials demonstrated that RIAC improved the resectability rates for APC and was well tolerated by patients (18,19). Although GPC1+ circulating exosomes were positively associated with the burden of pancreatic cancer and prognosis following surgical treatment (13), whether GPC1+ EVs could be used to predict the outcome of patients with APC following RIAC treatment remained unresolved.

In the present study, a spin column was used to isolate EVs from the plasma of healthy individuals and patients with APC pre- and post-RIAC treatment. The levels of GPC1+ EVs were measured with flow cytometry. Compared with healthy controls, it was identified that the levels of GPC1+ EVs were...
significantly increased in patients with APC. Furthermore, GPC1+ EVs levels were reduced following RIAC therapy. It was also revealed that survival rates were significantly increased in patients with a greater decrease in GPC1+ EVs. In summary, the present study provides an insight that GPC1+ EVs may be useful as a novel predictor to evaluate the outcome of RIAC for patients with APC.

Materials and methods

Patient sample collection. A total of 28 patients were recruited between January 2013 and October 2016 at The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College (Bengbu, China). All patients were required to meet the following criteria: i) APC was confirmed by pathology or imaging (including computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging); ii) patients were considered not to be suitable for surgical resection; iii) patients received RIAC treatment at The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College; iv) patients did not undergo any treatment besides RIAC therapy. For healthy controls, 16 age- and gender-matched individuals were enrolled under strict criteria, excluding any patients with acute, chronic or other malignant diseases, including chronic disorders involving vital organs (heart, lung, kidney and brain), serious inflammatory diseases (pancreatitis, diabetes and rheumatoid arthritis), cancers (prostate, ovary and breast cancer), chronic use of drugs may induce pancreas-associated diseases (didanosine and azathioprine) and malnutrition conditions (chronic diarrhea).

Plasma was isolated from 10 ml peripheral blood (as described below) and stored at -80˚C until analysis. For 28 patients with APC, peripheral blood was drawn prior to RIAC and on the 10th day following RIAC treatment. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants prior to participating in the study. The study was approved by the Institutional Research Ethic Board at The Bengbu Medical College.

Clinical procedure. Written informed consent for the RIAC procedure was obtained from all patients or their relatives. Gemcitabine (GEM) is a routinely used drug for treating patients with pancreatic cancer in China. Right femoral artery puncture and intubation was performed using the Seldinger method with a 5-Fr arterial sheath (20). Next, a celiac artery and superior mesenteric artery angiography was performed. The potentially infused artery was evaluated carefully prior to the clinical procedure. GEM was administered at a dose of between 1,000 and 1,200 mg/m² followed by cisplatin at 90 mg/m² and/or 1,000 mg tegafur. Among them, 23 patients treated with cisplatin (n=15) or tegafur (n=8) alone, and 5 patients were treated with the combination of cisplatin and tegafur. For patients with lesions in the head of the pancreas, the drugs were primarily infused via the gastroduodenal artery. For patients with lesions in the pancreatic body or tail, the drugs were mainly infused via the splenic artery. All the clinical procedures were performed under local anesthesia. Necessary care including antiemetics, liver protection and anti-inflammatory treatment was administered following RIAC treatment.

Clinicopathological data collection. Clinicopathological information, consisting of age, gender, tumor location (head, body and tail) and metastases condition, were collected from electronic medical records. Tumor-Node-Metastasis classification was assessed based on the images captured, including computed tomography images (21). CA19-9 and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) levels were examined in the clinical laboratory. Survival time was defined from the first diagnosis of pancreatic cancer to mortality or the final follow-up date.

EV isolation. Prior to purification of EVs, plasma was thawed on ice. EVs were isolated utilizing a spin column-based method (77044; exoRNeasy Serum/Plasma Maxi Kit; Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol (22). The binding buffer, wash buffer and elution buffer were supplied with the kit. Briefly, 500 µl plasma was filtered through a 0.22-µm filter to exclude cell debris. Filtered plasma was then mixed with binding buffer and added to the membrane affinity column for to bind EVs. Following centrifugation, the flow-through was discarded and wash buffer was added to the column to remove non-specific substances. Finally, elution buffer was added to the spin column membrane and EVs were collected through centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 5 min at room temperature.

