Granular cell tumors overexpress TFE3 without gene rearrangement: Evaluation of immunohistochemistry and break-apart FISH in 45 cases
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Abstract. Transcription factor E3 (TFE3) is a useful marker for tumors with Xp11.2 translocation, including alveolar soft part sarcoma and renal cell carcinoma. Recently, TFE3 overexpression was also found in granular cell tumors (GrCTs). However, the case cohorts of these two studies were limited to only 11 and 6 cases. Whether aberrant TFE3 expression is a common feature of Asian patients with GrCT requires further investigation. In the present study, immunohistochemical staining and TFE3 break-apart fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) assay were performed in 45 samples of GrCTs obtained from Chinese patients recruited from three medical centers in northeast China. Diffusive and marked nuclear staining for TFE3 was identified in 11/45 (24%) cases, which was lower than previously reported. Focal or weak TFE3 staining was identified in 13/45 (29%) cases. The remaining 21 cases were negative stained. In addition, GrCTs in subcutaneous tissue exhibited a relatively higher ratio (8/45, 18%) for TFE3 expression, compared with those in other sites. Furthermore, according to FISH data, no rearrangement or amplification of TFE3 was identified in these cases, whether they were positively or negatively stained for TFE3. The results from the present study demonstrated that part of patients GrCTs exhibited TFE3 overexpression, which suggested that this may not be derived from gene rearrangement.

Introduction

Granular cell tumor (GrCT) is a type of benign and rare neoplasm, which accounts for approximately 0.5% of soft tissue tumors (1). GrCTs are also known as granular cell schwannoma, granular cell nerve sheath tumor, granular cell myoblastoma and Abrikossoff tumor (2). Previous studies are in favor of neural/Schwann cell origin of GrTC (1-4), however, its exact histogenesis remains unclear (2). GrCTs usually occur in adults between 40 and 60 years of age, are mainly observed in women (ratio women/men, 2:1) and are more prevalent in African-American populations (3,4). Most GrCTs are presented as painless, solitary and circumscribed nodules, of <3 cm in diameter, which essentially occur in the tongue, esophagus, skin, muscle or subcutaneous tissues (3). GrCT can however appear in internal organs of the respiratory, urinary tract or central nervous systems (5). Malignant GrCT is extremely rare and accounts for approximately 0.5-2.0% of all GrCTs (6), with a mortality rate less than 40% and a poor prognosis (7). Malignant GrCTs may cause local recurrence and metastasis in regional lymph nodes, lungs and bones (3,8).

Histologically, GrCTs are characterized by large, oval to round cells with abundant granular eosinophilic cytoplasm (2-4). However, this granular eosinophilic cytoplasm is not unique to GrCTs and similar features are observed in malignant GrCTs and other soft tissue tumors such as schwannoma and oncocytoma (2-4). Distinguishing malignant from benign GrCTs is difficult, and the diagnostic of malignancy must be confirmed by histopathological examination. Although the malignancy criteria remain unclear (9,10), the Fanburg-Smith criteria represent a useful tool that is widely used (11). These criteria include spindling cells, necrosis, large and vesicular nuclei, increased mitotic activity and nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio and pleomorphism. A combination of at least three of these criteria is necessary to determine a GrCT malignancy. The most common misdiagnosis of GrCT is alveolar soft part sarcoma (ASPS) (11). Previous studies have reported that malignant GrCTs were mainly ASPS (2,11).
Although transcription factor E3 (TFE3) is a useful marker for ASPS, the overlap of immunohistochemical staining patterns of TFE3 in other tumors has been addressed along with the increase in case reports (12-16). Previous studies reported that TFE3 is overexpressed in GrCTs (17,18).

In the present study, 42 benign cases of GrCTs and 3 cases of malignant GrCTs were studied. All cases were re-evaluated according to the Fanburg-Smith criteria (11). Immunostaining and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) were performed to detect the intensity and expression pattern of TFE3 and to determine whether TFE3 overexpression was caused by TFE3 gene rearrangement.

