hsa_circRNA_100873 upregulation is associated with increased lymphatic metastasis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma
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Abstract. Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are a type of endogenous non-coding RNA with multiple binding sites that specifically bind to microRNAs (miRNAs) and serve an important role in cellular regulatory networks. Patients exhibit varying levels of lymphatic metastasis in a clinical setting. The present study investigated the association between circRNAs and lymphatic metastasis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). The tissue samples were divided into three groups, including early tumor stage associated with advanced nodal stage (T1 group), advanced tumor stage associated with early nodal stage (T2 group) and healthy esophageal epithelial tissues as the control group (C group). Gene chip analysis identified circRNAs, and those with possible regulatory functions were validated by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis (RT-qPCR). circRNAs containing miRNA response element (MRE) sequences were obtained, and circRNA/miRNA prediction software was used to predict miRNAs that may interact with circRNA. A total of 12,275 circRNAs were detected, including 861 with statistically significant differences. A comparison between the T1 and C groups identified 152 upregulated circRNAs and 431 downregulated ones, while a comparison between the T2 and C groups identified 187 upregulated and 481 downregulated circRNAs. A T1/T2 group comparison revealed that four circRNAs were upregulated and seven were downregulated (fold change >1.5; P<0.05). The RT-qPCR data and gene chip analysis consistently identified hsa_circRNA_100873 (fold change >1.5; P<0.05). The RT‑qPCR data and gene expression analysis revealed that hsa_circRNA_100873 may be associated with increased lymphatic metastases in ESCC.

Introduction

Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), one of the most common and deadly malignancies, is the eighth most common cancer and the sixth most frequent cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide (1). The incidence of ESCC in China is higher when compared with western populations, with 477,900 cases reported and the incidence rate accounted for 11.1% of all malignant tumors. The estimated number of mortalities was 375,000, accounting for 13.3% of cancer-associated mortalities in China in 2015 (2,3). Because early symptoms of ESGG are not typical, numerous patients are at an advanced stage at the time of diagnosis, and the majority of patients succumb to the disease due to recurrence or metastasis (4,5). This is corroborated by the 5-year survival rate, which was only 10-25% in China in 2015 (4,5). The precise molecular mechanisms underlying the occurrence, development and lymphatic metastasis of ESCC remain to be fully elucidated. In addition, there are few effective diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets for patients with ESCC, which account in part for its poor prognosis. Therefore, there is an urgent need for the identification of biomarkers or therapeutic targets to improve the clinical outcome of ESCC.

Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are endogenous non-coding RNAs that regulate transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene expression, similar to long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) (6). However, unlike lncRNAs, the unique circular structure of circRNAs, without 5’ to 3’ polarity and without a polyadenylated tail, can prevent degradation by RNA exo-enzymes, which ensures good stability (7). The circRNA loop contains multiple microRNA (miRNA) binding sites. The miRNA binds specifically to corresponding miRNA response elements (MREs) on the circRNA according to the principles of Watson-Crick base pairing, acting as an intracellular miRNA sponge (8). This reduces the extent of miRNA binding to the original target gene, thereby increasing gene expression (6,8). The sponge function of circRNA has been identified in numerous types of cancer, including lung (9,10), liver (11,12), bladder (13), pancreatic cancer (14,15) and skin...
cancer (16). However, only a few studies have demonstrated the differential expression of circRNAs between ESCC and healthy tissues (17,18), and the specific molecular mechanisms governing this process remain unclear.

In a clinical setting, we found that patients present with varying levels of lymphatic metastasis; even those with early tumor invasion may exhibit advanced lymphatic metastases. The present study investigated the expression of circRNAs and the associations with lymphatic metastasis in ESCC. A circRNA microarray analysis was performed to identify variations in the expression of circRNAs in a range of cancerous tissues with different levels of lymphatic metastases. The present study also investigated potential capabilities through predicting the interactions of circRNA/miRNAs.

Materials and methods

Patients and samples. A total of six patients aged from 50 to 74 years (5 men and 1 woman) with esophageal cancer and three healthy volunteers aged from 45 to 64 years (1 man and 2 women) who attended Fujian Medical University Union Hospital (Fuzhou, China) between May 2016 and January 2017 were included in the present study. The clinical information of these individuals is presented in Table I. The 6 patients underwent minimally invasive esophagectomy and lymph node dissection, and tumor pathological staging was determined according to the American Joint Committee on tumor-node-metastasis staging criteria (7th edition) (19). Healthy esophageal epithelial tissue was obtained during an esophagoscopy from the 3 healthy volunteers with no recorded tumor complications. The subjects were divided into three groups: Early tumor stage associated with advanced nodal stage (T1N2-3M0; T1 group; n=3), advanced tumor stage associated with early nodal stage (T3N0M0; T2 group; n=3), and the healthy volunteers as the control group (C group; n=3).