Transmission electron microscopy. The isolated EVs were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde at 4˚C for 20 min. A formvar-coated copper grid was floated on the fixed sample for 20 min. Then samples were fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde at 4˚C for 5 min prior to staining with 2% (w/v) uranyl oxalate for 5 min. Finally, the sections were embedded in 0.4% (w/v) uranyl acetate and 1.8% (w/v) methyl cellulose on ice for 10 min. Excess liquid was removed from the grid using filter paper and the grid was allowed to dry for several minutes. Images were captured using a transmission electron microscope (Tecnai G2 spirit BioTwin, FEI, 120 kV; Fei Company; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA).

Flow cytometry analysis. The isolated EVs were incubated with 4 µm aldehyde/sulfate latex beads (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at room temperature for 15 min. This mixture was dissolved in 1 ml PBS at room temperature for 1 h and the reaction was quenched with 100 mM glycine and 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) in 1X PBS (PBSB). Beads enriched with EVs were washed once using PBSB for 5 min then blocked with 10% BSA for 30 min at room temperature. Following two washes with PBSB for 5 min, the beads were incubated with GPC1 antibody (1:6; GTX 104557; GeneTex, Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) on ice for 1 h and washed twice with PBSB for 5 min. Subsequently, the EV-bound beads were incubated with an Alexa-488-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:2,000; ab150077; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) for 30 min at 4˚C. EV-bound beads that did not undergo primary antibody incubation were used as a negative control. The events were collected with a flow cytometer and then the single beads and the doublets of beads without EVs were gated according to the Forward Scatter and Side Scatter. EV-coated beads, with or without reaction with GPC1 antibodies, were collected, and the fluorescence intensity was analyzed. The percentage of GPC1+
EVs was calculated as the number of GPC1+ EVs relative to the total number of beads per sample (100,000 events). This percentage was therein referred to as the percent beads with GPC1+ EVs. Similarly, EV surface markers were analyzed using fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated CD63 and CD81 antibodies at 4°C for 30 min (1:500; 557288 and 551108; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). All flow cytometry analysis was conducted using a BD accuri C6 flow cytometer system (BD Biosciences). Results were analyzed with FlowJo software version 8.7.3 (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR, USA). Statistical analysis. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, median (25 and 75th quartiles) or mean (range). An unpaired Student's t-test was applied to calculate the statistical significance between two independent groups. Wilcoxon signed rank test was performed to compare the difference in the proportion of GPC1+ EVs between blood samples collected pre- and post-RIAC treatment. The survival curves for overall survival were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using a log-rank test in the longitudinal cohort of patients with APC. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Patient characteristics. A total of 28 patients with APC were enrolled in the present study. All patients received two cycles of RIAC. As presented in Table I, the mean patient age was 65 years (range, 43-83 years). Of these, 15 (53.5%) patients were diagnosed with lesions in the head of the pancreas. The reference values of CA19-9 and CEA in healthy population were 10-37 U/ml, 0-5 ng/ml, respectively (23). In the present study, the median values of CA19-9 and CEA in patients were 851.4 (14.5-3,765.0) U/ml and 5.3 (2.6, 14.6) ng/ml, respectively. The median overall survival time was 6.0 months (95% confidence interval, 4.9-7.9 months).

Table I. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years*</td>
<td>65 (43-83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (53.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body-Tail, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (46.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA19-9, U/ml**</td>
<td>851.4 (14.5-3,765.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA, ng/ml**</td>
<td>5.3 (2.6-14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage I, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (32.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (53.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as the mean (range). **Data are presented as the median (interquartile range).