Materials and methods

Clinical specimens. The present study included 42 benign cases of GrCTs and three cases of malignant GrCTs obtained from patients in three medical centers of Northeast China (The First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University, the 202nd Hospital of People's Liberation Army of China and the Cancer Hospital of Liaoning Province). All patients were Chinese and were recruited between January 2001 and March 2013 with long-term follow-up data of recurrence and survival (20-214 months). Four cases of ASPS and four cases of Xp11.2 translocation-associated renal cell carcinoma (RCC) were also selected from the database of the First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University as the positive controls to evaluate TFE3 expression and gene fusion status. Corresponding medical records of all cases were traced. The hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained and immunohistochemical (IHC) slides were analyzed by three independent pathologists. Patient medical records, including basic information, clinical manifestations, therapy and prognosis were reviewed and analyzed in Table SI. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the institutional ethical review boards of all three medical centers.

H&E and IHC staining. The tumor and the tumor-adjacent tissues were isolated during routine surgeries and fixed in 10% formalin at room temperature for 24 h and embedded in paraffin. Sections (4 μm) were cut from each paraffin block from one patient. One section was stained with H&E, whereas other sections were used for IHC. Briefly, sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated with decreasing ethanol gradient (100, 95, 80 and 70%). Longitudinal sections (5 μm) were stained with hematoxylin for 5 min at room temperature, dipped five times in 1% acetic acid (1% HCl in 70% ethanol) and washed with distilled water. Sections were then stained with eosin for 3 min, dehydrated with increasing ethanol gradient (70, 80, 95 and 100%) and cleared in xylene. IHC staining was performed using ZytoLight FISH-Tissue Implementation kit (cat. no. Z-2109-200; ZytoVision). Briefly, sections were deparaffinized in xylene twice for 10 min, dehydrated twice with 100% ethanol at room temperature and then pretreated using the ZytoLight FISH-Tissue Implementation kit (cat. no. Z-2028-20; ZytoVision). Slides were digested for 30 µm with pepsin (0.5 mg/ml) at 37°C. TFE3 FISH was performed using ZytoLight® SPEC TFE3 dual color break-apart probe (cat. no. Z-2109-200; ZytoVision). Briefly, sections were incubated for 15 min in pre-warmed citric acid solution (0.1 mM) at 98°C and dehydrated in 70, 90 and 100% ethanol sequentially, for 1 min each at room temperature. Slides were incubated with the probe (5 µl) overnight at 42°C in a humidified chamber. Post-hybridization washes were performed in 1X wash buffer A at 37°C for 10 min (cat. no. Z-2028-20; ZytoVision). Slides were air-dried in the dark and counterstained with 10 µl 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)/Antifade-Solution (cat. no. Z-2028-20; ZytoVision) at room temperature for 10 min. All slides were kept at 4°C in the dark following hybridization. Analysis was performed using a Nikon 80i fluorescence microscope (Nikon Corporation) and IMSTAR Pathfinder Workstation (IMSTAR S.A.) equipped with single and dual band excitors for Texas red (561 nm), spectrum green (488 nm) and DAPI (350 nm). Only individual and well-delineated cells were scored. Overlapping cells were excluded from the analysis. Approximately 60 tumor cell nuclei were analyzed in the targeted regions by two independent pathologists. A normal nuclei would exhibit two fusion signals, one which reflects intact TFE3 alleles in a female individual, and one fusion signal that reflects intact TFE3 allele in a male individual, whereas the TFE3 ‘break-apart’ results in
two split red and green signal pattern. For TFE3 break-apart signal patterns, 1 red/1 green/1 fusion (yellow) was the most common positive pattern for a balanced TFE3 translocation in a female individual, whereas the signal pattern 1 red/1 green was the most common positive pattern for a balanced TFE3 rearrangement in a male individual. Unbalanced translocations in a female individual yielded a 1 red/2 fusion pattern. To be scored as a break-apart and to avoid false positive, the signals had to be separated by >2 signal diameters. To avoid false negative in a 4-µm section where red or green signal were out of the visible plane of section, a minimum of 60 nuclei were evaluated per case.

Statistical analysis. SPSS version 22.0 for windows (SPSS, Inc.) was used for all analyses. The Pearson's χ² test and Likelihood ratio were used to determine the correlations between TFE3 and clinicopathological characteristics of patients with GrCT. Tumors located in tongue, oropharynx and esophagus were grouped in the non-subcutaneous group, whereas tumors located in breast, thyroid and vulva were grouped in the subcutaneous group. Pc<0.05 was considered to indicate statistically significant differences.