All tissues samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately following resection, and were then transferred to the Institute of Cardiothoracic Surgery of Fujian Medical University Union Hospital (Fuzhou, China) and cryopreserved at -80°C until use. Total RNA was extracted from the nine specimens with TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Transformant 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis was used to assess RNA integrity and remove genomic DNA contamination. The purity and concentration of total RNA were determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer. Subsequently, to cleave circRNA, 5 µl 10x blocker and 1 µl 25x lysis buffer (Arraystar Super RNA Tag kit; Arraystar) were added per 1 µg of sample, and the mixture was heated at 60°C for 30 min until the labeled circRNA became fragmented. Next, 25 µl 2x hybridization buffer (Arraystar Super RNA Tag kit; Arraystar) was added to dilute the labeled circRNA samples. Finally, 50 ul labeled circRNA hybridization solution was injected onto the microarray slide and hybridized onto the Arraystar Human Circular RNA Microarray (8_15k; Arraystar, Inc.). After incubation in a 65°C Agilent hybridization oven (SureHyb Microarray Hybridization Chamber; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) for 17 h, the hybrid arrays were washed twice with wash buffer at room temperature for 5-10 sec and fixed in the fixation buffer at 25°C for 10 min, then scanned by a GenePix 4000B Microarray Scanner (Roche Diagnostics) to generate expression differences in the circRNA expression profile chip.

Microarray data analysis. Data generated from the circRNA microarray underwent data summarization, quantile normalization and quality control using GeneSpring software version 12.0 (Agilent Technologies, Inc.), circRNA for which at least three out of six samples had flags in ‘Present’ or ‘Marginal’ (‘All Targets Value’) were selected for further data analysis. To compare differences in circRNA expression profiles among different groups, the fold-change was calculated between the groups for each circRNA. When comparing the three groups for profile differences, the fold-change for each circRNA was computed, and one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc test were used to analyze statistical differences among three groups (T1, T2 and C) using SPSS version 24 software (IBM)

Table I. Clinical characteristics of patients with ESCC and healthy volunteers subjected to circRNA expression profile chip assay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>TNM stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>T1N2M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>T1N2M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>T1N3M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>T3N0M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>T3N0M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>T3N0M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; circRNA, circular RNA; T1, early tumor stage associated with advanced nodal stage; T2, advanced tumor stage associated with early nodal stage; C, healthy esophageal epithelial tissues; N/A, not applicable; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis.
Corp.). P<0.05 and fold-change >1.5 indicated a statistically significant difference. The acquired data were filtered and the differentially expressed circRNAs were ranked based on the defined P-value and fold-change parameters.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) validation of candidate circRNAs. RT-qPCR was used to verify differentially expressed circRNAs between the T1 and T2 groups. Total RNA was extracted from tissues using the RNA Extraction Kit (Takara Bio, Inc.). cDNA was generated from total RNA using 5X ALL-In-One RT MasterMix (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RT-qPCR reactions were performed as follows: 25˚C for 10 min, followed by 42˚C for 50 min and 85˚C for 5 min. The relative expression of circRNA was then determined using a sequence-specific oligonucleotide primer designed to generate a 200 bp sequence with the ViiA 7 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) using Hieff™qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix (Shanghai Yeasen Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cycling parameters of the PCR reaction were as follows: 95˚C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95˚C for 10 sec and 60˚C for 60 sec. circRNA levels were normalized using β-actin as an internal control. Data were calculated and run three times using the 2^−ΔΔCq method (20) and quantitative PCR. Primer sequences are presented in Table II. The differences between three groups (n=3) were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test.

Prediction of circRNA/miRNA interactions. The TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_72/) and miRanda (http://www.microrna.org/microrna/home.do) databases were used to facilitate the prediction of circRNA/microRNA interactions, and differentially expressed circRNAs were annotated using circRNA/miRNA interaction information.