GPC1+ EVs in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. EVs were obtained from plasma using a spin column-based method. Transmission electron microscopy was used to visually inspect the size and morphology of EVs. As hypothesized, the size of EVs ranged between 50 and 200 nm (Fig. 1A and B). Furthermore, specific exosome markers (CD63 and CD81) were examined using flow cytometry. However, EVs only partially expressed CD63 (59.2%) and CD81 (74.1%) (Fig. 1C and D). These data indicated that EVs isolated from plasma using this method were composed of other EVs, besides exosomes. Next, GPC1+ EVs were examined in patients with APC (n=28) and age- and gender-matched healthy individuals (n=16) by flow cytometry analysis. As presented in Fig. 2, the levels of GPC1+ EVs in patients with APC (39.8±19.0%) were significantly increased compared with corresponding controls (1.02±0.62%; P<0.001).

Figure 1. Transmission electron microscopy and flow cytometry analysis was used to examine EVs isolated from the plasma using a spin column membrane. Representative images captured by transmission electron microscopy revealed the diameters of EVs were ~100 nm. (A) and (B) depict the same sample at different magnifications. Scale bar: (A) 0.5 µm and (B) 100 nm. The exosome-specific markers (C) CD63 and (D) CD81 were detected using flow cytometry analysis. CD63, cluster of differentiation 63; FL1-A, FL1 area; EVs, extracellular vesicles.

GPC1+ EVs in patients with pancreatic cancer following RIAC treatment. RIAC is an alternative treatment for patients with APC who are not suitable for traditional surgery. Therefore, we hypothesized that GPC1+ EVs may be suitable as a clinical marker to predict the outcome of RIAC treatment for patients with APC. Levels of GPC1+ EVs were measured in the plasma of patients with APC (n=28) following RIAC treatment. The results of the present study revealed that the mean proportion of GPC1+ EVs was 37.1% (range, 11-83%) following RIAC treatment. Compared with pre-RIAC treatment, levels of GPC1+ EVs were significantly reduced in patients with APC (P=0.023) (Fig. 3). These data indicated that GPC1+ EVs possess the potential to be a prognostic maker for patients with APC.

Table I. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years*</td>
<td>65 (43-83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (53.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body-Tail, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (46.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA19-9, U/ml**</td>
<td>851.4 (14.5-3,765.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA, ng/ml**</td>
<td>5.3 (2.6-14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage I, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (32.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (53.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as the mean (range). **Data are presented as the median (interquartile range). CA19-9, cancer antigen 19-9; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; TNM, Tumor-Node-Metastasis.
Pancreatic cancer is a highly lethal malignancy, owing to its aggressive nature and the lack of early detection modalities (24,25). RIAC is a potential alternative treatment for APC and may be useful to prolong survival of patients (5,8,18,19,26). EVs carry proteins, lipids, and RNAs, mediating communication between different cell types in the body, and thus affect normal and pathological conditions (27). A previous study has identified that GPC1+ EVs may be detected in patients with pancreatic cancer at early stages of the disease (13). However, it remains unknown whether GPC1 could be used to predict the response of RIAC for patients with APC. The present study primarily focused on the research concerning arterial infusion in cancer treatment. Therefore, an attempt was made to assess whether the proportion of GPC1+ EVs could serve as a novel predictor of RIAC outcome for patients with APC. In the present study, EVs were isolated from the plasma of patients with APC and it was identified that levels of GPC1+ EVs were reduced following RIAC therapy. It was subsequently identified that a greater decrease of GPC1+ EVs following RIAC treatment was associated with improved survival rates of patients with APC. The present study provides novel insights that GPC1+ EVs may therefore represent useful prognostic biomarkers for evaluating the outcome of RIAC treatment in patients with APC.

