Cystic and solitary nodular pulmonary metastases in a patient with low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma: A case report and literature review
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Abstract. Pulmonary metastases of endometrial stromal sarcoma (ESS) are uncommon and can be difficult to diagnose. The aims of the present study were to investigate the clinical and pathological features, and enhance the awareness of pulmonary metastases in patients with low-grade ESS. The study reports a case of low-grade ESS that resulted in cystic and nodular pulmonary metastases. Furthermore, the PubMed database was searched using ‘pulmonary metastases of low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma’ as the key phrase. The literature on pulmonary metastases of low-grade ESS was reviewed and 35 cases were included in the present study. The clinical manifestations, imaging data, pathological features, treatment and prognosis of the 35 previously reported cases and the current case were retrospectively analyzed. The age range of the 36 patients diagnosed with low-grade ESS was 28-65 years. The time period from confirmation of ESS to lung metastases was 1.5-27 years. In 50% of the patients, the pulmonary metastases were asymptomatic. The most common pulmonary symptom was dyspnea, followed by chest pain, pneumothorax and coughing. The most common chest imaging presentation was multiple pulmonary nodules, followed by a solitary nodule or mass. Histology was used to identify that the pulmonary metastases had the pathological features of low-grade ESS. The immunohistochemical results demonstrated strong diffuse immunoreactivity for cluster of differentiation 10, estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor in almost all the specimens. The review of the literature revealed that pulmonary metastases from low-grade ESS are rare but not negligible. Furthermore, the detailed clinical information, imaging findings and immunohistochemical detection are important for making a diagnosis.

Introduction

Endometrial stromal tumors are rare tumors that originate from the endometrial stroma, accounting for <2% of all cases of uterine tumor (1,2). According to clinical, pathological and genetic characteristics, the Fourth Edition of the World Health Organization classification in 2014 (1) divided endometrial stromal tumors into the following four categories: Endometrial stromal nodule, undifferentiated uterine sarcoma, high-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma (ESS) and low-grade ESS.

Low-grade ESS is a rare malignant interstitial tumor, which often occurs in females between 40 and 55 years old (3). Low-grade ESS is an inert tumor with slow growth that has a favorable prognosis (4). In patients with low-grade ESS, 30-50% of cases present with extraterine spread at the time of the diagnosis (3,5-7). Distant metastases may develop even if the primary tumor is resected, and the most common location of distant metastases is the lungs, with a reported incidence rate of 7 to 28% (8).

Pulmonary metastasis of low-grade ESS can manifest as various patterns on computed tomography (CT) images of the chest, which may cause difficulties in making a diagnosis (8-12). Clinical trials and data on the pulmonary metastasis of low-grade ESS are extremely limited. The present study reports one case of pulmonary metastasis of low-grade ESS that was treated at the Chinese PLA General Hospital. In addition, previous literature on the pulmonary metastasis of low-grade ESS was reviewed by searching the PubMed database for pulmonary metastases of low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. The clinical manifestations, imaging data, pathological features, treatment and prognosis...
of these cases (the present case and the reported cases retrieved from PubMed) were retrospectively analyzed to investigate the clinical and pathological features, and enhance the awareness of pulmonary metastases in patients with low-grade ESS.

Case report

A 55-year-old female was admitted to Chinese People’s Liberation Army General Hospital (Beijing, China) in March 2017 due to a nodule in the left lung that had been present for 1 year along with recurrent chest tightness, and chest pain that had been occurring for 6 months. In November 2015, the patient had undergone a hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy to remove low-grade ESS. A pulmonary nodule (4 mm) was identified in the left upper lobe on the chest CT scan during the perioperative period, but the patient did not receive any treatment. The patient had experienced chest tightness, shortness of breath and chest pain since August 2016, and the chest CT scan revealed right-sided pneumothorax. The patient was treated with closed thoracic drainage and the pneumothorax subsequently improved. The patient experienced pneumothorax a further three times over the following 6 months. The nodule in the left lung was enlarged and multiple thin-walled cysts in the bilateral lung fields were detected in February 2017.

The patient was admitted to the hospital for further diagnosis and treatment following an existing diagnosis of cystic lung disease and a nodule in the left lung. The physical examination was unremarkable. No moist or dry rales were heard on either side. The heart and abdominal examinations were negative. The superficial lymph nodes were not palpable. The laboratory examination demonstrated a normal white blood cell count. The levels of C-reactive protein and procalcitonin were within normal limits. However, the level of tumor marker cancer antigen 125 was increased compared with the normal levels. The chest CT scan revealed a solid nodule (2x2.5 cm) in the left upper lung and multiple thin-walled cysts in the bilateral lung fields (Fig. 1).

Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) was performed in March 2017 to make a further diagnosis. Consequently, resections of the solid nodule (2.5x2.0 cm) from the upper lobe (Fig. 2A) and the apex of the left lung, including the thin-walled cysts (Fig. 2B), were performed.

For histopathological and immunohistochemical evaluation of the resected lung nodule, the material from the surgical specimen was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (cat. no., HT 501128; Sigma-Aldrich; EMD Millipore) for 24 h, at room temperature, embedded in paraffin, cut into 4 µm-thick sections, and then hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and immunohistochemical (IHC) staining were performed.

In H&E staining, paraffin lung nodule sections were deparaffinized in 2 changes of xylene, 10 min each, at room temperature. Sections were re-hydrated in 2 changes of alcohol for 5 min each. Alcohol series were as follows: 95% alcohol for 2 min and 70% alcohol for 2 min. After washing in running tap water for 5 min, sections were stained with hematoxylin solution (cat. no., 3095771; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) for 5 min, at room temperature. After washing in water for 5 min, lung nodule sections were differentiated in 1% acid alcohol for 30 sec and washed with running tap water for 1 min. Finally, lung nodule sections were cleared in 2 changes of xylene for 5 min each, and mounted with xylene based mounting medium. H&E staining reaction was observed using a standard light microscope (Leica, DM2000; magnification 10x10; Leica Microsystems GmbH).

In IHC staining, the EnVision two-step immunohistochemical staining technique (13) was used and the visualization system was 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB). Sections were dewaxed and hydrated. After endogenous peroxidase blocking with EnVision Flex+ (cat. no., K8009; Dako; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) for 5 min, at room temperature, the sections were incubated with primary antibodies at an optimal dilution (Table I), for 30 min, at room temperature. After washing with PBS for 10 min, the sections were incubated with a secondary antibody EnVisionTM (cat. no., K8009; Dako; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) for 30 min at room temperature. After being washed with water, signal visualization was performed with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine DAB medium (D7034; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA), for 5 min at room temperature. Finally, the sections were washed and mounted. The immunohistochemical reaction was interpreted using a standard light microscope (Leica, DM2000; magnification 10x10; Leica Microsystems GMBH).

Upon pathological examination, the resected lung nodule was determined to be a short spindle cell malignant tumor. Hematoxylin and eosin staining indicated a proliferation of oval or spindle cells and scattered arterioles (Fig. 3). Immunohistochemical examinations of the tumor tissues revealed that they were strongly positive for cluster of differentiation 10 (CD10), estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR). Furthermore, the tumor cells demonstrated positivity for vimentin and Bcl-2, and were negative for α-smooth muscle actin, desmin, creatine kinase (CK), S-100 and inhibin (Fig. 3). The immunophenotype supported metastatic stromal sarcoma of the uterus. A cystic specimen was observed in the left upper lobe of the lung and the wall of the cyst was covered with oval cell tumors. The cystic specimen was positively immunoreactive for ER, PR and CD10 (Fig. 4). The results of the histological and immunohistochemical examinations of both the resected lung nodule and the thin-walled cyst specimens demonstrated that the low-grade ESS (the original uterine tumor) had metastasized to the lungs.

After establishing the diagnosis, treatment with megestrol was initiated, which was administered orally at a dose of 320 mg/day. Following 2 months of treatment, a number of the small cysts disappeared, although the majority of them remained unchanged. To date, the patient has continued with the megestrol regimen and no new pulmonary metastatic lesions have been identified.

Literature review

Materials and methods. The PubMed database was searched using ‘pulmonary metastases of low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma’ as the key phrase and the full text results were downloaded. The inclusion criteria were as follows: i) Female
patients (without age restriction) with a history of pathologically confirmed low-grade ESS; ii) pathologically confirmed pulmonary metastasis of low-grade ESS that had occurred at the time of the initial diagnosis of low-grade ESS or during follow-up; ii) the article contained clear and specific descriptions of the symptoms, imaging data, pathological features, treatment and prognosis; and ii) the article was published in English. No date limit was applied. Duplicate reports and those that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded.

A total of 35 cases described in articles that were retrieved from the PubMed database were included in the present study (8-12,14-26). The present study retrospectively analyzed the clinical manifestations, imaging data, pathological features, treatment and prognosis of the 36 cases of pulmonary metastases of low-grade ESS, which include the 35 reported cases and the present case. The 36 cases are summarized in Table II.