Results

Differentially expressed circRNAs based on microarray analysis. The present study detected a total of 12,275 circRNAs, including 861 circRNAs that were significantly differentially expressed between groups. The distribution of sample intensities was compared using a box plot, and following standardization, the distribution of log2 ratios for circRNAs was almost identical in all tested samples (Fig. 1). Scatter plots were used to present differences in the expression of circRNAs among the T1, T2 and C groups. The circRNAs above the top and below the bottom green lines exhibited a fold-change >1.5 between the two groups (Fig. 2). Volcano plots were used to visualize the differential expression of circRNAs, with red points representing statistical significance (Fig. 3). The analysis of circRNA expression by hierarchical clustering of heat maps aids hypotheses regarding the association between samples. Hierarchical clustering demonstrated that the circRNA expression profiles were distinguishable in the samples (Fig. 4), and following integration with microarray data differences were detected in the circRNA profiles among the three groups (Figs. 5 and 6).

From the aforementioned analyses, circRNAs were identified that were differentially expressed among the three groups. Of these, there were 152 upregulated circRNAs and 431 downregulated circRNAs in the T1 group compared with the C group, and 187 upregulated circRNAs and 481 downregulated circRNAs in the T2 group compared with the C group (Fig. 4). Additionally, there were four upregulated circRNAs in the T1 group compared with the T2 group. Two

Table II. Primers used for RT-qPCR analysis of circRNA levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
<th>Annealing temperature, °C</th>
<th>Length, bp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>GTGGCCGAGGACTTTGATTG</td>
<td>CCTGTAACACGCATCTCATATT</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa_circRNA_402458</td>
<td>GCACAGTCAGCCAGCCTAATC</td>
<td>TTTTCTGCACATCCGTGTTG</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa_circRNA_067567</td>
<td>GGACCTGGCCCAATTTGAGTG</td>
<td>TCGTGTTTTTACAACTTTCGTTG</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa_circRNA_100873</td>
<td>TGGCCATCCAGGAAGATCAT</td>
<td>GGGGAGTTCACACTTTATG</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsa_circRNA_002554</td>
<td>TGCCAGTTAACAATAAATTGGA</td>
<td>CAGACTCCTGTGGACCACAAT</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RT-qPCR, reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis; circRNA, circular RNA; bp, base pair.

Figure 1. circRNA distribution in all samples. Box plot comparing the distribution of expression values for all samples after normalization. The distribution of circRNAs was similar across all test samples. circRNA, circular RNA.
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Figure 2. Changes in circRNA expression among groups. Three scatter plots were used to visualize changes in circRNA expression between ESCC tissue and non-tumor tissue groups. (A) The x-axis represents the C group and the y-axis represents the T1 group. (B) The x-axis represents the C group and the y-axis represents the T2 group. (C) The x-axis represents the T2 group and the y-axis represents the T1 group. The middle green line indicates no difference between the groups, and the area above the upper green line and below the lower green line indicates a fold-change >1.5. circRNA, circular RNA; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; T1, early tumor stage associated with advanced nodal stage; T2, advanced tumor stage associated with early nodal stage; C, healthy esophageal epithelial tissues.

Figure 3. Volcano map to identify circRNAs with statistically significant differences. (A) Comparison of the T1 group and C group. (B) Comparison of the T2 group and C group. (C) Comparison of the T1 group and T2 group. Red dots represent significant differential expression (fold-change >1.5 and P<0.05). circRNA, circular RNA; T1, early tumor stage associated with advanced nodal stage; T2, advanced tumor stage associated with early nodal stage; C, healthy esophageal epithelial tissues.

Figure 4. Hierarchical cluster analysis of circRNA. Hierarchical clustering revealed differential circRNA expression in the samples. (A) Hierarchical cluster analysis of circRNAs in the T1, T2 and C groups. (B) Hierarchical cluster analysis of circRNAs in the T1 and C groups. (C) Hierarchical cluster analysis of circRNAs in the T2 and C groups. (D) Hierarchical cluster analysis of circRNAs in the T1 and T2 groups. Each column represents the expression profile of a sample. Red indicates a higher expression level, and green indicates a lower expression level. circRNA, circular RNA; T1, early tumor stage associated with advanced nodal stage; T2, advanced tumor stage associated with early nodal stage; C, healthy esophageal epithelial tissues.
of these (circRNA_402458 and circRNA_100873) were also differentially expressed in the T1 group or T2 group compared with the C group. Seven circRNAs in the T1 group were downregulated compared with the T2 group, of which two (circRNA_002554 and circRNA_067567) were also differentially expressed in the T1 group or T2 group compared with the C group.