Results

Clinicopathological characteristics of patients with GrCT. The 45 patients diagnosed with benign GrCTs (42 patients) or malignant GrCTs (3 patients) between January 2001 and March 2013 included in this study comprised 30 inpatient cases and 15 consultation cases, with a male-to-female ratio of 17:28. The median age of patients was 49 years (range, 9-66 years). Tumors essentially originated from subcutaneous tissue of the trunk (18 cases) and tongue (7 cases), and other sites including thyroid, breast, oropharynx, palate, esophagus, vocal cord and vulva (Fig. 1). Amongst the patients, 34 presented a single swelling mass without symptoms, eight patients felt some pain, three patients were asymptomatic and diagnosed incidentally during endoscopic examination and the remaining three patients were diagnosed with GrCT incidentally during thyroid carcinoma surgery. Tumor size ranged from 0.5 to 6 cm. Amongst the patients, 42 diagnosed with GrCTs accepted the tumor resection. The other three cases were diagnosed with malignant GrCTs via histopathological examination using the Fanburg-Smith criteria (11) and accepted the extended resection. According to long-term follow-up (range, 20-214 months),
43 patients were declared disease-free following tumor resection, and only two patients experienced recurrence (Table SI).

**GrCTs in subcutaneous tissue exhibited a higher ratio of TFE3 expression compared with those in other sites.** Among the 45 cases of GrCTs, 21 cases (47%) exhibited negative TFE3 staining (Fig. 2A and G) whereas 13 cases (29%) demonstrated focal positive TFE3 staining (Fig. 2B and H). Only 11 cases (24%) of GrCTs in the current study exhibited diffuse and marked positive TFE3 staining (Fig. 2C and I). TFE3 positive staining was observed in all common histological patterns (spindle, solid and acinar-like) for GrCTs. Among the three malignant GrCTs case, one exhibited TFE3 overexpression (Fig. 2D and J). In the control group, the four cases of ASPS (Fig. 2E) and four cases of Xp11.2 translocation-associated RCC (Fig. 2F) exhibited diffusely positive nuclear staining for TFE3 (Fig. 2K and L).

The results demonstrated that, among the 11 TFE3-positive cases, eight cases occurred in the subcutaneous tissue of the trunk, whereas the remaining three positive cases occurred in thyroid, breast and vulva, which were superficial locations that were easily accessible. However, none of the cases from the tongue, oropharynx, palate or esophagus exhibited positive staining for TFE3. It seems that GrCTs had a higher ratio (8/45, 18%) of TFE3 expression in subcutaneous tissues compared with those in other sites. In addition, GrCTs of larger size (≥3 cm) exhibited a higher ratio for TFE3 over-expression compared with the smaller GrCTs. No association was observed between the TFE3 expression and age, sex, histological types or growth patterns in the GrCTs (Table I).

The results of IHC staining performed routinely for rendering GrCTs diagnosis (staining for S100, NSE, CD68, PHH3, calretinin, inhibin-α and Ki-67) were not shown, as they were not related to TFE3 overexpression or gene rearrangement.

**TFE3 overexpression in GrCTs was not caused by gene rearrangement or amplification.** Considering that some TFE3 rearrangement neoplasm may exhibit false-negative in immunohistochemical staining, a break-apart FISH assay was performed in the 45 cases of GrCTs. The results demonstrated that none of the cases exhibited TFE3 rearrangement or amplification, as presented in Fig. 3A and B. Furthermore, four cases of ASPS and three cases of Xp11.2 translocation-associated RCC exhibited TFE3 gene translocation (Fig. 3C and D).

**Discussion**

TFE3 is a member of the helix-loop-helix family of transcription factors and is considered a useful marker in ASPS and Xp11.2 translocation cancers diagnostics, including Xp11.2 translocation-associated RCC, Xp11 translocation perivascular epithelioid cell tumor and melanotic Xp11 translocation RCC (19). Chamberlain et al. (17) studied the immunophenotypic comparison between ASPS and GrCTs, and revealed that 91% of GrCTs cases have a diffusive and marked positivity for TFE3. Excessive dependence on TFE3 expression, especially in the biopsy with limited tissues, could lead to high ratio of positivity and subsequently to GrCTs misdiagnoses into ASPS or other neoplasms. Schoolmeester et al. (18) performed FISH on six cases of GrCTs with TFE3 positive immunostaining, and reported that none of the six cases had Xp11.2 rearrangement.