**Results.** The 36 patients diagnosed with low-grade ESS had an age range of 28-65 years. Among them, 25 patients (69.4%) were initially diagnosed with low-grade ESS, and 11 patients (30.6%) were initially misdiagnosed as not having low-grade ESS and then received a modified diagnosis of low-grade ESS during treatment. A total of 9 patients (25%) had undergone a hysterectomy and bilateral adnexal resection, 25 patients (69.4%) had undergone a hysterectomy alone, 1 patient (2.8%) had undergone tumor resection and 1 patient (2.8%) had no history of surgery as the patient refused surgery. Pulmonary metastases were identified in 5 patients (13.9%) at the time of diagnosed with low-grade ESS, and in 31 patients (86.1%)

![Figure 1. Chest CT scans for the patient with pulmonary metastasis of low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. (A) Lung window and (B) mediastinal window CT scans revealed a solid nodule (2x2.5 cm) in the left upper lung, indicated by the arrow. (C and D) Chest CT scans revealed multiple thin-walled cysts in the bilateral lung fields, indicated by the white arrows. CT, computed tomography.](image)

![Figure 2. VATS can be performed to make a further diagnosis. Resections of the solid nodule from the upper lobe and the apex of the left lung, including the thin-walled cysts, were performed. (A) Resection of the solid nodule (2.5x2x0.8 cm) in the upper lobe of the left lung by VATS. (B) Resection of the apex of the left lung, including the thin-walled cysts, by VATS. The yellow rectangle indicates a cyst. VATS, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery.](image)
Table I. Primary antibodies used in immunohistochemical analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Catalogue number</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD10</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>ab227659</td>
<td>Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>sc787</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>sc810</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimentin</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>ab92547</td>
<td>Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-2</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>sc509</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibin</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>ab14087</td>
<td>Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmin</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>sc23879</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-SMA</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>A5228</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>Ab108388</td>
<td>Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-100</td>
<td>1:800</td>
<td>ab52642</td>
<td>Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD10, cluster of differentiation 10; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor; CK, creatine kinase.

Figure 3. Pathological staining of the resected lung nodule. Upon pathological examination, the resected lung nodule in the left lung was determined to be a short spindle cell malignant tumor. The immunophenotype supported metastatic stromal sarcoma of the uterus. Hematoxylin and eosin staining at magnifications of (A) x100 and (B) revealed an oval or spindle cell proliferation and scattered arterioles. The tumor cells demonstrated positivity for (C) cluster of differentiation 10, (D) estrogen receptor, (E) progesterone receptor, (F) vimentin and (G) Bcl-2. The tumor cells were negative for (H) inhibin, (I) desmin, (J) α-smooth muscle actin, (K) creatine kinase and (L) S-100. Magnification, x200.
following gynecological surgery. The time period from confirmation of low-grade ESS to lung metastases was 1.5-27 years. Of the 36 patients with pulmonary metastases of low-grade ESS, dyspnea was experienced by 9 patients (25.0%), chest pain was reported by 8 patients (22.2%), pneumothorax was identified in 7 patients (19.4%), coughing was experienced by 6 patients (16.7%), hemoptysis was presented by 2 patients (5.6%) and 18 patients (50%) were asymptomatic. The most common pulmonary symptom reported was dyspnea, followed by chest pain, pneumothorax and coughing.

Among the 36 patients with pulmonary metastases of low-grade ESS, 18 patients (50%) presented with multiple pulmonary nodules, 6 patients (16.7%) had a solitary nodule or mass, 6 patients (16.7%) exhibited cystic lesions and 2 patients (5.6%) had a reticular formation. Furthermore, 2 patients (5.6%) had multiple nodules with pleural effusion, 2 patients (5.6%) exhibited multiple pulmonary nodules with cystic lesions, 1 patient (2.8%) presented with a solitary nodule with cystic lesions and 1 patient (2.8%) had multiple nodules with cystic lesions and cavities.

The histology results for the 36 patients with pulmonary metastases of low-grade ESS demonstrated that the lung lesions were composed of short spindle cells arranged in ill-defined whorls that were centered on numerous uniform arterioles. The neoplastic cells were small with unremarkable nuclear features and sparse cytoplasm. One tumor contained areas of fibroblastic differentiation. Epithelioid features characterized by sex cord-like differentiation were observed in 3 patients. The immunohistochemical results revealed strong diffuse immunoreactivity for ER and PR in almost all of the cases of pulmonary metastasis. There was positive immunoreactivity for CD10 and vimentin and negative immunoreactivity for CD45, CK and S-100 in the majority of the specimens.