Validation of selected circRNAs using RT-qPCR. The present study selected the following circRNAs: circRNA_402458, circRNA_100873, circRNA_002554 and circRNA_067567, which were differentially expressed in the T1 group compared with the T2 group and in the T1/T2 group compared with the C group, to verify the three groups of samples by RT-qPCR (Fig. 7). hsa_circRNA_100873 (Table III) demonstrated an
expression pattern consistent with microarray data analysis for the four circRNAs. There was a significant difference in hsa_circRNA_100873 expression between tissues in the T1 group and T2/C groups (Table IV).

**Prediction of circRNA/miRNA interactions.** According to the competing endogenous RNA hypothesis and previous reports, circRNAs can interact with specific miRNAs through base complementation via MREs, in a function known as the miRNA sponge (6,21‑23). Therefore, the present study used an in‑house miRNA target prediction software from Arraystar to predict miRNAs that may bind to the selected circRNAs. The top five miRNAs predicted to pair with circRNA_100873 were identified as hsa‑miR‑1236‑3p, hsa‑miR‑3064‑5p, hsa‑miR‑6504‑5p, hsa‑miR‑943 and hsa‑miR‑522‑3p (Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

circRNAs exist in the cytoplasm of eukaryotic cells and their contents are very similar to classical linear RNAs (7). However, their circular structure is more stable than linear structures; therefore, the circular configuration is often adopted in the cell (7,8,24). Several studies have demonstrated that circRNAs exhibit tissue specificity during normal tissue differentiation and development, and have multiple regulatory functions during the generation and progression of disease, including the regulation of Wnt signaling pathways and epithelial‑mesenchymal transition (6,8,17,25). One of the best known is the sponge function of circRNA discovered by Hansen et al (6). This involves circRNAs binding to specific miRNAs, which reduces the miRNA content and weakens inhibition of the original target mRNA, thus changing the expression of the corresponding protein (6).

Numerous examples of circRNA sponges have been identified, including ciRS‑7 (6), sex determination region Y (SRY) (6,21), hsa_circ001569 (22), circPVT1 (23), circTGF25 (26) and circE3 ubiquitin ligase (ITCH; 17). ciRS‑7 has >70 valid MREs, including miR‑7 (6,8,27,28), while SRY was demonstrated to be the sponge of miR‑138 (6,21). These circRNAs participate in the development of specific tumorigenesis by binding to specific miRNAs. Thus, circRNAs are promising targets for the diagnosis and treatment of disease.

Few circRNAs have been reported in the field of ESCC. Li et al (17) reported that cir‑ITCH is expressed at low levels in ESCC and promotes the ubiquitination and degradation of phosphorylated Dv12 by increasing ITCH expression through the sponge action of miR‑7, miR‑17 and miR‑214. In turn, cir‑ITCH inhibits the Wnt/β‑catenin pathway. Xia et al (29) reported a significant increase in the novel circRNA hsa_circ_0067934 in the cytoplasm of esophageal cancer, and revealed that small interfering RNA‑mediated silencing of hsa_circ_0067934 inhibits the invasion and migration of ESCC cells *in vitro* and blocks cell cycle progression, indicating its potential as a novel biomarker and therapeutic target. Su et al (18) performed a circRNA microarray and bioinformatics analysis on the expression of circRNA in radioresistant and non‑radioresistant ESCC cells. They identified RNAs with significantly different expression and proposed that >400 target genes were enriched in the Wnt signaling pathway. Of these, circRNA_001059 and circRNA_000167 were the two largest nodes in the circRNA/miRNA co‑expression network. Sang et al (30) reported significant upregulation of ciRS‑7 in ESCC, which was associated with significant increases in the proliferation, migration and invasion of ESCC cells. High‑throughput experiments identified 19 miR‑876‑5p binding sites in ciRS‑7.
Table III. Expression of has_circRNA_10087.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>circRNA</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Chrom</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>Strand</th>
<th>Best transcript</th>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hsa_circRNA_10087</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>chr11</td>
<td>Exonic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NM_003626</td>
<td>PPFIA1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPF11A, PRPRF interacting protein α 1; FDR, false discovery rate; circRNA, circular RNA.