Pancreatic cancer is a disease with a low overall survival rate; the incidence rate of this disease is expected to continue increasing (7). Surgical resection and systemic chemotherapy are common treatment modalities for patients with pancreatic cancer (28,29). Surgical resection is the only potentially curative treatment for pancreatic cancer; however, 85% of patients have progressed to an advanced unresectable stage at the time of diagnosis (3). For patients who are not suitable candidates for surgery, systemic monotherapy with gemcitabine or 5-fluorouracil is widely considered the standard treatment for pancreatic cancer. However, this treatment has a low response rate and increases the risk of severe side effects, including cytopenia and bone marrow suppression (30,31). Arterial infusion, including RIAC, has been used as an alternative strategy to increase the survival rates for patients with pancreatic cancer (19,30,32). RIAC delivers a high concentration of drugs into the artery connected to the tumor and provides patients an optimal therapeutic approach, particularly for APC (33,34). Although RIAC is not a routine treatment for pancreatic cancer, clinical trials have identified that RIAC achieves a significant benefit in terms of overall survival rates and symptomatic control in patients with pancreatic cancer (5,32).

Previously, pain alleviation and changes in body weight were used to assess the clinical benefit of treatment. CA19-9 and CEA levels are commonly used to determine the prognosis of patients with APC; however, their sensitivities and specificities may be insufficient to assess treatment benefit (35,36). As a consequence, the results were controversial when evaluating the safety and efficacy of treatments, including systemic chemotherapy and surgery (37,38). Therefore, identification of a reliable biomarker to evaluate the effect of RIAC in APC treatment remains a challenge for researchers. Extensive research on EVs is being conducted, since they represent a source of non-invasive biomarkers to accurately predict disease progression in numerous types of cancer (12,39). Evidence indicates that GPC1 is overexpressed in patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma, which directly contributes to the carcinogenesis and development of
of pancreatic adenocarcinoma by controlling cell proliferation (15,17). Previously, Melo et al (13) demonstrated that GPC1+ exosomes could be detected in the serum of patients with pancreatic cancer at early stages of disease. Consistent with this previous report, the present study also confirmed that GPC1+ EVs were highly expressed in patients with APC. Thus, the present study further confirmed the utility of GPC1+ EVs as a potential biomarker for APC diagnosis. Furthermore, it was revealed that a greater decrease of GPC1+ EVs following RIAC treatment was associated with improved survival rates of patients with APC, indicating that GPC1+ EVs could also be used as a prognostic marker for patients with APC receiving RIAC treatment. Although considerable attention was generated by the applications of EVs in disease diagnostics and prognosis, the methods of purifying EVs from plasma remain non-optimal (40,41). In the present study, a spin column-based method was used to isolate EVs from plasma (22). This approach represented an improvement on traditional methods such as differential centrifugation, and is a more convenient method to obtain a high quantity of EVs from plasma.

In the present study, plasma EVs were isolated from the blood of patients with APC, and it was identified that levels of GPC1+ EVs were increased in patients with APC and were decreased following RIAC treatment. Furthermore, it was identified that a greater decrease in GPC1+ EVs was associated with increased survival rates. The present study demonstrated that GPC1+ EVs could be utilized to evaluate the outcome of RIAC treatment for patients with APC. In the present study, only 28 patients with APC were enrolled since strict criteria were adopted to minimize potential confounding effects. However, the statistical estimation calculated prior to the initiation of the study identified that only 18 patients were required when the threshold of mean difference between pre- and post-RIAC treatment was taken to be 5% and the expected power was set at 50%, using a significance level $\alpha=0.05$. To validate the prognostic value of GPC1+ EVs for assessing the efficacy of RIAC treatment in patients with APC, future studies are required to increase the sample size with a large independent cohort.

In conclusion, the present study revealed that the proportion of GPC1+ EVs was higher in patients with APC, and that this decreased following RIAC treatment. Furthermore, it was revealed that a greater decrease of GPC1+ EVs following RIAC treatment was associated with improved survival rates of patients with APC. These data provide a novel insight into the central functions of EVs in the diagnosis of cancer.
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