Pulmonary surgery in the form of a wedge resection and/or lobectomy was performed in 21 patients. Among them, 5 patients received hormonal therapy following pulmonary surgery and 3 patients received chemotherapy following pulmonary surgery. A total of 8 patients received hormonal therapy only and 2 patients received chemotherapy only. Only 1 patient received chemotherapy following hormonal therapy. In total, 4 patients did not receive any treatment.

Follow-up data were available for 33 patients. Among them, 6 patients succumbed to the disease. A total of 14 were alive with no evidence of disease and 13 were alive with evidence of stable disease.

Figure 4. Pathological staining of the cystic specimen. Upon pathological examination of the cystic specimen from the apex of left lung, it was observed that the wall of the cyst was covered with oval cell tumors. The immunophenotype supported metastatic stromal sarcoma of the uterus. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin staining results indicated that the wall of the cyst was covered with oval cell tumors (magnification, x100). The tumor cells demonstrated positivity for (B) cluster of differentiation 10, (C) estrogen receptor and (D) progesterone receptor. Magnification, x100.
Table II. Information for the 35 patients with pulmonary metastasis of LGESS identified by literature review and the current case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Age at diagnosis of LGESS, years</th>
<th>Gynecological surgery</th>
<th>Time from confirmation of LGESS to lung metastases, years</th>
<th>Respiratory symptoms</th>
<th>Radiological findings</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>(Refs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al, 2004</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>Multiple micronodules</td>
<td>Chemotherapy and hormonal therapy</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satoh et al, 2003</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Multiple nodules</td>
<td>Lobectomy</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td>(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murakami et al, 2014</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pneumothoraces</td>
<td>Multiple nodules, cavitary lesions and multiple thin-walled cysts</td>
<td>Partial resection and hormonal therapy</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyamoto et al, 2009</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>One mass and two nodules</td>
<td>Lobectomy</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim et al, 2010</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy</td>
<td>Prior to surgery</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Multiple nodules</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahadeva et al, 1999</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>At the same time as the diagnosis of LGESS</td>
<td>Dyspnea, pneumothoraces</td>
<td>Fine reticular shadowing</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Succumbed to the disease</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inayama et al, 2000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Dry cough</td>
<td>Multiple bilateral nodules</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Succumbed to the disease</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akhavan et al, 2012</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Multiple bilateral nodules</td>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td>(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binesh et al, 2013</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy</td>
<td>Prior to surgery</td>
<td>Dyspnea, cough, blood-tinged sputum</td>
<td>Two masses</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Succumbed to the disease</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, year</td>
<td>Case no.</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Age at diagnosis of LGESS, years</td>
<td>Gynecological surgery</td>
<td>Time from confirmation of LGESS to lung metastases, years</td>
<td>Respiratory symptoms</td>
<td>Radiological findings</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>(Refs.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang et al, 2014</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Myomectomy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Multiple bilateral nodules</td>
<td>Wedge resection and chemotherapy</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td>(21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy</td>
<td>Prior to surgery</td>
<td>Hemoptysis, pneumothoraces</td>
<td>Multiple cysts</td>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>Succumbed to the disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itoh et al, 1997</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Pneumothoraces, dry cough</td>
<td>Multiple thin-walled cysts</td>
<td>Wedge resection</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ota et al, 2002</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Multiple bilateral nodules</td>
<td>Segmentectomy</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td>(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steele et al, 1968</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chest pain, wheezing</td>
<td>An opacity</td>
<td>Pneumonectomy</td>
<td>Succumbed to the disease</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakamura et al, 2016</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>A mass</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy, surgery and chemotherapy</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td>(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chong et al, 2014</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy</td>
<td>Prior to surgery</td>
<td>Dyspnea, pneumothorax</td>
<td>Hematoxylin and eosin staining indicated oval or spindle cell proliferation; multiple pulmonary nodules and small-walled cysts</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, year</td>
<td>Case no.</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Age at diagnosis of LGESS, years</td>
<td>Gynecological surgery</td>
<td>Time from confirmation of LGESS to lung metastases, years</td>
<td>Respiratory symptoms</td>
<td>Radiological findings</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>(Refs.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spano et al, 2003</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Asthenia</td>
<td>Bilateral pulmonary nodules</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td>(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Bilateral pulmonary nodules</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aubry et al, 2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Multiple nodules</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy and wedge resection</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Collarbone pain</td>
<td>Multiple bilateral nodules</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy and wedge resection</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Solitary nodule</td>
<td>Lobectomy</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Multiple bilateral nodules and cysts</td>
<td>Wedge resection</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Multiple bilateral nodules</td>
<td>Wedge resection</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Shortness of breath, cough, chest pain</td>
<td>Multiple nodules and pleural effusion</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Shortness of breath, cough, chest pain</td>
<td>Multiple nodules and bilateral pleural effusion</td>
<td>Lobectomy and wedge resection</td>
<td>Succumbed to the disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Shortness of breath, cough, chest pain</td>
<td>Multiple nodules</td>
<td>Chemo-radiation and wedge resection</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, year</td>
<td>Case no.</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Age at diagnosis of LGESS, years</td>
<td>Gynecological surgery</td>
<td>Time from confirmation of LGESS to lung metastases, years</td>
<td>Respiratory symptoms</td>
<td>Radiological findings</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Follow-up (Refs.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Recurrent pneumothoraces</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Wedge resection</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Shortness of breath, chest pain</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Wedge resection</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Wedge resection</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Right lower quadrant pain</td>
<td>Hormonal therapy and wedge resection</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Wedge resection</td>
<td>Alive with no evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>LGESS</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Chest tightness, chest pain, pneumothoraces</td>
<td>A solid nodule and multiple thin-walled cysts</td>
<td>Alive with evidence of disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LGESS, low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma.
Discussion