Table IV. Four circRNAs demonstrate differential expression in the three groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>hasa_circRNA_402458</th>
<th>hasa_circRNA_10087</th>
<th>hasa_circRNA_002554</th>
<th>hasa_circRNA_067567</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 vs. C</td>
<td>1.790</td>
<td>2.120</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>1.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 vs. C</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 vs. T2</td>
<td>2.350</td>
<td>2.270</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>1.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

circular RNA; T1, early tumor stage associated with advanced nodal stage; T2, advanced tumor stage associated with early nodal stage; C, healthy esophageal epithelial tissues.

Figure 8. Top five miRNAs predicted to bind with circRNA_100873. (A) hsa-miR-1236-3p; (B) hsa-miR-3064-5p; (C) hsa-miR-6504-5p; (D) hsa-miR-943; and (E) hsa-miR-522-3p. miRNA, microRNA; AU, miRNA binding sites; M, miRanda database; T, TargetScan database.
suggesting it is a sponge for miR-876-5p. In addition, upregulating ciRS-7 enhanced the growth and metastasis of ESCC tumors by targeting the miR-876-5p/MAGE-A family axis. This was further confirmed in animal studies (30). Thus, circRNAs serve an important role in the development of ESCC.

We have previously detected differences, albeit insignificant, in circRNA expression between different N stage tumor tissues with the same T stage. Therefore, the present study examined factors that influence metastasis and tumor development. T1 was defined as a group of early tumor stage associated with advanced nodal stage with extremely high metastatic ability, and a T2 group of advanced tumor stage associated with early nodal stage with relatively lower metastatic ability. The present study investigated circRNA expression profiles in ESCC by comparing low-invasive high-lymphatic metastasis, high-invasive low-lymphatic metastasis and healthy tissue to identify differential hsa_circRNA_100873 expression in the T1/C and T1/T2 groups, as verified by RT-qPCR. The circular RNA differential expression was not only between tumor tissue and healthy tissue, but also between high-lymphatic metastasis tissue and low-lymphatic metastasis tissue, which may suggest a key function in tumor metastasis. Further prediction of circRNA/miRNA interactions identified five potential binding sites in has_circRNA_100873: hsa-miR-155-5p, hsa-miR-663a, hsa-miR-766-5p, hsa-miR-449b-3p and hsa-miR-494-5p. Shi et al (31,32) observed that hsa-miR-663a directly targets phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit δ in glioblastoma and downregulated three critical downstream effectors of phosphorylated AKT and PIK3D, including cyclin D1, matrix metalloptidase (MMP)2 and MMP7, which inhibit tumors. They also identified that hsa-miR-663a functioned as a tumor suppressor by downregulating C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4. Zang et al (33) demonstrated that hsa-miR-663a and eEF1A2 were negatively correlated with each other in pancreatic cancer, and that hsa-miR-663a inhibited the invasion and metastasis of pancreatic cancer cells in vitro and in vivo by directly targeting eEF1A2. Ma et al (34) reported that epithelial membrane protein 3 (EMP3) is a target gene of hsa-miR-663a in gallbladder carcinoma, and that hsa-miR-663a overexpression downregulates EMP3 and activates mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling, which directly affects cell invasion and metastasis. Additionally, in liver cancer and non-small cell lung cancer (35,36), hsa-miR-663a inhibits tumor cell proliferation and metastasis by targeting high mobility group AT-hook 2 and JunD, respectively. The role of hsa-miR-663a in inhibiting tumor growth has also been reported in gastric cancer (37), papillary thyroid carcinoma (38) and chronic myeloid leukemia (39). Therefore, the present study hypothesizes that hsa-miR-663a is likely to serve an important role in the invasion and metastasis of esophageal cancer as a sponge of hsa_circRNA_100873. hsa_circRNA_100873 is an exon-derived RNA that may downregulate miRNA hsa-miR-663a through direct binding, thereby regulating metastasis and invasion in ESCC cells.

In summary, the present study examined the expression patterns of circRNAs between a low-invasive high-lymphatic metastasis group, a high-invasive low-lymphatic metastasis group and a healthy esophageal epithelial tissue group. It was observed that, compared with healthy tissues, hsa_circRNA_100873 was upregulated in cancer tissues and differentially expressed in low-invasive high-lymphatic metastasis and high-invasive low-lymphatic metastasis ESCC, confirming its regulatory role in the invasion and metastasis of ESCC cells. However, the present study has certain limitations. Future experiments should verify the circRNA expression levels in a higher number of pathological samples and conduct a series of associated phenotypic and mechanism experiments. This circRNA, hsa_circRNA_100873, may be a potential novel target for the diagnosis and treatment of ESCC, although its specific molecular biological mechanism requires further research.
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