This result suggested that genetic alterations, other than TFE3 translocation, may cause TFE3 overexpression in GrCTs. However, these two studies used a limited number of cases, which raised certain points, including whether TFE3 is also overexpressed in Chinese GrCT patients, and whether a small cohort of samples leads to the negative results of FISH.

The present study was designed to answer these questions. The results demonstrated that diffusive and marked nuclear positive TFE3 staining was identified in only 11/45 (24%) cases. Focal or weak positive TFE3 staining was identified in 13/45 (29%) cases. The remaining 21 cases were negatively stained for TFE3. The positive proportion of TFE3 staining in each group was summarized in Table II. The proportions

**Table I. Correlation of TFE3 expression with clinicopathological characteristics in GrCTs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>TFE3 Negative</th>
<th>TFE3 Positive</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;49</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥49</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-subcutaneous tissue</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous tissue</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size, cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth pattern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansive</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05, indicating statistical significance. GrCTs, granular cell tumors; TFE3, transcription factor E3.*

**Figure 3. Representative images of GrCTs following TFE3 break-apart FISH assay.** GrCTs demonstrated (A and B) negative results with fusional signals. (C) Positive break-apart signals were identified for TFE3 translocation in RCC and (D) ASPS as positive controls. DAPI stained for cell nuclei (blue). Red and green fusional signals indicated intact TFE3, red and green separated signals indicated TFE3 translocation. Magnification, x1,000. GrCTs, granular cell tumors; TFE3, transcription factor E3; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; ASPS, alveolar soft part sarcoma.
of positive staining in the cases obtained for the three medical centers in the present study were close, but were much lower than those from the studies of Chamberlain et al (17) and Schoolmeester et al (18). In addition, the results demonstrated that the cases of TFE3 overexpression mainly occurred in subcutaneous tissues (11/27, 41%), whereas no cases from the tongue, esophagus or oropharynx exhibited TFE3 overexpression. Compared with the immunohistochemical staining results from the aforementioned studies (17,18), TFE3 positive ratio in the present study was much lower. This discrepancy may have been due to ethnic differences or laboratory variation. In the present study, the overnight incubation staining protocol reported by Argani et al (12) was used, whereas the aforementioned groups performed TFE3 staining with autostainers (17,18). Notably, Argani et al (12) reported a strong nuclear TFE3 labeling in 25% of GrCTs cases (2/8), which was similar to the results from the present study. In addition, this study reported a difference in TFE3 expression between the subcutaneous tissue and non-subcutaneous tissue (tongue, esophagus or oropharynx).

Considering the possibility of false-negative TFE3 staining in some cases, the TFE3 break-apart FISH assay was performed for all samples. No TFE3 rearrangement or amplification was identified, neither in the TEF3 positively nor the negatively stained cases. These results were consistent with those from Schoolmeester et al (18) and provided data from a much larger cohort size.

To date, at least nine different types of neoplasms have been reported with TFE3 immunoreactivity (12,14-16,20). The high levels of TFE3 protein expression are commonly due to promoter enhancement, genetic amplification, translocation or dysfunction in protein degradation. Together with those from Chamberlain et al (17) and Schoolmeester et al (18), the results from the present study indicated that, unlike in ASPS, TFE3 overexpression in GrCTS was not caused by genetic translocation, which suggested that other types of genetic alteration may be involved. As a possible explanation, Chamberlain et al (21) proposed that aberrant nuclear TFE3 accumulation could be caused by organelles or intracellular metabolic signaling pathways dysfunctions, which could lead to the typical cytoplasmic accumulation of phagolysosomes in GrCTS. Previous studies reported that TFE3 is involved in lysosome/phagosome synthesis regulation and in the Golgi stress response (22-27). The aberrant TFE3 expression may therefore only represent the degenerative change due to lysosomes cytoplasmic accumulation in these neoplasms and may not be the unique event of GrCTS.

In conclusion, the present study described the clinical and pathological characteristics of 45 GrCTs cases via TFE3 IHC and FISH assay. The results revealed TFE3 overexpression and gene alteration in a large cohort of GrCTs cases. However, TFE3 overexpression in Chinese patients was lower than that in occidental patients according to previous studies, and was not associated with gene rearrangement. Further studies including more cases are required to determine the influence of TFE3 overexpression in GrCTs.
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