The present study describes the case of a female with metastatic low-grade ESS who presented with simultaneous cystic and solitary nodular lesions via chest CT imaging. The patient had undergone a hysterectomy for low-grade ESS of the uterus 1.5 years previously. The simultaneous presentation of cystic and nodular lesions made the diagnosis problematic. VATS was conducted for further diagnosis and, consequently, resections of the solid nodule and the apex of the left lung, including the thin-walled cysts, were performed. The pathological examination of the resected lung specimens revealed a proliferation of tumor cells with oval-shaped nuclei in both the nodular portion and the cystic lesions. The cystic and solitary nodular lesions were considered to be pulmonary metastasis of low-grade ESS.

To investigate the clinical and pathological features, and enhance the awareness of pulmonary metastases in patients with low-grade ESS, the 35 previously reported cases identified in the literature and the current case were further reviewed. Among these cases, the interval from hysterectomy to the identification of pulmonary metastases ranged from 1.5 to 27 years. Patients with pulmonary metastases of low-grade ESS usually have no specific symptoms. A number of patients have pulmonary metastasis at the initial diagnosis of low-grade ESS and this may be accompanied by corresponding respiratory symptoms (3,6,7). In the present study, the most common pulmonary symptom was dyspnea, followed by chest pain, pneumothorax and coughing. Asymptomatic pulmonary metastases were identified in 50% of the patients.

Pulmonary metastasis of low-grade ESS can manifest as various patterns on CT scans (8). The present study identified that the most common pattern of pulmonary metastatic low-grade ESS was multiple pulmonary nodules, which was observed in 50% of the patients reviewed. Unusual presentations identified in the present study included a solitary nodule, bilateral reticulonodular infiltrates and spontaneous pneumothoraces associated with predominantly cystic lesions. Although the majority of patients possessed multiple nodules, 16.7% presented with a solitary nodule. Therefore, if a patient has a history of low-grade ESS, the presence of a solitary nodule on a chest CT scan should be closely monitored for lung metastasis. Cystic metastases were identified in 16.7% of the patients with pulmonary metastasis of ESS that were reviewed in the present study. The thin-walled cysts may have been caused by the proliferation of ESS cells in the airways. Tumor invasion in the bronchial valve leads to pulmonary cysts. A solitary nodule and cysts are individually known to reflect pulmonary metastasis of low-grade ESS; however, the coexistence of these imaging features is rare and can contribute to difficulty in making a diagnosis (8). The present study reports a case of metastatic low-grade ESS that simultaneously presented cystic and solitary nodular lesions via a chest CT scan. The mechanism underlying the coexistence of multiple lesions requires investigation.

At present, the diagnosis of pulmonary metastasis of low-grade ESS is based on the histopathological results (1). Histology is used to confirm that the pulmonary metastasis has the pathological features of low-grade ESS. Microscopically, low-grade ESS tumor cells are small and consistently shaped, with short fusiform nuclei, swirled around spiral arteriole-like vessels (1). Tumor cells are similar to endometrial stromal cells in the proliferative phase, with minimal cell atypia and usually low mitotic activity (<5 mitoses/10 high power fields). The typical microscopic feature of the tumor is tongue-like invasion of the muscular layer and lymphatic vessels (8).

Immunohistochemical analysis of low-grade ESS reveals positive expression of CD10, ER and PR. CD10 exhibits high sensitivity and poor specificity, and requires staining with more than two smooth muscle markers, including desmin, SMA or h-caldesmon, to make a definite diagnosis (1). As low-grade ESS can be accompanied by smooth muscle differentiation, smooth muscle markers may be focal positive (8). Hwang et al (27) revealed that the combined application of CD10, ER, PR, h-caldesmon and transgelin successfully distinguishes low-grade ESS from uterine leiomyosarcoma; the identification of positive expression of CD10, ER and PR, and negative expression of h-caldesmon and transgelin increases the diagnostic accuracy for low-grade ESS.

Previous studies have expanded the understanding of the molecular features of ESS. In low-grade ESS, the most common chromosomal translocation is t(7;17)(p15;q21), which results in the fusion of JAZF1 and SUZ12 genes (28). Other gene fusions include JAZF1-PHF1, EPC1-PHF1, MEAF6-PHF1, ZC3H7-BCOR and MBTD1-CXorf67 (29-32). These cytogenetic changes may be associated with pathogenesis, and can be detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization and reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction. These aforementioned molecular features may contribute to the diagnosis of morphologically unclear cases.

At present, the treatment for patients with low-grade ESS predominantly consists of surgery (33). The basic surgery for stage I-II low-grade ESS involves a total hysterectomy and bilateral adnexectomy, while patients with advanced stage (III-IV) low-grade ESS can be treated with tumor cell inactivation therapies. Postoperative adjuvant therapies for low-grade ESS can be of great value. The 2016 National Comprehensive Cancer Network Clinical Practice Guidelines for Uterine Tumors (34) recommend that only observation or hormone therapy should be conducted in patients with stage I low-grade ESS, hormone therapy with or without tumor-targeted radiotherapy should be performed in patients with stages II-IVA, and hormone therapy with or without palliative radiotherapy should be conducted in those with stage IVB.

Low-grade ESS has a high recurrence rate, and the optimal treatment for low-grade ESS with pulmonary metastasis has not yet been established. It has been reported that patients could benefit from further surgery, including the resection of distant metastatic lesions (35). In the cases reviewed in the present study, the surgeries were performed in the form of wedge resection and/or lobectomy, and the majority of patients exhibited a good prognosis. As low-grade ESS is sensitive to hormone therapy, hormone therapy is also recommended for patients with low-grade ESS that has recurred (34,36). The recommended hormone therapy drugs include megestrol, medroxyprogesterone and aromatase inhibitors, and gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs can...
also be used (34). Due to the lack of prospective studies, the optimal dosage, drugs and treatment time of hormone therapy are not clear. Low-grade ESS demonstrates a low response rate to chemotherapy, so chemotherapy is only considered when hormone therapy is ineffective (33). In addition, previous studies have reported a number of potential therapeutic targets for low-grade ESS, including platelet-derived growth factor receptor, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor and histone deacetylases (37); however, their clinical value requires further investigation and confirmation.

In conclusion, the present study reports a case of pulmonary metastatic low-grade ESS that simultaneously presented as cystic and solitary nodular lesions. The coexistence of these imaging features therefore indicates pulmonary metastasis of low-grade ESS. The literature review demonstrated that pulmonary metastases of low-grade ESS are not common but should be disregarded. The clinical manifestations are not specific and diagnosis is often difficult. The combination of clinical history, imaging results and histological findings is essential for the diagnosis of low-grade ESS with pulmonary metastasis. A combination of surgery and adjuvant therapy may improve the treatment outcome. As a rare disease, there is a lack of large sample research data on low-grade ESS, and the optimal treatment strategy requires further investigation.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

No funding was received.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during the present study are included in this published article.

Authors' contributions

YX and ZL made substantial contributions to the analysis and interpretation of patient data, and YX was a major contributor in writing the manuscript. XG made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery was performed by JG. YL performed the pathological examination. XS made contributions to the acquisition of imaging data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Patient consent for publication

The patient provided written informed consent for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References


This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.